
**Health informatics — Conceptual
framework for patient findings and
problems in terminologies**

*Informatique de santé — Cadre conceptuel pour les constats des
patients et les problèmes de terminologies*

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 22789:2010



PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 22789:2010



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2010

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction.....	v
1 Scope	1
1.1 Main purpose	1
1.2 Target groups	2
1.3 Topics considered outside the scope	2
2 Terms and definitions	2
3 Conceptual framework for patient findings and problems	5
3.1 Overview.....	5
3.2 Sanctioned characteristics.....	5
4 Conformity to the Technical Specification	9
Bibliography.....	11

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 22789:2010

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

In other circumstances, particularly when there is an urgent market requirement for such documents, a technical committee may decide to publish other types of document:

- an ISO Publicly Available Specification (ISO/PAS) represents an agreement between technical experts in an ISO working group and is accepted for publication if it is approved by more than 50 % of the members of the parent committee casting a vote;
- an ISO Technical Specification (ISO/TS) represents an agreement between the members of a technical committee and is accepted for publication if it is approved by 2/3 of the members of the committee casting a vote.

An ISO/PAS or ISO/TS is reviewed after three years in order to decide whether it will be confirmed for a further three years, revised to become an International Standard, or withdrawn. If the ISO/PAS or ISO/TS is confirmed, it is reviewed again after a further three years, at which time it must either be transformed into an International Standard or be withdrawn.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/TS 22789 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215, *Health informatics*.

Introduction

Enormous investment has occurred over the last two decades in creating health care terminological systems. Further expansion or additions are likely. Many developments overtly or covertly share features of a common model of clinical findings.

The objective of this Technical Specification is to express a core **categorial structure** of clinical findings based upon existing schemes including the World Health Organization *ICD-10*, NHS *Clinical Terms Version 3*, International Health Terminology Standards Development Organisation's *SNOMED Clinical Terms* and the WONCA 2001 *International Classification of Primary Care*. The development of a Technical Specification will help existing users to develop a **mapping** or cross-walks between one scheme and another and provide a robust logical framework for construction of new areas or consistency for updated versions of existing terminological systems. The model describes the underlying principles of clinical findings and important **semantic links** referencing a set or sets of **characterizing concepts** such as anatomy and causative organisms. It utilizes ISO 17115, which has been designed to describe such patterns for **concept** representation in a particular domain.

Within this Technical Specification, the following notation is used:

A **bold** notation has been used where references are made to terms defined in Clause 2.

An item enclosed by single angle brackets < > refers to a category that can be specialized to various **concepts**, as required.

An item enclosed within the text by single accolades { } identifies a **semantic link**.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 22789:2010

Health informatics — Conceptual framework for patient findings and problems in terminologies

1 Scope

1.1 Main purpose

The purpose of this Technical Specification is to specify a **categorial structure**, within the subject field of patient findings and problems, by defining a set of common **domain constraints** for use within terminological systems including a classification, coding scheme, coding system, reference terminology and clinical terminology.

Clinical findings are **concepts** that are recorded in clinical records and can describe any state observed directly or indirectly concerning a patient and their relationship with the environment. This Technical Specification is focused on a sub-population of these findings concerning *in vivo* descriptions of state (structure and function) directly related to the patient. This class of **concepts** includes:

- diseases, which may be defined as a state caused by a known or assumed pathological process impairing the normal physiological function and/or anatomical structure affecting all or part of a patient, where a specific pathological change is caused by a defined mechanism;
- findings of state or function (including normal findings) observed directly relating to a patient.

This Technical Specification describes a concept system detailing a domain constraint of sanctioned characteristics each composed of a semantic link and an applicable characterizing category.

The potential uses for this **conceptual** framework are to:

- support developers of new terminology systems concerning patient findings and problems;
- support developers of new detailed content areas of existing terminology systems concerning patient findings and problems to ensure conformance;
- facilitate the representation of patient findings and problems using a standard core model in a manner suitable for computer processing;
- provide a **conceptual** framework for the generation of **compositional concept representation** of patient findings and problems;
- facilitate the **mapping** and improved **semantic correspondence** between different terminologies by proposing a core specification for patient findings and problems;
- provide a core model to describe the structure of patient findings and problems, and facilitate improved **semantic correspondence** with information models.

1.2 Target groups

The target groups for this Technical Specification are:

- developers of terminology systems concerning patient findings and problems;
- developers of information systems that require a structured framework of **concepts** to facilitate implementation;
- IT specialists, analysts and epidemiologists who require common models of knowledge to facilitate analysis of current and legacy data from one or more information systems;
- clinicians and coders to provide greater consistency in structure and organization when entering and retrieving data using one or more terminology systems;
- managers and administrative personnel in providing a benchmark by which to judge terminology solutions: as to whether the potential options will deliver compatibility with legacy data and future proofing to emerging terminology products.

