
**Information technology — Abstract
Syntax Notation One (ASN.1):
Parameterization of ASN.1 specifications**

Technologies de l'information — Notation de syntaxe abstraite numéro un (ASN.1): Paramétrage des spécifications de la notation de syntaxe abstraite numéro un

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC 8824-4:2015



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2015

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This fifth edition cancels and replaces the fourth edition of ISO/IEC 8824-4:2008 which has been technically revised. It also incorporates ISO/IEC 8824-4:2008/Cor.1:2014.

ISO/IEC 8824-4 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 6, *Telecommunications and information exchange between systems*, in collaboration with ITU-T. The identical text is published as ITU-T X.683 (08/2015).

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC 8824-4:2015

ITU-T

TELECOMMUNICATION
STANDARDIZATION SECTOR
OF ITU

X.683

(08/2015)

SERIES X: DATA NETWORKS, OPEN SYSTEM
COMMUNICATIONS AND SECURITY

OSI networking and system aspects – Abstract Syntax
Notation One (ASN.1)

**Information technology – Abstract Syntax
Notation One (ASN.1): Parameterization of
ASN.1 specifications**

Recommendation ITU-T X.683

ITU-T X-SERIES RECOMMENDATIONS
DATA NETWORKS, OPEN SYSTEM COMMUNICATIONS AND SECURITY

PUBLIC DATA NETWORKS

Services and facilities	X.1–X.19
Interfaces	X.20–X.49
Transmission, signalling and switching	X.50–X.89
Network aspects	X.90–X.149
Maintenance	X.150–X.179
Administrative arrangements	X.180–X.199

OPEN SYSTEMS INTERCONNECTION

Model and notation	X.200–X.209
Service definitions	X.210–X.219
Connection-mode protocol specifications	X.220–X.229
Connectionless-mode protocol specifications	X.230–X.239
PICS proformas	X.240–X.259
Protocol Identification	X.260–X.269
Security Protocols	X.270–X.279
Layer Managed Objects	X.280–X.289
Conformance testing	X.290–X.299

INTERWORKING BETWEEN NETWORKS

General	X.300–X.349
Satellite data transmission systems	X.350–X.369
IP-based networks	X.370–X.379

MESSAGE HANDLING SYSTEMS

DIRECTORY	X.400–X.499
-----------	-------------

OSI NETWORKING AND SYSTEM ASPECTS

Networking	X.600–X.629
Efficiency	X.630–X.639
Quality of service	X.640–X.649
Naming, Addressing and Registration	X.650–X.679

Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1)	X.680–X.699
---	--------------------

OSI MANAGEMENT

Systems management framework and architecture	X.700–X.709
Management communication service and protocol	X.710–X.719
Structure of management information	X.720–X.729
Management functions and ODMA functions	X.730–X.799

SECURITY

OSI APPLICATIONS

Commitment, concurrency and recovery	X.850–X.859
Transaction processing	X.860–X.879
Remote operations	X.880–X.889
Generic applications of ASN.1	X.890–X.899

OPEN DISTRIBUTED PROCESSING

INFORMATION AND NETWORK SECURITY	X.900–X.999
----------------------------------	-------------

SECURE APPLICATIONS AND SERVICES

CYBERSPACE SECURITY	X.1000–X.1099
---------------------	---------------

SECURE APPLICATIONS AND SERVICES

CYBERSECURITY INFORMATION EXCHANGE	X.1100–X.1199
------------------------------------	---------------

CLOUD COMPUTING SECURITY	X.1200–X.1299
--------------------------	---------------

	X.1300–X.1399
--	---------------

	X.1400–X.1499
--	---------------

	X.1500–X.1599
--	---------------

	X.1600–X.1699
--	---------------

For further details, please refer to the list of ITU-T Recommendations.

**Information technology – Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1):
Parameterization of ASN.1 specifications**

Summary

Recommendation ITU-T X.683 | ISO/IEC 8824-4 defines the provisions for parameterized reference names and parameterized assignments for data types which are useful for the designer when writing specifications where some aspects are left undefined at certain stages of the development to be filled in at a later stage to produce a complete definition of an abstract syntax.

