

INTERNATIONAL **ISO/ASTM**
STANDARD **52910**

First edition
2018-07

**Additive manufacturing — Design
— Requirements, guidelines and
recommendations**

*Fabrication additive — Conception — Exigences, lignes directrices et
recommandations*

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/ASTM 52910:2018



Reference number
ISO/ASTM 52910:2018(E)

© ISO/ASTM International 2018

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/ASTM 52910:2018



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/ASTM International 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester. In the United States, such requests should be sent to ASTM International.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

ASTM International
100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700
West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, USA
Phone: +610 832 9634
Fax: +610 832 9635
Email: khooper@astm.org
Website: www.astm.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword	iv
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Purpose	3
5 Design opportunities and limitations	6
5.1 General	6
5.2 Design opportunities	7
5.3 Design limitations	8
6 Design considerations	9
6.1 General	9
6.2 Product considerations	9
6.3 Product usage considerations	10
6.3.1 General	10
6.3.2 Thermal environment	10
6.3.3 Chemical exposure	10
6.3.4 Radiation exposure	10
6.3.5 Other exposure	11
6.4 Sustainability considerations	11
6.5 Business considerations	12
6.6 Geometry considerations	14
6.7 Material property considerations	16
6.7.1 General	16
6.7.2 Mechanical properties	16
6.7.3 Thermal properties	17
6.7.4 Electrical properties	17
6.7.5 Other	17
6.8 Considerations related to different process categories	18
6.8.1 General	18
6.8.2 Specific considerations for different process categories	18
6.8.3 Other considerations	20
6.9 Communication considerations	20
7 Warnings to designers	21
Bibliography	23

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by ISO/TC 261, *Additive manufacturing*, in cooperation with ASTM F42, *Additive Manufacturing Technologies*, on the basis of a partnership agreement between ISO and ASTM International with the aim to create a common set of ISO/ASTM standards on additive manufacturing.

Additive manufacturing — Design — Requirements, guidelines and recommendations

CAUTION — This document does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this document to establish appropriate Health and Safety (H&S) practices and determine the applicability of limitations prior to use.

1 Scope

This document gives requirements, guidelines and recommendations for using additive manufacturing (AM) in product design.

It is applicable during the design of all types of products, devices, systems, components or parts that are fabricated by any type of AM system. This document helps determine which design considerations can be utilized in a design project or to take advantage of the capabilities of an AM process.

General guidance and identification of issues are supported, but specific design solutions and process-specific or material-specific data are not supported.

The intended audience comprises three types of users:

- designers who are designing products to be fabricated in an AM system and their managers;
- students who are learning mechanical design and computer-aided design; and
- developers of AM design guidelines and design guidance systems.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/ASTM 52921, *Standard terminology for additive manufacturing — Coordinate systems and test methodologies*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO/ASTM 52921 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1 Additive manufacturing process categories

3.1.1

binder jetting

additive manufacturing process in which a liquid bonding agent is selectively deposited to join powder materials

[SOURCE: ISO/ASTM 52900:—¹⁾, 3.2.1]

3.1.2

directed energy deposition

additive manufacturing process in which focused thermal energy is used to fuse materials by melting as they are being deposited

[SOURCE: ISO/ASTM 52900:—, 3.2.2 — Note 1 to entry has been deleted]

3.1.3

material extrusion

additive manufacturing process in which material is selectively dispensed through a nozzle or orifice

[SOURCE: ISO/ASTM 52900:—, 3.2.3]

3.1.4

material jetting

additive manufacturing process in which droplets of build material are selectively deposited

[SOURCE: ISO/ASTM 52900:—, 3.2.4 — Note 1 to entry has been deleted]

3.1.5

powder bed fusion

additive manufacturing process in which thermal energy selectively fuses regions of a powder bed

[SOURCE: ISO/ASTM 52900:—, 3.2.5]

3.1.6

sheet lamination

additive manufacturing process in which sheets of material are bonded to form an object

[SOURCE: ISO/ASTM 52900:—, 3.2.6 — “a part” has been replaced with “an object”]

3.1.7

vat photopolymerization

additive manufacturing process in which liquid photopolymer in a vat is selectively cured by light-activated polymerization

[SOURCE: ISO/ASTM 52900:—, 3.2.7]

3.2 Other definitions

3.2.1

design consideration

topic that can influence decisions made by a part designer

Note 1 to entry: The designer determines to what extent the topic can affect the part being designed and takes appropriate action.

