INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO/IEC/ IEEE 8802-A

First edition
2015-12-15

Information technology — Telecommunications and information exchange between systems — Local and metropolitan area networks — Overview and Architecture

Technologies de l'information — Télécommunications et échange d'information entre systèmes — Réseaux locaux et métropolitains — Présentation et architecture

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

IEEE Standards documents are developed within the IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association (IEEE-SA) Standards Board. The IEEE develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute, which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of the Institute and serve without compensation. While the IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, the IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information contained in its standards.

The main task of ISO/IEC JTC 1 is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this standard may require the use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. ISO/IEEE is not responsible for identifying essential patents or patent claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of patents or patent claims or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance or a Patent Statement and Licensing Declaration Form, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility. Further information may be obtained from ISO or the IEEE Standards Association.

ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802-A was prepared by the LAN/MAN of the IEEE Computer Society (as IEEE 802®-2014). It was adopted by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 6, *Telecommunications and information exchange between systems,* in parallel with its approval by the ISO/IEC national bodies, under the "fast-track procedure" defined in the Partner Standards Development Organization cooperation agreement between ISO and IEEE. IEEE is responsible for the maintenance of this document with participation and input from ISO/IEC national bodies.

ISO/IEC/IEEE 8802 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information technology — Telecommunications and information exchange between systems — Local and metropolitan area networks — Specific requirements*

— *Part 1: Overview of Local Area Network Standards*

— *Part 2: Logical link control*

— *Part 5: Token ring access method and physical layer specifications*

— *Part 11: Wireless LAN medium access control (MAC) and physical layer (PHY) specifications*

— *Part 1X: Port-based network access control*

— *Part 1AB: Station and media access control connectivity discovery*

— *Part 1AE: Media access control (MAC) security*

— *Part 1AR: Secure device identity*

— *Part 1AS: Timing and synchronization for time-sensitive applications in bridged local area networks*

— *Part 15-4: Wireless medium access control (MAC) and physical layer (PHY) specifications for low-rate wireless personal area networks (WPANs)*

IEEE STANDARDS ASSOCIATION

◈IEEE

802®

IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Overview and Architecture

IEEE Computer Society

Sponsored by the
LAN/MAN Standards Committee

IEEE Std 802®-2014
(Revision to
IEEE Std 802-2001)

IEEE Std 802®-2014
(Revision of
IEEE Std 802-2001)

IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Overview and Architecture

Sponsor

LAN/MAN Standards Committee
of the
IEEE Computer Society

Approved 12 June 2014

IEEE-SA Standards Board

Abstract: This standard provides an overview to the family of IEEE 802® standards. It describes the reference models for the IEEE 802 standards and explains the relationship of these standards to the higher layer protocols; it provides a standard for the structure of IEEE 802 MAC addresses; it provides a standard for identification of public, private, prototype, and standard protocols; it specifies an object identifier hierarchy used within IEEE 802 for uniform allocation of object identifiers used in IEEE 802 standards; and it specifies a method for higher layer protocol identification.

Keywords: BANs, body area networks, EtherTypes, IEEE 802®, IEEE 802 architecture, IEEE 802 reference model, LANs, local area networks, MANs, metropolitan area networks, object identifiers, PANs, personal area networks, RANs, regional area networks, protocol development, protocol types

Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Standards Documents

IEEE documents are made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers, or a reference to this page, appear in all standards and may be found under the heading "Important Notice" or "Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Standards Documents."

Notice and Disclaimer of Liability Concerning the Use of IEEE Standards Documents

IEEE Standards documents (standards, recommended practices, and guides), both full-use and trial-use, are developed within IEEE Societies and the Standards Coordinating Committees of the IEEE Standards Association ("IEEE-SA") Standards Board. IEEE ("the Institute") develops its standards through a consensus development process, approved by the American National Standards Institute ("ANSI"), which brings together volunteers representing varied viewpoints and interests to achieve the final product. Volunteers are not necessarily members of the Institute and participate without compensation from IEEE. While IEEE administers the process and establishes rules to promote fairness in the consensus development process, IEEE does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information or the soundness of any judgments contained in its standards.

IEEE does not warrant or represent the accuracy or content of the material contained in its standards, and expressly disclaims all warranties (express, implied and statutory) not included in this or any other document relating to the standard, including, but not limited to, the warranties of: merchantability; fitness for a particular purpose; non-infringement; and quality, accuracy, effectiveness, currency, or completeness of material. In addition, IEEE disclaims any and all conditions relating to: results; and workmanlike effort. IEEE standards documents are supplied "AS IS" and "WITH ALL FAULTS."

Use of an IEEE standard is wholly voluntary. The existence of an IEEE standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce, test, measure, purchase, market, or provide other goods and services related to the scope of the IEEE standard. Furthermore, the viewpoint expressed at the time a standard is approved and issued is subject to change brought about through developments in the state of the art and comments received from users of the standard.

In publishing and making its standards available, IEEE is not suggesting or rendering professional or other services for, or on behalf of, any person or entity nor is IEEE undertaking to perform any duty owed by any other person or entity to another. Any person utilizing any IEEE Standards document, should rely upon his or her own independent judgment in the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the appropriateness of a given IEEE standard.

IN NO EVENT SHALL IEEE BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO: PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE PUBLICATION, USE OF, OR RELIANCE UPON ANY STANDARD, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE AND REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH DAMAGE WAS FORESEEABLE.

Translations

The IEEE consensus development process involves the review of documents in English only. In the event that an IEEE standard is translated, only the English version published by IEEE should be considered the approved IEEE standard.

Official statements

A statement, written or oral, that is not processed in accordance with the IEEE-SA Standards Board Operations Manual shall not be considered or inferred to be the official position of IEEE or any of its committees and shall not be considered to be, or be relied upon as, a formal position of IEEE. At lectures, symposia, seminars, or educational courses, an individual presenting information on IEEE standards shall make it clear that his or her views should be considered the personal views of that individual rather than the formal position of IEEE.

Comments on standards

Comments for revision of IEEE Standards documents are welcome from any interested party, regardless of membership affiliation with IEEE. However, IEEE does not provide consulting information or advice pertaining to IEEE Standards documents. Suggestions for changes in documents should be in the form of a proposed change of text, together with appropriate supporting comments. Since IEEE standards represent a consensus of concerned interests, it is important that any responses to comments and questions also receive the concurrence of a balance of interests. For this reason, IEEE and the members of its societies and Standards Coordinating Committees are not able to provide an instant response to comments or questions except in those cases where the matter has previously been addressed. For the same reason, IEEE does not respond to interpretation requests. Any person who would like to participate in revisions to an IEEE standard is welcome to join the relevant IEEE working group.

Comments on standards should be submitted to the following address:

> Secretary, IEEE-SA Standards Board
> 445 Hoes Lane
> Piscataway, NJ 08854 USA

Laws and regulations

Users of IEEE Standards documents should consult all applicable laws and regulations. Compliance with the provisions of any IEEE Standards document does not imply compliance to any applicable regulatory requirements. Implementers of the standard are responsible for observing or referring to the applicable regulatory requirements. IEEE does not, by the publication of its standards, intend to urge action that is not in compliance with applicable laws, and these documents may not be construed as doing so.

Copyrights

IEEE draft and approved standards are copyrighted by IEEE under U.S. and international copyright laws. They are made available by IEEE and are adopted for a wide variety of both public and private uses. These include both use, by reference, in laws and regulations, and use in private self-regulation, standardization, and the promotion of engineering practices and methods. By making these documents available for use and adoption by public authorities and private users, IEEE does not waive any rights in copyright to the documents.

Photocopies

Subject to payment of the appropriate fee, IEEE will grant users a limited, non-exclusive license to photocopy portions of any individual standard for company or organizational internal use or individual, non-commercial use only. To arrange for payment of licensing fees, please contact Copyright Clearance Center, Customer Service, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923 USA; +1 978 750 8400. Permission to photocopy portions of any individual standard for educational classroom use can also be obtained through the Copyright Clearance Center.

Updating of IEEE Standards documents

Users of IEEE Standards documents should be aware that these documents may be superseded at any time by the issuance of new editions or may be amended from time to time through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata. An official IEEE document at any point in time consists of the current edition of the document together with any amendments, corrigenda, or errata then in effect.

Every IEEE standard is subjected to review at least every ten years. When a document is more than ten years old and has not undergone a revision process, it is reasonable to conclude that its contents, although still of some value, do not wholly reflect the present state of the art. Users are cautioned to check to determine that they have the latest edition of any IEEE standard.

In order to determine whether a given document is the current edition and whether it has been amended through the issuance of amendments, corrigenda, or errata, visit the IEEE-SA Website at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/standards.jsp or contact IEEE at the address listed previously. For more information about the IEEE SA or IEEE's standards development process, visit the IEEE-SA Website at http://standards.ieee.org.

Errata

Errata, if any, for all IEEE standards can be accessed on the IEEE-SA Website at the following URL: http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/errata/index.html. Users are encouraged to check this URL for errata periodically.

Patents

Attention is called to the possibility that implementation of this standard may require use of subject matter covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken by the IEEE with respect to the existence or validity of any patent rights in connection therewith. If a patent holder or patent applicant has filed a statement of assurance via an Accepted Letter of Assurance, then the statement is listed on the IEEE-SA Website at http://standards.ieee.org/about/sasb/patcom/patents.html. Letters of Assurance may indicate whether the Submitter is willing or unwilling to grant licenses under patent rights without compensation or under reasonable rates, with reasonable terms and conditions that are demonstrably free of any unfair discrimination to applicants desiring to obtain such licenses.

Essential Patent Claims may exist for which a Letter of Assurance has not been received. The IEEE is not responsible for identifying Essential Patent Claims for which a license may be required, for conducting inquiries into the legal validity or scope of Patents Claims, or determining whether any licensing terms or conditions provided in connection with submission of a Letter of Assurance, if any, or in any licensing agreements are reasonable or non-discriminatory. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, is entirely their own responsibility. Further information may be obtained from the IEEE Standards Association.

Participants

At the time this standard was completed, the IEEE 802.1 Working Group had the following membership:

Glenn Parsons, *Chair*
John Messenger, *Vice Chair*
Eric Gray, *Recording Secretary*
James P. K. Gilb, *Technical Editor*

Ting Ao	Hitoshi Hayakawa	Donald Pannell
Christian Boiger	Jeremy Hitt	Karen Randall
Paul Bottorff	Rahil Hussain	Dan Romascanu
Weiying Cheng	Mirko Jakovljevic	Jessy Rouyer
Diego Crupnicoff	Tony Jeffree	Panagiotis Saltsidis
Rodney Cummings	Markus Jochim	Rick Schell
Patrick Diamond	Michael Johas Teener	Michael Seaman
Aboubacar Kader Diarra	Hal Keen	Daniel Sexton
Janos Farkas	Marcel Kiessling	Johannes Specht
Norman Finn	Philippe Klein	Kevin Stanton
Andre Fredette	Jeff Lynch	Wilfried Steiner
Geoffrey Garner	Ben Mack-Crane	Patricia Thaler
Anoop Ghanwani	James McIntosh	Jeremy Touve
Franz Goetz	Anatoly Moldovansky	Albert Tretter
Mark Gravel	Eric Multanen	Karl Weber
Craig Gunther	Henry Muyshondt	Yuehua Wei
Stephen Haddock		Jordon Woods

In addition to the members of the IEEE 802.1 Working Group, significant contributions were received from the following individuals:

Peter Anslow	Bruce Kraemer	Glenn Parsons
Arthur Astrin	Marek Hajduczenia	Clinton Powell
David Bagby	Mark Hamilton	Ivan Reede
Subir Das	David Hunter	Malcolm Reynolds
James P. K. Gilb	David J. Law	Benjamin Rolfe
Robert Grow	Roger B. Marks	Richard Roy
Marek Hajduczenia	Apurva Mody	Pat Thaler
Tony Jeffree	Paul Nikolich	Geoffrey O. Thompson
Patrick Kinney		Juan Carlos Zuniga

The following members of the individual balloting committee voted on this standard. Balloters may have voted for approval, disapproval, or abstention.