History

Edition	Recommendation	Approval	Study Group	Unique ID*
1.0	ITU-T X.683	1994-07-01	7	11.1002/1000/3045
2.0	ITU-T X.683	1997-12-12	7	11.1002/1000/4446
2.1	ITU-T X.683 (1997) Amd. 1	1999-06-18	7	11.1002/1000/4703
3.0	ITU-T X.683	2002-07-14	17	11.1002/1000/6088
3.1	ITU-T X.683 (2002) Technical Cor. 1	2007-05-29	17	11.1002/1000/9107
4.0	ITU-T X.683	2008-11-13	17	11.1002/1000/9607
4.1	ITU-T X.683 (2008) Cor. 1	2014-03-01	17	11.1002/1000/12146
5.0	ITU-T X.683	2015-08-13	17	11.1002/1000/12482

* To access the Recommendation, type the URL <http://handle.itu.int/> in the address field of your web browser, followed by the Recommendation's unique ID. For example, <http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/11830-en>.

FOREWORD

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is the United Nations specialized agency in the field of telecommunications, information and communication technologies (ICTs). The ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) is a permanent organ of ITU. ITU-T is responsible for studying technical, operating and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (WTSA), which meets every four years, establishes the topics for study by the ITU-T study groups which, in turn, produce Recommendations on these topics.

The approval of ITU-T Recommendations is covered by the procedure laid down in WTSA Resolution 1.

In some areas of information technology which fall within ITU-T's purview, the necessary standards are prepared on a collaborative basis with ISO and IEC.

NOTE

In this Recommendation, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

Compliance with this Recommendation is voluntary. However, the Recommendation may contain certain mandatory provisions (to ensure, e.g., interoperability or applicability) and compliance with the Recommendation is achieved when all of these mandatory provisions are met. The words "shall" or some other obligatory language such as "must" and the negative equivalents are used to express requirements. The use of such words does not suggest that compliance with the Recommendation is required of any party.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

ITU draws attention to the possibility that the practice or implementation of this Recommendation may involve the use of a claimed Intellectual Property Right. ITU takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of claimed Intellectual Property Rights, whether asserted by ITU members or others outside of the Recommendation development process.

As of the date of approval of this Recommendation, ITU had not received notice of intellectual property, protected by patents, which may be required to implement this Recommendation. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information and are therefore strongly urged to consult the TSB patent database at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/ipr/>.

© ITU 2015

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without the prior written permission of ITU.

CONTENTS

	<i>Page</i>
Introduction	iv
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
2.1 Identical Recommendations International Standards	1
3 Definitions	1
3.1 Specification of basic notation	1
3.2 Information object specification	1
3.3 Constraint specification	1
3.4 Additional definitions	1
4 Abbreviations	2
5 Convention	2
6 Notation	2
6.1 Assignments	2
6.2 Parameterized definitions	2
6.3 Symbols	3
7 ASN.1 lexical items	3
8 Parameterized assignments	3
9 Referencing parameterized definitions	5
10 Abstract syntax parameters	8
Annex A – Examples	9
A.1 Example of the use of a parameterized type definition	9
A.2 Example of use of parameterized definitions together with an information object class	9
A.3 Example of parameterized type definition that is finite	10
A.4 Example of a parameterized value definition	11
A.5 Example of a parameterized value set definition	11
A.6 Example of a parameterized class definition	11
A.7 Example of a parameterized object set definition	12
A.8 Example of a parameterized object set definition	12
Annex B – Summary of the notation	13

Introduction

Application designers need to write specifications in which certain aspects are left undefined. Those aspects will later be defined by one or more other groups (each in its own way), to produce a fully defined specification for use in the definition of an abstract syntax (one for each group).

In some cases, aspects of the specification (for example, bounds) may be left undefined even at the time of abstract syntax definition, being completed by the specification of International Standardized Profiles or functional profiles from some other body.

NOTE 1 – It is a requirement imposed by this Recommendation | International Standard that any aspect that is not solely concerned with the application of constraints has to be completed prior to the definition of an abstract syntax.

In the extreme case, some aspects of the specification may be left for the implementer to complete, and would then be specified as part of the Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement.

While the provisions of Rec. ITU-T X.681 | ISO/IEC 8824-2 and Rec. ITU-T X.682 | ISO/IEC 8824-3 provide a framework for the later completion of parts of a specification, they do not of themselves solve the above requirements.

Additionally, a single designer sometimes requires to define many types, or many information object classes, or many information object sets, or many information objects, or many values, which have the same outer level structure, but differ in the types, or information object classes, or information object sets, or information objects, or values, that are used at an inner level. Instead of writing out the outer level structure for every such occurrence, it is useful to be able to write it out once, with parts left to be defined later, then to refer to it and provide the additional information.