1) Under preparation. Stage at the time of publication: ISO/DIS 52900:2018.

3.2.2

process chain

sequence of manufacturing processes that is necessary for the part to achieve all of its desired properties

4 Purpose

4.1 This document provides requirements, guidelines and recommendations for designing parts and products to be produced by AM processes. Conditions of the part or product that favour AM are highlighted. Similarly, conditions that favour conventional manufacturing processes are also highlighted. The main elements include the following:

- the opportunities and design freedoms that AM offers designers ([Clause 5](#));
- the issues that designers should consider when designing parts for AM, which comprises the main content of these guidelines ([Clause 6](#)); and
- warnings to designers, or “red flag” issues, that indicate situations that often lead to problems in many AM systems ([Clause 7](#)).

4.2 The overall strategy of design for AM is illustrated in [Figure 1](#). It is a representative process for designing mechanical parts for structural applications, where cost is the primary decision criterion. The designer could replace cost with quality, delivery time, or other decision criterion, if applicable. In addition to technical considerations related to functional, mechanical or process characteristics, the designer should also consider risks associated with the selection of AM processes.

4.3 The process for identifying general potential for fabrication by AM is illustrated in [Figure 2](#). This is an expansion of the “identification of general AM potential” box on the left side of [Figure 1](#). As illustrated, the main decision criteria focus on material availability, whether or not the part fits within a machine’s build volume, and the identification of at least one part characteristic (customization, lightweighting, complex geometry) for which AM is particularly well suited. These criteria are representative of many mechanical engineering applications for technical parts, but are not meant to be complete.

4.4 An expansion for the “AM process selection” box in [Figure 1](#) is presented in [Figure 3](#), illustrating that the choice of material is critical in identifying a suitable process or processes. If a suitable material and process combination can be identified, then consideration of other design requirements can proceed, including surface considerations and geometry, static physical and dynamic physical properties, among others. These figures are meant to be illustrative of typical practice for many types of mechanical parts, but should not be interpreted as prescribing necessary practice.




Figure 1 — Overall strategy for design for AM





Figure 2 — Procedure for identification of AM potential



Material: metal				
Main technical issues	Powder bed fusion	Material jetting	Material extrusion	Sheet lamination
Surface				
Roughness				
Staircase effect				
Geometrical properties				
Geometrical accuracy				
Static physical properties				
Porosity				
Tensile strength				
Ductility				
Dynamic physical properties				
Life cycle fatigue				

Figure 3 — Parameters for the AM process selection

5 Design opportunities and limitations

5.1 General

Additive manufacturing differs from other manufacturing processes for several reasons and these differences lead to unique design opportunities and freedoms that are highlighted here. As a general rule, if a part can be fabricated economically using a conventional manufacturing process, that part should probably not be produced using AM. Instead, parts that are good candidates for AM tend to have complex geometries, custom geometries, low production volumes, special combinations of properties or characteristics, or some combination of these characteristics. As processes and materials improve, the emphasis on these characteristics will likely change. In [Clause 5](#), some design opportunities are highlighted and some typical limitations are identified.

5.2 Design opportunities

5.2.1 Background — AM fabricates parts by adding material in a layer-by-layer manner. Due to the nature of AM processes, AM has many more degrees of freedom than other manufacturing processes. For example, a part can be composed of millions of droplets if fabricated in a material jetting process. Discrete control over millions of operations at micro to nano scales is both an opportunity and a challenge. Unprecedented levels of interdependence are evident among considerations and manufacturing process variables, which distinguishes AM from conventional manufacturing processes. Capabilities to take advantage of design opportunities can be limited by the complexities of process planning.