1.3 Topics considered outside the scope

Topics considered outside the scope of this Technical Specification include:

- a comprehensive **categorical structure** for clinical findings;
- laboratory findings (including biochemical and histological results);
- signal findings (including the output from imaging and electrophysiological tests);
- social findings;
- the absence of findings, e.g. absent bowel sounds, the absence of a knee reflex, are not included within this Technical Specification as it might prejudice subsequent attempts at standardizing the modelling of such instances;
- an exhaustive list of all possible **characterizing concepts** that could be used to describe clinical findings.

2 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

2.1

associative relation

relation between two **concepts** (2.9) having a non-hierarchical thematic connection by virtue of experience

[ISO 1087-1:2000, definition 3.2.23]

EXAMPLE An **associative relation** (2.1) exists between the **concepts** (2.9) “education” and “teaching”, “baking” and “oven”.

NOTE Other relations between **concepts** (2.9) are: hierarchical, generic, partitive, sequential, temporal and causal.

2.2

categorical structure

minimal set of **domain constraints** (2.11) for representing **concept systems** (2.10) in a subject field

[ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.4.5]

2.3**category**

formal category

concept (2.9) represented by a **formal definition** (2.12)

NOTE Adapted from ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.5.3.

2.4**characterizing category**

formal **category** (2.3) whose specialization by a **domain constraint** (2.11) is allowed to be used as **characterizing concept** (2.5) in a particular context

[ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.3.3]

EXAMPLE <INFECTIOUS_ORGANISM>={bacterium, virus, parasite}, in the context of "Infection that hasCause INFECTIOUS_ORGANISM".

2.5**characterizing concept**

concept (2.9) that is referenced by a **semantic link** (2.17) in a **composite characteristic** (2.7)

[ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.2.2]

EXAMPLE "Bacterium" in the construct "Disease that hasCause Bacterium" and "Yellow" in the construct "SkinLesion that hasColor Yellow".

2.6**characteristic**

abstraction of a property of an object or of a set of objects

[ISO 1087-1:2000, definition 3.2.4]

NOTE **Characteristics** are used for describing **concepts** (2.9).

2.7**composite characteristic**

qualifier

formal representation of a **characteristic** (2.6)

EXAMPLE hasCause Bacteria; Location = LeftUpperLobeOfLung.

NOTE 1 Adapted from ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.2.1.

NOTE 2 Can be compared to an attribute-value pair.

2.8**compositional concept representation**

intensional definition of a **concept** (2.9) using as delimiting characteristics one or more **composite characteristics** (2.7)

[ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.4.1]

NOTE Allows inference and subsumption within a compositional system. Usually expressed in a formalism, such as description logic.

2.9**concept**

unit of knowledge created by a unique combination of characteristics

[ISO 1087-1:2000, definition 3.2.1]

2.10

concept system

system of concepts

set of **concepts** (2.9) structured according to the relations among them

[ISO 1087-1:2000, definition 3.2.11]

2.11

domain constraint

sanction

rule prescribing the set of **sanctioned characteristics** (2.15) that are valid to specialize a concept representation in a certain subject field

EXAMPLE "Infection possibly hasLocation SkeletalStructure" explains that an infection in a certain context can be located in a structure that is a kind of skeletal structure.

NOTE 1 Adapted from ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.3.2.

NOTE 2 The rule describes the set of **sanctioned characteristics** (2.15) by combining the **semantic link** (2.17) and the **characterizing category** (2.4) it links to, possibly by enumeration of the concepts in the **characterizing category** (2.4).

NOTE 3 Different levels of sanctioning are possible, e.g. conceivable, sensible, normal, usuallyInTheContextOf, necessary.

2.12

formal definition

definition within a **formal [concept representation] system** (2.13)

NOTE Adapted from ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.4.3.

2.13

formal [concept representation] system

set of machine processable definitions in a subject field

[ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.5.1]

2.14

mapping

assigning an element in one set to an element in another set through **semantic correspondence** (2.16)

[ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.6.1]

2.15

sanctioned characteristic

formal representation of a type of **characteristic** (2.6)

[ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.3.1]

EXAMPLE 1 performedUsing <INSTRUMENT>; hasLocation <BodyPartOrImplantedDevice>.

EXAMPLE 2 "CauseOfInflammation canBe set{bacteria, virus, parasite, autoimmune, chemical, physical}", where "canBe" is the **semantic link** (2.17), and "set{bacteria, virus, parasite, autoimmune, chemical, physical}" is the **characterizing category** (2.4).

NOTE A **sanctioned characteristic** (2.15) is typically made up of a combination of a **semantic link** (2.17) and a **characterizing category** (2.4), and can be used in **domain constraints** (2.11).

2.16**semantic correspondence**

measure of similarity between two concepts

[ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.6.2]

2.17**semantic link**

formal representation of a directed **associative relation** (2.1) or partitive relation between two **concepts** (2.9)

[ISO 17115:2007, definition 2.2.3]

EXAMPLE hasLocation (with inverse isLocationOf) and isCauseOf (with inverse hasCause).