All these requirements are met by the provision for parameterized reference names and parameterized assignments by this Recommendation | International Standard.

The syntactic form of a parameterized reference name is the same as that of the corresponding normal reference name, but the following additional considerations apply:

- When it is assigned in a parameterized assignment statement, it is followed by a list of dummy reference names in braces, each possibly accompanied by a governor; these reference names have a scope which is the right-hand side of the assignment statement, and the parameter list itself.

NOTE 2 – This is what causes it to be recognized as a parameterized reference name.
- When it is exported or imported, it is followed by a pair of empty braces to distinguish it as a parameterized reference name.
- When it is used in any construct, it is followed by a list of syntactic constructions, one for each dummy reference name, that provide an assignment to the dummy reference name for the purposes of that use only.

Dummy reference names have the same syntactic form as the corresponding normal reference name, and can be used anywhere on the right-hand side of the assignment statement that the corresponding normal reference name could be used. All such usages are required to be consistent.

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD
ITU-T RECOMMENDATION

**Information technology –
Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1):
Parameterization of ASN.1 specifications**

1 Scope

This Recommendation | International Standard is part of Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1) and defines notation for parameterization of ASN.1 specifications.

2 Normative references

The following Recommendations and International Standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommendation | International Standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All Recommendations and Standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this Recommendation | International Standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the Recommendations and Standards listed below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards. The Telecommunication Standardization Bureau of the ITU maintains a list of currently valid ITU-T Recommendations.

2.1 Identical Recommendations | International Standards

- Recommendation ITU-T X.680 (2015) | ISO/IEC 8824-1:2015, *Information technology – Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Specification of basic notation*.
- Recommendation ITU-T X.681 (2015) | ISO/IEC 8824-2:2015, *Information technology – Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Information object specification*.
- Recommendation ITU-T X.682 (2015) | ISO/IEC 8824-3:2015, *Information technology – Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Constraint specification*.

3 Definitions

For the purposes of this Recommendation | International Standard, the following definitions apply.

3.1 Specification of basic notation

This Recommendation | International Standard uses the terms defined in Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1.

3.2 Information object specification

This Recommendation | International Standard uses the terms defined in Rec. ITU-T X.681 | ISO/IEC 8824-2.

3.3 Constraint specification

This Recommendation | International Standard uses the terms defined in Rec. ITU-T X.682 | ISO/IEC 8824-3.

3.4 Additional definitions

3.4.1 normal reference name: A reference name defined, without parameters, by means of an "Assignment" other than a "ParameterizedAssignment". Such a name references a complete definition and is not supplied with actual parameters when used.

3.4.2 parameterized reference name: A reference name defined using a parameterized assignment, which references an incomplete definition and which, therefore, must be supplied with actual parameters when used.

3.4.3 parameterized type: A type defined using a parameterized type assignment and thus whose components are incomplete definitions which must be supplied with actual parameters when the type is used.

3.4.4 parameterized value: A value defined using a parameterized value assignment and thus whose value is incompletely specified and must be supplied with actual parameters when used.

3.4.5 parameterized value set: A value set defined using a parameterized value set assignment and thus whose values are incompletely specified and must be supplied with actual parameters when used.

3.4.6 parameterized object class: An information object class defined using a parameterized object class assignment and thus whose field specifications are incompletely specified and must be supplied with actual parameters when used.

3.4.7 parameterized object: An information object defined using a parameterized object assignment and thus whose components are incompletely specified and must be supplied with actual parameters when used.

3.4.8 parameterized object set: An information object set defined using a parameterized object set assignment and thus whose objects are incompletely specified and must be supplied with actual parameters when used.

3.4.9 variable constraint: A constraint employed in specifying a parameterized abstract syntax, and which depends on some parameter of the abstract syntax.

4 Abbreviations

For the purposes of this Recommendation | International Standard, the following abbreviation applies:

ASN.1 Abstract Syntax Notation One

5 Convention

This Recommendation | International Standard employs the notational convention defined in Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, clause 5.

6 Notation

This clause summarizes the notation defined in this Recommendation | International Standard.

6.1 Assignments

The following notation which can be used as an alternative for "Assignment" (see Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, clause 13) is defined in this Recommendation | International Standard:

- ParameterizedAssignment (see 8.1).