5.2.2 Overview — The layer-based, additive nature means that virtually any part shapes can be fabricated without hard tooling, such as moulds, dies or fixtures. Geometries that are customized to individuals (customers or patients) can be economically fabricated. Very sophisticated geometric constructions are possible using cellular structures (honeycombs, lattices, foams) or more general structures. Often, multiple parts that were conventionally manufactured can be replaced with a single part, or smaller number of parts, that is geometrically more complex than the parts being replaced. This can lead to the development of parts that are lighter and perform better than the assemblies they replace. Furthermore, such part count reduction (called part consolidation) has numerous benefits for downstream activities. Assembly time, repair time, shop floor complexity, replacement part inventory and tooling can be reduced, leading to cost savings throughout the life of the product. An additional consideration is that geometrically complex medical models can be fabricated easily from medical image data.

5.2.3 In many AM processes, material compositions or properties can be varied throughout a part. This capability leads to functionally graded parts, in which desired mechanical property distributions can be fabricated by varying either material composition or material microstructure. If effective mechanical properties are desired to vary throughout a part, the designer can achieve this by taking advantage of the geometric complexity capability of AM processes. If varying material composition or microstructure is desired, then such variations can often be achieved, but with limits dependent on the specific process and machine. Across the range of AM processes, some processes enable point-by-point material variation control, some provide discrete control within a layer, and almost all processes enable discrete control between layers (vat photopolymerization is the exception). In the material jetting and binder jetting processes, material composition can be varied in virtually a continuous manner, droplet-to-droplet or even by mixing droplets. Similarly, the directed energy deposition process can produce variable material compositions by varying the powder composition that is injected into the melt pool. Discrete control of material composition can be achieved in material extrusion processes by using multiple deposition heads, as one example. Powder bed fusion (PBF) processes can have limitations since difficulties can arise in separating unmelted mixed powders. It is important to note that specific machine capabilities will change and evolve over time, but the trend is toward increasing material composition flexibility and property control capability.

5.2.4 A significant opportunity exists to optimize the design of parts to yield unprecedented structural properties. The concept of “design for functionality” can be realized, meaning that if a part’s functions can be defined mathematically, the part can be optimized to achieve those functions. Novel topology and shape optimization methods have been developed in this regard. Resulting designs can have very complex geometric constructions, utilizing honeycomb, lattice or foam internal structures, can have complex material compositions and variations, or can have a combination of both. Research is needed in this area, but some examples of this are emerging.

5.2.5 Other opportunities involve some business considerations. Since no tooling is required for part fabrication using AM, lead times can be very short. Little investment in part-specific infrastructure is needed, which enables mass customization and responsiveness to market changes. In the case of repair, remanufacturing of components could be highly advantageous both from cost as well as lead time perspectives.

5.3 Design limitations

5.3.1 Overview — It is useful to point out design characteristics that indicate situations when AM should probably not be used. Stated concisely, if a part can be fabricated economically using a conventional manufacturing process and can meet requirements, then it is not likely to be a good candidate for AM. The designer should balance cost, value delivered and risks when deciding whether to pursue AM.

5.3.2 A primary advantage of AM processes is their flexibility in fabricating a variety of part shapes, complex and customized shapes, and possibly complex material distributions. If one desires mass production of simple part shapes in large production volumes, then AM is not likely to be suitable without significant improvements in fabrication time and cost.

5.3.3 A designer shall be aware of the material choices available, the variety and quality of feedstocks, and how the material's mechanical and other physical properties vary from those used in other manufacturing processes. Materials in AM have different characteristics and properties because they are processed differently than in conventional manufacturing processes. Designers should be aware that the properties of AM components are highly sensitive to process parameters and that process variability is a significant issue that can constrain freedom of design. Additionally, designers should understand the anisotropies that are often present in AM processed materials. In some processes, properties in the build plane (X, Y directions) are different than in the build direction (Z axis). With some metals, mechanical properties better than wrought can be achieved. However, typically fatigue and impact strength properties are not as good in AM processed parts in their as-built state as in conventionally processed materials.

