
**Thermoplastic pipes for the
conveyance of fluids — Inspection
of polyethylene electrofusion socket
joints using phased array ultrasonic
testing**

*Tubes en matières thermoplastiques pour le transport des fluides —
Contrôle des assemblages par emboîtures électrosoudables en
polyéthylène au moyen de la technique par ultrasons multi-éléments*

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the PDF of ISO/TS 16943:2023



STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 16943:2023



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword.....	v
1 Scope.....	1
2 Normative references.....	1
3 Terms and definitions.....	2
4 General.....	3
5 Information required prior to testing.....	3
5.1 Items to be defined for the procedure development.....	3
5.2 Specific information required by the operator before testing.....	4
5.3 Written test procedure.....	4
6 Personnel qualifications.....	4
7 Equipment.....	4
7.1 General.....	4
7.2 Ultrasonic instrument and display.....	4
7.3 Ultrasonic probes.....	5
7.4 Scanning mechanisms.....	5
7.5 Couplant.....	5
8 Range and sensitivity settings.....	5
8.1 Settings.....	5
8.1.1 General.....	5
8.1.2 Range setting — test volume.....	5
8.1.3 Sensitivity setting.....	7
8.2 Reference sample.....	7
8.2.1 General.....	7
8.2.2 Reference block.....	8
8.2.3 Electrofusion socket fitting.....	8
8.3 Checking of the settings.....	8
9 Equipment checks.....	8
10 Test procedure.....	8
10.1 Procedure qualification.....	8
10.2 Scan increment.....	10
10.3 Geometry of the fusion joint tested.....	10
10.4 Preparation of scanning surfaces.....	10
10.5 Temperature of fusion joint tested.....	10
10.6 Testing.....	10
10.7 Data storage.....	11
11 Evaluation and analysis of test data.....	11
11.1 General.....	11
11.2 Assessing the quality of the test data.....	11
11.3 Identification of relevant indications.....	11
11.4 Classification of relevant indications.....	11
11.5 Determination of location and size of indications.....	12
11.6 Assessment of indications.....	12
12 Test report.....	12
Annex A (informative) Examples of phased array images.....	14
Annex B (informative) Example of a reference block.....	17
Annex C (informative) Example procedures for producing imperfections in electrofusion socket joints ^[17].....	19

Annex D (informative) Relationship between heating time and MFZ thickness and detection using phased array ultrasonic testing	23
Bibliography	25

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 16943:2023

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 138, *Plastics pipes, fittings and valves for the transport of fluids*, Subcommittee SC 5, *General properties of pipes, fittings and valves of plastic materials and their accessories — Test methods and basic specifications*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO/TS 16943:2019), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are as follows:

- the definitions of cold fusion and lack of fusion have been clarified;
- procedure qualification has been revised.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

[STANDARDSISO.COM](https://standardsiso.com) : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 16943:2023

Thermoplastic pipes for the conveyance of fluids — Inspection of polyethylene electrofusion socket joints using phased array ultrasonic testing

1 Scope

This document describes phased array ultrasonic testing (PAUT) of polyethylene electrofusion (EF) socket joints used for the conveyance of fluids.

This document provides a test whereby the presence of imperfections such as voids, wire dislocation, misalignment, pipe under-penetration, particulate contamination and lack of fusion in electrofusion socket joints can be detected.

The technique is only applicable to polyethylene electrofusion socket fittings without a barrier to ultrasonic waves.

This document also provides requirements for procedure qualification and guidance for personnel qualifications, which are essential for the application of this test technique.

This document covers the test equipment, the preparation and performance of the test, the assessment of indications and the reporting for polyethylene EF joints.

Acceptance criteria are not covered in this document.

NOTE 1 At the time of publication, experience only exists in the use of PAUT for polyethylene (PE80 and PE100) electrofusion socket joint sizes between 90 mm and 710 mm (SDR 11 and 17).^{[1][2][3][4][5][6][7]}

NOTE 2 Interlaboratory testing has shown that PAUT is a viable method for enhancing the integrity assessment of electrofusion joints.^{[8][15][16]}

NOTE 3 This document does not apply to the detection of unscrapped pipe. Such detection can be achieved by simple visual testing, provided mechanical scraping tools are employed.