6.2 Parameterized definitions

6.2.1 The following notation which can be used as an alternative for "DefinedType" (see Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, 14.1) is defined in this Recommendation | International Standard:

- ParameterizedType (see 9.2).

6.2.2 The following notation which can be used as an alternative for "DefinedValue" (see Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, 14.1) is defined in this Recommendation | International Standard:

- ParameterizedValue (see 9.2).

6.2.3 The following notation which can be used as an alternative for "DefinedType" (see Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, 14.1) is defined in this Recommendation | International Standard:

- ParameterizedValueSetType (see 9.2).

6.2.4 The following notation which can be used as an alternative for "ObjectClass" (see Rec. ITU-T X.681 | ISO/IEC 8824-2, 9.2) is defined in this Recommendation | International Standard:

- ParameterizedObjectClass (see 9.2).

6.2.5 The following notation which can be used as an alternative for "Object" (see Rec. ITU-T X.681 | ISO/IEC 8824-2, 11.3) is defined in this Recommendation | International Standard:

- ParameterizedObject (see 9.2).

6.2.6 The following notation which can be used as an alternative for "ObjectSet" (see Rec. ITU-T X.681 | ISO/IEC 8824-2, 12.3) is defined in this Recommendation | International Standard:

- ParameterizedObjectSet (see 9.2).

6.3 Symbols

The following notation which can be used as an alternative for "Symbol" (see Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, 13.1) is defined in this Recommendation | International Standard:

- ParameterizedReference (see 9.1).

7 ASN.1 lexical items

This Recommendation | International Standard makes use of the lexical items specified in Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, clause 12.

8 Parameterized assignments

8.1 There are parameterized assignment statements corresponding to each of the assignment statements specified in Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1 and Rec. ITU-T X.681 | ISO/IEC 8824-2. The "ParameterizedAssignment" construct is:

```
ParameterizedAssignment ::=
    ParameterizedTypeAssignment
    | ParameterizedValueAssignment
    | ParameterizedValueSetTypeAssignment
    | ParameterizedObjectClassAssignment
    | ParameterizedObjectAssignment
    | ParameterizedObjectSetAssignment
```

8.2 Each "Parameterized<X>Assignment" has the same syntax as "<X>Assignment" except that following the initial lexical item there is a "ParameterList". The initial item thereby becomes a parameterized reference name (see 3.4.2):

NOTE 1 – Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1 imposes the requirement that all reference names assigned within a module, whether parameterized or not, must be distinct.

NOTE 2 – Where value notation is governed by a parameterized type (or a type that is a parameter) the validity of value notation within the parameterized assignment can only be determined after instantiation of the parameterized type, and may be valid for some instantiations and invalid for others.

```
ParameterizedTypeAssignment ::=
    typereference
    ParameterList
    " : : ="
    Type
```

```
ParameterizedValueAssignment ::=
    valuereference
    ParameterList
    Type
    " : : ="
    Value
```

```
ParameterizedValueSetTypeAssignment ::=
    typereference
    ParameterList
    Type
    " : : ="
    ValueSet
```

```
ParameterizedObjectClassAssignment ::=
    objectclassreference
    ParameterList
```

" : :="
ObjectClass

ParameterizedObjectAssignment ::=
objectreference
ParameterList
DefinedObjectClass
" : :="
Object

ParameterizedObjectSetAssignment ::=
objectsetreference
ParameterList
DefinedObjectClass
" : :="
ObjectSet

8.3 A "ParameterList" is a list of "Parameter"s between braces:

ParameterList ::= " {" Parameter "," + " }

Each "Parameter" consists of a "DummyReference" and possibly a "ParamGovernor":

Parameter ::= ParamGovernor " : " DummyReference | DummyReference

ParamGovernor ::= Governor | DummyGovernor

Governor ::= Type | DefinedObjectClass

DummyGovernor ::= DummyReference

DummyReference ::= Reference

A "DummyReference" in "Parameter" may stand for:

- a "Type" or "DefinedObjectClass", in which case there shall be no "ParamGovernor";
- a "Value" or "ValueSet", in which case the "ParamGovernor" shall be present, and in case "ParamGovernor" is a "Governor" it shall be a "Type", and in case "ParamGovernor" is a "DummyGovernor" the actual parameter for the "ParamGovernor" shall be a "Type";
- an "Object" or "ObjectSet", in which case the "ParamGovernor" shall be present, and in case "ParamGovernor" is a "Governor" it shall be a "DefinedObjectClass", and in case "ParamGovernor" is a "DummyGovernor" the actual parameter for the "ParamGovernor" shall be a "DefinedObjectClass".

A "DummyGovernor" shall be a "DummyReference" that has no "Governor".

8.4 The scope of a "DummyReference" appearing in a "ParameterList" is the "ParameterList" itself, together with that part of the "ParameterizedAssignment" which follows the "ParameterList". The "DummyReference" hides any other "Reference" with the same name in that scope in any given instantiation.

NOTE – This subclause does not apply to "identifier"s defined in "NamedNumberList"s, "Enumeration"s and "NamedBitList"s, since they are not "Reference"s. The "DummyReference" does not hide these "identifier"s (see Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, 19.12 and 20.11).

8.5 The usage of a "DummyReference" within its scope shall be consistent with its syntactic form, and, where applicable, governor, and all usages of the same "DummyReference" shall be consistent with one another.

NOTE – Where the syntactic form of a dummy reference name is ambiguous (for example, between whether it is an "objectclassreference" or "typereference"), the ambiguity can normally be resolved on the first use of the dummy reference name on the right-hand side of the assignment statement. Thereafter, the nature of the dummy reference name is known. The nature of the dummy reference is, however, not determined solely by the right-hand side of the assignment statement when it is in turn used only as an actual parameter in a parameterized reference; in this case, the nature of the dummy reference must be determined by examining the definition of this parameterized reference. Users of the notation are warned that such a practice can make ASN.1 specifications less clear, and it is suggested that adequate comments are provided to explain this for human readers.

Example

Consider the following parameterized object class assignment:

PARAMETERIZED-OBJECT-CLASS { TypeParam, INTEGER:valueParam, INTEGER:ValueSetParam } ::=
CLASS {
&valueField1 TypeParam,
&valueField2 INTEGER DEFAULT valueParam,

```

&valueField3      INTEGER (ValueSetParam),
&ValueSetField    INTEGER DEFAULT { ValueSetParam }
}

```

For the purpose of determining proper usage of the "DummyReference"s in the scope of the "Parameterized Assignment", and for that purpose only, the "DummyReference"s can be regarded to be defined as follows:

TypeParam ::= UnspecifiedType

valueParam INTEGER ::= unspecifiedIntegerValue

ValueSetParam INTEGER ::= { UnspecifiedIntegerValueSet }

where:

- TypeParam** is a "DummyReference" which stands for a "Type". Therefore **TypeParam** can be used wherever a "typereference" can be used, e.g. as a "Type" for the fixed-type value field **valueField1**.
- valueParam** is a "DummyReference" which stands for a value of an integer type. Therefore **valueParam** can be used wherever a "valuereference" of an integer value can be used, e.g. as a default value for the fixed-type value field **valueField2**.
- ValueSetParam** is a "DummyReference" which stands for a value set of an integer type. Therefore **ValueSetParam** can be used wherever a "typereference" of an integer value can be used, e.g. as a "Type" in the "ContainedSubtype" notation for **valueField3** and **ValueSetField**.

8.6 Each "DummyReference" shall be employed at least once within its scope.

NOTE – If the "DummyReference" did not so appear, then the corresponding "ActualParameter" would have no effect on the definition, and would simply be "discarded", while to the user it might seem that some specification was taking place.

"ParameterizedValueAssignment"s, "ParameterizedValueSetTypeAssignment"s, "ParameterizedObjectAssignment"s and "ParameterizedObjectSetAssignment"s that contain either a direct or indirect reference to themselves are illegal.

8.7 In the definition of a "ParameterizedType", "ParameterizedValueSet", or "ParameterizedObjectClass", a "DummyReference" shall not be passed as a tagged type (as an actual parameter) to a recursive reference to that "ParameterizedType", "ParameterizedValueSet", or "ParameterizedObjectClass" (see A.3).

8.8 In the definition of a "ParameterizedType", "ParameterizedValueSet", or "ParameterizedObjectClass", a circular reference to the item being defined shall not be made, unless such reference is directly or indirectly marked **OPTIONAL** or, in the case of "ParameterizedType" and "ParameterizedValueSet", made through a reference to a choice type, at least one of whose alternatives is non-circular in definition.

8.9 The governor of a "DummyReference" shall not include a reference to another "DummyReference" if that other "DummyReference" also has a governor.

8.10 In a parameterized assignment the right side of the " : :=" shall not consist solely of a "DummyReference".

8.11 The governor of a "DummyReference" shall not require knowledge of either the "DummyReference" or of the parameterized reference name being defined.

8.12 When a value or value set is supplied to a parameterized type as an actual parameter, the type of the actual parameter is required to be compatible with the governor of the corresponding dummy parameter. (See Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, C.6.2 and C.6.3 for details.)

8.13 In defining a parameterized type with a value or a value set dummy parameter, the type used to govern that dummy parameter shall be a type, all of whose values are valid for use in all places to the right of the assignment where the dummy parameter is used. (See Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, C.6.5 for details.)

9 Referencing parameterized definitions

9.1 Within a "SymbolList" (in "Exports" or "Imports") a parameterized definition shall be referenced by a "ParameterizedReference":

ParameterizedReference ::= Reference | Reference " { " " } "

where "Reference" is the first lexical item in the "ParameterizedAssignment", as specified in 8.2 above.

NOTE – The first alternative of "ParameterizedReference" is provided solely as an aid to human understanding. Both alternatives have the same meaning.

9.2 Other than in "Exports" or "Imports", a parameterized definition shall be referenced by a "Parameterized<X>" construct, which can be used as an alternative for the corresponding "<X>":

```

ParameterizedType ::=
    SimpleDefinedType
    ActualParameterList

SimpleDefinedType ::=
    ExternalTypeReference |
    typereference

ParameterizedValue ::=
    SimpleDefinedValue
    ActualParameterList

SimpleDefinedValue ::=
    ExternalValueReference |
    valuereference

ParameterizedValueSetType ::=
    SimpleDefinedType
    ActualParameterList

ParameterizedObjectClass ::=
    DefinedObjectClass
    ActualParameterList

ParameterizedObjectSet ::=
    DefinedObjectSet
    ActualParameterList

ParameterizedObject ::=
    DefinedObject
    ActualParameterList

```

9.3 The reference name in the "Defined<X>" shall be a reference name to which an assignment is made in a "ParameterizedAssignment".

9.4 The restrictions on the "Defined<X>" alternative to be used, which are specified in Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1 and Rec. ITU-T X.681 | ISO/IEC 8824-2 as normal reference names, apply equally to the corresponding parameterized reference names.

NOTE – In essence, the restrictions are as follows: each "Defined<X>" has two alternatives, "<x>reference" and "External<x>Reference". The former is used within the module of definition or if the definition has been imported and there is no name conflict; the latter is used where there is no imports listed (deprecated), or if there is a conflict between the imported name and a local definition (also deprecated) or between imports.

9.5 The "ActualParameterList" is:

```

ActualParameterList ::=
    "0" ActualParameter "," + "}"

ActualParameter ::=
    Type
    Value
    ValueSet
    DefinedObjectClass
    Object
    ObjectSet

```

9.6 There shall be exactly one "ActualParameter" for each "Parameter" in the corresponding "ParameterizedAssignment" and they shall appear in the same order. The particular choice of "ActualParameter", and the governor (if any) shall be determined by examination of the syntactic form of the "Parameter" and the environment in which it occurs in the "ParameterizedAssignment". The form of the "ActualParameter" shall be the form required to replace the "DummyReference" everywhere in its scope (see 8.4).

Example

The parameterized object class definition of the previous example (see 8.5) can be referenced, for instance, as follows:

```

MY-OBJECT-CLASS ::= PARAMETERIZED-OBJECT-CLASS { BIT STRING, 123, { 4 | 5 | 6 } }

```

9.7 The actual parameter takes the place of the dummy reference name in determining the actual type, value, value set, object class, object, or object set that is being referenced by this instance of use of the parameterized reference name.

9.8 The meaning of any references which appear in the "ActualParameter", and the tag default applicable to any tags which so appear, are determined according to the tagging environment of the "ActualParameter" rather than that of the corresponding "DummyReference".

NOTE – Thus, parameterization, like referencing, selection types, and **COMPONENTS OF**, among others, is not exactly textual substitution.