5.3.4 All AM machines discretize part geometry prior to fabricating a part. The discretization can take several forms. For example, most AM machines fabricate parts in a layer-by-layer manner. In material and binder jetting, discrete droplets of material are deposited. In other processes, discrete vector strokes (e.g. of a laser) are used to process material. Due to the discretization of part geometry, external part surfaces are often not smooth since the divisions between layers are evident. In other cases, parts can have small internal voids.

5.3.5 Geometry discretization has several other effects. Small features can be ill-formed. Thin walls or struts that are slanted, relative to the build direction, can be thicker than desired. Also, if the wall or strut is nearly horizontal, the wall or strut can be very weak since relatively little overlap can occur between successive layers. Similarly, small negative features such as holes can suffer the opposite effect, becoming smaller than desired and having distorted shapes.

5.3.6 Post-processing is required for many AM processes or can be desired by the end user. A variety of mechanical, chemical and thermal methods may be applied. Several AM process types utilize support structures when building parts which need to be removed. In some cases, supports can be removed using solvents, but in others the supports have to be mechanically removed. One should be aware of the additional labour, manual component handling and time these operations require. Additionally, designers should understand that the presence of support structures can affect the surface finish or accuracy of the supported surfaces. In addition to support structure removal, other post-processing operations can be needed or desired, including excess powder removal, surface finish improvement, machining, thermal treatments and coatings. If a part has any internal cavities, the designer should design features into the part that enable support structures, unsintered powder (PBF) or liquid resin (vat photopolymerization) to be removed from those cavities. Depending on accuracy and surface finish requirements, the part can require finish machining, polishing, grinding, bead blasting or shot-peening. Metal parts can require a thermal treatment for relieving residual stresses, for example. Coatings can be required, such as painting, electroplating or resin infiltration. Post processing operations increase the cost of AM components.

5.3.7 Each AM process has a limited build envelope. If a part is larger than the build envelope of an AM process, then it can be divided into multiple parts, which are to be assembled after fabrication. In some cases, this is not technically or economically feasible.

6 Design considerations

6.1 General

Several categories of design considerations have been identified, including product, usage, sustainability, business, geometric, material property, process and communication considerations.

6.2 Product considerations

6.2.1 Design effectiveness — The designer can generate part shapes and configurations that optimize performance and efficiency. Parts can be designed for desired properties, such as minimum weight, maximum stiffness, etc., by designing shapes that are as efficient as possible. It can also be possible to design a part to perform multiple functions, through the use of multiple materials, complex shapes or part consolidation, which can have significant efficiency benefits.

6.2.2 Part or product consolidation — It is good design practice to minimize the number of parts in a product or module, but not at a loss of functionality. A part can be merged into neighbouring part(s) if they can be fabricated out of the same material, do not need to move relative to each other, and do not need to be removed to enable access to another part. This practice is often called part consolidation, which is a standard design-for-assembly consideration.

6.2.3 Assembly features — This is a standard design-for-assembly consideration. Parts should be designed with features that enable easy insertion and fixation during assembly operations. AM can enable integration of assembly features into most part designs, such as snap-fits, alignment features and features to support other parts (ribs, bosses). The capability of AM to fabricate geometrically complex designs provides a greater degree of design flexibility/freedom and designers are encouraged to be innovative in designing assembly features. Designers should also take note of the assembly requirements where mating surfaces require additional traditional machining, for AM metal parts in particular. For example, there are design considerations where a part is designed for conventional machining followed by assembly.

6.2.4 Multi-part mechanisms — In many AM processes, it is possible to design working mechanisms, i.e. parts that move relative to one another, without the need for secondary assembly operations. Kinematic joints, such as revolute, sliding and cam joints, can be designed to enable relative motion between parts. In powder bed fusion processes, joints can provide motion if powder can be removed. In vat photopolymerization processes, liquid resin easily flows out of joints, which enables motion. In other processes requiring support structures, moving mechanisms are possible if the support material can be removed easily from joint regions, for example if soluble support material is used.

