TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

ISO/TS 13278

Second edition
2017-11

Nanotechnologies — Determination of elemental impurities in samples of carbon nanotubes using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry

Nanotechnologies — Dosage des impuretés dans les nanotubes en carbone (CNTs) par spectroscopie de masse à plasma induit (ICP-MS)

Contents

Page

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 229, *Nanotechnologies.*

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO/TS 13278:2011), which has been technically revised.

Introduction

Metal particle catalysts are essential in the mass production of nanotubes by chemical vapour deposition (CVD).[1][2][3] Removal of these residual catalysts (typically Fe, Co, and/or Ni) after CNT production is one of the key challenges for the application of CNTs in many fields.[4] After complicated purification steps, the concentration of such catalysts is measured. It is of great concern that the results of toxicological and ecological impact studies of carbon nanotubes could be misinterpreted due to the presence of impurities in the test materials[5][6][7] and that the metals could be released into the environment during disposal of the product by means of combustion or other ways. Additionally, the actual desired performance of nanotube materials might depend on these impurities, which is the reason why it is so crucial to use reliable techniques to determine their content in these materials.

Currently available methods for analysis of the purity of CNTs include neutron activation analysis (NAA), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) with electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS), scanning electron microscopy (SEM) with energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX), Raman spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and X-ray fluorescence (XRF) spectrometry[8][9][10][11][12]. A number of these techniques for the characterization of single-wall and/or multiwall carbon nanotubes are the subject of standardization within ISO/TC 229, including SEM (see ISO/TS 10798), TEM (see ISO/TS 10797), and measurement methods for the characterization of multiwall carbon nanotubes (see ISO/TR 10929).

However, each method has its limitations for determination of elemental impurities. ICP-MS is capable of providing highly accurate and precise results, while being widely available in most commercial laboratories. However, using conventional solution sample introduction ICP-MS, the sample has to be completely solubilized. Digestion of some types of samples requires thorough pretreatment schemes. Standard sample preparation procedures are available for routine matrix types, including soils, rocks and biological specimens. In the case of carbon nanotubes, because of their extremely stable structure and possible encapsulation of metals in structural defects, it is necessary that the materials go through special destructive pretreatments before analysis by ICP-MS[12][16][17][18].

The purpose of this document is to provide guidelines for optimized sample pretreatment methods for single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) and multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) to enable accurate and quantitative determinations of elemental impurities using ICP-MS. An example of the determination of elemental impurities in commercially produced carbon nanotubes, using the methods described, is given in Annex A.

Nanotechnologies — Determination of elemental impurities in samples of carbon nanotubes using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry

1 Scope

This document provides methods for the determination of residual elements other than carbon in samples of single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs) and multiwall carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS).

The purpose of this document is to provide optimized digestion and preparation procedures for SWCNT and MWCNT samples in order to enable accurate and quantitative determinations of elemental impurities using ICP-MS.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/TS 80004-3, *Nanotechnologies — Vocabulary — Part 3: Carbon nano-objects*

ISO/TS 80004-6, *Nanotechnologies — Vocabulary — Part 6: Nano-object characterization*

3 Terms, definitions, symbols and abbreviations

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/TS 80004-3, ISO/TS 80004-6 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

3.1.1
ICP-MS
inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry method in which a high-temperature discharge generated in flowing argon by an alternating magnetic field induced by a radio-frequency (RF) load coil that surrounds the tube carrying the gas is detected using a mass spectrometer

Note 1 to entry: ICP-MS permits quantitative determinations of trace, minor and major elements in samples pertaining to almost every field of application of analytical chemistry.

3.1.2
elemental impurity
element other than carbon that is present in a carbon nanotube sample

Note 1 to entry: Such impurities are primarily remnants of metal catalysts used during large-scale production of CNTs.

1

Note 2 to entry: Amorphous carbon can be considered another type of impurity in samples containing SWCNTs and MWCNTs.

3.2 Symbols and abbreviations

CCT	collision cell technology
c_i	sensitivity coefficient for input quantity, x_i, defined as df/dx_i
CNT	carbon nanotube
C_s	expected concentration, in micrograms per litre, of spiked sample solution based on the added spike
CVD	chemical vapour deposition
DRC	dynamic reaction cell
EDX	energy dispersive X-ray analysis
EELS	electron energy loss spectroscopy
ICP-MS	inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry
ICP-AES	inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry
k	coverage factor
I_d	dilution factor of the analysed sample solution, accounting for all sample preparation steps
MWCNT	multiwall carbon nanotube
M_c	measured concentration, in micrograms per litre, of the analysed sample solution
M_s	measured concentration, in micrograms per litre, in the spiked sample solution
NAA	neutron activation analysis
OD	outer diameter
PTFE	polytetrafluoroethylene
SEM	scanning electron microscopy
S_w	weight, in grams, of CNT sample
SWCNT	single-wall carbon nanotube
TEM	transmission electron microscopy
TGA	thermogravimetric analysis
U	expanded uncertainty
$u_c(y)$	combined standard uncertainty of the final result
$u(x_i)$	standard uncertainty associated with input quantity, x_i
V	volume, in litres, of the analysed sample solution