NOTE 4 PAUT techniques for cold fusion detection are known to be available. However further research verification and experience are needed to transfer the technique into an ISO International Standard. This document does not provide any information regarding the detection of cold fusions.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 5577, *Non-destructive testing — Ultrasonic testing — Vocabulary*

ISO 9712, *Non-destructive testing — Qualification and certification of NDT personnel*

ISO 13954, *Plastics pipes and fittings — Peel decohesion test for polyethylene (PE) electrofusion assemblies of nominal outside diameter greater than or equal to 90 mm*

ISO 13955, *Plastics pipes and fittings — Crushing decohesion test for polyethylene (PE) electrofusion assemblies*

ISO 23243, *Non-destructive testing — Ultrasonic testing with arrays — Vocabulary*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 5577, ISO 23243 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1
cold fusion
incomplete intermolecular diffusion of polymer chains for proper molecular entanglement at the joint interface due to reasons other than contamination

Note 1 to entry: Cold fusion results in insufficient joint integrity including significant reduction of joint ductility.

3.2
inclusion
foreign material trapped in the fusion joint

3.3
lack of fusion
absence of intermolecular diffusion of polymer chains for molecular entanglement at the interface

Note 1 to entry: A lack of fusion flaw results in complete separation at the flaw location.

3.4
pipe under-penetration
incomplete penetration of the pipe into the electrofusion socket

3.5
melt fusion zone
MFZ
zone containing the fusion interface and having boundaries on either side of the interface which reflect the limits of crystalline melting during the electrofusion socket jointing process

Note 1 to entry: The MFZ is shown in [Figure 1](#).

3.6
misalignment
angular offset between the axis of the electrofusion socket fitting and the axis of the pipe

3.7
particulate contamination
fine particles (e.g. airborne dust) or coarse particles (e.g. sand and grit) that are present at the fusion interface

3.8
void
empty space (or air pocket) in an electrofusion socket joint

3.9
wire dislocation
displacement of heating wires from their original position in the fitting

3.10
phased array image
one-, two-, or three-dimensional display, constructed from the phased array data

3.11**phased array setup**

probe arrangement defined by probe characteristics (e.g. frequency, probe element size, beam angle, wave mode), probe position and the number of probes

3.12**probe position**

axial and radial position of the probe with respect to the heating wire coil in the electrofusion socket joint

3.13**scan increment**

distance between successive data collection points in the direction of scanning

3.14**false call**

reporting an imperfection when none exists

3.15**electrofusion socket fitting**

part containing one or more integral heating elements that are capable of converting electrical energy to heat to make a joint between pipes

3.16**electrofusion socket joint**

fused combination of one or more pipe components using an electrofusion socket fitting

3.17**fusion zone**

one side of an electrofusion socket joint

Note 1 to entry: There are two fusion zones in a straight joint.

4 General

This document covers the equipment, preparation and performance of the test for polyethylene electrofusion socket joints. It also covers the reporting.

This document can be used to draft a detailed procedure for phased array ultrasonic testing of polyethylene electrofusion socket joints.

5 Information required prior to testing**5.1 Items to be defined for the procedure development**

Information on the following items shall be provided:

- a) purpose and extent of testing;
- b) manufacturing or operation stage of electrofusion socket joints at which the testing is to be carried out;
- c) reference sample;
- d) requirements for getting access to the electrofusion socket joints, the surface condition of the pipe and the temperature range;
- e) personnel qualifications;
- f) reporting requirements.

5.2 Specific information required by the operator before testing

Before any testing of an electrofusion socket joint begins, the operator shall have access to all the information as specified in 5.1 together with the following additional information:

- a) written test procedure, qualified in accordance with [Clause 10](#);
- b) all relevant pipe and fitting dimensions.

5.3 Written test procedure

For all testing, a written test procedure is required. This test procedure shall include the information specified in 5.1 and the following:

- a) documented testing strategy or scan plan;

NOTE The testing strategy gives information on the probe placement, movement and component coverage that provides a standardized and repeatable methodology for fusion joint testing. The scan plan gives information on the volume tested for each electrofusion socket joint.