Katsuhiro Ajito
Thomas Alexander
Nobumitsu Amachi
Peter Anslow
Butch Anton
Danilo Antonelli
Arthur Astrin
Michael Bahr
Hugh Barrass
Harry Bims
Christian Boiger
Ralf-Peter Braun
Nancy Bravin
Vern Brethour
Monique Brown
William Byrd
Brent Cain
Edgar Callaway
William Carney
Keith Chow
Rodney Cummings
Alessandro De Filippo
Michael Denson
Wael Diab
Patrick Diamond
Carlo Donati
Peter Ecclesine
Donald Fedyk
Andrew Fieldsend
Avraham Freedman
Yukihiro Fujimoto
James P. K. Gilb
Gregory Gillooly
Tim Godfrey
Patrick Gonia
Randall Groves
Robert Grow
Michael Gundlach
Craig Gunther
Chris Guy
Rainer Hach
Stephen Haddock
Marek Hajduczenia
Mark Hamilton
Jerome Henry
Marco Hernandez
Werner Hoelzl
David Howard
David Hunter
Tetsushi Ikegami
Noriyuki Ikeuchi
James Innis
Akio Iso

Atsushi Ito
Raj Jain
Tony Jeffree
Steven Jillings
Michael Johas Teener
Peter Jones
Vincent Jones
Joe Natharoj Juisai
Shinkyo Kaku
Chol Kang
Piotr Karocki
Stuart Kerry
Yongbum Kim
Patrick Kinney
Scott Kipp
Jarkko Kneckt
Bruce Kraemer
Thomas Kurihara
Geoff Ladwig
Richard Lancaster
Mark Laubach
David J. Law
Kyu Ha Lee
Hyeong Ho Lee
David Lewis
Arthur H. Light
Ru Lin
William Lumpkins
Greg Luri
Michael Lynch
Thomas Mack-Crane
Elvis Maculuba
Syam Madanapalli
Wayne Manges
Roger Marks
Stephen McCann
Brett McClellan
Michael McInnis
Jonathon McLendon
Neal Mellen
Steven Methley
Jose Morales
Ronald Murias
Rick Murphy
Peter Murray
Nabil Nasser
Michael Newman
Nicks.A. Nikjoo
Paul Nikolich
Mitsuo Nohara
Satoshi Obara
Mi-Kyung Oh
Yoshihiro Ohba

David Olsen
Satoshi Oyama
Thomas Palkert
Sandhya Patil
Brian Phelps
Clinton Powell
James Reilly
Maximilian Riegel
Robert Robinson
Benjamin Rolfe
Jon Walter Rosdahl
Jessy Rouyer
M. K. Sajeev
Osman Sakr
John Santhoff
Naotaka Sato
Peter Saunderson
Bartien Sayogo
Michael Seaman
Shusaku Shimada
Dorothy Stanley
Thomas Starai
Adrian Stephens
Rene Struik
Walter Struppler
Mark Sturza
Patrik Sundstrom
Jun Ichi Takada
Joseph Tardo
William Taylor
Geoffrey Thompson
Michael Thompson
Ha-Nguyen Tran
Kazuyoshi Tsukada
Dmitri Varsanofiev
Prabodh Varshney
Srinivasa Vemuru
John Vergis
George Vlantis
Haiming Wang
Lei Wang
Xiang Wang
Stephen Webb
Karl Weber
Hung-Yu Wei
Stephen Whitesell
Ludwig Winkel
Andreas Wolf
Chun Yu Charles Wong
Forrest Wright
Michael Wright
Oren Yuen
Janusz Zalewski
Daidi Zhong

When the IEEE-SA Standards Board approved this standard on 12 June 2014, it had the following membership:

John Kulick, *Chair*
Jon Walter Rosdahl, *Vice-chair*
Richard H. Hulett, *Past Chair*
Konstantinos Karachalios, *Secretary*

Peter Balma	Michael Janezic	Ron Peterson
Farooq Bari	Jeffrey Katz	Adrian Stephens
Ted Burse	Joseph L. Koepfinger*	Peter Sutherland
Clint Chaplain	David J. Law	Yatin Trivedi
Stephen Dukes	Hung Ling	Phil Winston
Jean-Phillippe Faure	Oleg Logvinov	Don Wright
Gary Hoffman	Ted Olsen	Yu Yuan
	Glenn Parsons	

*Member Emeritus

Also included are the following nonvoting IEEE-SA Standards Board liaisons:

Richard DeBlasio, *DOE Representative*
Michael Janezic, *NIST Representative*

Michelle Turner
IEEE-SA Content Publishing

Kathryn Bennett
IEEE-SA Standards Technical Community

Historical participants

When the IEEE Std 802-1990 was approved on 31 May 1990, the IEEE 802.1 Working Group had the following officer:

William P. Lidinsky, *Chair*

When the IEEE Std 802-2001 was approved on 6 December 2001, the IEEE 802.1 Working Group had the following officers:

William P. Lidinsky, *Chair*
Tony Jeffree, *Vice Chair and Editor*
Alan Chambers, Tony Jeffree, *Editors*

When the IEEE Std 802a-2003 was approved on 12 June 2003, the IEEE 802a Working Group had the following officers:

Tony Jeffree, *Chair and Editor*
Neil Jarvis, *Vice Chair*

When the IEEE Std 802b-2004 was approved on 25 March 2004, the IEEE 802a Working Group had the following officers:

Tony Jeffree, *Chair and Editor*
Neil Jarvis, *Vice Chair*

The following individuals participated in the IEEE 802.1 working group during various stages of the standard's development. Since the initial publication, many IEEE standards have added functionality or provided updates to material included in this standard. The following is a historical list of participants who have dedicated their valuable time, energy, and knowledge to the creation of this standard:

Steve Adams	Hon Wah Chin	Steve Haddock
Fumio Akashi	Chris Christ	Sharam Hakimi
Paul D. Amer	Paul Congdon	Mogens Hansen
Charles Arnold	Glenn Connery	Harold Harrington
Floyd Backes	Jim Corrigan	John Hart
Ann Ballard	Paul Cowell	Mike Harvey
Richard Bantel	David Cullerot	Richard Hausman
John Bartlett	Ted Davies	David Head
Sy Bederman	Peter Dawe	Deepak Hegde
Les Bell	Stan Degen	Ariel Hendel
Amatzia Ben-Artzi	Fred Deignan	Bob Herbst
Michael Berger	David Delaney	Steve Horowitz
James S. Binder	Ron Dhondy	Robert W. Hott
Robert Bledsoe	Jeffrey Dietz	Jack R. Hung
Kwame Boakye	Eiji Doi	Altaf Hussain
Paul Bottorff	Barbara J. Don Carlos	Thomas Hytry
Laura Bridge	Peter Ecclesine	Ran Ish-Shalom
Juan Bulnes	J. J. Ekstrom	Jay Israel
Bill Bunch	Hesham Elbakoury	Vipin K. Jain
Fred Burg	Walder Eldon	Neil Jarvis
Jim Burns	Norman W. Finn	Tony Jeffree
Peter Carbone	David Frattura	Shyam Kaluve
Paul Carroll	Lars Henrik Frederiksen	Toyoyuki Kato
Jeffrey Catlin	Eldon D. Feist	Hal Keen
Dirceu Cavendish	Len Fishler	Kevin Ketchum
Alan Chambers	Kevin Flanagan	Alan Kirby
David W. Chang	Anoop Ghanwani	Kimberly Kirkpatrick
Ken Chapman	Pat Gonia	Keith Klamm
Alice Chen	Gerard Goubert	Steve Kleiman
Jade Chien	Richard Graham	Bruce Kling
	Michael A. Gravel	Dan Krent

Introduction

This introduction is not part of IEEE Std 802-2014, IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks: Overview and Architecture.

This document is the third major revision of the IEEE 802® overview and architecture. This revision integrates two earlier amendments, IEEE Std 802a™-2003 (covering Ethertypes for prototype and vendor-specific protocol development) and IEEE Std 802b™-2004 (covering registration of object identifiers), into the previous major revision of the standard, IEEE Std 802®-2001. In addition, there has been extensive rework in this document to bring forward the practice of protocol identification using the EtherType. While the protocol identification mechanism specified by ISO/IEC 8802-2 (IEEE Std 802.2™, withdrawn) is still used, its use for new standards has been deprecated. Further, material about physical layer addressing and universal addressing has been added along with information about the IEEE Registration Authority (RA) to facilitate user procurement of address assignments.

Since the 2001 revision of this standard, the IEEE 802 standards and working groups have undergone many changes. IEEE Std 802.5™ was withdrawn; therefore, references to it have been removed from this revision. IEEE Std 802 has also been broadened to include a variety of wireless standards; therefore, a new informative annex has been added to address the variety of IEEE 802 standards (Annex D). Data rates for IEEE 802 standards now range from tens of kilobits per second to hundreds of gigabits per second and encompass copper, optical fiber, wireless, and free-space optical media.

With the diversity of IEEE 802 standards, another goal of this revision was to bring the reference models from these various standards into this standard. This consolidation enables the user to quickly see the differences and similarities of the architecture of IEEE 802 standards. The reference models are included in a new informative annex (Annex B).

Contents

IEEE Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Overview and Architecture

IMPORTANT NOTICE: IEEE Standards documents are not intended to ensure safety, security, health, or environmental protection, or ensure against interference with or from other devices or networks. Implementers of IEEE Standards documents are responsible for determining and complying with all appropriate safety, security, environmental, health, and interference protection practices and all applicable laws and regulations.

This IEEE document is made available for use subject to important notices and legal disclaimers. These notices and disclaimers appear in all publications containing this document and may be found under the heading "Important Notice" or "Important Notices and Disclaimers Concerning IEEE Documents." They can also be obtained on request from IEEE or viewed at http://standards.ieee.org/IPR/ disclaimers.html.

1. Overview

1.1 Scope

This standard contains descriptions of the IEEE 802® standards published by the IEEE for frame-based data networks as well as a reference model (RM) for protocol standards. The IEEE 802 architecture is defined, and a specification for the identification of public, private, and standard protocols is included.

1.2 Purpose

This standard serves as the foundation for the family of IEEE 802 standards published by IEEE for local area networks (LANs), metropolitan area networks (MANs), personal area networks (PANs), and regional area networks (RANs).

2. Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document (i.e., they must be understood and used; therefore, each referenced document is cited in text and its relationship to this document is explained). For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (included any amendments or corrigenda) applies.

IEEE Std 802.1D™, IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks—Media Access Control (MAC) Bridges.[1, 2]

IEEE Std 802.1Q™, IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks—Virtual Bridged Local Area Networks.

IEEE Std 802.1AC™, IEEE Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks—Media Access Control (MAC) Service Definition.

ISO/IEC 8802-2:1998, Standard for Information technology—Telecommunications and information exchange between systems—Local and metropolitan area networks—Specific requirements—Part 2: Logical link control.[3] (ISO/IEC version of withdrawn standard IEEE Std 802.2.)

ITU-T Recommendation X.660, Information technology—Procedures for the operation of object identifier registration authorities: General procedures and top arcs of the international object identifier tree.[4]

IETF RFC 2578, Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2).[5]

---

[1] The IEEE standards referred to in Clause 2 are trademarks owned by The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Incorporated.

[2] IEEE publications are available from The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (http://standards.ieee.org/).

[3] ISO/IEC publications are available from the International Organization for Standardization (http://www.iso.ch/) and the International Electrotechnical Commission (http://www.iec.ch/). ISO/IEC publications are also available in the United States from the American National Standards Institute (http://www.ansi.org/).

[4] ITU-T publications are available from the International Telecommunications Union (http://www.itu.int/).

[5] IETF documents (i.e., RFCs) are available the Internet Engineering Task Force (http://www.rfc-archive.org/).

3. Definitions, acronyms and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For this document, the following terms and definitions apply. *The IEEE Standards Dictionary Online* should be consulted for terms not defined in this clause.[6]

access domain: A set of stations in an IEEE 802® network together with interconnecting data transmission media and functional units (e.g., repeaters), in which the stations use the same medium access control (MAC) protocol to communicate over a common physical medium.

bridge: A functional unit that interconnects two or more IEEE 802® networks that use the same data link layer (DLL) protocols above the medium access control (MAC) sublayer, but can use different MAC protocols. Forwarding and filtering decisions are made on the basis of layer 2 information.

canonical format: The format of a medium access control (MAC) data frame in which the octets of any 48-bit extended unique identifiers (EUI-48s) or 64-bit extended unique identifiers (EUI-64s) conveyed in the MAC user data field have the same bit ordering as in the hexadecimal representation.

end station: A functional unit in an IEEE 802® network that acts as a source of, and/or destination for, link layer data traffic carried on the network.

Ethernet: A communication protocol specified by IEEE Std 802.3™.

EtherType: A 2-octet value, assigned by the IEEE Registration Authority (RA), that provides context for interpretation of a data field of a frame (protocol identification).

filtering: A function in a bridge that is used to determine if a received medium access control (MAC) frame is to be forwarded or discarded on any given outbound port.

forwarding: A function in a bridge that transfers a received medium access control (MAC) frame to one or more outbound ports.

frame: The format of aggregated bits from a medium access control (MAC) sublayer entity that are transmitted together in time.

handover: The process by which a mobile node obtains facilities and preserves traffic flows when traffic is switched from one link to another. Different types of handover are specified based on the way facilities for supporting traffic flows are preserved.