wt %   weight percentage

XPS   X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy

XRF   X-ray fluorescence spectrometry

4   Samples and reagents

4.1   General

CNT samples produced by various processes typically contain impurities consisting of amorphous carbon and other elements. ICP-MS allows the determination of major, minor and trace elements, providing quantitative information important for the characterization of the purity of CNT samples.

4.2   Samples

Samples shall be used that contain either SWCNTs or MWCNTs, or both.

4.3   Reagents

4.3.1   General

All reagents should be prepared and stored in polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) containers precleaned by nitric acid and ultrapure water. Precleaned containers made from polypropylene, quartz, or other materials may also be suitable.

4.3.2   Purity of acids

Ultra high purity acids (e.g. HNO_3, trace metal or equivalent grade) shall be used for sample dissolution and preparation of calibration standards.

4.3.3   Purity of reagents

Guaranteed grade chemicals (99,99 % or higher than 99,99 %) shall be used in all tests (e.g. H_2O_2, guaranteed reagent or equivalent grade). Certified reference materials should be used whenever available.

4.3.4   Purity of water

Ultrapure water having a resistivity of at least 18 MΩ cm at 298 K (25 °C) shall be used in all tests.

4.4   Stock solutions

4.4.1   General

Stock solutions may be obtained directly as multi-element standards from accredited commercial vendors or national metrology institutes as certified reference materials. They may also be prepared from single element standards or suitable starting materials in-house, although this can be difficult due to problems with cross-contamination. The following stock solutions shall be available for calibration of the instrument. The purity of starting materials should be assessed.

4.4.2   ICP-MS calibration standard stock solution No. 1

1 000 mg/l of each element (Ca, Ce, Gd, Ge, Hg, La, Li, Sb, Sm, Ti, W, Yb) in 10 vol% HNO_3 (1,6 mol/l HNO_3) in water.

4.4.3 ICP-MS calibration standard stock solution No. 2

100 mg/l of each element (As, B, Be, Fe, Se, Zn) in 1,6 mol/l HNO_3 in water.

4.4.4 ICP-MS calibration standard stock solution No. 3

10 mg/l of each element (Ag, Al, Ba, Bi, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Ga, K, Li, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Ni, Pb, Rb, Sr, Te, Tl, U, V) in 1,6 mol/l HNO_3 in water. The working standard should be prepared daily.

4.5 Stock spike solutions

4.5.1 General

Multi-element spike standards are available from commercial vendors and national metrology institutes. Alternatively, stock solutions of multi-element spike standards may be prepared in-house giving due consideration to the purity of water and acids. The following stock spike solutions shall be available.

4.5.2 Stock spike solution No. 1

10 mg/l each of As, Ca, Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Se, V, and Zn in 1,6 mol/l HNO_3 in water.

4.5.3 Stock spike solution No. 2

20 mg/l each of Be, Cd, Fe, Ni, Gd, Ge, Sr, V, W, Yb, and Pb in 1,6 mol/l HNO_3 in water.

4.6 Stock internal standard solutions

4.6.1 General

Single element internal standard solutions are available from commercial vendors and national metrology institutes. Alternatively, internal standard stock solutions may be prepared in-house giving due consideration to the purity of water and acids. The following stock internal standard solutions shall be available for calibration of the instrument.

The sample digest should be pre-tested in order to select appropriate internal standards, to ensure that the internal standard elements are not present in the samples.

4.6.2 Internal standard No. 1

1,6 mol/l HNO_3 containing 10 mg/l of Sc in ultrapure water.

4.6.3 Internal standard No. 2

1,6 mol/l HNO_3 containing 10 mg/l of Y in ultrapure water.

4.6.4 Internal standard No. 3

1,6 mol/l HNO_3 containing 10 mg/l of Rh in ultrapure water.

4.6.5 Internal standard No. 4

1,6 mol/l HNO_3 containing 10 mg/l of In in ultrapure water.

4.6.6 Internal standard No. 5

1,6 mol/l HNO_3 containing 10 mg/l of Tb in ultrapure water.

NOTE 1   10 µg/l of each internal standard is the final concentration used in calibration standards and samples.

NOTE 2   An alternative internal standard can be selected if Y is present in the carbon nanotube.

4.7 Stock standard tuning solutions

4.7.1 General

The operating parameters of the instrument shall be optimized daily using standard tune solutions. Single element standard tuning solutions are available from commercial vendors and national metrology institutes. Alternatively, standard tuning solutions may also be prepared in-house, giving due consideration to the purity of water and acids. The following standard tuning solutions should be available for optimization of the instrument.

4.7.2 Standard tuning solution No. 1

1,6 mol/l HNO_3 containing 1 µg/l of Be.

4.7.3 Standard tuning solution No. 2

1,6 mol/l HNO_3 containing 1 µg/l of Co.

4.7.4 Standard tuning solution No. 3

1,6 mol/l HNO_3 containing 1 µg/l of In.

4.7.5 Standard tuning solution No. 4

1,6 mol/l HNO_3 containing 10 µg/l of Bi.

4.7.6 Standard tuning solution No. 5

1,6 mol/l HNO_3 containing 10 µg/l of Ce.

NOTE   One multi-element tuning solution can be used in place of single-element tuning solutions. Such multi-element tuning solutions are commercially available.

5 Apparatus

Use an ICP-MS instrument with a quadrupole or sector field mass spectrometer, or another type of ICP-MS instrument operating with at least 1 u (atomic mass unit) resolution for multi-element determinations. It is recommended that CCT or DRC technology [12][16][17][18][19] be used, if available, to efficiently remove or minimize spectral interferences.

6 Sample pretreatment

6.1 Sample preparation for ICP-MS analysis

Harmonizing sample preparation procedures by using a protocol such as that described in References [12] and [17] contributes to the quality of measurements by improving repeatability, reproducibility and reliability. This in turn ensures that measurement results can be compared with those generated in other laboratories. Given that different laboratories might have different types of sample preparation equipment, it is helpful to provide more than one option for pretreatment of CNTs.

Three different sample pretreatment methods, which can be found in Reference [12] are described here. These include wet digestion under high pressure, a combination of dry ashing with wet digestion, and a microwave-assisted sample preparation for dissolution of elemental impurities in the CNT samples before ICP-MS analysis. These methods have been shown to provide reliable and reproducible measurement results using ICP-MS[12]. They are all equivalent. Among the three procedures described, the appropriate choice for a particular laboratory can be made on the basis of the available equipment or other laboratory-specific factors, as well as a consideration of possible sample effects. If elements of high volatility that are subject to thermal losses, such as Hg, Se, and As, are to be determined, then samples shall be digested using closed microwave-assisted acid digestion systems or sealed PTFE vessels under high pressure.

In each of the following procedures, a selected number of "spiked" samples shall be prepared with each batch of "unspiked" samples. The number of spiked samples shall be at least 10 % of the number of unspiked samples. The purpose of the spiked samples is to allow analyte recovery to be calculated. Spike recovery is described in 8.2.

6.2   Wet digestion under high pressure

a) Select the desired number of PTFE digestion vessels, taking into account the fact that each vessel will be used to prepare one sample, as well as the desired number of spiked samples. Label the vessels that will contain spiked samples with the word "spiked", the other vessels are labelled with the word "unspiked".

b) Weigh 10 mg to 20 mg of the CNT sample into each vessel. An analytical balance with a readability of at least 0,0001 g shall be used to measure sample mass.

   NOTE 1   PTFE vessels typically have a static charge, making it difficult to accurately weigh mg samples directly into the vessel. A transfer device such as a weighing boat is needed. The mass of CNT sample transferred to the PTFE vessel is calculated as the mass difference between the presence and absence of CNT sample in the transfer device.

   NOTE 2   If 10 mg of CNT material might not be sufficient to provide a homogenous and representative sample, the CNT material is homogenized in advance.

c) Add to each vessel 4 ml of a mixture containing three parts by volume concentrated HNO_3 and one part by volume 3 % mass fraction H_2O_2.

d) Pipette 0,1 ml or more of the appropriate stock spike solution(s) into each vessel labelled "spiked".