6.2.5 Compliant mechanisms — AM can enable creative designs of complex 2D and 3D mechanisms. In contrast to multi-part mechanisms, other types of mechanisms cause relative movement between the input and the output through designed bending patterns. That is, structural elements of the mechanism bend in a manner that causes desired input-output behaviour. The simplest types of compliant mechanisms simply replace pin joints with thin plates that act as compliant hinges.

6.2.6 Relationships with processes and process chains — The accuracy and surface finish of part surfaces depend on build orientation and other process variables. A sequence of processes (“process chain”) can be needed in order to achieve desired accuracy and finish requirements, which the designer needs to consider. By designing a suitable process chain, it can be possible to use an AM process for part fabrication, even if that process alone is not capable of meeting all design requirements.

6.3 Product usage considerations

6.3.1 General

Design considerations shall also be based upon the type of environment which the product experiences throughout its useful life. This can include operating conditions, but can also refer to conditions in storage or during maintenance and repair. Material properties can be affected by the environmental conditions outlined in [6.3.2](#), [6.3.3](#), [6.3.4](#) and [6.3.5](#).

6.3.2 Thermal environment

6.3.2.1 Exposure temperature range (extremes) — The maximum and minimum temperatures to which the product is exposed should be defined. The designer should ensure that the selected part material maintains the required physical properties over the entire temperature range that the product experiences during its operational life. Product designs shall be functional over the entire temperature range.

6.3.2.2 Operational temperature range — The material properties should exceed the required functional performance when exposed to the entire temperature range the product will experience over the majority of its operational life. The designer should ensure that the selected part material maintains required physical geometry and material properties over its operational temperature range.

6.3.2.3 Cyclic thermal exposure (or thermal fatigue) — Periodic thermal changes that the product experiences during its operational life can permanently degrade material properties (i.e. aging).

6.3.2.4 Coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) properties — Thermal expansion of the product while operating near or at the extremes of its temperature range can change part geometry and material properties. CTE mismatch between mating components can lead to induced stresses and potentially failures. This is commonly reported using ASTM E228.

6.3.3 Chemical exposure

6.3.3.1 Chemicals — Identification of chemicals that can come in contact with the product should be determined due to possible chemical reactivity with the product material.

6.3.3.2 Liquid absorption — Some AM materials can absorb certain liquids that contact them, possibly causing the material to swell, degrade or suffer other unintended negative consequences.

6.3.3.3 Degradation/aging of material — This is a possible consequence of exposure to chemicals, whether they are gases, liquids or solids. This can also be a consequence of usage, wear-and-tear, etc. An example is humidity; a product might not have a problem in dry (arid) areas but fail when it is operating in a more humid environment.

6.3.3.4 Forms of corrosion — The surrounding materials and the environment in which the AM metallic product will be in contact shall be understood to mitigate all possible forms of corrosion.

6.3.4 Radiation exposure

6.3.4.1 Non-ionizing — Damaging radiation such as visible light, radio waves, microwaves and low level exposures to UV light can affect material properties depending upon exposure levels.

6.3.4.2 Ionizing — Alpha, beta, cosmic rays, gamma rays and X-ray radiation exposure levels shall be considered for possible effects to material properties.

6.3.5 Other exposure

6.3.5.1 Biological exposure — Exposure to biological materials can cause material degradation or changes in properties. These materials can include human fluids or tissues, other animal fluids or tissues, plants or plant tissues, and algae or other microscopic organisms. Many of these considerations are covered by US FDA or other international regulations and designers should reference the relevant regulations.

6.3.5.2 Environmental combinations — Combinations of all environmental considerations (thermal, chemical and radiation) shall be considered as material properties are affected when multiple conditions are present.

6.4 Sustainability considerations

6.4.1 Companies, consumers and governments often want to understand the impact of a product and its manufacturing process on the Earth's environment and natural resources. Sustainability typically deals with ecological impact and the desire to reduce negative human impact. As such, the topic of sustainability deserves attention when designing parts to be fabricated by AM. The presentation of considerations starts with the concept of reduce, recycle and reuse.

6.4.2 Reduce — Reduction in material content in parts can yield significant savings over the lifetime of a product. For example, a 1 kg reduction in airplane mass across a fleet can save many thousands of litres of jet fuel and eliminate millions of kilograms of CO₂ emissions per year. Compared to conventional manufacturing processes, no tooling is needed, which reduces the usage of material during fabrication. Another example is the elimination of initial “stock” for machining and the need to machine off the majority of the material in order to fabricate a complex part. Designers are encouraged to use available design freedom to creatively design parts to be as efficient as possible while achieving all requirements.

6.4.3 Recycle — Recyclability refers to the capability of recovering the materials used in a part or product. Recycled materials become raw materials for a subsequent manufacturing process. Typically, metals are easily recycled, many thermoplastics are recyclable (to an extent), but thermoset polymers are not typically recyclable. ABS, polycarbonate (used in extrusion processes) and polyamide (used in polymer powder bed fusion) tend to be recyclable; however, designers should check the particular polymer blends used for AM processes. Typically the photopolymers used in material jetting and vat photopolymerization processes are not recyclable.

Although most materials are, technically, recyclable, limitations exist in many instances where specific materials are not commercially recycled due to various factors, including logistics, separation issues or economics. Users are advised to take this into consideration when evaluating this aspect of material selection.

6.4.4 Recycling logos — Originally developed by the Society of Plastics Industry (SPI), the resin identification coding system dictates the symbols to be used on plastic parts to indicate the specific polymer composition of the part. The ASTM committee D20 on Plastics currently manages the resin identification coding system and has developed a standard practice for this topic as ASTM D7611-13. The identification symbols are readily visible on consumer parts and are often used in community recycling programs to assist workers in separating different materials. Part designers should add these resin identification code symbols to their designs if parts are to be used for production purposes.

6.4.5 Reuse — Reuse refers to using a part after its original use without destroying its geometry, as is done in material recycling. Often, a reused part is used for a different purpose, one that is not as demanding on the part's properties. Other times, a part can be refurbished and reused for its original purpose. If a company wants to pursue a reuse strategy, then designers should design parts for extended lifetimes. Hence, there can be a tradeoff between “reduce” objectives and “reuse” objectives.

6.4.6 *Input stream* — This generally refers to the materials that are inputs to the various manufacturing processes, including the materials from which parts will be fabricated, support structure materials, etc. In many powder bed fusion processes, powder is reused from one build to the next. This powder recycling is important from an economic viewpoint, but has limits. Typically AM process feedstock is very carefully controlled by the AM machine vendors to ensure quality parts, reducing the importance of input stream considerations. However, as a wider variety of feedstocks is accepted, part designers need to consider material choices carefully so that they have confidence that claimed physical properties are representative of as-fabricated properties.

6.4.7 *Waste stream* — The materials that remain after a product is dismantled and recyclable materials are separated are typically considered waste; these materials become the waste stream. In the case of AM processes, the products of part post-processing shall also be considered wastes, including support structures (except metal supports), cleaning solvents and powders that can no longer be recycled in powder bed fusion machines.

6.4.8 *Energy consumption* — Considerable energy can be consumed during part fabrication. AM machines use energy while heating up, processing materials, and even during cool-down if fans are running. This is not something that is easy to evaluate when designing parts or selecting manufacturing processes, but should become of increasing interest to AM machine vendors. Designers should also include energy consumption during post processing and finishing of parts.

6.4.9 *Water consumption* — Many companies are very concerned about water usage in factories, since in many parts of the world, water is a scarce resource. Some vat photopolymerization processes require considerable amounts of water for post-processing.

6.4.10 *Carbon footprint* — This is a more general type of sustainability analysis that deals with most aspects of part manufacture across the supply chain. Carbon footprint is an overall measure of resources consumed and pollution emitted that starts with the extraction and processing of raw materials (e.g. mining) and ends with the recycling of product materials or reuse of parts. Good databases and tools are available for evaluating the carbon footprint of parts manufacturing for many common materials and many common manufacturing processes.

6.4.11 *Life-cycle impact* — It is important to consider that AM processes can be replacing other manufacturing processes and evaluations of the impact of AM production should be determined relative to the impacts of these other processes. