
**Cardiovascular implants and
extracorporeal systems — Vascular
device-drug combination products —**

**Part 2:
Local regulatory information**

*Implants cardiovasculaires et circuits extra-corporels — Produits de
combinaison médicament-dispositif vasculaire —*

Partie 2: Directives réglementaires locales

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TR 12417-2:2017



STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TR 12417-2:2017



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2017, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Contents

	Page
Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Information on device- and drug-related aspects — Applicable documents for local guidance	4
4.1 Australia.....	4
4.1.1 Australia: managing changes.....	4
4.1.2 Australia: clinical evaluation requirements.....	5
4.1.3 Australia: audit requirements.....	5
4.2 Brazil.....	5
4.2.1 Brazil: managing changes.....	5
4.2.2 Brazil: clinical evaluation requirements.....	6
4.2.3 Brazil: audit requirements.....	6
4.3 Canada.....	6
4.3.1 Canada: managing changes.....	6
4.3.2 Canada: clinical evaluation requirements.....	6
4.3.3 Canada: audit requirements.....	6
4.4 European Union (EU).....	6
4.4.1 EU: managing changes.....	6
4.4.2 EU: clinical evaluation requirements.....	7
4.4.3 EU: audit requirements.....	7
4.5 India.....	7
4.5.1 India: managing changes.....	7
4.5.2 India: clinical evaluation requirements.....	8
4.5.3 India: audit requirements.....	8
4.6 Japan.....	8
4.6.1 Japan: managing changes.....	8
4.6.2 Japan: clinical evaluation requirements.....	8
4.6.3 Japan: audit requirements.....	8
4.7 People's Republic of China (PRC).....	8
4.7.1 PRC: managing changes.....	8
4.7.2 PRC: clinical evaluation requirements.....	9
4.7.3 PRC: audit requirements.....	10
4.8 Russia.....	10
4.8.1 Russia: managing changes.....	10
4.8.2 Russia: clinical evaluation requirements.....	10
4.8.3 Russia: audit requirements.....	10
4.9 United States of America (USA).....	10
4.9.1 USA: managing changes.....	10
4.9.2 USA: clinical evaluation requirements.....	11
4.9.3 USA: audit requirements.....	12
5 Managing changes that can impact the DCP	12
5.1 Introduction.....	12
5.2 Change evaluation.....	12
5.2.1 Identify changes.....	12
5.2.2 Risk evaluation.....	13
5.2.3 Guidance for change evaluation.....	14
5.2.4 Pre-market (during submission).....	14
5.3 Interactions with region-specific regulatory authorities – post commercialization.....	14
Bibliography	23

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 150, *Implants for surgery*, Subcommittee SC 2, *Cardiovascular implants and extracorporeal systems*.

A list of all parts in the ISO 12417- series can be found on the ISO website.

Introduction

This document was prepared in order to provide local regulatory information for vascular device-drug combination products (VDDCPs).

VDDCPs are medical devices with various clinical indications for use in the human vascular blood system. A VDDCP incorporates, as an integral part, substance(s) which, if in final formulation separately, can be considered to be a medicinal product (drug product) but the action of the medicinal substance is ancillary to that of the device and supports the primary mode of action of the device.

Only regulatory issues related to drug(s) combined with the vascular device based on the ancillary function of the VDDCP are covered by this Technical Report.

Although this document attempts to represent the state-of-the-art regarding regulatory requirements for pre/post-approval changes, these requirements are evolving and as such, it is strongly suggested that the applicant consult with the regulatory authority under which whose jurisdiction the VDDCP falls. This is most easily done by accessing the local authorities' current webpage.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

NOTE For issues related to the primary mode of action of the vascular device, the reader might find it useful to consider a number of other International Standards (see Bibliography).

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TR 12417-2:2017

Cardiovascular implants and extracorporeal systems — Vascular device-drug combination products —

Part 2: Local regulatory information

1 Scope

This document provides region-specific information for

- local submissions and approvals for vascular device-drug combination products (VDDCPs) in countries and regions around the world;
- changes related to the drug containing part and how they are evaluated by the different local regions.

For implanted products, this document is considered as a supplement to ISO 14630, which specifies general requirements for the performance of non-active surgical implants.

This document is considered also as a supplement to ISO 12417-1, and any relevant device-specific standards, such as the ISO 25539 series specifying requirements for endovascular devices. Requirements listed in this document also address VDDCPs that are not necessarily permanent implants.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 12417-1, *Cardiovascular implants and extracorporeal systems — Vascular device-drug combination products — Part 1: General requirements*

ISO 14630, *Non-active surgical implants — General requirements*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in in ISO 12417-1, ISO 14630 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

NOTE Potential clinical events are defined in Annex A of ISO 12417-1.

3.1 active pharmaceutical ingredient API drug substance

pharmacologically active (drug or medicinal) substance used as a raw material, which is coated on, bound to or incorporated into the device to achieve an ancillary device function, such as minimizing vascular restenosis

3.2

batch

quantity of VDDCP at the final stage or pre-final stage of manufacture which is manufactured according to a single manufacturing order and has undergone the same manufacturing cycle, using the same components (e.g. same coating solution, same device size), and meets the same specifications

Note 1 to entry: Validation testing can be conducted to demonstrate that manufacturing variables do not impact specifications such as drug content or drug release, and thereby permit such manufacturing variables within a batch.

3.3

change

alteration to an activity to improve or maintain the composition or performance of a VDDCP

Note 1 to entry: This include small alterations to a VDDCP, a manufacturing process, or a test procedure, even if it is not necessarily captured by a Corrective Action/Preventative Action (CAPA) system, and may require reporting to local regional authorities

3.4

clinical event

complication, failure or device-related observation that might be observed with clinical use of a VDDCP

Note 1 to entry: Such events might not have clinical significance and might not be attributable to the VDDCP.

3.5

critical component

component whose specifications, if not met, could result in unacceptable risk to the patient, clinician or others, or could have a significant impact on performance

3.6

device part of the VDDCP

DP

part of the VDDCP intended to treat vascular disease by temporary or long-term intervention or implantation that does not achieve its principal intended action in or on the human body by pharmacological, immunological or metabolic means, but might be assisted in its function by such means

3.7

drug product

medicinal product

active pharmaceutical ingredient, in its final formulation for administration to the patient (e.g. tablet, solution, spray), that is intended to prevent, diagnose, or treat disease and that achieves its principle intended action in or on the human body by pharmacological, immunological, or metabolic means

3.8

drug-containing part of the VDDCP

DCP

part of the VDDCP that consists of the active pharmaceutical ingredient or matrix and associated device interfaces intended to assist in the primary mode of action of the device and/or diminish or ameliorate an unintended effect that placement of the device part might stimulate

Note 1 to entry: Some VDDCPs have medicinal substance(s) bound with the primary intent to optimize the surface properties of the device.

3.9

DCP interface

common boundary or interconnection between the various components of the device part(s) and the drug-containing part(s) of a VDDCP

EXAMPLE The interface between the matrix containing the active pharmaceutical ingredient and packaging materials with direct DCP contact, the device surface(s), the interface between the matrix and the active pharmaceutical ingredient.

3.10**drug content**

total labelled amount of active pharmaceutical ingredient in a VDDCP

Note 1 to entry: Drug content could be expressed as µg/DCP of a certain size.

3.11**drug delivery**

local interaction between the VDDCP drug and the *in vivo* environment, whether the drug is released from, eluted from or remains bound to the VDDCP

3.12**drug release profile**

in vitro characterization of the active pharmaceutical ingredient released from the DCP of a VDDCP over time

Note 1 to entry: For example, the drug release might be characterized by a drug elution test, and could include a curve shape (or profile), a drug release rate, or both.

3.13**efficacy**

ability of the VDDCP to achieve the planned and desired physiological result

3.14**evaluate**

appraise or analyse qualitatively

3.15**excipient**

additional material, other than the API, that are intentional components of the drug-containing part of a VDDCP

EXAMPLE Filler, extender, diluent, wetting agent, solvent, colorant, stabilizer, antioxidant, preservative, pH maintainer, polymers, adhesives.

3.16**functionality**

ability of the VDDCP to perform physically, chemically and/or mechanically, as designed

Note 1 to entry: Functionality does not include the physiological response to the VDDCP (i.e. efficacy).

3.17**matrix**

organic or inorganic material, other than living cells, intentionally applied by a manufacturer to a vascular device and designed for the purpose of drug storage, local drug activity at the surface and/or enabling, retarding, delaying or modifying drug release

Note 1 to entry: The matrix can be permanent or temporary (dissolvable, absorbable or degradable); include surface treatments such as primers; be a coating with or without an active pharmaceutical ingredient, or consist of multiple excipients and/or multiple active pharmaceutical ingredients.

3.18**mode of action**

means by which a product achieves an intended therapeutic effect or action

3.19**pharmacokinetics**

absorption, distribution, metabolism and elimination of a drug *in vivo*

3.20

primary mode of action

single mode of action of a combination product that provides the most important therapeutic action of the combination product. The most important therapeutic action is the mode of action expected to make the greatest contribution to the overall intended therapeutic effects of the combination product

Note 1 to entry: Additional guidance on the drug-related aspects of the drug-containing part of the VDDCP can be found in International Conference on Harmonization Guideline IC H Q1A.

3.21

uniformity of drug content

comparison of the uniformity of the drug content between individual VDDCPs within each batch as compared to the labelled claim

3.22

vascular device-drug combination product

VDDCP

vascular medical device (primary mode of action) that incorporates one or more active pharmaceutical ingredients as an integral part (ancillary mode of action)

Note 1 to entry: The VDDCP can be permanently deployed (i.e. it can be an implant like a drug-eluting stent) or temporarily deployed (i.e. it can be a drug-eluting balloon, for instance).

3.23

VDDCP specification

required list of test procedures and appropriate acceptance criteria which are numerical limits, ranges or other criteria for the tests described

Note 1 to entry: A specification is a critical quality standard. It establishes the set of criteria to which a VDDCP has to conform.

Note 2 to entry: Additional guidance on the drug-related aspects of the drug-containing part of the VDDCP can be found in International Conference on Harmonization Guideline IC H Q6A.

4 Information on device- and drug-related aspects — Applicable documents for local guidance

4.1 Australia

The following region-specific information identifies the regional regulatory authorities responsible for VDDCPs, and provides general clinical evaluation and audit requirements for VDDCPs.

NOTE 1 Region-specific requirements might deviate from harmonized International Standards.

NOTE 2 As of the publication of this document, the following information is believed to be accurate and can change over time. Always seek current guidance directly from the regulatory authorities in the region of interest for up to date requirements

VDDCPs must be approved by the Department of Health through the Therapeutic Goods Administration (TGA).

NOTE For more information, see Therapeutics Goods Administration website and for Australian regulatory guidelines for medical devices, (ARGMD) Part 2–Pre-market Section 14. Medical devices incorporating a medicine.

4.1.1 Australia: managing changes

See website of the local authority above for the responsibilities of deciding whether a submission or change notification is required.

It is the responsibility of the manufacturer to decide if a submission or change notification is required. This information is then communicated to the TGA by the Australian sponsor.

See also Table B.1 for managing changes that can impact the DCP.

4.1.2 Australia: clinical evaluation requirements

VDDCPs will require a clinical study (but it need not be a local study). If the study is conducted in Australia, an exemption must be granted by TGA prior to initiation of the study which allows products not included on the Australian Register of Therapeutic Goods to be supplied as part of the clinical trial.

NOTE The TGA has two pathways in Australia for clinical trials – Clinical Trial Notification (CTN) which involves a notification to the TGA and Clinical Trial Exemption (CTX) which requires a formal approval from the TGA. The CTX is generally for studies where the experimental device introduces a new technology, a new material or a new concept or for trials that are considered high risk.

4.1.3 Australia: audit requirements

An appropriate quality system audit can be required prior to market approval.

NOTE For more information, see the Australian regulatory guidances for medical devices (ARGMD) on the Therapeutics Goods Administration website.

4.2 Brazil

4.2.1 Brazil: managing changes

VDDCPs must be approved by the National Health Surveillance Agency (ANVISA). In Brazil, medical devices are regulated by

- 1) the national law "Lei 6360/1976" which regulates drugs, medical devices, cosmetics and other sanitary products;
- 2) the decree "Decreto 79094/1977" which regulates the law "Lei 6360/1976" and the ANVISA Board Collegiate Resolutions;
 - RDC 185/2001 for the Registration, post-market changes, revalidation and cancellation of registration of medical devices in the Brazilian Health Surveillance Agency;
 - RDC 14/2011 for Establishing the technical regulations with requirements for grouping of medical device.