- b) equipment requirements and settings (including but not limited to frequency, sampling rate, pitch between elements and elements size);
- c) evaluation of indications;
- d) environmental and safety issues.

6 Personnel qualifications

Personnel performing testing in accordance with this document shall be qualified to an appropriate level in accordance with ISO 9712 or an equivalent standard in the relevant industrial sector.

In addition to a general knowledge of ultrasonic testing, the operators shall be familiar with and have practical experience in the use of phased array systems.

Specific theoretical and practical training and examination of personnel shall be performed on representative polyethylene electrofusion socket joints containing natural or artificial reflectors similar to those expected in the field.

These training and examination results shall be documented.

7 Equipment

7.1 General

The complete equipment (i.e. ultrasonic instrument, probe, cables and display monitor) shall be capable of the repetition of test results.

For selecting the system components (hardware and software), ISO 13588 and ISO/TS 16829 provide useful information.

Equipment used for phased array testing is described in ISO 18563-1, ISO 18563-2 and ISO 18563-3.

7.2 Ultrasonic instrument and display

The instrument shall be capable of selecting an appropriate portion of the time base within which A-scans are digitized. It is recommended that the sampling rate of the A-scan should be at least six times the nominal probe frequency. The instrument and display shall achieve a resolution capable of identifying each heating wire individually.

7.3 Ultrasonic probes

Only longitudinal waves are feasible for polyethylene.

Any type of phased array probe can be used if it satisfies the requirements of [Clause 8](#) with the phased array instrument.

The most suitable ultrasonic probe frequency shall be selected in accordance with the thickness of the electrofusion socket fitting. [Table 1](#) shows recommended frequencies for each thickness range of the electrofusion socket fitting.

The optimal frequency can be shifted up or down depending on the attenuation and thickness of the EF socket fitting tested.

Table 1 — Selection of probe frequency

Recommended frequency MHz	Fitting wall thickness, t mm
3,5	$30 \leq t \leq 50$
5,0	$10 \leq t \leq 50$
7,5	$10 \leq t \leq 30$

NOTE In general, higher frequencies provide better resolution and lower frequencies provide better penetration.

7.4 Scanning mechanisms

To achieve consistency of the images (collected data), guiding mechanisms and scan encoder(s) shall be used.

NOTE Space and accessibility conditions can require special encoded scanners to facilitate the inspection.

7.5 Couplant

In order to generate proper images, a couplant shall be used which provides a constant transmission of ultrasound between the probe and the fusion joint tested.

The same couplant used for calibration shall be used for the testing. Any couplant used should to be cleaned off after testing.

8 Range and sensitivity settings

8.1 Settings

8.1.1 General

The setting of range and sensitivity shall be carried out prior to each testing period in accordance with this document. Any change of the phased array setup (e.g. probe position and steering parameters) will require a new setting. The set-up shall be optimized on the reference reflectors to give a minimum signal-to-noise ratio of 6 dB.

8.1.2 Range setting — test volume

The range in the depth direction shall cover at least the melt fusion zone above the plane of the heating wires and the same distance below.

If the position of the melt fusion zone is unknown, at least half of the thickness of the electrofusion socket fitting above and below the plane of the heating wires shall be used (see [Figure 2](#)).


When feasible, the range monitored may be extended from the probe-fitting interface and include the inside surface of the pipe.

The range in the axial direction shall cover the nominal length of the fusion zone, which is the expected fusion length indicated by the aligned heating wires.

The range in the circumferential direction shall include the full circumference.

Areas not tested due to obstacles (e.g. fusion indicators and connectors) shall be reported.

NOTE [Annex D](#) provides information regarding the measurement of MFZ thickness using PAUT.




Key

- 1 electrofusion socket fitting
- 2 MFZ boundary
- 3 heating wire
- 4 pipe

SOURCE: Reference [13], reproduced with the permission of the authors

Figure 1 — Photograph of melt fusion zone (MFZ)

**Key**

- 1 electrofusion socket fitting
- 2 MFZ boundary
- 3 heating wire
- 4 test area
- 5 pipe
- t thickness

Figure 2 — Test area with known MFZ (top) and unknown MFZ (bottom)

8.1.3 Sensitivity setting

After selection of the scanning technique, the following steps shall be taken using the same focusing, coupling and wedge or delay-line conditions for calibrating reference sensitivity as used for electrofusion socket joint testing.

- a) Equalize the response for each delay law on a side-drilled hole (SDH) at the depth of interest. Ensure that the difference between each delay law shall not deviate by more than ± 3 dB from the average amplitude response.
- b) Set the response from the SDH to an amplitude between 40 % and 80 % of screen height. This shall be considered the reference sensitivity.
- c) Evaluate the scan surface interface ring time to ensure sufficient resolution exists to discern the MFZ.
- d) Evaluate the resolution of adjacent turns of wire in the fitting to ensure that there is more than a 12 dB drop between the peak amplitudes from adjacent turns of wire.

8.2 Reference sample**8.2.1 General**

A reference sample shall be used to determine the adequacy of the setting (e.g. coverage, sensitivity).

The temperature of the reference sample shall be the same as the temperature ± 5 °C of the test object at the time of testing and shall be kept in the same environment as the test object throughout the test. A reference block with SDHs satisfying the conditions in 8.2.2 or an electrofusion socket fitting satisfying the conditions in 8.2.3 shall be used as the reference sample.

8.2.2 Reference block

- a) The reference block used shall be of the same material classification as the electrofusion socket fitting being tested.
- b) The thickness of the reference block shall be at least equal to the thickness of the electrofusion socket fitting to be tested.
- c) The length and width of the reference block shall be chosen such that all relevant reflectors can be properly scanned.
- d) SDHs shall be used as reference reflectors for testing polyethylene electrofusion socket joints.
- e) The SDHs shall be located at a depth close to the thickness of the electrofusion socket fitting.
- f) If specified, a transfer correction should be applied to account for the difference in material grade, curvature, wire diameter and surface roughness between the reference block and the test object.

An example of a reference block is given in [Annex B](#).

8.2.3 Electrofusion socket fitting

The wires in an electrofusion socket fitting of the same make, geometry and size as that to be tested can be used to set the sensitivity.

The probe should be placed on the outer surface of an unjoined electrofusion socket fitting.

When using these reference reflectors, a transfer correction is not required if the surface roughness is similar.

However, equalizing the response from each delay law is still required and shall be carried out according to [8.1.3 a\)](#).

8.3 Checking of the settings

- a) The range and sensitivity shall be checked prior to testing, every 4 h of testing and at the end of the testing period, or if the temperature of the electrofusion socket joint changes by more than 10 °C.
- b) If there is any change in the response of the reference reflector greater than -4 dB from the reference sensitivity level, the equipment should be re-configured and all the electrofusion socket joints since the previous acceptable calibration should be re-scanned.
- c) The reference sensitivity level shall be established over the range of interest using side-drilled holes. [Figure B.1](#) gives an example.

9 Equipment checks

A check of the equipment shall be performed daily before and after testing to verify that all relevant channels, probes, and cables of the ultrasonic phased array system are functional. If any item of the system fails, corrective action shall be carried out and the system shall be retested.

10 Test procedure

10.1 Procedure qualification

Procedure qualification is required for testing polyethylene electrofusion socket joints. The procedure shall be demonstrated to perform in an acceptable way on electrofusion socket joints containing

representative imperfections (lack of fusion, particulate contamination and pipe under-penetration), which have been shown to reduce the integrity of the joint as shown by destructive testing.

NOTE 1 It is recognized that the possibility of producing cold fusion (CF) joints in field applications does exist. However, it has been found that it is not possible to create a CF joint reliably in the laboratory and thus CF joints are currently excluded from the procedure qualification of this document. This does not imply that detection of CF joints by NDT is not possible. In fact, interlaboratory tests show that true CF joints can be detected by PAUT.^{[15][16]} Individual organizations can include CF joints in their qualification process upon agreement with the parties involved.

NOTE 2 Procedure qualification is intended to provide confidence that the test procedure can provide a minimum ability to detect flaws. It is recommended that acceptance criteria be agreed upon by the parties involved.

The procedure qualification requires the manufacture of a series of samples of polyethylene electrofusion socket joints for each diameter range to be tested (see [Table 2](#)), some with imperfections and some without.

The following categorized sample joints shall be tested in a blind fashion:

Category I: 4 fusion zones, each containing four embedded planar imperfections (thickness of 25 µm or less) of 2 mm, 3 mm, 4 mm and 8 mm in diameter, randomly distributed around the circumference (see [Annex C](#)).

Category II: 10 fusion zones containing no imperfections, using conditions that have been proven to generate no brittle failures when tested according to ISO 13954 or ISO 13955.

Category III: 8 fusion zones, where:

- a) 4 fusion zones contain fine particulate contamination (particle size < 50 µm), evenly distributed around the whole fusion joint circumference (see [Annex C](#)) and proven to generate only brittle failures when tested according to ISO 13954 or ISO 13955;
- b) 4 fusion zones contain under-penetrated pipe, where the end of the pipe is level with the last turn of wire on the inside edge of the fusion zone of the EF socket fitting.

Before blind testing, up to 5 fusion joints containing no imperfections can be inspected in order to set up the PAUT equipment.

For the procedure to be qualified for the detection of imperfections, the following items shall apply:

- a) 15 or more of the 16 embedded planar imperfections (Category I) shall be detected. To be considered a detected imperfection, its reported axial position shall be within 10 mm of the true position and its reported circumferential position shall be within 5 % of the joint circumference from the true circumferential position. All other reported indications shall be considered false calls and there shall be no more than 1 false call.
- b) 7 or more of the 8 of Category III fusion zones shall be classified correctly.
- c) For the fusion zones of Category II containing no imperfections, 9 or more of the 10 fusion zones shall be classified correctly as having no imperfections and there shall be no more than 1 false call.

For the purposes of procedure qualification, Category II and III fusion zones shall only be classified as having imperfections or no imperfections.

Indications due to voids around or between turns of heating wire shall be ignored during procedure qualification.

Procedure qualification is only required once for each fitting diameter range (see [Table 2](#)) unless the procedure or any of the test equipment is changed.

Table 2 — Pipe diameter ranges for the qualification of test procedures

Range	Nominal diameter of fitting, d_n mm
A	$90 \leq d_n < 355$
B	$355 \leq d_n < 710$
C	$710 \leq d_n$

10.2 Scan increment

The scan increment setting along the circumference of the electrofusion socket joint is dependent upon the thickness of the electrofusion socket joint (fitting plus pipe) to be tested.

- For thicknesses up to 60 mm, the scan increment shall be no more than 1 mm.
- For thicknesses above 60 mm the scan increment shall be no more than 2 mm.

10.3 Geometry of the fusion joint tested

If parts of the fusion zone cannot be tested in the axial or circumferential direction due to complex geometry, these areas shall be reported.

10.4 Preparation of scanning surfaces

The test surface shall be prepared so that it is free from contamination, such as dirt, dust or ice. Then the test surface shall be examined visually and the position of any surface damage shall be reported.

10.5 Temperature of fusion joint tested

The test shall be conducted sufficiently after the end of the cooling time specified by the manufacturer of the fitting. The temperature of the fusion joint shall be reported.

10.6 Testing

- Before starting the test, a proper ultrasonic coupling between the probe and the electrofusion socket fitting shall be achieved and maintained throughout the scan.
- The zero datum (starting position) shall be recorded and the ultrasonic probe shall be moved around the circumference of the electrofusion socket joint to test the entire circumference and width of the fusion zone.
- Any areas that could not be tested shall be reported.
- The scanning speed shall be chosen such that satisfactory images are generated. The scanning speed is dependent on factors such as number of delay laws, scan resolution, signal averaging, pulse-repetition frequency, data acquisition frequency, and volume to be tested. Missing data lines indicate that too high a scanning speed has been used. A maximum of 5 % of the total number of lines collected in one single scan may be missed but no adjacent lines shall be missed.
- If the axial and/or circumferential length of an electrofusion socket joint is scanned in more than one section, an overlap of at least 20 mm between the adjacent scans shall be applied.
- The testing in the circumferential direction shall include the full joint circumference plus at least 25 mm to accommodate potential encoder error.
- Indications caused by imperfections such as voids, wire dislocation, misalignment, pipe under-penetration, particulate contamination and lack of fusion within the test volume shall be reported.

10.7 Data storage

Ultrasonic testing shall be performed using a device employing computer-based data acquisition and all A-scan data covering the test volume shall be stored for a period as agreed by the parties involved.

11 Evaluation and analysis of test data

11.1 General

Evaluation and analysis of the test data are typically performed as follows:

- a) assess the quality of the test data (see [11.2](#));
- b) identify relevant indications (see [11.3](#));
- c) classify relevant indications (see [11.4](#));
- d) determine location and size of the indications (see [11.5](#));
- e) assess the indications (see [11.6](#)).

11.2 Assessing the quality of the test data

The phased array test shall be performed such that satisfactory images are generated which can be evaluated. Satisfactory images are defined by appropriate

- a) coupling;
- b) range setting;
- c) sensitivity setting;
- d) signal-to-noise ratio;
- e) data acquisition (scan length, missed lines, coverage).

It shall be ensured that the signal is not saturated within the test volume.

Assessing the quality of phased array images requires skilled and experienced operators (see [Clause 6](#)). If the operator decides that the scan data quality does not meet the requirements of the procedure, a rescan shall be carried out.

11.3 Identification of relevant indications

The phased array technique provides images of both imperfections in the fusion zone and geometric features, such as heating wires and cold zones in the electrofusion socket joint (see [Annex A](#)).

In order to identify indications of geometric features, knowledge of the design of the electrofusion socket fitting is necessary.

To decide whether an indication is relevant (caused by an imperfection), patterns or disturbances shall be evaluated considering the shape and signal amplitude relative to the noise level.

11.4 Classification of relevant indications

Amplitude, location and pattern of relevant indications may contain information on the type of imperfection.

Relevant indications should be classified as agreed by the parties involved.

11.5 Determination of location and size of indications

The location of an indication in the circumferential and axial directions in the fusion zone shall be determined from the acquired data.

The length of an indication is defined as the dimension along the circumference of the joint and the width of an indication is defined as the dimension along the axis of the pipe.

When an indication starts behind the indication of a heating wire, the measurement of the indication shall include the dimension of the heating wire indication.

When an indication is located underneath consecutive heating wire indications, it shall be assumed that the indication is connected through the masked areas and the measurement of an indication shall include the dimensions of the heating wire indications.

11.6 Assessment of indications

The assessment of indication can be based on signal amplitude, equivalent reflector size, signal pattern, or other features.

The amplitude drop can be used to determine the size of an indication.

The width of an indication in the axial direction should be determined at the scan position of maximum extent.

The maximum amplitude and size of each indication should be evaluated according to the specified acceptance criteria agreed by the parties involved.

12 Test report

The test report shall include all specific test requirements, procedural details and results for a particular test. The test report shall include at least the following information:

- a) reference to this document, i.e. ISO/TS 16943:2023;
- b) information relating to the inspection:
 - 1) test report number,
 - 2) names, signatures and qualifications of personnel,
 - 3) date of test;
- c) information relating to the fusion joint(s) tested:
 - 1) identification of the fusion joint(s) tested,
 - 2) electrofusion socket fitting dimensions (nominal pipe outside diameter, thickness of fitting, fusion zone axial length),
 - 3) electrofusion socket fitting identification or manufacturer's serial number,
 - 4) polyethylene material classification,
 - 5) location of fusion joint(s) tested,
 - 6) condition and temperature of surface;
- d) information relating to equipment:
 - 1) manufacturer and type of phased array instrument and software revision, including scanning mechanism with identification numbers if required,


- 2) manufacturer, type and frequency of phased array probes, including number and size of elements, pitch and gap between elements, material and angle(s) of wedges (if any), with identification numbers if required,
 - 3) details of reference block(s), with identification numbers if required,
 - 4) type of couplant used;
- e) information relating to test technique:
- 1) reference to a written qualified test procedure,
 - 2) purpose and extent of test,
 - 3) details of datum and coordinate systems,
 - 4) method and values used for range and sensitivity settings,
 - 5) details of signal processing and scan increment settings,
 - 6) scan plan,
 - 7) access limitations and deviations from this document, if any;
- f) information relating to phased array settings:
- 1) electronic increment (E-scans) or angular increment (S-scans),
 - 2) focus,
 - 3) virtual aperture size, i.e. number of elements and element width,
 - 4) element numbers used for focal laws;
- g) information relating to test results:
- 1) reference to the phased array raw data file(s),
 - 2) phased array images of at least those locations where relevant indications have been detected on hard copy, all images or data available in soft copy,
 - 3) tabulated data recording the classification, location and size of relevant indications and result of assessment,
 - 4) record of all areas not tested.

Annex A (informative)


Examples of phased array images

This annex provides examples of phased array images.

The phased array image in [Figure A.1](#) shows clear indications from the heating wire coil along the fusion interface.



a) Cross-section of electrofusion socket joint



b) Related PAUT image

Figure A.1 — Electrofusion socket joint without indications of imperfections

In [Figure A.2](#), the white line located underneath the wires indicates an imperfection at the fusion interface.



a) Cross-section of electrofusion socket joint




b) Related PAUT image

Figure A.2 — Indication of imperfection in an electrofusion socket joint

The phased array image in [Figure A.3](#) shows the dislocation of heating wires due to overheating.




a) Cross-section of electrofusion socket joint




b) Related PAUT image

Figure A.3 — Dislocation of heating wires in an electrofusion socket joint

In [Figure A.4](#), if an imperfection is located in the plane of the wires or just above, it is a void.



a) Cross-section of electrofusion socket joint



b) Related PAUT image

Figure A.4 — Void in electrofusion socket joint


[Figure A.5](#) shows a phased array image from soil embedment at the fusion interface.



a) Pipes with soil contamination




b) Cross-section of electrofusion socket joint




c) Related PAUT image

Figure A.5 — Soil in an electrofusion socket joint

[Figure A.6](#) shows a phased array image from pipe under-penetration.



a) Cross-section of electrofusion socket joint



b) Related PAUT image

Figure A.6 — Pipe under-penetration in an electrofusion socket joint

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 16943:2023


Annex B (informative)

Example of a reference block

To set up the test sensitivity, a reference block can be used.

The reference block should be made of the same, or acoustically equivalent, material of the electrofusion socket fitting(s) tested.

Shape and dimensions of a possible reference block are illustrated in [Figure B.1](#).



Key

- 1 7 × \varnothing 3,0 mm SDHs at depths between 10 mm and 40 mm in 5 mm steps
- 2 velocity calibration step
- 3 radius \leq 3 mm

Figure B.1 — Example of a reference block

Holes should be drilled and reamed, and they should be essentially parallel to the test surface.

The diameter of the holes should be similar to the diameter of the heating wire in the electrofusion socket to be tested.

Choose the SDH whose depth position (hole to surface) is closest to the thickness of the electrofusion socket fitting to be tested. Maximize the signal from the SDH and adjust the gain to give 80 % of full screen height.

Where there is a difference in sound attenuation between the reference block and the fusion joint(s) tested, a transfer correction is required to compensate for the difference. The correction is accomplished by noting the difference between signals received from the back surfaces of the reference block and the test object having equal thickness and correcting for this difference.

Where there is a difference in sound velocity between the reference block and the fusion joint(s) tested, the compensation of length measurement error should be corrected by measuring the horizontal and vertical length of two points in the reference block and in the test object.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TS 16943:2023

Annex C (informative)

Example procedures for producing imperfections in electrofusion socket joints [\[17\]](#)

C.1 Planar lack of fusion

- a) Clean the pipe ends using water and a lint-free cloth.
- b) Use another lint-free cloth to dry the pipe ends.
- c) Mark the end of the pipe to the insertion depth of the fitting.
- d) Scrape the surface of the pipe using a suitable mechanical scraping tool (see [Figure C.1](#)).




Figure C.1 — Mechanical scraping of pipe prior to applying artificial imperfections

- e) Place an aluminium disc (25 µm thick) onto the scraped surface of the pipe using static-free tweezers and use a soldering iron to heat-stake the aluminium disc on to the scraped pipe surface (see [Figure C.2](#)), being careful to avoid using too much pressure, which could distort the disc.

NOTE For discs above 4 mm in diameter, ideally the discs are heat-staked in several locations.



Figure C.2 — Heat-staking of the aluminum disc on to the pipe