   NOTE 3   One or both spike solutions are used, depending on the impurities remaining in the samples of carbon nanotubes.

e) Seal the PTFE vessels inside oxygen combustion bombs (one vessel per bomb).

f) Heat the bombs in an oven at 180 °C and at a gauge pressure of 4 MPa for 12 h; then remove the bombs and allow them to cool to room temperature.

g) Open the bombs to see if digestion is complete, as indicated by the absence of any black residue. If it is complete, proceed to step h). If it is incomplete, add a 4 ml to 6 ml aliquot of the same mixture used in step c) to each vessel and repeat steps e) to g) until digestion is complete. Three or four heating cycles are typically necessary.

h) Evaporate each digested solution to incipient dryness (almost dryness). Then add 2 % (volume fraction) HNO_3 to a fixed volume (e.g. 3 ml). Dilute further using 2 % (volume fraction) HNO_3 if necessary for ICP-MS analysis. Since evaporation necessitates an open vessel, care should be taken to minimize the potential for contamination, e.g. using HEPA-filtered environment.

6.3 Combined dry ashing and acid digestion

a) Select the desired number of quartz crucibles, taking into account the fact that each crucible will be used to prepare one sample, as well as the desired number of spiked samples. Label the crucibles that will contain spiked samples with the word "spiked".

b) Weigh between 25 mg and 50 mg of the CNT sample into each quartz crucible.

c) Pipette 0,1 ml of the appropriate stock spike solution(s) into each vessel labelled "spiked".

NOTE 1   One or both spike solutions are used, depending on the impurities remaining in the samples of carbon nanotubes.

d) Place the crucibles in a muffle furnace for more than 5 h at a temperature that is appropriate for the composition of the samples being ashed.

NOTE 2   The combustion temperature of amorphous carbon is 350 °C. SWCNTs decompose at a temperature of about 500 °C or higher, while MWCNTs decompose at a temperature between 600 °C and 700 °C, under the above mentioned conditions. When the composition of an unknown sample is not well-characterized, a temperature of 750 °C is used.

NOTE 3   When spiked samples are to be ashed, care is taken to ramp the temperature to slowly evaporate the solvent; otherwise the spike can sputter, resulting in analyte loss.

e) Remove the crucibles from the muffle furnace to a desiccator and allow them to cool to room temperature. Then completely transfer the resultant ashes into PTFE vessels using 3 ml to 4 ml hot (50 °C) concentrated HNO_3.

f) Seal the PTFE vessels inside oxygen combustion bombs (one vessel per bomb).

g) Heat the bombs in an oven at 180 °C and at a gauge pressure of 4 MPa for 4 h; then remove the bombs and allow them to cool to room temperature.

h) Open the bombs to see if digestion is complete, as indicated by the absence of any black residue. If it is complete, proceed to step i). If it is incomplete, add 3 ml to 4 ml hot (50 °C) concentrated HNO_3 to each vessel and repeat steps f) to h) until digestion is complete.

i) Evaporate each digested solution to incipient dryness (almost dryness). Then add 2 % (volume fraction) HNO_3 to a fixed volume (e.g. 3 ml). Dilute further using 2 % (volume fraction) HNO_3 if necessary for ICP-MS analysis.

NOTE 4   The combination of dry ashing with high pressure wet digestion consumes lower quantities of acid reagents and requires a shorter digestion time than the direct high pressure wet digestion method (see 6.2).

6.4 Microwave-assisted digestion

a) Select the desired number of PTFE digestion vessels for the available microwave sample preparation system, taking into account the fact that each vessel will be used to prepare one sample and the desired number of spiked samples. Label the vessels that will contain spiked samples with the word "spiked".

b) Weigh 10 mg of the CNT sample into each vessel.

c) Add to each vessel an aliquot of concentrated HNO_3, 5 ml for SWCNT samples or 10 ml for MWCNT samples.

NOTE 1   The amount of acid used is significantly larger than the amount typically used for microwave digestion of other sample types, such as biological and environmental samples.

d) Pipette 0,1 ml of the appropriate stock spike solution(s) into each vessel labelled "spiked".

NOTE 2   One spike solution or both spike solutions are used, depending on the impurities remaining in the samples of carbon nanotubes.

e) Seal the digestion vessels and perform microwave digestion using the following parameters:

— microwave power = 800 W;

— maximum digestion temperature = 200 °C;

— time at maximum temperature = 30 min for SWCNTs or 60 min for MWCNTs.

A higher wattage can be used depending on the number of vessels. After the microwave program has ended, remove the digestion vessels and allow them to cool to room temperature.

f) Open the vessels to see if digestion is complete, as indicated by the absence of any black residue. If it is complete, proceed to step g). If it is incomplete, add 4 ml to 6 ml concentrated HNO_3 to each vessel and repeat steps e) and f) until digestion is complete. Two or three heating cycles are typically necessary.

g) Evaporate each digested solution to incipient dryness (almost dryness). Then add 2 % (volume fraction) HNO_3 to a fixed volume (e.g. 3 ml). Dilute further using 2 % (volume fraction) HNO_3 if necessary for ICP-MS analysis.

NOTE 3   There are now potentially more efficient systems available for dissolution/decomposition of carbon nanotubes using oxygen assisted microwave combustion[12][18], but these are beyond the scope of this document.

7 Experimental procedures

7.1 Instrumental settings for ICP-MS

Submit the ICP-MS instrument to a Performance Qualification process. Do an analytical calibration of the ICP-MS by generating calibration functions using external calibration standard solutions. An alternative calibration scheme such as standard addition or internal standard may be undertaken to analytically calibrate the ICP-MS.

If generation of calibration functions using external calibration standard solutions is selected as the calibration method, then the concentrations of elements of interest shall be determined following calibration of the ICP-MS instrument using the calibration standards referred to in Clause 4.

The ICP-MS instrument shall be set up according to the instrument manufacturer's instructions. To ensure optimum instrument performance, it is recommended that the following instrument parameters be optimized before analysis:

— plasma flow rate;

— auxiliary argon flow rate;

— nebulizer argon gas flow rate;

— forward RF power;

— dwell time.

NOTE   Optimization of instrument parameters varies between manufactured instruments.

7.2 Interferences in ICP-MS

The ICP-MS instrument measures intensity at each atomic mass unit (u or Daltons; more accurately, the ratio of the mass of the ion to its charge is displayed, and labelled m/z) in the mass region 3 to 250 u. Spectral interferences can arise from the argon that supports the plasma, the acid used, as well as the sample matrix. A polyatomic or isobaric interference occurs when a given species has a similar m/z to that of the analyte and the resolution of the spectrometer is insufficient to resolve the two peaks, e.g. $^{58}Ni^+$ on $^{58}Fe^+$, $^{40}Ar^+$ on $^{40}Ca^+$, $^{40}Ar^{16}O^+$ on $^{56}Fe^+$, $^{40}Ar^{12}C^+$ on $^{52}Cr^+$, or $^{40}Ar_2^+$ on $^{80}Se^+$. The resolution of a quadrupole ICP-MS instrument is generally around 0,8 u.

HCl, $HClO_4$, H_3PO_4 and H_2SO_4 can cause considerable spectral problems. Polyatomic interferences are caused by Cl^+, P^+, and S^+ ions in conjunction with other plasma/matrix ions, such as Ar^+, O^+, and H^+. Examples of such isobaric interferences include $^{35}Cl^{40}Ar^+$ on $^{75}As^+$, and $^{35}Cl^{16}O^+$ on $^{51}V^+$. For this reason, the avoidance of HCl, $HClO_4$, H_3PO_4 and H_2SO_4 in ICP-MS is recommended, whenever possible. When available, the CCT or DRC method may be employed for the elimination of argon-based polyatomic interferences for the detection of $^{54}Fe^+$, $^{60}Ni^+$, $^{63}Cu^+$, and $^{52}Cr^+$, while matrix desolvation systems may be employed to reduce oxide species. Sector field instruments may be used to resolve isobaric interferences by means of higher spectral resolution. The polyatomic interference of ArC^+ is a major problem for Cr^+ detection.

7.3 Isotope selection

Of the 70 elements that can be scanned using ICP-MS, only a few are usually found in measurable concentrations in CNT samples. Based on potential interferences and isotopic abundances, the following isotopes should be analysed for ICP-MS analysis: $^{53}Cr^+$, $^{55}Mn^+$, $^{54}Fe^+$, $^{57}Fe^+$, $^{59}Co^+$, $^{60}Ni^+$, $^{63}Cu^+$, $^{65}Cu^+$, $^{66}Zn^+$, $^{68}Zn^+$, $^{95}Mo^+$, $^{172}Yb^+$, $^{182}W^+$, and $^{184}W^+$. Isotopes for analytes not contained in this list, as well as alternative isotopes for analytes that are contained in this list, may be selected by the analyst, based on laboratory-specific, instrument-specific, and/or sample-specific factors.

7.4 Standard calibration curve

Calibration standards having concentrations that span the range of 1 µg/l to 100 µg/l shall be used to provide appropriate calibration curves. Working standards shall be prepared daily by dilution from the stock solutions described in 4.4. Use at least four calibration standards.

Plot the calibration curve as a function of relative response versus concentration; determine the slope, intercept and correlation coefficient of the calibration curve by the linear regression method. The correlation coefficient shall be ≥ 0,95; if not, the instrument shall be re-calibrated. The concentration of a particular sample can be further confirmed through analysis of certified reference materials. The ICP-MS response can be matrix dependent. Therefore, the standards and samples should be matrix-matched, meaning primarily that the acidic compositions of the standards and samples should be approximately the same.

7.5 Method recovery evaluation using standard addition

Standard addition can be used to evaluate method recovery. Blank solutions are measured along with the spiked and unspiked solutions prepared for analysis.

a) Measure the intensity of a blank solution.

b) Measure the intensity of the unspiked sample solution.

c) Measure the intensity of the sample solution which is spiked with known concentrations of each element.

d) Calculate the recovery for each element for which a spike has been added (see 8.2).

e) The recovery is usually acceptable between 90 % and 110 %.

NOTE 1   The sensitivity of measurement of the blank could be different from that of the spiked solution for many elements and care is required to ensure an accurate blank correction (i.e. not as a raw intensity correction).

NOTE 2   Standard addition can also be used as a means of calibrating an ICP-MS analysis, but is not covered in this document.

7.6 The use of internal standards in ICP-MS

a) Add the internal standard quantitatively to the digested samples (see 4.6).

b) Use five stock solutions, Internal Standard No. 1, Internal Standard No. 2, Internal Standard No. 3, Internal Standard No. 4 and Internal Standard No. 5 for analytes in the atomic mass ranges of < 61 u (No. 1), 63 u to 88 u (No.2), 95 u to 137 u (No.3 and No.4), and 205 u to 238 u (No.5), respectively, and throughout the experiment.

c) Simultaneous measurement of the analyte and internal standard isotopes is the most effective means to compensate for temporal variation of the signal.

NOTE   More commonly used quadrupole and single detector sector field ICP-MS instruments are sequential, not simultaneous. Time of flight ICP-MS instruments have a higher temporal resolution than either quadrupole or sector field instruments. Simultaneous measurement of different m/z is only possible on a multicollector ICP-MS, but few laboratories are equipped with this instrument.

8 Data analysis and interpretation of results

8.1 Calculation of elemental impurity mass fraction in test sample

The decision whether to use peak height or peak area for signal quantitation should be made by the analyst, though measurement of peak area is recommended.

Calculate the mass fraction of an elemental impurity in the CNT sample (mg/kg) using Formula (1):

$$X_i = \frac{M_c \times V \times I_d}{S_w}$$

(1)

where

X_i is the mass fraction of an elemental impurity in the CNT sample;

M_c is the concentration obtained from the calibration curve, in micrograms per litre, of the analysed sample solution;

V is the final volume, in litres, of the analysed sample solution;

I_d is the dilution factor of the analysed sample solution, accounting for all sample preparation steps;

S_w is the weight, in grams, of the CNT sample.

8.2 Calculation of the spike (method) recovery

The spike recovery in the sample is used to determine whether a result is quantitative and accurate. Spike recoveries from 90 % to 110 % are considered acceptable. Calculate the spike recovery, $R\%$, using Formula (2):

$$R\% = \frac{M_s - M_c}{C_s} \times 100$$

(2)

where

$R\%$ is the spike recovery in the sample;

M_s is the average measured concentration in the spiked sample solutions, in micrograms per litre, after blank correction;

M_c is the average measured concentration of the (unspiked) sample solutions, in micrograms per litre, after blank correction;

C_s is the expected concentration of spiked solution based on the added spike, in micrograms per litre.

9 Uncertainty estimation

In accordance with international requirements, the uncertainty associated with measurement results shall also be estimated and reported. It is recommended that this be done following the principles of the ISO *Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement* (GUM) (see also Reference [10]). Components of uncertainty shall be included for all significant sources of uncertainty in the measurement process. Important sources of uncertainty in the case of ICP-MS analysis include, for example, sample preparation steps such as weighing, digestion (particularly important in the case of refractory samples such as CNTs), the assigned values of the calibration standards, instrument calibration, measurement precision, and method bias. Once the individual standard uncertainties for the significant sources of uncertainty have been determined, these shall be combined and converted to an expanded uncertainty at a specified level of confidence.

An elemental mass fraction value, y, determined using ICP-MS is a function of N input quantities, x_i, where $i = 1, 2, ..., N$ [i.e. $y = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_N)$]. Using the GUM approach, the combined standard uncertainty associated with the determined mass fraction value, $u_c(y)$, is found by combining the individual standard uncertainties according to the law of propagation of uncertainty[20]:

$$u_c(y) = \sqrt{\sum_i \left[c_i \times u(x_i) \right]^2} \tag{3}$$

where

$u_c(y)$ is the combined standard uncertainty associated with the determined mass fraction value;

c_i is the sensitivity coefficient for input quantity, x_i, defined as df/dx_i;

$u(x_i)$ is the standard uncertainty associated with input quantity, x_i.

Formula (3) ignores the covariance terms in the law of propagation of uncertainty. This simplification usually has negligible consequences in terms of the estimated value of $u_c(y)$.

The final expanded uncertainty, U, is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty, $u_c(y)$, by a coverage factor, k.

$$U = k \times u_c(y) \tag{4}$$

where

U is the expanded uncertainty;

k is the coverage factor;

$u_c(y)$ is the combined standard uncertainty of the final result.

The value of k can be calculated for a specific level of confidence based on the effective degrees of freedom associated with $u_c(y)$. The effective degrees of freedom can be estimated using the Welch-Satterthwaite formula or other methods. However, it is often acceptable to assume that $k = 2$, because the number of degrees of freedom is often larger than 10, which results in a level of confidence of approximately 95 % for a value $k = 2$.

10 Test report

The test report shall include the following:

a) identification of tested CNT samples, including the manufacturer's code, catalogue or formulation number, batch number or date of manufacture, trade-name, etc.;

b) identification of all equipment and instrumentation used, e.g. manufacturer's model or catalogue number, serial number or date of manufacture, brand-name, etc.;

c) a brief description of sample pretreatment procedures, including the type of acid, digestion method, temperature, and other conditions for wet digestion, etc.;

d) a brief description of experimental ICP-MS procedures;

e) all results of the measurements and their uncertainty estimates;

f) all supporting information developed during preparation and throughout tests;

g) the use of the appropriate units of the International System of Units (SI).

Annex A
(informative)

Example of determination of elemental impurities in carbon nanotubes

A.1 SWCNT and MWCNT samples

The main techniques for the production of CNTs include electric arc discharge, laser evaporation, and catalytic decomposition of hydrocarbons. These techniques have been successfully developed to synthesize CNTs. The catalyst employed in synthesizing CNTs can be a single element from Group V, VI, VII or VIII, a lanthanide, or a transition metal or combinations thereof. Table A.1 gives selected parameters of SWCNTs and MWCNTs supplied by several vendors. Figure A.1 shows TEM images of SWCNTs and MWCNTs from several vendors. The dark regions identified by arrows indicate metal impurities.

Table A.1 — Parameters of SWCNTs and MWCNTs supplied by different vendors

Sample	Outer diame-ter	Length	Purity	Ash	Specific surface area	Electrical conductivity	Thermal conductivity	Amorphous carbon
	nm	μm	wt %	wt %	m²/g	S/cm	W/m K	wt %
1-SWCNT	1 to 2	5 to 30	> 90	< 1,5	> 407	> 10²	–	–
2-SWCNT	< 2	< 20	> 90	< 3	> 450	–	~4 000	< 5
3-SWCNT	1 to 2	< 10	> 90	< 3	> 400	–	–	< 5
4-MWCNT	20 to 30	10 to 30	> 95	< 1,5	> 110	> 10²	–	–
5-MWCNT	10 to 20	0,5 to 2	> 95	< 1,5	> 350	> 10²	–	–
6-MWCNT	10 to 20	~50	> 95	< 1,5	> 180	> 10²	–	–
7-MWCNT	10 to 30	5 to 15 or 1 to 2	> 95	< 0,2	40 to 300	–	~2 000	< 3
8-MWCNT	10 to 30	5 to 15 or 1 to 2	> 95	< 0,2	40 to 300	–	~2 000	< 3
9-MWCNT	10 to 20	5 to 15 or 1 to 2	> 95	< 0,2	40 to 300	–	~2 000	< 3
10-MWCNT	20 to 40	5 to 15 or 1 to 2	> 95	< 0,2	40 to 300	–	~2 000	< 3
11-MWCNT	40 to 60	5 to 15 or 1 to 2	> 95	< 0,2	40 to 300	–	~2 000	< 3
12-MWCNT	60 to 100	5 to 15 or 1 to 2	> 95	< 0,2	40 to 300	–	–	–
13-SWCNT	1,3	0,5 to 3	50 to 70	–	–	–	~2 000	< 3
14-SWCNT	1,3	0,5 to 3	> 90	–	–	–	–	–

| a) 3-SWCNT | b) 7-MWCNT | c) 8-MWCNT |

NOTE   Dark regions (highlighted by arrows) indicate metal impurities[16].

Figure A.1 — TEM images of as-purified SWCNTs and MWCNTs from various vendors

The as-purified CNTs received further purification by vendors.

A.2 Equipment

ICP-MS with a hexapole collision cell (Thermo Elemental X7)[1] was used. The plasma and auxiliary argon flow rates were 13 and 0,75 l/min, respectively. The nebulizer argon gas flow rate was 0,75 l/min for the glass concentric nebulizer. The forward rf power was 1 200 W. The dwell time was 200 ms.

A.3 Detailed description of sample pretreatment procedures

Before ICP-MS analysis, CNTs were digested completely in accordance with the method of wet digestion under high pressure given in 6.2. This method involves placing the PTFE vessel containing 10 to 20 mg samples and a 4 ml mixture of three parts HNO_3 to one part H_2O_2 into an oxygen combustion bomb. The latter was then heated at 180 °C and at a gauge pressure of 4 MPa for 12 h in an oven. If digestion was incomplete, more of the acid mixture was added and the digestion procedure was repeated. When digestion was over, the remaining acid was driven off and the final solution was adjusted to a fixed volume using 2 % nitric acid and then diluted, centrifuged, and analysed by ICP-MS[12].

A.4 ICP-MS analysis

The final digestion solutions were first screened for the presence of elements using ICP-MS with a hexapole collision cell. Isotopes such as ^{53}Cr, ^{55}Mn, ^{54}Fe, ^{57}Fe, ^{59}Co, ^{60}Ni, ^{63}Cu, ^{65}Cu, ^{66}Zn, ^{68}Zn, and ^{95}Mo were monitored. The collision cell technique (CCT) was employed for the elimination of the polyatomic interferences of ^{40}Ar, ArO^+, Na_2O^+, $NaAr^+$, and others in the detection of ^{54}Fe, ^{59}Ni, ^{63}Cu, ^{52}Cr, and so on. Optimization was carried out daily with a normal tuning solution (1 ng/ml, Be, Co, In, U). Raw data were collected using a personal computer. The peak areas of elemental signals of ICP-MS were used for quantitative analysis online [12].

Two spiking experiments were performed to determine the accuracy of the ICP-MS method. In the first, mixed elemental standard solutions of known concentrations were added to the final digested solutions of CNT samples after pretreatment in order to evaluate the accuracy of the ICP-MS measuring system. For the second, mixed elemental standard solutions of known concentrations were added to the original

---

1)   Thermo Elemental X7 is the trade name of a product supplied by Thermo Electron Co. This information is given for the convenience of users of this document and does not constitute an endorsement by ISO of the product named. Equivalent products may be used if they can be shown to lead to the same results.

CNT samples prior to acid pretreatment, through which the accuracy of the whole procedure was evaluated. Several single elemental standard stock solutions were mixed to prepare mixed standard solutions. Then, 100 µl of mixed elemental standard solutions of known concentrations were added to 10 mg of original CNT samples prior to acid pretreatment; the ratio of addition for each element was 1:1 in the final solution for ICP-MS. The spiked and unspiked CNT samples were subjected to the same pretreatment procedure as for wet digestion at high pressure and were finally determined by ICP-MS[12].

A.5   NAA analysis

20 mg samples were enclosed in polyethylene capsules. The samples were irradiated for 8 h at a thermal neutron flux of $5,88 \times 10^{13}$ n cm^{-2} s^{-1} using a heavy water nuclear reactor of the Chinese Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing. The gamma spectra were acquired using a spectrometer equipped with an HPGe detector. Its energy resolution was 1,96 keV for the 1 332 keV peak of ^{60}Co and its relative detection efficiency was 25 % and the peak-to-Compton ratio was 48:1. Some well-prepared mixed chemical solutions were used as the comparators, which contained the elements of interest in the known concentrations[12][16].

A.6   Example results of ICP-MS analysis

Figures A.2 and A.3 summarize the mass percentages of impurity elements determined by ICP-MS in SWCNT and MWCNT samples, respectively, obtained from various suppliers[16]. The results show that these commercial CNTs contain significant quantities of residual metals despite the use of post-processing by the manufacturers to reduce metallic and amorphous carbon contents.


Key

X   sample number

Y   content of metal impurities (wt %)

Figure A.2 — Total mass percentages of metal impurities in five SWCNT samples provided by various manufacturers

The data for each sample represent the mean and standard deviation of three independent determinations [16].

Key

X   sample number

Y   content of metal impurities (wt %)

**Figure A.3 — Total mass percentage of metal impurities in MWCNT samples
provided by various manufacturers**

The data for each sample represent the mean and standard deviation of three independent determinations [16].

Figure A.4 shows the total mass percentages of metal impurities determined using ICP-MS in MWCNT samples before and after further purification using a mixed acid reflux procedure[16]. The outer diameters of the MWCNTs were different for each of the three samples. The samples as received from the vendors were refluxed in a concentrated mixture of sulfuric and nitric acids, followed by washing with distilled water. Figure A.4 shows that the residual metal impurities contained in the CNTs could not be removed completely, even after employing this rigorous purification step.