NOTE For more information, see the ANVISA website

More guidance for non-active medical device registration will be given in:

"Agência Brasileira de Desenvolvimento Industrial. Manual de registro e cadastramento de materiais de uso em saúde / ABDI. Brasília: ABDI, 2011. 306 p."

ANVISA expects APIs must be in compliance with the Brazilian Pharmacopoeia (or other specified compendia).

The pharmaceutical products, medicines and other products subject to sanitary surveillance are expected to meet the standards and specifications established in the Brazilian Pharmacopoeia (see ANVISA website)

In the absence of an official Brazilian monograph, use of a foreign official monograph is allowed. This is regulated by the "Resolution of the Directory Collegiate (RDC) N° 49, November 23th, 2010"

See website of the local authority above for the responsibilities of deciding whether a submission or change notification is required.

See also Table B.1 for managing changes that can impact the DCP.

4.2.2 Brazil: clinical evaluation requirements

VDDCPs will require a clinical study (but it need not be a local study) according RDC 56/2001. If the study is conducted in Brazil, the clinical study protocol needs to be approved, prior to initiation of the study, by ANVISA according RDC 39/2008. The final report for the study primary end point(s) is to be completed prior to submission to ANVISA.

4.2.3 Brazil: audit requirements

A manufacturing audit is required prior to market approval. The manufacturing site must be certified under RDC 59/2000 (Brazil quality system requirement) prior to submitting the product to ANVISA for registration. An audit can be required prior to market approval if the product is not within the current scope of the corresponding quality assurance system approval certificate.

The RDC 59/2000 certificate must be presented together with the submission dossier.

4.3 Canada

4.3.1 Canada: managing changes

VDDCPs must be approved by Health Canada.

NOTE For more information, see Health Canada website <http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca>

See website of the local authority above for the responsibilities of deciding whether a submission or change notification is required.

It is the responsibility of the Health Canada to decide if a submission or change notification is required, based on information provided by the manufacturer.

See also Table B.1 for managing changes that can impact the DCP.

4.3.2 Canada: clinical evaluation requirements

VDDCPs will require a clinical study (but it need not be a local study). It is recommended that a pre-CTA submission be scheduled with Health Canada (see website for Pre-CTA details). If the study is conducted in Canada, the clinical study protocol needs to be approved by Health Canada prior to initiation of the study per the Clinical Trial Application (CTA) process (see Health Canada website for more information of the CTA process).

4.3.3 Canada: audit requirements

An audit can be required prior to market approval if the product is not within the current scope of the corresponding quality assurance system approval certificate.

4.4 European Union (EU)

4.4.1 EU: managing changes

VDDCPs must be assessed for conformity by a Notified Body before approval as medical devices according to Medical Device Directive 93/42/EC. The Notified Body must seek a scientific opinion or consultation from one of the competent authorities (national regulatory authorities designated by member states) or the European Medicines Agency. MEDDEV 2.1/3 is a guideline explaining the consultation process for VDDCPs as well as the necessary documentation to be provided for consultation.

APIs must be in compliance with the European Pharmacopoeia (EP) if a monograph for the API exists. If APIs are to be imported, then the authenticity of API GMP status should be verified per the Falsified Medicines Directive 2011/62.

NOTE 1 The Notified Bodies, competent authorities, and more information on the EU regulatory framework is contained within the website: <http://ec.europa.eu/>

NOTE 2 MEDDEV 2.1/3-related information can be found at the EU website (<http://ec.europa.eu/>)

The NB-MED – an organization of the Notified Bodies, published a guidance document (NB-MED/ 2.5.2 /rec2) which comprises recommendations accepted by the European Forum of Notified Bodies Medical Devices (NB-MED). These recommendations are not mandatory. Although they set out information on matters relating to the directives this information is for guidance only, to help you to meet your obligations, whether you are a manufacturer, Notified Body or interested party.

It is the responsibility of the Notified Body to decide if a submission or change notification is required, based on information provided by the manufacturer.

NOTE 1 Medical Device Directive 93/42/EC Annex I, 7.4.

NOTE 2 MEDDEV 2.1/3, Borderline products, drug delivery products and medical devices incorporating, as an integral part, an ancillary medicinal substance or an ancillary human blood derivative - Section C4 (h)

See also Table B.1 for examples of how to manage changes that can impact the DCP.

4.4.2 EU: clinical evaluation requirements

VDDCPs will require a clinical evaluation per MEDDEV 2.7.1. According the Medical Device Directive 93/42/EC a clinical study (clinical investigation according to Annex X) is to be performed unless it is duly justified to rely on existing clinical data.

NOTE Clinical data are defined in article 1, Section 2. (k) of the Medical Device Directive 93/42/EC.

Prior to submission of a clinical evaluation that includes a clinical study, it is possible that the study design will need to be discussed with the relevant Notified Body and the drug consultation body.

If a study is needed, there are country-specific requirements. The approval of clinical studies applications in the EU is the responsibility of the individual Member States (see <http://ec.europa.eu/>)

4.4.3 EU: audit requirements

An audit can be required prior to market approval if the product is not within the current scope of the corresponding quality assurance system approval certificate.

For class III VDDCPs incorporating as an integral part an API (per Article 1 of Directive M5 2001/83/EC), conformity assessment is performed according to Article 11 of MDD (Annex II.3 or Annex V).

4.5 India

4.5.1 India: managing changes

VDDCPs must be approved by the Director Controller General of India (DCGI) and are governed The Drugs and Cosmetic Act 1940 and rules 1945.

NOTE For more information see <http://www.cdsc.nic.in/forms/Default.aspx> website of the local authority above for the responsibilities of deciding whether a submission or change notification is required.

4.5.2 India: clinical evaluation requirements

VDDCPs will require a local clinical study. The clinical study protocol needs to be approved prior to initiation of the study. The final report for the study primary end point(s) is to be completed prior to submission for approval.

4.5.3 India: audit requirements

A manufacturing audit might be required prior to market approval.

4.6 Japan

4.6.1 Japan: managing changes

VDDCPs are Class IV devices and must be approved by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (MHLW) as medical devices.

The application for approval of VDDCPs must be submitted to Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA).

NOTE 1 For more information see http://www.std.pmda.go.jp/stdDB/index_e.html

VDDCPs must comply with applicable local approval guidelines and medical industrial standards.

NOTE 2 See also the Bibliography for local guidelines.

See website of the local authority above for the responsibilities of deciding whether a submission or change notification is required.

See also Table B.1 for examples of how to manage changes that can impact the DCP.

4.6.2 Japan: clinical evaluation requirements

VDDCPs will require a clinical study. A consultation with PMDA is recommended to determine if already existing international data will be sufficient, or if some additional data should be collected locally. Clinical Trial Notification (CTN) needs to be submitted to PMDA prior to initiation of the study. In the case of the first trial for the device in Japan, the clinical study protocol and investigator's brochure need to be submitted with the CTN and endorsed by MHLW/PMDA from a safety and ethics point of view. The final report for the study primary end point(s) is to be completed prior to submission of the marketing application to PMDA.

NOTE See the Ministerial Ordinance on Good Clinical Practice for Medical Devices (GCP).

4.6.3 Japan: audit requirements

A manufacturing audit might be required prior to market approval.

4.7 People's Republic of China (PRC)

4.7.1 PRC: managing changes

VDDCPs must be approved by the China Food and Drug Administration or CFDA (formerly State FDA or SFDA).

VDDCPs must comply with applicable GB National Standards and YY medical industrial standards (see Table A.1 for codes).

VDDCPs without country of origin approval cannot be submitted to CFDA. In addition, the API must also have country of origin approval or need to have been approved by CFDA prior to submission to CFDA.

NOTE 1 Table A.1 gives an overview of regional-standard codes and abbreviations.

Table A1 — Chinese standard codes and abbreviations

Code	Type of standard	Institution
GB	Mandatory national standard	SAC
GB/T	Recommended national standard	SAC
GB/Z	National standardization, technical document	SAC
YY	Mandatory medical industrial standard	CFDA
YY/T	Recommended medical industrial standard	CFDA
SAC Standardization Administration of China.		
CFDA China Food and Drug Administration		

NOTE 2 For more information, see the CFDA website for general information on device registration in English: <http://eng.cfda.gov.cn/WS03/CL0755/>

NOTE 3 See also the Bibliography for local guidelines.

See website of the local authority above for the responsibilities of deciding whether a submission or change notification is required.

See also Table B.1 for managing changes that can impact the DCP.

NOTE 4 The term of validity of the Medical Device License is 5 years according to the new CFDA regulation. CFDA Regulation for the Supervision and Administration of Medical device (No. 650 Order) can be found (in Chinese language) at <http://www.cfda.gov.cn/WS01/CL1100/97814.html> and CFDA Provision of Administration for Medical Device Registration (Regulation #4) can be found at (in Chinese language): <http://www.cfda.gov.cn/WS01/CL1101/103756.html>

According to Order #650 if a substantial change of the design, raw materials, manufacturing process, intended use, forms of operation, et cetera. for Class II and Class III medical devices that are already registered, and those changes could possibly affect the safety and effectiveness of medical devices, the registration applicant submits a change application to the original registration authority. If a non-substantial change occurs that will not affect the safety and effectiveness of the medical devices, the registration applicant files the change on record with the original registration authority.

According to the Regulation #4, in case of any changes on the content of the Medical Device license for a class II and Class III Medical Device and its appendixes (e.g. product technical requirement), the applicant submits the application to the original authority for the changes and submits the documents according to the appropriate requirements.

- For changing the product name, model, specification, structure and components, intended use/indication, product technical requirement, manufacturing site of import medical devices et cetera., these are Change of Permission items (CP), the applicant submits the application and relevant documents to the original regulatory authority for approval.
- For changing the name and/or domicile of the applicant, or changing the name and/or the domicile of the deputy agent, these are Change of Registration items (CR), the applicant submits the application and relevant documents to the original regulatory authority for approval of the changes. For changing the manufacturing site address of a China domestic medical device on the medical device license, the applicant submits the application and relevant documents to the original regulatory authority after the manufacturing site be approved.

4.7.2 PRC: clinical evaluation requirements

VDDCPs will require a clinical study.

CFDA Provisions of medical device clinical trials No. 5 regulation can be found (in Chinese language) at <http://www.cfda.gov.cn/WS01/CL0053/24475.html> where both non-drug elution and drug elution devices are discussed.

NOTE 1 Further guidance for the regulatory requirements for the conduct of a clinical trial will be provided in the document "Regulations for the Supervision and Administration of Medical Devices(Order #650)" at the CFDA website <http://www.cfda.gov.cn/WS01/CL1100/97814.html> (in Chinese language).

NOTE 2 For further guidance on the technical requirements for a clinical study for a coronary drug-eluting stent refer to "Notification on guidance for coronary drug-eluting stent clinical trial" at <http://www.cmde.org.cn/CL0059/1620.html> (in Chinese language).

4.7.3 PRC: audit requirements

A manufacturing audit is required prior to market approval. There are several scenarios. For imported medical device of class III implanted device, CFDA have the right to audit the manufacturer at any time. It can be possible to invite CFDA's officials to inspect the quality system.

NOTE 1 For general information please see website of the local authority above for the responsibilities of deciding whether a submission or change notification is required.

4.8 Russia

4.8.1 Russia: managing changes

Russian regulations are rapidly evolving with documents from the EurAsian economic commission coming into force in 2016. Review of current Russian regulations is not informative at this time and for these reasons Russian regulatory information is not present in Table B.1. Please refer to www.rosdravnadzor.ru

NOTE 1 The Federal Service for Control in Healthcare is the approving state registration for medical devices. the Federal Service for Control in Healthcare reports to the Ministry of Health.

NOTE 2 Manufacturers of VDDCPs issue a declaration of conformity (GOST R) that is submitted for certification body review and registration in the state database.

NOTE 3 APIs must be in compliance with the Russian Pharmacopoeia.

See website above for the responsibilities of deciding whether a submission or change notification is required.

4.8.2 Russia: clinical evaluation requirements

VDDCPs will require summary of available clinical data. If a study is conducted in Russia, the clinical study protocol needs to be approved prior to initiation of the study. The final report for the study primary end point(s) is to be completed prior to submission.

4.8.3 Russia: audit requirements

A manufacturing audit is not required prior to market approval in all cases, for imported VDDCPs.

4.9 United States of America (USA)

4.9.1 USA: managing changes

VDDCPs must be approved or determined to be substantially equivalent to a marketed device and cleared for market by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA). For VDDCPs in which the primary mode of action is from the device (e.g. stents and balloons), FDA's Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH) has primary review responsibility and consults with the Center for Drug Evaluation and

Research (CDER) regarding drug-related issues. For VDDCP, early interaction with FDA is recommended. Information on the USFDA presubmission process can be found at <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/medicaldevices/deviceregulationandguidance/guidancedocuments/ucm311176.pdf>

NOTE 1 Information on general FDA policies and procedures can be found at <https://www.fda.gov/>.

NOTE 2 Information on FDA review of combination products can be found at <https://www.fda.gov/CombinationProducts/default.htm>.

NOTE 3 There are many FDA guidance documents applicable to submissions for VDDCPs. These guidance documents can be found at <https://www.fda.gov/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm>.

If APIs are in compliance with the United States Pharmacopoeia (USP), this must be noted in submissions to the FDA.

NOTE 4 See also the Bibliography for local guidelines.

It is the responsibility of the manufacturer to decide if a submission or change notification is required, using guidance from the USFDA website. The USFDA will determine if the selected approach is appropriate or if additional submissions are needed.

See website of the local authority above for the responsibilities of deciding whether a submission or change notification is required.

Additionally, it can be helpful to see the following USFDA Guidance documents: <https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm080192.htm>

NOTE 1 Changes or modifications during the conduct of a clinical investigation, May 2001 <https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm082145.htm>

NOTE 2 Deciding when to submit a 510(K) for a change to an existing device (K97-1), Jan 1997 <https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm080235.htm>

NOTE 3 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Modification to devices subject to pre-market approval (PMA) The PMA supplement decision making process - Dec 2008 <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/UCM089360.pdf>

NOTE 4 Guidance for Industry and FDA Staff: Submissions for Postapproval Modifications to a Combination Product Approved Under a BLA, NDA, or PMA – January 2013 (DRAFT) <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/RegulatoryInformation/Guidances/UCM336230.pdf>

NOTE 5 Guidance for Industry: Changes to an Approved NDA or ANDA – April 2004 <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/guidancecomplianceregulatoryinformation/guidances/ucm077097.pdf>

NOTE 6 Guidance for Industry: Changes to an Approved NDA or ANDA – Questions and Answers – January 2001 <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/drugs/guidancecomplianceregulatoryinformation/guidances/ucm122871.pdf>

NOTE 7 Guidance for Industry: CMC Postapproval Manufacturing Changes To Be Documented in Annual Reports – March 2014 <https://www.fda.gov/downloads/Drugs/.../Guidances/UCM217043.pdf>

NOTE 8 Guidance for Industry: Scale-Up and Postapproval Changes: Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls, *in vitro* Dissolution Testing, and *in vivo* Bioequivalence Documentation – November 1995

NOTE 9 It can also be helpful to consult the USFDA website for: 30-Day Notices, 135-Day premarket Approval (PMA) Supplements and 75-Day Humanitarian Device Exemption (HDE) Supplements for Manufacturing Method or Process Changes. April 2011. <https://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/DeviceRegulationandGuidance/GuidanceDocuments/ucm080192.htm>

See also Table B.1 for managing changes that can impact the DCP.

4.9.2 USA: clinical evaluation requirements

VDDCPs will require clinical data (but studies need not always be entirely local, if data can be generalizable to the US patient population). If a study is conducted in the USA, the clinical study protocol

needs to be approved by the USFDA prior to initiation of the study. If the study is conducted outside the USA, the pre-submission process can be used to determine if the study as designed is likely to support a marketing application in the USA. The final report for the study primary end point(s) is to be completed prior to submission of a marketing application to the USFDA. In addition to the primary end point data, all available follow-up data is to be included at the time of the marketing application per 21 CFR 814.20 (b)(6)(ii) and (b)(8)(ii).

4.9.3 USA: audit requirements

Inspections of the API and VDDCP manufacturing and/or testing sites as well as the clinical study sites can be required prior to market approval.

5 Managing changes that can impact the DCP

5.1 Introduction

This chapter provides examples of the types of evaluations that should be considered for specific types of changes that can impact the API and/or DCP (e.g. specifications; manufacturing; test methods; packaging), and whether these types of changes might require additional review by regulatory authorities.

NOTE Review requirements/laws can change over time, so consider consultation with appropriate regulatory bodies in all countries where the VDDCP is under consideration for marketing authorization or is already approved. The same process for evaluating changes should be adopted whether the VDDCP is under consideration or approved, but the reporting requirements can be different. Additional guidance for local regulatory requirements on managing changes can be found in Section 3.

The manufacturer must determine, and be able to demonstrate, that following any change(s), the final product remains safe and effective and meets already established or new performance criteria.

5.2 Change evaluation

If there are any changes in the design or manufacture of the DP or DCP (pre- or post-market) which could have an effect on the quality, safety or usefulness of the API in the VDDCP, it is possible that the changes require approval prior to implementation in a clinical study and/or for marketing. Change(s) should be evaluated using a change control system as outlined in quality system standards and regulatory requirements where the VDDCP will be sold.

For example, ISO 13485 and ISO 14971:2007 may apply.

5.2.1 Identify changes

The manufacturer should identify and document specific changes to the specifications; manufacturing; test methods; and packaging that can impact the API and/or DCP. See also 4.2.2 "Risk evaluation" and "4.3 Interactions with regulatory bodies".

Examples of specification changes include:

- a) change(s) to the drug content, impurities, drug release, or content uniformity, at batch release and over the shelf life of the DCP;
- b) change(s) to the shelf life of the VDDCP;
- c) change(s) to the retest date of the API (e.g. extend or reduce expiry date for raw material API);
- d) change(s) to the DCP formulation.

NOTE 1 A change in the API is considered a new product.

NOTE 2 Specifications can be tightened, widened, or changed altogether.

NOTE 3 This list is not an all-inclusive list.

Examples of manufacturing changes

a) Change(s) to supplier(s) of DCP components:

- supplier of a critical component (e.g. API, matrix, solvent);
- supplier of a non-critical component (e.g. alcohol or sterile water) that does not come in direct contact with the DCP;

b) change(s) to the manufacturing site for the DCP or VDDCP;

NOTE Change(s) to the DP that could affect the DCP or DCP interface should also be considered. For example, a change to the metal stent surface processing site could affect the ability of a polymer interface coating to adhere, and ultimately affect the DCP properties.

- c) change(s) to manufacturing methods for the DCP or VDDCP such as process container material or volume changes for the API-containing solution;
- d) change(s) to process technology such as spray versus dip coating;
- e) change(s) to sterilization process.

NOTE This list is not an all-inclusive list.

Examples of test methods changes include:

- a) Replacement or elimination of a test method (e.g. UV-vis vs. NMR for drug release profile testing, or removal of a residual solvents test);
- b) change(s) to testing frequency or sample size (e.g. for stability tests or for batch release);
- c) modifications or refinement types of change(s) to testing procedures (e.g. add sample conditioning such as simulated-use prior to particulate testing).

NOTE This list is not an all-inclusive list.

Examples for packaging changes include:

- a) change(s) to a component of the packaging system that is in contact with DCP, or could influence DCP, such as changes to a protective tubing, sterile barrier, or a foil pouch to prevent light, or elimination of an inner pouch;
- b) change(s) to packaging methods that could influence DCP or VDDCP stability, such as the sealing process;
- c) change(s) in storage conditions, such as storage at 5 ± 3 °C vs. 25 °C at a 60 % relative humidity (RH) vs. 30 °C at a 75 % RH.

NOTE 1 See ISO 11607-1 for additional information for packaging.

NOTE 2 This list is not an all-inclusive list.

The above types of changes have been incorporated into Table B.1 – Summary of Region-Specific Change Information below, as examples of changes that might have different regulatory submission requirements, depending on the region where the application is being made.

5.2.2 Risk evaluation

Whenever changes are made in materials, construction, configuration, application or processing methods, an appropriate analysis of the potential impact of the change on the failure modes and performance of the VDDCP should be conducted. Appropriate testing should be conducted as deemed necessary (some examples are presented in B.1).

It is recommended that ISO 14971:2007 be consulted during the evaluation of risk process.

5.2.3 Guidance for change evaluation

Whenever changes are determined to have a potential impact on failure modes and/or performance of the VDDCP, the following tools can be appropriate for managing the effects of a change:

For supplier changes:

For a new supplier of a VDDCP component:

- supplier agreements that are put in place might require suppliers to inform the VDDCP manufacturer of any impending changes such as changes in formulation specifications or manufacturing processes.

If an existing supplier of a VDDCP component makes or plans to make a change:

- the manufacturer of a VDDCP might want to request documentation (such as validation testing) to confirm compliance to applicable specifications prior to implementation of the change if possible;
- consider performing confirmatory testing on the incoming component of the DCP or VDDCP.

For internal changes:

- change control activities such as routine review and update of standard operating procedures (SOPs) might be sufficient;
- for training changes, such as training and retraining activities, documentation can be sufficient;
- additional verification/validation testing might be necessary.

5.2.4 Pre-market (during submission)

If a manufacturer makes changes that could influence the DCP while the VDDCP is under consideration by the local regulatory authority, the regulatory authority should be contacted to assess whether these changes need to be submitted.

NOTE In some regulatory regions (e.g. USFDA), it is recommended that all changes be reported (e.g. formal submission or email).

5.3 Interactions with region-specific regulatory authorities – post commercialization

If changes are made, there can be different regional regulatory requirements for pre-approval, notification, or documentation prior to change implementation, depending on where the product is in development or commercialization.

The information in Table B.1 is intended for guidance and was developed at the time this document was written and might become outdated and should not be considered as prescriptive or exhaustive.

In Table B.1, Type 1 means “Notification and acceptance by the regulatory authority prior to change implementation”, Type 2 means “Acceptable without prior regulatory approval”, and Type 3 means “Consult regulatory body”. Where “*” is indicated, contact with the regulatory authority might be necessary for final determination on whether that type of information is needed.

In Table B.1, the following abbreviations are used.

D = documentation

B = bench testing (could include engineering, or in vitro or in vivo biocompatibility)

IVP = in vivo preclinical (could include IVIVC), and

C = clinical.

The regulatory environment for VDDCPs is evolving. The following information is intended to provide an awareness of the types of submissions that might be appropriate across regional regulatory authorities. Please check with the appropriate region-specific regulatory authorities to ensure that this information is still valid.

NOTE If a VDDCP manufacturer makes multiple changes, the type and degree of risk (see also 4.2.2) can change, as well as the way the changes are evaluated. In addition, consideration should be given to whether a single or multiple submissions are needed, and the related submission type(s).

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/TR 12417-2:2017

Table B.1 — Summary of region-specific change information for countries (regional regulatory authority) contributing to the development of this document

Types of change per country	<p>SC=Substantial change (requires approval) MC= Minor change (no approval needed prior to implementation, supporting evidence can be audited by the TGA at periodic inspection)</p>	<p>CN = Change notification (requires approval) N = Notification (no approval needed to be implemented)</p>	<p>CP = Change to Permit items (prior approval is required) CR = Change to Register items (prior approval is required)</p>	<p>NB = Notified Body RN= (no approval needed prior to implementation) NCA =national competent authority CN= change notification (requires approval)</p>	<p>PCA = Partial Change Application (requires approval)</p>	<p>30DN= 30d Notice 180DS=180d PMA Supplement 180PT=Panel Track PMA Supplement AR = PMA Annual Report PMA = Original PMA RT = Real Time PMA Supplement SPEC = Special PMA Supplement</p>
Drug content: Change Labelled Amount	Type 1: SC (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CN (D, B, IVP* C*)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP,C*)	Type 1: CN (NB w/NCA) (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: PCA (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: 180DS (D, B, IVP*, C*)
Drug content: Widen Tolerances	Type 1: SC (D, B, IVP*, C*)	CN (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP, C*)	Type 1: CN (NB w/NCA) (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type1: PCA (D, B, IVP*, C*)	180DS (D, B, IVP*, C*)
Drug content: Tighten Tolerance	Type 2: MC (D, B*)	Type 1:N (D)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP)	No submission (D)	Type1: PCA (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 2: AR – historic data (D, B*) Type 1: RT/180DS – CAPA (D, B*)
Impurities: Increase Total or Single	Type 1: SC (D,B,IVP*)	Type 1: CN (D, B, IVP*)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP)	Type 1:CN (NB w/NCA*) (D, B, IVP*)	Type1: PCA (D, B, IVP*)	Type1: 180DS (D, B, IVP*)
Impurities: Decrease Total or Single	Type 2: MC (D, B)	Type 1:N (D)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP, C*)	RN (D, B*)	Type 1:PCA (D, B, IVP*)	Type 2: AR – historic data (D, B*) Type 1: 180DS – CAPA (D, B*)
Drug Release Profile: Change Specified Curve	Type 1: SC (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CN (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP, C*)	Type 1: CN (NB w/NCA) (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type1:PCA (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: 180DS (D, B, IVP*, C*)

Table B.1 (continued)

Types of change per country	SC=Substantial change (requires approval) MC= Minor change (no approval needed prior to implementation, supporting evidence can be audited by the TGA at periodic inspection)	CN= Change notification (requires approval) N = Notification (no approval needed to be implemented)	CP = Change to Permission items (prior approval is required) CR = Change to Register items (prior approval is required)	NB = Notified Body RN= (no approval needed prior to implementation) NCA =national competent authority CN= change notification (requires approval)	PCA = Partial Change Application (requires approval)	30DN= 30d Notice 180DS=180d PMA Supplement 180PT=Panel Track PMA Supplement AR = PMA Annual Report PMA = Original PMA RT = Real Time PMA Supplement SPEC = Special PMA Supplement
Drug Release Profile: Widen Tolerance	Type 1: SC (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CN (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CN (NB w/NCA)	Type 1: PCA (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: 180DS (D, B, IVP*, C*)
Drug Release Profile: Tighten Tolerance	Type 2: MC (D, B*)	Type 1: CN (D, B*)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP)	No Submission (D, B*)	Type 1: PCA (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 2: AR – historic data (D, B*) Type 1: RT/180DS – CAPA (D, B*)
Content Uniformity: (batch release test) Widening Tolerance	Type 1: SC If no pharmacopeia: (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: If no pharmacopeia: CN (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CP D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: If no pharmacopeia: CN (NB w/NCA) (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: If no pharmacopeia: PCA (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: 180DS(D, B, IVP*, C*)
Content Uniformity: Tightening Tolerance	Type 2: MC (D, B*)	Type 1: N (D, B*)	Type 1: CP* (D, B)	No submission (D, B*)	Type 1: PCA (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 2: AR – historic data (D, B*) Type 1: RT/180DS – CAPA (D, B*)
Shelf Life of the VDDCP Reduce	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 1: CN (D, B)	Type 1: CP (D, B)	Type 1: CN (NB only) (D, B)	Type 1/Type 3: PCA / Consult (D, B),	Type 2: 180DS (D, B)

Table B.1 (continued)

Types of change per country	<p>SC=Substantial change (requires approval) MC= Minor change (no approval needed prior to implementation, supporting evidence can be audited by the TGA at periodic inspection)</p>	<p>CN = Change notification (requires approval) N = Notification (no approval needed to be implemented)</p>	<p>CP = Change to Permission items (prior approval is required) CR = Change to Register items (prior approval is required)</p>	<p>NB = Notified Body RN= (no approval needed prior to implementation) NCA =national competent authority CN= change notification (requires approval)</p>	<p>PCA = Partial Change Application (requires approval)</p>	<p>30DN= 30d Notice 180DS=180d PMA Supplement 180PT=Panel Track PMA Supplement AR = PMA Annual Report PMA = Original PMA RT = Real Time PMA Supplement SPEC = Special PMA Supplement</p>
Shelf Life of the VDDCP Extend Date	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 1: CN (D, B)	Type 1: CP (D, B)	Type 1: CN (NB only if planned with original submission; NB w/NCA otherwise) (D, B)	Type 1: PCA (D, B)	Type 2: 180DS (D, B, IVP*)
Shelf Life of the API Extend Retest Date	Type 2: MC (D, B)	Type 2: No submission; check/update internal procedures for API storage	Type 1: CP* (D, B)	Type 2: CN (D, B) check/update internal procedures for API storage	Type 2: No submission; check/update internal procedures for API storage	Type 2: 30DN (D, B)
DCP formulation Change excipient ratio	Type 1: SC (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CN (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP,C*)	Type 1: CN (NB w/NCA) (D, B, IVP*,C*)	Type 1: PCA (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: 180DS (D, B, IVP*, C*)
DCP formulation Change excipient(s)	Type 1: SC (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CN (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP,C*)	Type 1: CN (NB w/NCA) (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1 : PCA (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: PMA/180DS (D, B, IVP*, C*)
DCP formulation Change to a new API including within the same API family	Consultation with the TGA to determine if a new product application is needed (D, B, IVP*,C*)	Type 1: CN (D, B, IVP, C)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP,C*)	Consultation with NB and NCA to determine if new product application is needed (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1 : PCA (D, B, IVP*, C*)	

Table B.1 (continued)

Types of change per country	SC=Substantial change (requires approval) MC= Minor change (no approval needed prior to implementation, supporting evidence can be audited by the TGA at periodic inspection)	CN = Change notification (requires approval) N = Notification (no approval needed to be implemented)	CP = Change to Permission items (prior approval is required) CR = Change to Register items (prior approval is required)	NB = Notified Body RN= (no approval needed prior to implementation) NCA =national competent authority CN= change notification (requires approval)	PCA = Partial Change Application (requires approval)	30DN= 30d Notice 180DS=180d PMA Supplement 180PT=Panel Track PMA Supplement AR = PMA Annual Report PMA = Original PMA RT = Real Time PMA Supplement SPEC = Special PMA Supplement
Supplier: Critical DCP components	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 2: No submission; Check/update internal procedures	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP)	Type 1: CN (NB w/NCA) (D, B)	Type 1: PCA (D, B, IVP*)	Type 1 : 180DS (D, B)
Supplier: Non-critical DCP components	Type 2: MC (D)	Type 2: No submission; Check/update internal procedures	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP*)	Type 2: No submission (may be audited by NB at periodic audit)	Type 1: PCA (D, B*, IVP*)	Type 1 : AR/30DN (D, B*)
Manufacturing Site: DCP	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 1: CN (with audit) (D)	Type 1: CP (w/audit) (D, B)	Type 1: Same process/ equipment: CN to NB (D, B) Type 1: Process/ equipment changes CN (w/NCA*)(D, B)	Type 1: PCA (D)	Type 1: Site Change 180DS (D, B)
Manufacturing Site: DP (change to interface with the DCP)	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 1: CN (w/audit*) (D)	Type 1: CP (D) (w/audit)	Type 1: Same process/ equipment: CN to NB (D,B)	Type 1: PCA (D)	Type 1: Site Change 180DS (D, B)

Table B.1 (continued)

Types of change per country	<p>SC=Substantial change (requires approval) MC= Minor change (no approval needed prior to implementation, supporting evidence can be audited by the TGA at periodic inspection)</p>	<p>CN = Change notification (requires approval) N = Notification (no approval needed to be implemented)</p>	<p>CP = Change to Permission items (prior approval is required) CR = Change to Register items (prior approval is required)</p>	<p>NB = Notified Body RN= (no approval needed prior to implementation) NCA =national competent authority CN= change notification (requires approval)</p>	<p>PCA = Partial Change Application (requires approval)</p>	<p>30DN= 30d Notice 180DS=180d PMA Supplement 180PT=Panel Track PMA Supplement AR = PMA Annual Report PMA = Original PMA RT = Real Time PMA Supplement SPEC = Special PMA Supplement</p>
Manufacturing Site: VDDCP	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 1: CN (w/audit) (D)	Type 1: CP (D) (w/audit)	Type 1: Same process / equipment: CN to NB (D, B) Type 1: Process/ equipment changes CN (w/NCA*)(D, B)	Type 1: PCA (D)	Type 1: Site Change 180DS (D, B)
Manufacturing: DCP methods	Type 1: SC (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CN (D, B*, IVP*)	Type 2	Type 1: CN (NB w/ NCA)	Type 1: PCA (D, B*)	Type 1: AR/30D-N/180DS (D, B, IVP*, C*)
Manufacturing: Process technology	Type 1: SC (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CN (D, B, IVP*)	Type 2	Type 1: CN (NB w/ NCA) (D, B, IVP*)	Type 1: PCA (D, B, IVP*)	Type 1: AR/30D-N/180DS (D, B, IVP*, C*)
Manufacturing: Sterilization process	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 1: CN (D, B)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP*)	Type 1: CN (NB w/ NCA) (D, B)	Type 1: PCA (D, B)	Type 1: AR/30D-N/180DS (D, B, IVP*, C*)

Table B.1 (continued)

Types of change per country	SC=Substantial change (requires approval) MC= Minor change (no approval needed prior to implementation, supporting evidence can be audited by the TGA at periodic inspection)	CN= Change notification (requires approval) N = Notification (no approval needed to be implemented)	CP = Change to Permission items (prior approval is required) CR = Change to Register items (prior approval is required)	NB = Notified Body RN= (no approval needed prior to implementation) NCA =national competent authority CN= change notification (requires approval)	PCA = Partial Change Application (requires approval)	30DN= 30d Notice 180DS=180d PMA Supplement 180PT=Panel Track PMA Supplement AR = PMA Annual Report PMA = Original PMA RT = Real Time PMA Supplement SPEC = Special PMA Supplement
Test method: Replace method	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 2: No submission; check/update internal procedures Type 1: CN If not pharmacopeial method (D, B, IVP*)	Type 1: CP (D, B)	Type 1: CN (NB w/ NCA*) (D, B)	Type 1: PCA (D, B, IVP*)	Type 1: 30DN (D, B)
Test method: Frequency or sample size	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 2: No submission; check/update internal procedures	Type 1: CP (D, B)	Type 1: From per-Lot to periodic/stability CN (NB w/NCA*) (D, B)	Type 1: PCA (D, B, IVP*)	Type 3: AR – increased frequency/sample size (D, B) Type 1: 30DN (D, B)
Test method: Method procedures	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 2: No submission; check procedures at audit Type 1: If not pharmacopeial method: CN (D, B, IVP*)	Type 1: CP (D, B)	Type 1: CN (NB w/NCA*) (D, B) If only DP-related: Type 2: CN (D, B)	Type 1: PCA (D, B)	Type 1: AR/30DN (D, B*)

Table B.1 (continued)

Types of change per country	<p>SC=Substantial change (requires approval) MC= Minor change (no approval needed prior to implementation, supporting evidence can be audited by the TGA at periodic inspection)</p>	<p>CN = Change notification (requires approval) N = Notification (no approval needed to be implemented)</p>	<p>CP = Change to Permission items (prior approval is required) CR = Change to Register items (prior approval is required)</p>	<p>NB = Notified Body RN= (no approval needed prior to implementation) NCA =national competent authority CN= change notification (requires approval)</p>	<p>PCA = Partial Change Application (requires approval)</p>	<p>30DN= 30d Notice 180DS=180d PMA Supplement 180PT=Panel Track PMA Supplement AR = PMA Annual Report PMA = Original PMA RT = Real Time PMA Supplement SPEC = Special PMA Supplement</p>
Packaging: System	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 1: CN (D, B)	Type 1: CP (D,B)	Type 1: CN (D, B)	Type 1: PCA (D, B*)	Type 1: RT/180DS (D, B)
Packaging: Method/Process	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 1: CN (D, B)	Type 1: CP *(D,B)	Type 1: CN (D, B)	Type 1: PCA (D, B*)	Type 1: AR/RT/180DS (D, B*)
Packaging: Storage conditions	Type 1: SC (D, B)	Type 1: CN (D, B)	Type 1: CP *(D,B)	Type 1: CN (NB w/ NCA*) (D, B)	Type 1: PCA (D, B)	Type 1: RT/180DS (D, B)
Change Indication: For Use	Type 1: SC (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CN (D, B*, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP, C*)	Type 1: CN (NB w/NCA*) (D, C, IVP*)	Type 1: PCA (D, B*, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: RT/180DS/180PT/ PMA (D, B*, IVP*, C*)
Change Indication: IFU Label	Type 1: SC (D, B, IVP*, C*)	Type 1: CN Contraindications, warnings/precautions (D, C*) Type 1: CN Minor changes to wording (D)	Type 1: CP (D, B, IVP, C*)	Type 1: CN (NB w/NCA*) (D)	Type 1: PCA (D, B*, IVP*, C*)	Type 3: SPEC and add safety info (D, B*, IVP*, C*) Type 1: RT/180DS (D, B*, IVP*, C*)