IEEE 802® network: A network consisting of one or more interconnected networks each using a medium access control (MAC) protocol specified in an IEEE 802 standard.

interconnection: A data communication path between stations in an IEEE 802® network.

interworking: The use of interconnected stations in a network for the exchange of data, by means of protocols operating over the underlying data transmission paths.

local area network (LAN): A network of devices, whether indoors or outdoors, covering a limited geographic area, e.g., a building or campus.

---

[6] *The IEEE Standards Dictionary Online* subscriptions are available at
http://www.ieee.org/portal/innovate/products/standard/standards_dictionary.html.

logical link: A logical communication connection between two devices.

medium access control (MAC) data frame: A data structure consisting of fields in accordance with a MAC protocol, for the communication of user data and control information in a network; one of the fields contains a sequence of octets of user data.

medium access control (MAC) protocol: A protocol that governs access to the transmission medium in a network, to enable the exchange of data between stations in a network.

media-independent control function: A parallel control plane that provides control functions for different medium access control (MAC) and physical layer (PHY) sublayers and provides a media-independent abstraction to higher layer protocols.

media-independent handover function: A function that provides the ability to relocate traffic flows between different medium access technologies and associated physical media.

metropolitan area network (MAN): A network of devices, extending over a large geographical area such as an urban area, often providing integrated communication services such as data, voice, and video.

noncanonical format: The format of a medium access control (MAC) data frame in which the octets of 48-bit extended unique identifiers (EUI-48s) or 64-bit extended unique identifiers (EUI-64s) conveyed in the MAC user data field have the same bit ordering as in the bit-reversed representation.

personal area network (PAN): A network of devices extending over a very limited geographical area, used to convey information among a group of participant stations.

private protocol: A protocol whose use and specification are controlled by a private organization.

public protocol: A protocol whose specification is published and known to the public, but controlled by an organization other than a formal standards body.

regional area network (RAN): A network of devices that generally covers a service area that is larger than metropolitan area networks (MANs), typically in sparsely populated areas.

repeater: A device that interconnects segments of the physical medium by retransmitting a copy of the physical layer (PHY) frame.

service data unit: Information that is delivered between layers or sublayers.

single access domain: A set of stations such that, at most, only one can transmit at a given time, with all other stations acting as (potential) receivers.

standard protocol: A protocol whose specification is published and known to the public and is controlled by a standards body.

station: An end station or bridge. *See also*: **bridge**; **end station**.

universal address: A 48-bit extended unique identifier (EUI-48) or 64-bit extended unique identifier (EUI-64) that is used as a unique address.

3.2 Acronyms and abbreviations

BS	base station
CID	company identifier
CPE	customer-premises equipment
CS	convergence sublayer
C-SAP	control service access point
DLL	data link layer
EPD	EtherType protocol discrimination
EPON	Ethernet passive optical networks
EUI-48	48-bit extended unique identifier
EUI-64	64-bit extended unique identifier
HLPDE	higher layer protocol discrimination entity
I/G	individual/group
IM	implementation model
IP	Internet Protocol
LAN	local area network
LLC	logical link control
LPD	LLC protocol discrimination
LSAP	link service access point
LSB	least significant bit
MAC	medium access control, media access control[7]
MA-L	MAC address – large
MA-M	MAC address – medium
MAN	metropolitan area network
MA-S	MAC address – small
MCPS	MAC common part sublayer
MCPS-SAP	MAC common part sublayer data service access point
MIB	management information base
MICF	media-independent control function
MICLSAP	media-independent control link service access point
MICPSAP	media-independent control physical service access point
MICSAP	media-independent control service access point
MIH	media-independent handover
MIHF	media-independent handover function
MLME	MAC sublayer management entity
MSAP	MAC service access point
M-SAP	management service access point
MSB	most significant bit
NCMS	network control and management system
OAM	operations, administration, and maintenance
OID	object identifier
OLT	optical line terminal
ONU	optical network unit

---

[7]Both forms are used, with the same meaning. This standard uses medium.

OSI/RM	Open Systems Interconnection basic reference model
OUI	organizationally unique identifier
PAN	personal area network
PDU	protocol data unit
PHY	physical layer (OSI reference model and IEEE 802® reference model)
PHY	physical layer device or entity (IEEE 802.3™ reference model)
PICS	protocol implementation conformance statement
PLME	physical layer management entity
PMD	physical medium dependent
PSAP	physical service access point
RA	Registration Authority
RAN	regional area network
RM	reference model
RSTP	rapid spanning tree protocol
SAP	service access point
SNAP	Subnetwork Access Protocol
SNMP	Simple Network Management Protocol
SSF	spectrum sensing function
SS/MS	subscriber station/mobile subscriber station
U/L	universally or locally administered
VLAN	virtual local area network
WAN	wide area network
WLAN	wireless local area network
WPAN	wireless personal area network
WRAN	wireless regional area network

4. Family of IEEE 802 standards

4.1 Key concepts

IEEE 802 networks use frame-based communications over a variety of media to connect various digital apparatus regardless of computer technology and data type. However, the scope of IEEE 802 standards is not limited to the physical layers (PHYs) and data link layers (DLLs).

The basic communications capabilities provided by all IEEE 802 standards are frame based with source and destination addressing and asynchronous timing. In a frame-based system, the format is a variable-length sequence of data octets. By contrast, cell-based communication transmits data in fixed-length units in specified time intervals while isochronous communication transmits data as a steady stream of octets, or groups of octets, at equal time intervals.

User and management data flowing within IEEE 802 networks can be secured by a variety of authentication, secure key exchange, and encryption mechanisms that are described in the various IEEE 802 standards. In addition, IEEE 802 standards specify mechanisms by which a station is able to discover neighboring networks information that may include IEEE 802 and non-IEEE 802 technologies. IEEE 802 standards also specify mechanisms to achieve service discovery (e.g., support for Internet or virtual private network service) and session continuity (e.g., a voice over Internet Protocol (IP) or multimedia session) in a heterogeneous networking environment when stations, while either stationary or in motion, have a choice of connecting to multiple access networks.

The early IEEE 802 local area network (LAN) wired technologies used shared-medium communication, with information broadcast for all stations to receive. That approach has evolved over the years, but in ways that preserve the appearance of simple peer-to-peer communications behavior for end stations. In particular, the use of bridges, as described in 5.3.2, for interconnecting IEEE 802 networks is now widespread. These bridges allow the construction of networks with much larger numbers of end stations and much higher aggregate throughput than would be achievable with a single shared-medium. End stations attached to such a bridged IEEE 802 network can communicate with each other just as though they were attached to a single shared-medium; however, the ability to communicate with other stations can be limited by use of management facilities in the bridges, particularly where broadcast or multicast transmissions are involved. A further stage in this evolution has led to the use of point-to-point full duplex communication in LANs, either between an end station and a bridge or between a pair of bridges.

Some IEEE 802 technologies, in particular wireless-based technologies, are inherently shared-medium communication systems. They too have been augmented over time. Many wireless local area networks (WLANs) support mobile node mobility and hence dynamic topologies. These additional facilities may, depending on the IEEE 802 technology in use, restrict bridged LAN interconnects to the static topology nodes within the wireless portion of a heterogeneous technology LAN.

An IEEE 802 LAN is a peer-to-peer communication network that enables stations to communicate directly on a point-to-point, or point-to-multipoint, basis without requiring them to communicate with any intermediate stations that perform forwarding or filtering above the PHY. LAN communication takes place at moderate to high data rates and with short transit delays, on the order of a few milliseconds or less.

A LAN is generally owned, used, and operated by a single organization. This is in contrast to wide area networks (WANs) that interconnect communication facilities in different parts of a country or are used as a public utility. LANs are useful for deployment on a variety of scales, whether indoors or outdoors, including covering a scale up to a large building or campus environment.

A metropolitan area network (MAN) is optimized for a larger geographical area than is a LAN, ranging from several blocks of buildings to entire cities. As with local networks, MANs can also depend on

communications channels of moderate to high data rates. A MAN might be owned and operated by a single organization, but it is usually used by many individuals and organizations. MANs might also be owned and operated as public utilities. They often provide means for internetworking of local networks.

Personal area networks (PANs) are used to convey information among a small group of participant stations. Unlike a LAN, a connection made through a PAN typically involves little or no infrastructure or direct connectivity to the world outside the connection. This approach allows small, power-efficient, inexpensive solutions to be implemented. In the context of the family of IEEE 802 standards, PANs are implemented with wireless technology and are, therefore, sometimes referred to as wireless personal area networks (WPANs).

Regional area networks (RANs) generally cover a service area that is larger than the MANs. A RAN is similar to a MAN in that it is typically owned and operated by a single organization, but it is usually used by many individuals and organizations. For wireless regional area networks (WRANs), the unique propagation characteristics of the frequency bands in which they operate, typically from 30 MHz to 1 GHz, require a specialized design of the PHY and the medium access control (MAC) that can absorb long channel impulse responses and large propagation delays. In some cases, operation in these bands is subject to coordination with existing users, e.g., television broadcast.

IEEE 802 networks can also be used to perform the task of an access network, i.e., to connect end stations to a larger, heterogeneous network, e.g., the Internet.

The early IEEE 802 standards for LAN and MAN technologies were all based on the use of copper or optical fiber cables as the physical transmission medium. However, in addition to the use of cable-based media, today's IEEE 802 standards include technologies, radio and optical, that use free space as the physical transmission medium. IEEE 802 standards for wireless networks include wireless LANs, MANs, RANs, and PANs. These technologies also target usage scenarios for both fixed and mobile wireless. These IEEE 802 network solutions address challenges of mobility, higher error rates, and potentials for signal loss and interference that are inherent to using wireless medium.

4.2 Application and support

IEEE 802 networks are intended to have wide applicability in many environments. The primary aim is to provide for low-cost devices and networks, suitable for consumer, commercial, educational, governmental, and industrial applications. The following lists are intended to show some applications and devices and, as such, are not intended to be exhaustive, nor do they constitute a set of required items. IEEE 802 networks can be found in the following environments:

— Client/server applications
— Database access
— Desktop publishing
— Electronic mail
— File transfer
— Graphics
— Handover services
— Multimedia
— Office automation
— Process control
— Robotics
— Telecommunication

— Text processing
— Transaction processing

IEEE 802 networks are intended to support various data devices, such as the following:

— Bridges, routers, and gateways
— Computers
— Image and video monitors
— Mass storage devices
— Monitoring and control equipment
— Photocopiers and facsimile machines
— Printers and plotters
— Terminals
— Wireless terminals

4.3 An international family of standards

The terms *LAN*, *MAN*, *PAN*, and *RAN* encompass a number of data communications technologies and applications of these technologies. So it is with the IEEE 802 standards. In order to provide a balance between the proliferation of a very large number of different and incompatible local and metropolitan networks, on the one hand, and the need to accommodate rapidly changing technology and to satisfy certain applications or cost goals, on the other hand, several types of medium access technologies are currently specified in the family of IEEE 802 standards. In turn, these MAC standards are specified for a variety of physical media. A secure data exchange standard and MAC bridging standards are intended to be used in conjunction with the MAC standards. Architecture and protocols for the management of IEEE 802 networks are also specified.

The IEEE 802 standards have been developed and applied in the context of a global data communications industry. IEEE 802 standards are recognized to be international standards in their own right. In addition, some IEEE 802 standards have progressed to become standards within Joint Technical Committee 1 of the International Organization for Standardization and the International Electrotechnical Commission (ISO/IEC JTC 1), International Telecommunication Union Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T), International Telecommunication Union Radiocommunication Sector (ITU-R), and a wide variety of national body standards development organizations.

4.4 IEEE 802 standards

The IEEE 802 LAN/MAN Standards Committee sponsors a large number of standards projects. The current state of IEEE 802 standards and recommended practices is illustrated in Figure 1. The IEEE 802 committee is very active; therefore, for the latest status of the IEEE 802 working groups and standards, refer to http://www.ieee802.org.

Figure 1—Current family of IEEE 802 standards and recommended practices

At any given time, an IEEE 802 standard may have one or more amendments related to it. Each amendment, once approved, is considered to be part of the base standard. At a future time, these amendments are incorporated into the base standard so that a new single document can be issued. This process is illustrated in Figure 2 for IEEE Std 802.15.4™-2011,[8] which incorporated the amendments IEEE Std 802.15.4a™-2007, IEEE Std 802.15.4c™-2009, and IEEE Std 802.15.4d™-2009 into the base standard IEEE Std 802.15.4-2006.

Figure 2—Issuance of IEEE Std 802.15.4-2011 from previous base standard and amendments

---

[8] See Annex D for a list of approved IEEE 802 standards that were current when this standard was completed.

5. Reference models (RMs)

5.1 Introduction

The IEEE 802 RM is derived from the Open Systems Interconnection basic reference model (OSI/RM), ISO/IEC 7498-1:1994 [B7][9]. It is assumed that the reader has some familiarity with the OSI/RM and its terminology. The IEEE 802 standards emphasize the functionality of the lowest two layers of the OSI/RM, i.e., PHY and DLL, and the higher layers as they relate to network management. The IEEE 802 RM is similar to the OSI/RM in terms of its layers and the placement of its service boundaries. Figure 3 shows the architectural view of IEEE 802 RM for end stations and its relation to the OSI/RM. A variation of the model applies within bridges, as described in 5.3.2.

MSAP   MAC service access point   PSAP   PHY service access point
LSAP   link service access point

Figure 3—IEEE 802 RM for end stations

For the mandatory data services supported by all IEEE 802 networks, the DLL is structured as two sublayers, with the logical link control (LLC) sublayer, described in 5.2.2, operating over a MAC sublayer, described in 5.2.3.

Each IEEE 802 standard has RMs that are more detailed in order to describe the structure for that specific standard. The RMs for the IEEE 802 standards are given in Annex B.

The IEEE 802 implementation models (IMs) are more specific than the IEEE 802 RMs, allowing differentiation between implementation approaches (e.g., different MAC protocols and PHYs). Figure 4 illustrates an IEEE 802.3 IM and its relation to the IEEE 802 RM.

Considerations of management, security, and media-independent handover (MIH) in IEEE 802 networks are also covered by IEEE 802 standards; these optional features lead to an elaboration of the RM, as illustrated in Figure 5. IEEE 802 network management provides protocols for exchange of management information between stations. The media-independent control function (MICF) is a parallel control plane that provides control functions for different MAC and PHY sublayers. Some examples of this MICF are the media-independent handover function (MIHF) of IEEE Std 802.21™ and the control functions proposed in the IEEE 802.19.1 Task Group and IEEE Std 802.22™. IEEE Std 802.1X™ forms part of the LLC sublayer and provides a secure, connectionless service immediately above the MAC sublayer.

---

[9]The numbers in brackets correspond to those of the bibliography in Annex A.

LLC	logical link control sublayer
MAC	medium access control sublayer
PHY	physical layer device
RS	reconciliation sublayer
CGMII	100 Gb/s media-independent interface
PCS	physical coding sublayer
FEC	forward error correction
PMA	physical medium attachment
PMD	physical medium dependent
AN	auto negotiation
MDI	medium dependent interface

Figure 4—IEEE 802 RM and an example of an end-station IM (100 Gb/s)

Figure 5—IEEE 802 RM with end-station management, security, and MIH

5.2 RM description for end stations

The IEEE 802 RM maps to the OSI/RM as shown in Figure 3. The applicable part of the OSI/RM consists of the lowest two layers: the DLL and the PHY. These map onto the same two layers in the IEEE 802 RM. The MAC sublayer of the IEEE 802 RM exists between the PHY and the LLC sublayer to provide a service for the LLC sublayer (certain MAC types provide additional MAC service features that can be used by LLC sublayer, in addition to the common core features). Service access points (SAPs) for connecting the layers and sublayers are shown in Figure 3.

5.2.1 SAPs

One or more link service access points (LSAPs) provide interface ports to support one or more higher layer users above the LLC sublayer.

In addition, the end station optionally provides one or more media-independent control service access points (MICSAPs) that interface between one or more higher layers and the control and management planes enabling higher layer information to pass to the MICF and vice versa.

The MAC sublayer provides one or more MAC service access points (MSAPs) as interfaces to the LLC sublayer in an end station. Clause 8 provides details of how broadcast and group addresses are constructed. The MAC sublayer optionally provides a media-independent control link service access point (MICLSAP), which is used to provide an interface to support control of the MAC by the MICF.

The PHY provides a PHY service access point (PSAP). In addition, the PHY optionally provides a media-independent control PHY service access point (MICPSAP), which is used to provide an interface port for the control of the PHY by the MICF.

5.2.2 LLC sublayer

The LLC sublayer contains a variety of entities, as illustrated in Figure 6.

Figure 6—LLC sublayer in 802 RM

The higher layer protocol discrimination entity (HLPDE) is used by the LLC sublayer to determine the higher layer protocol to which to deliver an LLC sublayer protocol data unit (PDU). Two methods may be used in the HLPDE. The two methods are:

1) EtherType protocol discrimination (EPD), which uses the EtherType value made available to the LLC sublayer through the MSAP
2) LLC protocol discrimination (LPD), which uses the addresses defined in ISO/IEC 8802-2, including the Subnetwork Access Protocol (SNAP) format

IEEE Std 802.3™ is capable of natively representing the EtherType within its MAC frame format, which is used to support EPD. IEEE Std 802.3 also natively supports ISO/IEC 8802-2 LPD (over a limited range of frame sizes). In other IEEE 802 networks, such as for IEEE Std 802.11™, LPD is also achieved using SNAP, as described in Clause 9. In either of these techniques, the EtherType is effectively being used as a means of identifying an LSAP that provides LLC sublayer service to the protocol concerned. New IEEE 802 standards shall support protocol discrimination in the LLC sublayer using EPD.

IEEE Std 802.1AE™ provides MAC security with connectionless user data confidentiality, frame data integrity, and data origin authenticity by media access independent protocols and entities that operate transparently to MAC clients.

IEEE Std 802.1AX™ provides the ability to aggregate two or more links together to form a single logical link at a higher data rate.

IEEE Std 802.1X provides authentication, authorization, and cryptographic key agreement mechanisms to support secure communication between end stations connected by IEEE 802 networks.

5.2.3 MAC sublayer

The MAC sublayer performs the functions necessary to provide frame-based, connectionless-mode (datagram style) data transfer between stations in support of the next higher sublayer, as described in 5.1, for networks that support it. The term *MAC frame*, or simply *frame*, is used to describe the datagrams transferred between peer MAC sublayer entities. In some MAC types, some MAC frames are used in support of the MAC sublayer functionality itself, rather than for transfer of data from the next higher sublayer.

The principal functions of the MAC sublayer comprise the following:

— Frame delimiting and recognition
— Addressing of destination stations (both as individual stations and as groups of stations)
— Conveyance of source-station addressing information
— Transparent data transfer of PDUs from the next higher sublayer
— Protection against errors, generally by means of generating and checking frame check sequences
— Control of access to the physical transmission medium

Other functions of the MAC sublayer—applicable particularly when the supporting implementation includes interconnection devices such as bridges—include flow control between an end station and an interconnection device, as described in 5.3, and forwarding of frames according to their destination addresses to reduce the extent of propagation of frames in parts of an IEEE 802 network that do not contain communication paths leading to the intended destination end station(s).

The functions listed are those of the MAC sublayer as a whole. Responsibility for performing them is distributed across the transmitting and receiving end stations and any interconnection devices such as bridges. Devices with different roles, therefore, can behave differently in support of a given function. For example, the basic transmission of a MAC frame by a bridge is very similar to transmission by an end station, but not identical. Principally, the handling of source-station addressing is different.

The various MAC specifications all specify MAC frame formats in terms of a serial transmission model for the service provided by the supporting PHY. This model supports concepts such as "first bit (e.g., of a particular octet) to be transmitted" and a strict order of octet transmission in a uniform manner. However, the ways in which the model has been applied in different MAC specifications are not completely uniform with respect to bit-ordering within octets (see Clause 8, and particularly 8.6, for examples and explanation). The serial transmission model does not preclude current or future MAC specifications from using partly or wholly octet-oriented specifications of frame formats or of the interface to the PHY.

5.2.4 PHY

MAC entities use their respective PHY entities to exchange bits with their peers. The PHY provides the capability to transmit and receive modulated signals assigned to specific frequency channels for broadband or wireless media or to a single baseband-channel.

Whereas the service offered to the MAC sublayer is expressed as the transfer of bits (in sequences representing MAC frames), the symbols that are encoded for transmission do not always represent individual bits. Particularly at speeds of 100 Mb/s and above or for wireless transmission, the PHY can map blocks of several bits (e.g., 4, 5, or 8 bits) to different multi-element symbols. In some PHY encodings, these symbols are subject to further transformation before transmission, and in some cases, the transmission is spread over multiple physical data paths.

5.2.5 Layer and sublayer management

The LLC, MAC, and PHY standards also include a management component that specifies managed objects and aspects of the protocol machine that provides the management view of these resources. See Clause 7 for further information.

5.3 Interconnection and interworking

In some cases, the end stations in an IEEE 802 network have no need to communicate with end stations on other networks. However, there are many cases in which end stations on an IEEE 802 network need to communicate with end stations on other networks; therefore, devices that interconnect the IEEE 802 network with other kinds of networks are required. In addition, several standard methods have been developed that permit a variety of interconnection devices to operate transparently to end stations on a network in order to extend the capabilities available to end stations, particularly in terms of the geographical extent and/or total number of end stations that can be supported.

Standard methods of interworking fall into the following three general categories, depending on the layer at which the corresponding interconnection devices operate:

— PHY interconnection, using devices usually termed *repeaters* or *hubs*, as described in 5.3.1
— MAC interconnection, using devices termed *bridges*, as described in 5.3.2
— Network-layer interconnection, using devices usually termed *routers*, as described in 5.3.3

5.3.1 Interconnection at the PHY

The original IEEE 802 standards were for end stations attached to a shared communication medium. This basic configuration is referred to as a *single access domain*; the domain consists of the set of stations such that, at most, only one can transmit at a given time, with all other stations acting as (potential) receivers. In this situation, the function of handling the "one-at-a-time" access arbitration is performed by the set of MACs on a shared medium.

A repeater is a device used to interconnect segments of the physical communications media, for example, to extend the range of a network when the physical specifications of the technology would otherwise be exceeded, while providing a single access domain for the attached stations. Repeaters used in support of multiple end stations attached by star-wired network topologies are frequently referred to as *hubs*.

5.3.2 MAC-sublayer interconnection: Bridges

5.3.2.1 Bridges and bridged IEEE 802 networks

Bridges are stations that interconnect multiple access domains. IEEE Std 802.1D[10] provides the basic specification for bridge interworking among IEEE 802 networks. A bridged IEEE 802 network consists of one or more bridges together with the complete set of access domains that they interconnect. A bridged IEEE 802 network provides end stations belonging to any of its access domains with the connectivity of a

---

[10] Information on normative references can be found in Clause 2.

network that contains the whole set of attached end stations. IEEE Std 802.1Q adds additional capabilities to the bridge specification in IEEE Std 802.1D including virtual local area networks (VLANs), priorities, and provider bridging, as described in 5.3.2.5.

A bridged network can provide for the following:

— Communication between stations attached to networks of different MAC types that conform to the Internal Sublayer Service as specified in IEEE Std 802.1AC.
— An increase in the total throughput of a network over that of a purely shared media network
— An increase in the physical extent of, or number of permissible attachments to, a network
— Partitioning of the physical network for administrative or maintenance reasons

The term *switch* is often used to refer to some classes of bridge. However, there is no consistent meaning applied to the distinction between the terms *bridge* and *switch*, and IEEE Std 802.1D does not make any such distinction. Hence, this standard only uses the term *bridge*.

5.3.2.2 Bridge relaying and filtering

A bridge processes protocols in the MAC sublayer and is functionally transparent to LLC sublayer and higher layer protocols. MAC frames are forwarded between access domains, or filtered (i.e., not forwarded to certain access domains), on the basis of addressing and protocol information contained in the MAC frame. Figure 7 shows the position of the bridging functions within the MAC sublayer; note particularly that relaying and filtering are considered to belong entirely within the MAC sublayer.

Figure 7—Internal organization of the MAC sublayer with bridging

Filtering by bridges tends to confine traffic to only the parts of the bridged network that lie between transmitting end stations and the intended receivers. This permits a bridged network to support several transmitting end stations at any given time (up to the total number of access domains present).

5.3.2.3 Resolving topologies with multiple paths

A key aspect of IEEE Std 802.1D and IEEE Std 802.1Q is the specification of the rapid spanning tree protocol (RSTP), which is used by bridges to configure their interconnections in order to prevent looping data paths in the bridged IEEE 802 network. If the basic interconnection topology of bridges and networks contains multiple possible paths between certain points, use of the RSTP blocks some paths in order to produce a simply connected active topology for the flow of MAC user traffic between end stations. For each point of attachment of a bridge to a network, the RSTP selects whether MAC user traffic is to be received and transmitted by the bridge at that point of attachment.

The RSTP adapts to changes in the configuration of the bridged IEEE 802 network, maintaining connectivity while avoiding data loops. Some configuration changes can cause temporary interruptions of

connectivity between parts of the bridged IEEE 802 network, typically lasting for a few tens of milliseconds at most.

IEEE Std 802.1Q specifies a variant of RSTP, the multiple spanning tree protocol (MSTP), that can configure multiple, independent spanning trees within a bridged network. In addition, IEEE Std 802.1Q specifies shortest path bridging, which allows the use of shortest path communication within administratively defined network regions, while retaining concurrent support for all existing spanning tree protocols. The use of shortest path bridging, both for unicast and multicast, allows multiple paths to be used simultaneously.

5.3.2.4 Transparent bridging

IEEE Std 802.1D and IEEE Std 802.1Q specify transparent bridging operation, so called because the MAC bridging function does not require the MAC user frames transmitted and received to carry any additional information relating to the operation of the bridging functions; end-station operation is unchanged by the presence of bridges.

5.3.2.5 Provider bridging

IEEE Std 802.1Q specifies the method by which the MAC service is supported by virtual bridged LANs, the principles of operation of those networks, and the operation of VLAN-aware bridges, including management, protocols, and algorithms. The standard also enables a service provider to use the architecture and protocols specified in order to offer the equivalent of separate LANs, bridged LANs, or virtual bridged LANs to a number of customers, while requiring no cooperation between the customers and minimal cooperation between each customer and the service provider.

Provider backbone bridging further extends the concept of provider bridging by allowing a backbone network, under the administrative control of a single backbone service provider, to support multiple service providers, each administering its own distinct provider-bridged network to support distinct sets of customers.

5.3.2.6 Bridging example

Some bridges are used to interconnect access domains that each contain a very small number of end stations (often, a single end station). Others interconnect multiple access domains that contain principally other bridges, thus forming a backbone for the bridged IEEE 802 networks. Bridged IEEE 802 network configurations that involve these kinds of interconnection have become widespread as the technologies have developed. These configurations allow the construction of networks with much larger numbers of end stations and much higher aggregate throughput than was previously achievable.

Figure 8 illustrates an example of a bridged IEEE 802 network that can be configured with bridge-style interconnection. The bridges A and B, and the IEEE 802.3 LAN configurations to which they attach, are typical of the older style of bridged IEEE 802 network in which a bridge interconnects a small number of access domains, each containing many end stations, as is similar with K and L and their IEEE 802.17™ ring. The IEEE 802.17 ring and the IEEE 802.3 connections between S and T and S and U form backbone networks. On the other hand, the bridges S, T, and U function as bridges that combines IEEE 802.17, IEEE 802.3, and IEEE 802.16™ networks. S is a backbone bridge, handling a number of network attachments. T and U are bridges that support multiple end stations, with connection to a backbone network. B and K also provide access to a backbone network. The end station shown connected to S by a point-to-point link could be a server system.

Figure 8—An example of a bridged IEEE 802 network

5.3.3 Network-layer interconnection: Routers

Routers are interconnection devices that operate as IEEE 802 end stations. These process network layer protocols that operate directly above the LLC sublayer, with forwarding decisions based on network layer addresses. Details of this kind of interconnection lie outside the scope of IEEE 802 standards, but the various standard and proprietary network-layer protocols involved represent a substantial part of the user traffic on many IEEE 802 networks. In particular, IEEE 802 networks are often interconnected by routers for the IP and its related routing and management protocols, either directly to other IEEE 802 networks or by means of WAN connections.

6. General requirements for an IEEE 802 network

6.1 Services supported

With the descriptions in Clause 5 as a basis, an IEEE 802 network can be characterized as a communication resource that provides sufficient capabilities to support the MAC service specified in IEEE Std 802.1AC, between two or more MSAPs. In particular, this requires the ability to convey LLC sublayer data from one MSAP to *n* other MSAPs, where *n* can be any number from 1 to the number of all of the other MSAPs on the network. An IEEE 802 network is required, at a minimum, to support the MAC Internal Sublayer Service specified in IEEE Std 802.1AC and support the use of EtherTypes for protocol identification at the LLC sublayer.

6.2 Error ratios

For wired or optical fiber physical medium, the error performance of IEEE 802 networks is as follows:

a) For wired or optical fiber physical media: Within a single access domain, the probability that a transmitted MAC frame (excluding any preamble) is not reported correctly at the PHY service interface of an intended receiving peer MAC entity, due only to operation of the PHY, shall be less than 8×10^{-8} per octet of MAC frame length.

NOTE—For some applications and data rates, better performance than this may be required.

b) For wired physical media with frames shorter than 2048 octets: The probability that an MAC service data unit (MSDU) delivered at an MSAP contains an undetected error, due to operation of the MAC service provider, shall be less than 5×10^{-14} per octet of MSDU length.

NOTE—For example, the worst-case probability of losing a maximum-length IEEE 802.3 frame at the PHY is to be less than 1.21×10^{-4}, or approximately 1 in 8250. The worst-case probability that a similar frame, which contains an MSDU of 1500 octets, is delivered with an undetected error is to be less than 7.5×10^{-11}, or approximately 1 in 13 300 000 000.

For wireless physical media, the error performance within a single access domain is variable over time, and no guarantee of service can be given.

6.3 Transient service interruption

Insertion of a station into, or removal of a station from, an IEEE 802 network shall cause at most a transient loss of availability of the access domain(s) to which the station attaches, lasting not more than 1 s. Failure of a station, including loss of power, shall cause at most a transient fault for the access domain(s) to which it was attached, with duration on the order of 1 s. The preceding requirements assume that the new configuration of the network without the lost station is valid.

6.4 Regulatory requirements

While regulatory compliance is out of the scope of IEEE 802 standards, the need to comply with regulations can influence the design of IEEE 802 standards.

7. IEEE 802 network management

7.1 General

The provision of an adequate means of remote management is an important factor in the design of today's network equipment. Such management mechanisms fall into two broad categories: those that provide general-purpose management capability, allowing control and monitoring for a wide variety of purposes, and those that provide specific capabilities aimed at a particular aspect of management. These aspects of management are discussed in 7.2 and 7.3, respectively.

7.2 General-purpose IEEE 802 network management

This subclause introduces the functions of management to assist in the identification of the requirements placed on IEEE 802 network equipment for support of management facilities, and it identifies general-purpose management standards that may be used as the basis of developing management specifications for such equipment.

7.2.1 Management functions

Management functions relate to users' needs for facilities that support the planning, organization, supervision, control, protection, and security of communications resources. These facilities may be categorized as supporting the functional areas of configuration, fault, performance, security, and accounting management. These can be summarized as follows:

— Configuration management provides for the identification of communications resources, initialization, reset and shut-down, the supply of operational parameters, and the establishment and discovery of the relationships between resources.
— Fault management provides for fault prevention, detection, diagnosis, and correction.
— Performance management provides for evaluation of the behavior of communications resources and of the effectiveness of communication activities.
— Security management provides for the protection of resources.
— Accounting management provides for the identification and distribution of costs and the setting of charges.

Management facilities in IEEE 802 network equipment address some or all of these areas, as appropriate to the needs of that equipment and the environment in which it is to be operated.

7.2.2 Management architecture

The management facilities specified in IEEE 802 standards are based on the concept of managed objects that model the semantics of management operations. Operations on a managed object supply information concerning, or facilitate control over, the managed object and thereby, indirectly, the process or entity associated with that object.

Operations on a managed object can be initiated by mechanisms local to the equipment being managed (e.g., via a control panel built into the equipment) or can be initiated from a remote management system by means of a general-purpose management protocol carried using the data services provided by the IEEE 802 network to which the equipment being managed is connected. The entity that does both the network communication of the management protocol and the interaction to and from the managed objects is called the *agent*.

The Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), as described in IETF RFC 3411 [B5], provides a general-purpose management protocol that can be used for the management of IEEE 802 network equipment.

7.2.3 Managed object definitions

In order for an IEEE 802 standard to specify management facilities, it is necessary for it to specify managed objects that model the operations that can be performed on the communications resources specified in the standard. The components of a managed object definition are as follows:

a)   A definition of the functionality provided by the managed object, and the relationship between this functionality and the resource to which it relates.

b)   A definition of the syntax that is used to convey management operations, and their arguments and results, in a management protocol.

c)   An address that allows the management protocol to specifically communicate with the managed object in question. In IEEE 802 this is done with an object identifier (OID), as described in Clause 10.

The functionality of a managed object can be described in a manner that is independent of the protocol that is used; this abstract definition can then be used in conjunction with a definition of the syntactic elements required in order to produce a complete definition of the object for use with specific management protocols.

SNMP is used in many cases together with the structure of management information known as SMIv2 (IETF RFC 2578, IETF RFC 2579 [B3], and IETF RFC 2580 [B4]), which uses a set of macros based on a subset of ASN.1 for defining managed objects.

The choice of notational tools for defining managed objects depends on which of the available management protocols the standard supports.

7.3 Special-purpose IEEE 802 network management standards

Special-purpose protocols relating to the management functionality of IEEE 802 stations can be developed when the use of a general-purpose management protocol is inappropriate. Examples of special-purpose management protocols that can be found in the family of IEEE 802 standards include the Connectivity Fault Management Protocol specified in IEEE Std 802.1Q; the Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM) Protocol specified in IEEE Std 802.3; and the Link Layer Discovery Protocol (LLDP) in IEEE Std 802.1AB™.

8. MAC addresses

8.1 Terms and notational conventions

In this standard, the term *MAC address* is used to refer to a 48-bit or 64-bit number that is used to identify the source and destination MAC entities. A MAC address may also be used to identify a MAC SAP. In many IEEE 802 standards, the term *MAC address* refers only to a 48-bit MAC address. In some IEEE 802 standards, the term *extended address* is used to refer to a 64-bit MAC address.

If interoperability through bridges is required for a standard, then 48-bit MAC addressing is required. New standards that only require routed connectivity should use 64-bit MAC addressing.

Hexadecimal representation is a sequence of octet values in which the values of the individual octets are displayed in order from left to right, with each octet value represented as a 2-digit hexadecimal numeral and with the resulting pairs of hexadecimal digits separated by hyphens. The order of the hexadecimal digits in each pair, as well as the mapping between the hexadecimal digits and the bits of the octet value, is derived by interpreting the bits of the octet value as a binary numeral using the normal mathematical rules for digit significance.

Bit-reversed representation is a sequence of octet values in which the values of the individual octets are displayed in order from left to right, with each octet value represented as a 2-digit hexadecimal numeral and with the resulting pairs of hexadecimal digits separated by colons. The order of the hexadecimal digits in each pair, as well as the mapping between the hexadecimal digits and the bits of the octet value, is derived by reversing the order of the bits in the octet value and interpreting the resulting bit sequence as a binary numeral using the normal mathematical rules for digit significance.

NOTE—The bit-reversed representation is of historical interest only and is no longer applicable to any active IEEE 802 standard.

See 8.2.2 for a comparative example of bit-reversed and hexadecimal representation.

8.2 Universal addresses

8.2.1 Concept and overview

The concept of universal addressing is based on the idea that all potential members of a network need to have a unique identifier. The advantage of a universal address is that a station with such a MAC address can be attached to any IEEE 802 network in the world with an assurance that the MAC address is unique, if all stations adhere to the rules and the security of the network prevents malicious spoofing of MAC addresses.

A universal address is a MAC address that is globally unique. Two different lengths of universal addresses have been specified by the IEEE Registration Authority (RA): 48-bit extended unique identifier (EUI-48) and 64-bit extended unique identifier (EUI-64).

8.2.2 Assignment of universal addresses

The IEEE RA has the responsibility of defining and carrying out procedures for the administration of universal addresses.[11] The IEEE RA has also been designated by ISO/IEC to act as a registration authority for the ISO/IEC 8802 series of standards. The responsibility for defining the procedures is discharged by the IEEE Registration Authority Committee, which is chartered by the IEEE Standards Association Board of Governors.

---

[11]Interested applicants should contact the IEEE RA, http://standards.ieee.org/develop/regauth/oui.

The IEEE RA enables the creation of universal addresses, i.e., EUI-48s and EUI-64s, by assigning identifiers of various lengths, as described in Table 1.[12]

Table 1—IEEE RA assignment summary

IEEE RA assignment	Number of IEEE assigned bits	Block size of EUI-48	Block size of EUI-64	Used for company or organization identifier?
Company ID (CID)	24	0 (zero)	0 (zero)	yes (CID)
MAC addresses – large (MA–L)	24	2^{24}	2^{40}	yes [organizationally unique identifier (OUI)]
MAC addresses–medium (MA–M)	28	2^{20}	2^{36}	no
MAC addresses – small (MA–S)	36	2^{12}	2^{28}	yes (OUI-36 only)

NOTE 1—The terms *OUI* and *OUI-36* were previously used by the IEEE RA to refer to what are now called *MA–L* and *MA–S*, respectively. The acronym OUI without modification was used to refer to the 24-bit field assigned by the IEEE RA. However, while not appropriate, the acronym OUI has been used to refer to generally to all IEEE RA assignments. As a result, the use of OUI is not always consistent within all IEEE standards.

NOTE 2—The CID comes from the same 24-bit space as the MA-L/OUI. A CID assignment is used to identify a company or organization, but is not used to create universal addresses. A CID assignment has the X bit (the U/L address bit in a MAC address) set to one, which would place any address created with a CID in the locally administered address space.[13]

The standard representation of MA-L, MA-M, and MA-S is to use the hexadecimal representation. See 8.6 for further specification relating to use of the bit-reversed representation.

The structure of MA-L is illustrated in Figure 9. The structure for the first octet of MA-M and MA-S is the same as for MA-L. For MA-L, MA-M, and MA-S, the least significant bit (LSB) of the first octet is the individual/group (I/G) address bit. The next-to-LSB of the first octet for the assignment is the universal/local (U/L) address bit.

Application dependent: e.g., U/L bit in addresses, X bit in protocol IDs

Application dependent: e.g., I/G bit in addresses, M bit in protocol IDs

Octet 0
Octet 1
Octet 2

Figure 9—Structure of MA-L/OUI

The I/G address bit is used to identify the destination MAC address as an individual MAC address or a group MAC address. If the I/G address bit is 0, it indicates that the MAC address field is an individual MAC address. If this bit is 1, the MAC address is a group MAC address that identifies one or more (or all) stations connected to the IEEE 802 network. The all-stations broadcast MAC address is a special group MAC address of all 1's.

---

[12]More information on MA-L, MA-M, and MA-S assignment can be found on the IEEE RA web site, http://standards.ieee.org/develop/regauth/.

[13]More information on CIDs can be found on the IEEE RA tutorial web page, http://standards.ieee.org/develop/regauth/tut/index.html.

The U/L bit indicates whether the MAC address has been assigned by a local or universal administrator. Universal addresses have the U/L bit set to 0. If the U/L bit is set to 1, the remaining bits (i.e., all bits except the I/G and U/L bits) are locally administered and should not be expected to meet the uniqueness requirement of the IEEE RA-assigned values.

A universal address consists of two parts: the leading bits (24, 28, or 36) are assigned by the IEEE RA with the U/L bit set to zero and the remaining bits by that assignee. An example of an EUI-48 is shown in Figure 10. For MA-M and MA-S, the final 4 bits of the assigned number are in a nibble that is not adjacent to the other bits in the assigned number when displayed with LSB on the left and most significant bit (MSB) on the right. For example, when using an MA-S to create an EUI-48, the MA-S value is contained in octets 0, 1, 2, 3 and the least significant nibble of octet 4, and the value assigned by the assignee is contained in the most significant nibble of octet 4 and octet 5.

Figure 10—Example EUI-48

NOTE—The octet string AC-DE-48-12-7B-80 is used in this standard because it is clear when a bit pattern is reversed. This octet string could be in use and is not a reserved value. While AC-DE-48 is used as the same first 3 octets for the examples of MA-L, MA-M, and MA-S, the first 3 octets are different for valid assigned RA values.

An example of an EUI-64 is illustrated in Figure 11.

NOTE—The upper, bit-stream representation of the EUI-48 in Figure 10 and the EUI-64 in Figure 11 shows the LSB of each octet first; this corresponds to the data-communications convention for representing bit-serial transmission in left-to-right order, applied to the model for transmission of EUI-48 fields (see 5.2.3) and EUI-64 fields. See also 8.6 for further discussion of bit-ordering issues. The lower, octet-sequence representation shows the bits within each octet in the usual order for binary numerals; the order of octet transmission is from the top downward.

Hexadecimal representation: AC-DE-48-12-7B-80-53-84

Bit-reversed representation: 35:7B:12:48:DE:01:CA:21

Octet: 0   1   2   3   4   5   6   7

LSB MSB LSB MSB

0011 0101 0111 1011 0001 0010 0100 1000 1101 1110 0000 0001 1100 1010 0010 0001

U/L address bit

I/G address bit

MA-L: AC-DE-48

MA-M: AC-DE-48-1

MA-S: AC-DE-48-12-7

U/L address bit

I/G address bit

Octet 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0
Octet 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0
Octet 2 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0
Octet 3 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0
Octet 4 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 1
Octet 5 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Octet 6 0 1 0 1 0 0 1 1
Octet 7 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0

Figure 11—Example EUI-64

8.2.3 Assignment by organizations

The IEEE does not intend to assign additional MA-Ls, MA-Ms, or MA-Ss to any organization unless the organization has exhausted use of the address block or blocks already assigned to that organization.

It is important to note that universal addresses created from MA-Ls, MA-Ms, or MA-Ss should not be used for purposes that would lead to skipping large numbers of them (for example, as product identifiers for the purpose of aiding company inventory procedures). The IEEE asks that organizations not misuse the assignments of the remaining bits and thereby unnecessarily exhaust the block. There are sufficient identifiers to satisfy most needs for a long time, even in volume production; however, no address space is infinite.

The method that an assignee uses to ensure that no two of its stations carry the same universal address is not defined in this standard. However, the users of networks worldwide expect to have unique addresses. The ultimate responsibility for assuring that user expectations and requirements are met, therefore, lies with the organization offering such stations.

8.2.4 Uniqueness of address assignment

It is recommended that each distinct point of attachment to an IEEE 802 network have its own unique EUI-48 or EUI-64. Typically, therefore, an IEEE 802 network adapter card (or, e.g., an equivalent chip or set of chips on a motherboard) should have one unique EUI-48 or EUI-64 for each IEEE 802 network attachment that it can support at a given time.

NOTE—While some implementations have used a single EUI-48 or EUI-64 to identify all of the system's points of attachment to IEEE 802 networks, this approach does not inherently meet the requirements of IEEE 802.1D™ MAC bridging.

8.3 Interworking with 48-bit and 64-bit MAC addresses

In response to concerns that the EUI-48 space could be exhausted by the breadth of products requiring unique identifiers, 64-bit MAC addresses were introduced. Initially, new IEEE standards projects that did

not require backward compatibility with EUI-48 were requested to use 64-bit MAC addresses. This led to some IEEE 802 standards adopting 64-bit MAC addressing, which cannot be bridged onto IEEE 802 networks that use 48-bit MAC addressing. The reason is that the bridging function in IEEE Std 802.1D and IEEE Std 802.1Q assumes that 48-bit MAC addresses are unique among all the connected networks. Truncating an 64-bit MAC address into an 48-bit field can lead to two stations having the same 48-bit value. Instead, traffic between 64-bit and 48-bit MAC addressed networks needs to be routed at a layer above the DLL.

Bridging for an IEEE 802 network with 64-bit MAC addresses is currently not specified.

8.4 Local MAC addresses

Local MAC addresses are 48-bit or 64 bit MAC addressees for which there is no guarantee that the MAC address is unique in all IEEE 802 networks. Local MAC addresses may be assigned any value that has the U/L bit set to indicate a local MAC addresses and an I/G bit value that indicates whether the MAC address is individual or group. Local MAC addresses need to be unique on a LAN or bridged LAN unless the bridges support VLANs with independent learning.

The I/G bit is set as described in 8.2.2.

NOTE—MA-L, MA-M, and MA-S assignments do not apply to local MAC addresses. Refer to the IEEE RA web site[14] for recommendations for management of the local MAC address space.

8.5 Standardized group MAC addresses[15]

The previous subclauses described the assignment of individual and group MAC addresses and protocol identifiers for public or private use by private organizations. There is also a need for standardized 48-bit and 64-bit group MAC addresses to be used with standard protocols. The administration of these standardized 48-bit and 64-bit group MAC addresses, including the procedure for application and a list of currently assigned values, is described on the web pages for the IEEE RA[16]. These standardized group MAC addressees come from a block of universally administered addresses derived from a MA-L that has been assigned by the IEEE for this purpose.

8.6 Bit-ordering and different MACs

Throughout this subclause, considerations relating to the order of bit and/or octet transmission refer to the basic bit-serial model of transmission that applies to the representation of MAC frames at the boundary between the MAC and the PHY.

8.6.1 General considerations

The transmission of data on IEEE 802.3 networks is represented, as described in 5.2.3, as occurring LSB first within each octet. This is true for the entire frame: source and destination address fields, MAC-specific fields (e.g., Length/Type field), and the MAC Information field.

On some other network types, each octet of the MAC Information field is represented as being transmitted MSB first. The source and destination address fields, however, are represented as being transmitted with the LSB of each octet first. Thus, the first bit transmitted is the I/G address bit, as in IEEE 802.3 networks. For

---

[14]http://standards.ieee.org/develop/regauth.

[15]These were previously referred to as standard group MAC addresses.

[16]http://standards.ieee.org/develop/regauth/grpmac.

frames that originate within the MAC (e.g., MAC-embedded management frames), the ordering of bits within the MAC Information field is specified by the MAC standard.

For most purposes, the difference in the bit ordering used to represent transmission of the octets of the MAC Information field is of no consequence, whether considered within a given MAC type, or across different MAC types. Each octet of user data is mapped to and from the appropriate ordering, symmetrically by the transmitting and receiving MAC entities. An unfortunate exception has occurred, however, where the octets concerned are those of a MAC address that is embedded, as user data, in the MAC Information field.

8.6.2 Recommendation

Designers of protocols that operate above the DLL are strongly recommended to avoid specifying new protocols that result in frames of noncanonical format.

9. Protocol identifiers

9.1 Introduction

This clause describes methods that allow multiple network layer protocols to coexist in an IEEE 802 network. These methods provide for the following:

— The coexistence of multiple network layer protocols
— The migration of existing networks to future standard protocols
— The accommodation of future higher layer protocols

Within a given layer, entities can exchange data by a mutually agreed upon protocol mechanism. A pair of entities that do not support a common protocol cannot communicate with each other. For multiple protocols to coexist within a layer, it is necessary to determine which protocol is to be invoked to process a service data unit delivered by the lower layer.

Various network and higher layer protocols have been assigned reserved LPD addresses or EtherTypes, as recorded by the IEEE RA[17]. These addresses permit multiple protocols to coexist at a single MAC station.

This clause describes the protocol identifiers used for the LPD and EPD methods as well as a protocol identifier based on OUI-36.

The EPD method shall be the primary specified means for protocol identification at the LLC sublayer in IEEE 802 standards developed after January 2011[18], excluding amendments to existing standards.

9.2 EtherTypes

9.2.1 Format, function, and administration

Protocol discrimination performed by the EPD method is based on EtherTypes. For example, the value of the Type/Length field in the IEEE 802.3 MAC frame format directs the protocol parser into the LPD HLPDE if the value is less than 1536. This allows frames of both formats to be freely intermixed on a given IEEE 802 network and at a given station.

EtherType protocol identification values are assigned by the IEEE RA[19] and are used to identify the protocol that is to be invoked to process the user data in the frame. An EtherType is a sequence of 2 octets, interpreted as a 16-bit numeric value with the first octet containing the most significant 8 bits and the second octet containing the least significant 8 bits. Values in the 0–1535 range are not available for use in order to retain legacy compatibility with Length field based protocols, e.g., IEEE Std 802.3.

Examples of EtherTypes are 0x0800 and 0x8DD, which are used to identify IPv4 and IPv6, respectively.

It is strongly recommended when designing new protocols to be identified by an EtherType, that fields are defined to provide for subtyping. The format used for subtyping in a protocol described in 9.2.3 is recommended.

---

[17] More information can be found at http://standards.ieee.org/develop/regauth/llc.

[18] IEEE Std 802.2™-1989 (reaffirmed 2003) was administratively withdrawn as an IEEE standard on 11 January 2011 in deference to the stabilized standard ISO/IEC 8802-2:1998 where the same material continues to be available.

[19] More information on EtherTypes can be found on the IEEE RA web site, http://standards.ieee.org/develop/regauth/ethertype/.

9.2.2 EtherTypes for prototype and vendor-specific protocol development

The EtherType identifier space is a finite resource. The vendor-specific protocol identifier is a means whereby protocol developers may assign permanent protocol identifier values without consuming type values from this limited resource. This can be useful for prototype, experimental, and private/proprietary protocols to be developed without impacting the global EtherType namespace.

These objectives are supported by the following EtherType assignments and associated rules for their use:

a) Two EtherType values, known as the Local Experimental EtherTypes, as specified in 9.2.3, assigned, as the name implies, for experimental use within a local area

b) A single EtherType value, known as the OUI Extended EtherType, as specified in 9.2.4, assigned for the identification of vendor-specific protocols

The values of the Local Experimental EtherTypes and the OUI Extended EtherType are listed in Table 2.

Table 2—Assigned EtherType values

Name	Value
Local Experimental EtherType 1	88-B5
Local Experimental EtherType 2	88-B6
OUI Extended EtherType	88-B7

9.2.3 Local Experimental EtherTypes

The Local Experimental EtherTypes are intended for use in conjunction with experimental protocol development within a privately administered development network, for example, within an experimental network that has no wide area connectivity. Within that network, a local administrator is free to use a Local Experimental EtherType and to assign subtypes for protocol development purposes. However, by virtue of the way these EtherTypes are intended to be used, the following practical and administrative constraints apply to their use:

a) Since the format for protocols using the Local Experimental EtherTypes does not contain a means to identify the administrative domain, it might not be possible to identify the protocol of a frame if protocols developed within different administrative domains using Local Experimental EtherTypes are used in the same network. Hence, the use of these EtherTypes to identify protocols can only be achieved reliably if all uses of the EtherTypes are within the control of a single administrative domain. Therefore, these EtherTypes shall not be used in protocols or products that are to be released for use in the wider networking community, as freeware, shareware, or any part of a company's commercial product offering. Products shall be transitioned to a product EtherType before it is deployed in an environment outside the developing organization's administrative control, for example, when deployed with a customer or any other connected environments for testing.

b) Local Experimental EtherType shall not be permanently assigned for use with a given protocol or protocols.

c) End stations that bound any administrative domain should be configured to prevent frames containing a Local Experimental EtherType from passing either into or out of a domain in which its contents can be misinterpreted. For example, the default configuration of any firewall should be to not pass this EtherType.

A Local Experimental EtherType is processed by the HLPDE in the same manner as other Ethertype values.

In order to allow for different experimental protocols, sub-protocols, and versions to coexist within the same experimental network, a protocol subtype and a protocol version identifier shall be used in conjunction with the Local Experimental EtherType value. Figure 12 shows the format of an IEEE 802.3 frame carrying a Local Experimental EtherType. The lengths of the protocol subtype and the protocol version identifier fields, as well as their order of appearance within the frame, are not constrained by this standard.


Figure 12—Example of an IEEE 802.3 frame carrying the Local Experimental EtherType

Two Local Experimental EtherType values are provided to allow protocols that need more than one distinct EtherType value, or two distinct protocols, to be developed within a single administrative domain. In particular, the provision of two Local Experimental EtherTypes allows for cases where it is necessary to be able to distinguish protocols or sub-protocols at the EtherType level in order to facilitate the use of filtering actions in bridges.

The combination of the Local Experimental EtherType value, the protocol subtype, and the protocol version provides the protocol identifier for the experimental protocol. The values assigned to the protocol subtype and protocol version are locally administered; their meaning cannot, therefore, be correctly interpreted outside of the administrative domain within which the value was allocated.

NOTE—The use of this format provides for a simple migration path to the use of a distinct EtherType permanently assigned to the protocol. The routine examination of proposals made to the IEEE RA for the allocation of EtherTypes includes a check that the proposed protocol format has sufficient subtype capability to withstand enhancement by the originator without the need for the assignment of a further EtherType in the future, and inclusion of the subtype and version values could be deemed to meet this requirement. While the existence of such a mechanism in the protocol specification is not in itself sufficient to ensure that an application for an EtherType succeeds, its existence is a necessary element of an acceptable protocol design. The subtyping mechanism described here offers one way that this requirement may be met.

9.2.4 OUI Extended EtherType

The OUI Extended EtherType provides a means of protocol identification similar to that offered by the SNAP identifier described in 9.5.1. Like the SNAP identifier, the OUI Extended EtherType allows an organization to use protocol identifiers, as described in 9.5. An organization allocates protocol identifiers to its own protocols in a manner that ensures that the protocol identifier is globally unique.

NOTE—The requirement for global uniqueness of protocol identifiers means that if protocol identifier X has been allocated for use by an organization's protocol, then that protocol identifier can be used with either the SNAP identifier or the OUI Extended EtherType to identify that protocol. Conversely, it means that protocol identifier X cannot be used to identify any other protocol.

The OUI Extended EtherType is processed by the HLPDE in the same manner as other Ethertype values. Immediately following the EtherType value is a protocol identifier, as described in 9.5, consisting of a 3-octet OUI value followed by 2 octets administered by the OUI assignee. The OUI value provides an administrative context within which the assignee can allocate values to a 16-bit protocol subtype. This approach is closely similar to the LPD-based SNAP identifier mechanism specified in 9.5; however, the OUI Extended EtherType is used in place of the LPD header.

NOTE—The 2 octets of the protocol identifier that are administered by the OUI assignee can be used in any way that the assignee chooses; however, as OUIs are a finite resource, it is advisable not to choose an allocation approach that is wasteful, as would be the case, for example, if the assignee chose to use these 2 octets to encode a length value.

Figure 13 shows the format of an IEEE 802.3 frame carrying the OUI Extended EtherType in the Length/Type field. The value used for the OUI component of the protocol identifier is an OUI value assigned to the organization that has developed the vendor-specific protocol. The combination of the OUI Extended EtherType, the OUI value, and the 16-bit value administered by the OUI assignee provides a unique protocol identifier for the vendor-specific protocol. The 16-bit values are administered by the organization to which the OUI has been assigned; their meaning can, therefore, be correctly interpreted only by reference to the organization that owns the OUI concerned.


Figure 13—IEEE 802.3 frame with the OUI Extended EtherType encoded in the Length/Type field

NOTE—As the protocol designer is free to specify the structure of the Protocol Data field, pad octets can be included in the definition of this field, for example, for the purposes of alignment with 4-octet or 8-octet boundaries.

As discussed in 9.2.3, it is good protocol development practice to use a protocol subtype, along with a protocol version identifier in order to avoid having to allocate a new protocol identifier when a protocol is revised or enhanced. Users of the OUI Extended EtherType are, therefore, encouraged to include protocol subtype and version information in the specification of the protocol data for their protocols.

This method of protocol identification is intended to be used in products or protocols that are planned to be released into multi-vendor environments outside of the control of the administration that assigns the protocol identifier. The use of this mechanism allows such protocols to be developed and distributed without the need for a specific EtherType to be assigned for the use of each protocol.

As the OUI Extended EtherType is a normal EtherType value, it is possible to use the encoding described in 9.4 to carry its value within an LPD PDU, using a SNAP identifier with the IETF RFC 1042 [B1] OUI. Figure 14 shows the format of an IEEE 802.3 frame carrying the OUI Extended EtherType encoded in this way. In this case, it would be more appropriate to use the SNAP identifier directly (i.e., omit the IETF RFC 1042 OUI and OUI Extended EtherType fields shown in Figure 14); however, this is a valid encoding of the OUI Extended EtherType that can result from the application of the encapsulation described in 9.4.


Figure 14—IEEE 802.3 frame with the OUI Extended EtherType encoded in an LPD PDU

9.3 OUI and OUI-36 as protocol identifiers

An organization that has an OUI or OUI-36 assigned to it may use its OUI or OUI-36 to assign universally unique protocol identifiers to its own protocols, for use with various protocols described in IEEE 802 standards, potentially with additional octets as part of the identifier.

The LSB of the first octet of an OUI, as shown in Figure 15, or OUI-36, as shown in Figure 16, used as a protocol identifier is referred to as the M bit. All OUI and OUI-36 identifiers assigned by the IEEE have the M bit set to zero. Values with the M bit set to one are reserved.


**Figure 15—Format of an OUI used as protocol identifier**

Figure 16—Format of an OUI-36 used as a protocol identifier

The X bit of a protocol identifier is the bit of the first octet adjacent to the M bit. All OUI and OUI-36 identifiers assigned by the IEEE with the X bit set to zero may also be used to create EUI-48 and EUI-64 addresses. An OUI or OUI-36 identifier assigned by the IEEE with the X bit set to one shall only be used as an OUI or OUI-36 protocol identifier, respectively. Any MAC address created with an OUI or OUI-36 with the X bit set to one are, by definition, locally administered addresses; they may be used but there is no assurance of uniqueness.

9.4 Encapsulation of Ethernet frames with LPD

This subclause specifies the standard method for conveying Ethernet frames across IEEE 802 networks that offer only the LPD function and not the EPD function in the LLC sublayer.

An Ethernet frame conveyed on an LPD-only IEEE 802 network shall be encapsulated in a SNAP data unit contained in an LPD PDU of type UI, as follows:

a)   The Protocol Identification field of the SNAP data unit shall contain a SNAP identifier in which
   1)   The three OUI octets each take the value zero.
   2)   The two remaining octets take the values, in the same order, of the 2 octets of the Ethernet frame's EtherType.
b)   The Protocol Data field of the SNAP data unit shall contain the user data octets, in order, of the Ethernet frame.
c)   The values of the Destination MAC Address field and Source MAC Address field of the Ethernet frame shall be used in the Destination MAC Address field and Source MAC Address field, respectively, of the MAC frame in which the SNAP data unit is conveyed.

NOTE—This encapsulation was originally specified in IETF RFC 1042 [B1], which contains recommendations relating to its use. Further recommendations are contained in IETF RFC 1390 [B2].

9.5 SNAP

SNAP provides a method for multiplexing and demultiplexing of private and public protocols among multiple users of the LLC sublayer. An organization that has an OUI assigned to it may use its OUI to assign universally unique protocol identifiers to its own protocols, for use in the protocol identification field of SNAP data units.

9.5.1 SNAP identifier

The SNAP identifier is 5 octets in length and follows the LPD header in a frame. The first 3 octets of the SNAP identifier consist of the OUI in exactly the same fashion as in EUI-48. The remaining 2 octets are administered by the assignee. In the SNAP identifier, an example of which is shown in Figure 17, the OUI is contained in octets 0, 1, 2 with octets 3, 4 being assigned by the assignee of the OUI.


Figure 17—SNAP identifier

The standard representation of a SNAP identifier is as a string of 5 octets using the hexadecimal representation.

The LSB of the first octet of a SNAP identifier is referred to as the M bit. All identifiers derived from OUIs assigned by the IEEE shall have the M bit set to zero. Values with the M bit set to one are reserved.

SNAP identifiers may be assigned universally or locally. The X bit of a SNAP identifier is the bit of the first octet adjacent to the M bit. All universally assigned SNAP identifiers derived from OUIs have the X bit set to zero. SNAP identifiers with the X bit set to one are locally assigned and have no relationship to the protocol identifiers assigned by the IEEE RA. They may be used, but there is no assurance of uniqueness.

9.5.2 SNAP address

The reserved LPD address for use with SNAP is called the SNAP address. It is specified to be the bit pattern (starting with the LSB) Z1010101, in which the symbol Z indicates that either value 0 or 1 can occur, depending on the context in which the address appears (as specified in ISO/IEC 8802-2). The two possible values have hexadecimal representation AA and AB.

The SNAP address identifies, at each MSAP, a single LSAP for standard, public, and private protocol usage. To permit multiple public and private network layer protocols to coexist at one MSAP, each public or private protocol using SNAP shall employ a protocol identifier that enables SNAP to discriminate among these protocols.

9.5.3 SNAP data unit format

Each SNAP data unit shall conform to the format shown in Figure 18 and shall form the entire content of the LPD information field.

Octet: 0	4	5	(N+4)
Protocol Identification		Protocol Data	

Figure 18—SNAP data unit format

In Figure 18, the Protocol Identification field contains a SNAP identifier whose format and administration are as described in 9.5.1. The Protocol Data field is a field whose length, format, and content are specified by a public or private protocol specification.

Figure 19 illustrates how a SNAP data unit appears in a complete MAC frame (the IEEE 802.3 MAC format is used for the example). The LPD control field (CTL) is shown for PDU type UI, Unnumbered Information, which is the most commonly used PDU type in this context; however, other information-carrying LPD PDU types may also be used with SNAP identifiers.


Figure 19—SNAP data unit in IEEE 802.3 MAC frame

10. Allocation of OID values in IEEE 802 standards

10.1 General

From time to time, various IEEE 802 standards have a requirement to allocate OID values. The most common example is for defining management information base (MIB) objects for SNMP, but other examples exist. MIB modules describe the structure of the management data of a device subsystem and use a hierarchical name space based on OIDs to identify variables. This clause specifies a simple and consistent OID hierarchy, based on the use of the OID value that has been assigned by ISO to identify the IEEE 802 series of standards. This hierarchy should be used by all current and future IEEE 802 Working Groups and can be used flexibly to meet the needs of the standards developed by those working groups. This establishes a consistent practice within IEEE 802 for the development and allocation of OIDs. Consistency of OID allocation facilitates implementation and operation of IEEE 802–compliant equipment.

10.2 OIDs and ISO standards

An OID is an ASN.1 data type, specified in ITU-T Recommendation X.660, that is used as a means of defining unique identifiers for objects. Values of the OID data type can then be used to name the objects to which they relate.

The OID data type consists of a sequence of one or more non-negative integers, often referred to as arcs, that specify a hierarchy, or tree, of OID values. The first arc in the sequence identifies the registration authority responsible for allocating the values of the second and subsequent arcs. For example:

iso (1)

indicates that an initial arc value of 1 identifies ISO as the registration authority. Subsequent arcs in the sequence are determined by ISO or are allocated by registration authorities subordinate to ISO.

Under the iso arc, a second arc has been allocated to identify organizations recognized by ISO, such as the IEEE; hence, the two-integer sequence

iso (1) iso-identified-organization (3)

Under the iso-identified-organization arc, a subsequent arc has been allocated to identify the IEEE; hence, the three-integer sequence

iso (1) iso-identified-organization (3) ieee (111)

indicates that the fourth integer identifies a particular registry within the IEEE and that the allocation of the fourth and subsequent arcs is the responsibility of the IEEE. Under the ieee arc, the IEEE RA has specified an arc for the numbered series of IEEE standards; hence, the four-integer sequence

iso (1) iso-identified-organization (3) ieee (111)
standards-association-numbered-series-standards (2)

indicates that the fifth integer is used to identify a particular IEEE numbered series standard. The actual number corresponding to the numbered series standard is used in the fifth arc; hence, the following identifies the IEEE 802 series of standards:

iso (1) iso-identified-organization (3) ieee (111)
standards-association-numbered-series-standards (2) ieee-802 (802)

The responsibility for allocating the subsequent arcs under iso (1) iso-identified-organization (3) ieee (111) standards-association-numbered-series-standards (2) ieee-802 (802) lies with IEEE 802.

As the standard number 802 is used to identify the member of the family of IEEE 802 standards, this particular sequence of integer values can form the basis of an OID hierarchy for use by the individual standards in the IEEE 802 family. The act of assigning a number to a standard has the effect of automatically assigning an OID arc to that standard; therefore, no further administrative effort is needed before that standard can allocate OID values under that point in the tree, using the subsequent arcs.

10.3 The OID hierarchy for IEEE 802 standards

The OID value assigned to the family of IEEE 802 standards is:

> iso (1) iso-identified-organization (3) ieee (111)
> standards-association-numbered-series-standards (2) ieee-802 (802)

The next arc under iso (1) iso-identified-organization (3) ieee (111) standards-association-numbered-series-standards (2) ieee-802 (802) is used to differentiate between members of the family of IEEE 802 standards, by using it as a working group designator, as follows:

> iso (1) iso-identified-organization (3) ieee (111)
> standards-association-numbered-series-standards (2) ieee-802 (802) ieee802dotX (X)

where X is the working group number of the IEEE 802 Working Group responsible for that standard. These arcs are assigned for use in all current and future IEEE 802.X standards.

For example, under this hierarchy, the value used for standards developed by the IEEE 802.3 Working Group is:

> iso (1) iso-identified-organization (3) ieee (111)
> standards-association-numbered-series-standards (2) ieee-802 (802) ieee802dot3 (3)

and the value used for IEEE 802.11™ standards is:

> iso (1) iso-identified-organization (3) ieee (111)
> standards-association-numbered-series-standards (2) ieee-802 (802) ieee802dot11 (11)

The working group concerned is free to decide how further arcs are allocated within their standards, in a manner that makes sense for their particular needs.

It is the responsibility of each working group to ensure that any values that are allocated to the fifth and subsequent arcs are documented, in a manner that ensures that the same OID value cannot be assigned to two different objects. In the IEEE 802.1 Working Group, this has been achieved in the past by placing tables of OID allocations in an annex within the standard concerned[20]; in the IEEE 802.3 Working Group, a master spreadsheet of allocated OID values is maintained by the chair and posted on the working group's website. For future allocations, adopting a master spreadsheet approach is appropriate.

It is important that the allocation scheme for the fifth and subsequent arcs is constructed in a manner that leaves appropriate "escapes" for uses that cannot be foreseen. The simple expedient of allocating a "type of allocation" value as the fifth arc is sufficient to ensure that such an escape is always available.

---

[20] More information on IEEE 802.1 OIDs can be found on the working group web site, http://www.ieee802.org/1/pages/OIDS.html.

10.4 The OID hierarchy under iso(1) std(0) iso8802(8802)

The 2001 revision of this standard documented the use of iso(1) std(0) iso8802(8802) as the root arc under which IEEE 802 standards would develop their OID hierarchies. The use of this root arc is deprecated.

10.5 Migration from previous OID allocations

The OID hierarchy described in this clause need not have any effect upon existing IEEE 802 standards that have already solved this problem by using a specific allocation obtained elsewhere (for example, from ANSI).

With the hierarchy as specified in this clause, as each new working group is created in IEEE 802, its base OID arc is also created automatically; therefore, no administrative effort is required on the part of the working group, other than to determine how the fifth and subsequent arcs are used in its standards.

For those working groups that have already made use of other allocation schemes (e.g., IEEE 802.3 and IEEE 802.1), it may be considered appropriate to migrate existing allocations to the hierarchy specified in this clause. In considering this, the following should be borne in mind:

— While it might be perceived as "tidy" to have all IEEE 802 OIDs allocated under a single arc of the OID tree, this is not a requirement for any other reason; one OID value is no better or no worse than any other from a technical point of view (with the possible exception that the encoded length can vary with the number of arcs to be encoded), as long as any given OID identifies a single object.

— If migration is desired, there is no requirement to remove the old OID values[21]. Indeed, this is not permitted for objects in SNMP MIB modules that are not obsolete, as specified in IETF RFC 2578, nor is it permitted to associate such objects with more than one OID value. Instead, new definitions are required to be created and registered under the desired OID tree[22].

---

[21] There is no general requirement that an object should have only a single identifier; if it has more than one, then it can be "reached" by following more than one set of branches of the naming tree, just as a map can provide more than one path to a destination.

[22] This appears to contradict the earlier statement and footnote that indicate that it does not matter if multiple OIDs point at the same object; however, this is a specific requirement imposed on MIB objects for SNMP by the relevant IETF standards, and not a general rule.

Annex A

(informative)

Bibliography

Bibliographic references are resources that provide additional or helpful material but do not need to be understood or used to implement this standard. Reference to these resources is made for informational use only.

[B1] IETF RFC 1042, A Standard for the Transmission of IP Datagrams over IEEE 802 Networks. Postel, J., and J. Reynolds, Feb. 1988.[23]

[B2] IETF RFC 1390, Transmission of IP and ARP over FDDI Networks. Katz, D., Jan. 1993.

[B3] IETF RFC 2579, STD 58, Textual Conventions for SMIv2. McCloghrie, K., D. Perkins, J. Schoenwaelder, J. Case, M. Rose, and S. Waldbusser, Apr. 1999.

[B4] IETF RFC 2580, STD 58, Conformance Statements for SMIv2. McCloghrie, K., D. Perkins, J. Schoenwaelder, J. Case, M. Rose, and S. Waldbusser, Apr. 1999.

[B5] IETF RFC 3411, STD 62, An Architecture for Describing Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) Management Frameworks.

[B6] IETF RFC 5677, IEEE 802.21 Mobility Services Framework Design (MSFD). Melia, T., G. Bajko, S. Das, N. Golmie, and J. C. Zuniga, Dec. 2009.

[B7] ISO/IEC 7498-1:1994, Information technology—Open Systems Interconnection—Basic Reference Model: The Basic Model.

---

[23] IETF documents (i.e., RFCs) are available the Internet Engineering Task Force (http://www.rfc-archive.org/).

Annex B

(informative)

RMs for IEEE 802 standards

B.1 IEEE 802.3 RMs

IEEE Std 802.3 offers multiple options, each of which has a different RM.

The basic RM for IEEE 802.3 stations without optional sublayers is illustrated in Figure B.1.

RS   reconciliation sublayer   MSAP   MAC service access point
LSAP   link service access point   PSAP   PHY service access point

Figure B.1—Basic RM for IEEE 802.3 stations

The RM for IEEE Std 802.3 is illustrated in Figure B.2.

OAM   operations, administration and   MSAP   MAC service access point
        maintenance sublayer   OSAP   OAM service access point
RS   reconciliation sublayer   MCSAP   MAC control service access point
LSAP   link service access point   PSAP   PHY service access point


Figure B.2—The RM for IEEE 802.3 point-to-point stations

The RM for IEEE 802.3 Ethernet passive optical networks (EPON) optical line terminal (OLT) is illustrated in Figure B.3.

OAM	operations, administration and maintenance sublayer	MSAP	MAC service access point
		OSAP	OAM service access point
RS	reconciliation sublayer	MCSAP	MAC control service access point
LSAP	link service access point	PSAP	PHY service access point

Figure B.3—IEEE 802.3 RM for point-to-multipoint OLT

The RM for IEEE 802.3 EPON optical network unit (ONU) is illustrated in Figure B.4.

|			
OAM	operations, administration and maintenance sublayer	MSAP	MAC service access point
		OSAP	OAM service access point
RS	reconciliation sublayer	MCSAP	MAC control service access point
LSAP	link service access point	PSAP	PHY service access point

Figure B.4—The RM for IEEE 802.3 point-to-multipoint ONU

IEEE Std 802.3 was amended in 2004 to introduce the concept of subscriber access network.[24] The purpose of Ethernet in the first mile (EFM), as well as its distinction from traditional Ethernet networks, is that it specifies functionality required for the subscriber access network, i.e., public network access. Network design considerations for public access that may differ from traditional Ethernet LANs include the OAM function and the regulatory requirements.

B.2 IEEE 802.11 RM

The IEEE 802.11 RM is based on the functional station (STA) model, as shown in Figure B.5.

Figure B.5—IEEE 802.11 STA RM

The interconnections between IEEE 802.11 STAs follow three general connection models.

The first interconnection model provides several types of peer-to-peer, direct, pair-wise communication between STAs, each applicable in differing use scenarios. In these direct communications the STAs in each pair have symmetrical operations, with each STA matching the functional STA model, although they can take on different behavioral roles to establish and maintain the interconnection link.

The second interconnection model, the infrastructure model, supports multiple STAs, collected into one or more wireless access domains. These access domains might be interconnected via the distribution system and can interwork with other IEEE 802 networks via one or more portals.

Each access domain in the infrastructure model is established by an access point (AP), which extends the basic STA model to include repeating and forwarding functions that allow communications between non-AP STAs that do not directly interconnect. The AP acts as a forwarding entity to enable communications between non-AP STAs within the access domain (intra-BSS relay). The AP performs a forwarding function, via the distribution system, to enable communications between non-AP STAs in different IEEE 802.11

---

[24] IEEE Std 802.3ah™-2004, IEEE Standard for Information technology—Telecommunications and information exchange between systems—Local and metropolitan area networks—Specific requirements—Part 3: Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD) Access Method and Physical Layer Specifications—Amendment: Media Access Control Parameters, Physical Layers, and Management Parameters for Subscriber Access Networks.

wireless access domains (inter-BSS relay). Finally, via the distribution system, and portals, APs support communications between IEEE 802.11 STAs and stations attached to other, non-IEEE 802.11 networks.

The third interconnection model, is a mesh model consisting of autonomous STAs. Inside the mesh, STAs establish peer-to-peer wireless links with neighbor STAs to mutually exchange messages. Further, using the mesh's multi-hop capability, messages can be transferred between STAs that are not in direct communication with each other over a single instance of the wireless medium. From the data delivery point of view, it appears as if all STAs in a mesh are directly connected at the MAC layer even if the STAs are not within range of each other. A mesh might have interfaces to external networks and can be a distribution system medium for the infrastructure model.

Figure B.6 illustrates the infrastructure model for APs, the distribution system and portals. The arrows indicate the intra-BSS and inter-BSS relay functions for MSDUs as well as interconnection to other IEEE 802 networks.


Figure B.6—IEEE 802.11 infrastructure model