Example

Consider the following modules:

M1 DEFINITIONS AUTOMATIC TAGS ::= BEGIN
EXPORTS T1;

T1 ::= SET {
f1 INTEGER,
f2 BOOLEAN

}

END

M2 DEFINITIONS EXPLICIT TAGS ::= BEGIN
IMPORTS T1 FROM M1;

T3 ::= T2{T1}

T2{X} ::= SEQUENCE {
a INTEGER,
b X

}

END

Application of 9.8 implies that the tag for the component **f1** of **T3** (i.e. @T3.b.f1) will be implicitly tagged because the tagging environment of the dummy parameter **x**, namely explicit tagging, does not affect the tagging of the components of the actual parameter **T1**.

Consider the module **M3**:

M3 DEFINITIONS AUTOMATIC TAGS ::= BEGIN
IMPORTS T1 FROM M1;

T5 ::= T4{T1}

T4{Y} ::= SEQUENCE {
a INTEGER,
b Y

}

END

Application of Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, 31.2.7, implies that the tag for the component **b** of **T5** (i.e. @T5.b) will be explicitly tagged because the dummy parameter **y** is always explicitly tagged, hence **T5** is equivalent to:

T5 ::= SEQUENCE {
a [0] IMPLICIT INTEGER,
b [1] EXPLICIT SET {
f1 [0] INTEGER,
f2 [1] BOOLEAN
}
}

while **T3** is equivalent to:

T3 ::= SEQUENCE {
a INTEGER,
b SET {
f1 [0] IMPLICIT INTEGER,
f2 [1] IMPLICIT BOOLEAN
}
}

10 Abstract syntax parameters

10.1 Rec. ITU-T X.681 | ISO/IEC 8824-2, Annex B, provides the **ABSTRACT-SYNTAX** information object class and recommends its use to define abstract syntaxes, using as an example an abstract syntax defined as the set of values of a single ASN.1 type which was not parameterized at the outer level.

10.2 Where the ASN.1 type used to define the abstract syntax *is* parameterized, some parameters may be supplied as actual parameters when the abstract syntax is defined, while others may be left as parameters of the abstract syntax itself.

Example

If a parameterized type has been defined called **YYY-PDU** with two dummy references (the first an object set of some defined object class, and the second an integer value for a bound, say), then:

```
yyy-Abstract-Syntax { INTEGER:bound } ABSTRACT-SYNTAX ::=
    { YYY-PDU { {ValidObjects} , bound } IDENTIFIED BY {yyy 5} }
```

defines a parameterized abstract syntax in which the object set has been resolved, but **bound** remains as a parameter of the abstract syntax.

An abstract syntax parameter shall be used:

- a) directly or indirectly in the context of a constraint,
- b) directly or indirectly as actual parameters that eventually are used in the context of a constraint.

NOTE – See the example in A.2, and the example in Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, H.5.

10.3 A constraint whose value set depends on one or more parameters of the abstract syntax is a variable constraint. Such constraints are determined after the definition of the abstract syntax (perhaps by International Standardized Profiles or in Protocol Implementation Conformance Statements).

NOTE – If somewhere in the chain of definitions involved in the specification of the constraint values a parameter of the abstract syntax appears, the constraint is a variable constraint. It is a variable constraint even if the value set of the resulting constraint is independent of the actual value of the parameter of the abstract syntax.

Example

The value of `((1..3) EXCEPT a) UNION (1 .. 3)` is always 1..3 no matter what the value of **a** is, nonetheless it is still a variable constraint if **a** is a parameter of the abstract syntax.

10.4 Formally, a variable constraint does not constrain the set of values in the abstract syntax.

NOTE – It is strongly recommended that constraints that are expected to remain as variable constraints in an abstract syntax have an exception specification using the notation provided by Rec. ITU-T X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1, 53.4.

Annex A

Examples

(This annex does not form an integral part of this Recommendation | International Standard.)

A.1 Example of the use of a parameterized type definition

Suppose that a protocol designer frequently needs to carry an authenticator with one or more of the fields of the protocol. This will be carried as a **BIT STRING**, alongside the field. Without parameterization, **Authenticator** would need to be defined as a **BIT STRING**, then **authenticator** would need to be added wherever it was to appear, with text to identify what it applied to. Alternatively, the designer could adopt the discipline of turning any field that has an authenticator into a **SEQUENCE** of that field and **authenticator**. The parameterization mechanism provides a convenient short-hand for doing this task.

First we define the parameterized type **SIGNED{}**:

```
SIGNED { ToBeSigned } ::= SEQUENCE
{
    authenticated-data ToBeSigned,
    authenticator BIT STRING
}
```

then, in the body of the protocol, the notation (for example):

```
SIGNED { OrderInformation }
```

is a type notation standing for:

```
SEQUENCE
{
    authenticated-data OrderInformation,
    authenticator BIT STRING
}
```

Suppose further that for some fields, the sender is to have the option of adding the authenticator or not. This could be achieved by making the **BIT STRING** optional, but a more elegant solution (less bits on the line) would be to define another parameterized type:

```
OPTIONALLY-SIGNED {ToBeSigned} ::= CHOICE
{
    unsigned-data [0] ToBeSigned,
    signed-data [1] SIGNED { ToBeSigned }
}
```

NOTE – The tagging in the **CHOICE** is not necessary if the writer ensures that none of the uses of the parameterized type produce an actual argument which is a **BIT STRING** (the type of **SIGNED**), but is useful in preventing errors in other parts of the specification.

A.2 Example of use of parameterized definitions together with an information object class

Use information object classes to collect all the parameters for an abstract syntax. In that way the number of parameters for an abstract syntax can be reduced to one which is an instance of the collection class. The "InformationFromObject" production can be used to extract information from the parameter object.

Example

-- An instance of this class contains all the parameters for the abstract
-- syntax, Message-PDU.

```
MESSAGE-PARAMETERS ::= CLASS {
    &maximum-priority-level INTEGER,
    &maximum-message-buffer-size INTEGER,
    &maximum-reference-buffer-size INTEGER
}
WITH SYNTAX {
    THE MAXIMUM PRIORITY LEVEL IS &maximum-priority-level
    THE MAXIMUM MESSAGE BUFFER SIZE IS &maximum-message-buffer-size
    THE MAXIMUM REFERENCE BUFFER SIZE IS &maximum-reference-buffer-size
}
```

-- The "ValueFromObject" production is used to extract values
 -- from the abstract syntax parameter, "param". The values can be
 -- used only in constraints. In addition the parameter is passed
 -- through to another parameterized type.

```

Message-PDU { MESSAGE-PARAMETERS : param } ::= SEQUENCE {
priority-level   INTEGER (0..param.&maximum-priority-level),
message         BMPString (SIZE (0..param.&maximum-message-buffer-size)),
reference       Reference { param }
}

Reference { MESSAGE-PARAMETERS : param } ::=
  SEQUENCE OF IA5String (SIZE (0..param.&maximum-reference-buffer-size))
  -- Definition of a parameterized abstract syntax information object.
  -- The abstract syntax parameter is used only in constraints.

message-Abstract-Syntax { MESSAGE-PARAMETERS : param }
ABSTRACT-SYNTAX ::=
{
  Message-PDU { param }
  IDENTIFIED BY { joint-iso-itu-t example(999) 0 }
}

```

The class **MESSAGE-PARAMETERS** and the parameterized abstract syntax object, **message-Abstract-Syntax**, are used as follows:

-- This instance of **MESSAGE-PARAMETERS** defines parameter values
 -- for the abstract syntax.

```

my-message-parameters MESSAGE-PARAMETERS ::= {
  THE MAXIMUM PRIORITY LEVEL IS 10
  THE MAXIMUM MESSAGE BUFFER SIZE IS 2000
  THE MAXIMUM REFERENCE BUFFER SIZE IS 100
}

```

-- The abstract syntax can now be defined with all variable constraints specified.

```

my-message-Abstract-Syntax ABSTRACT-SYNTAX ::=
  message-Abstract-Syntax { my-message-parameters }

```

A.3 Example of parameterized type definition that is finite

When specifying a parameterized type which represents a generic list, specify the type so that the resulting ASN.1 notation is finite. For example, we may specify:

```

List1 { ElementTypeParam } ::= SEQUENCE {
  elem ElementTypeParam,
  next List1 { ElementTypeParam } OPTIONAL
}

```

which is finite, for when it is used:

```

IntegerList1 ::= List1 { INTEGER }

```

the resulting ASN.1 notation is as you would normally define it:

```

IntegerList1 ::= SEQUENCE {
  elem INTEGER,
  next IntegerList1 OPTIONAL
}

```

Contrast this to the following:

```

List2 { ElementTypeParam } ::= SEQUENCE {
  elem ElementTypeParam,
  next List2 { [0] ElementTypeParam } OPTIONAL
}

```

```

IntegerList2 ::= List2 { INTEGER }

```