NOTE 1 This includes all relations except the generic relation.

NOTE 2 A **semantic link** (2.17) always has an inverse, i.e. another **semantic link** (2.17) with the opposite direction.

NOTE 3 A **semantic link** (2.17) can be part of a **composite characteristic** (2.7) where it defines the role of the **characterizing concept** (2.5). Similarly, it defines the role of a **characterizing category** (2.4) in a **sanctioned characteristic** (2.15).

3 Conceptual framework for patient findings and problems**3.1 Overview**

This Technical Specification describes a **concept system** detailing a **domain constraint** of **sanctioned characteristics**, each composed of a **semantic link** and an applicable **characterizing category**. An item enclosed by single angle brackets < > refers to a **characterizing category** that can be specialized to various **concepts** as required. An item enclosed within the text by single accolades { } identifies a **semantic link**. Subclause 3.2 lists a set of potential **sanctioned characteristics** that are illustrated in a **concept diagram** in Figure 1.

3.2 Sanctioned characteristics**3.2.1 Anatomical site**

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasAnatomicalSite} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to <Anatomical structure>.

EXAMPLE “Pleuritic pain” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasAnatomicalSite} and a **characterizing concept** <Pleura structure>.

3.2.2 Morphology

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasMorphology} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Morphological structure>.

EXAMPLE “Adenocarcinoma of rectum” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasMorphology} and a **characterizing concept** <Adenocarcinoma - morphology>.

3.2.5 Causative agent

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasCausativeAgent} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Organism>, <Substance>, <Physical agent> and <Physical object>.

EXAMPLE 1 “Coxsackie pericarditis” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasCausativeAgent} and a **characterizing concept** <Coxsackie virus>.

EXAMPLE 2 “Alcoholic hepatitis” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasCausativeAgent} and a **characterizing concept** <Ethanol>.

EXAMPLE 3 “Burn to foot” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasCausativeAgent} and a **characterizing concept** <Heat>.

EXAMPLE 4 “Plant thorn synovitis” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasCausativeAgent} and a **characterizing concept** <Plant thorn>.

3.2.6 Onset

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasOnset} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Periods of onset>.

EXAMPLE “Sudden stoppage of urine flow” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasOnset} and a **characterizing concept** <Sudden>.

NOTE The use of the **semantic link** {HasOnset} may relate to a **characterizing concept** whose meaning may be weakly distinct, e.g. acute onset (as compared to an acute course).

3.2.7 Course

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasCourse} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Courses>.

EXAMPLE “Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasCourse} and a **characterizing concept** <Paroxysmal>.

NOTE The use of the **semantic link** {HasCourse} may relate to a **characterizing concept** whose meaning may be weakly distinct, e.g. acute course (as compared to an acute onset).

3.2.8 Episodicity

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasEpisodicity} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Episodicity>.

EXAMPLE “Benign paroxysmal positional vertigo” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasEpisodicity} and a **characterizing concept** <Old episode>.

3.2.9 Occurrence

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasOccurrence} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Temporal periods>.

EXAMPLE “Morning nausea” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasOccurrence} and a **characterizing concept** <Morning period>.

3.2.10 Severity

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasSeverity} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Severities>.

EXAMPLE “Mild pyrexia” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasSeverity} and a **characterizing concept** <Mild>.

3.2.11 Stage

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasStage} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Stages>.

EXAMPLE “Membranous glomerulonephritis — stage 2” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasStage} and a **characterizing concept** <WHO stage 2>.

3.2.12 Number

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasNumber} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Numbers>.

EXAMPLE “Fracture of three ribs” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasNumber} and a **characterizing concept** <Three>.

3.2.13 Associated function

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasAssociatedFunction} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Physiological function>, <Activity of daily living>.

EXAMPLE “Walking ability normal” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasAssociatedFunction} and a **characterizing concept** <Walking ability>.

3.2.14 Test

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasAssociatedTest} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Clinical tests>.

EXAMPLE “Tinnels test positive” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasAssociatedTest} and a **characterizing concept** <Tinnels test>.

3.2.15 Interpretation

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasInterpretation} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Interpretations>.

EXAMPLE 1 “Walking ability normal” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasInterpretation} and a **characterizing concept** <Normal interpretation>.

EXAMPLE 2 “Tinnels test positive” has a **composite characteristic** expressed by a **semantic link** {HasInterpretation} and a **characterizing concept** <Positive interpretation>.

NOTE The use of **semantic link** {HasInterpretation} is always in conjunction with either the **semantic link** {HasAssociatedFunction} or {HasAssociatedTest}.

3.2.16 External cause

Formal representation of a **sanctioned characteristic** composed of the **semantic link** {HasExternalCause} with an **associative relation** to the **characterizing category** including, but not limited to, <Events>.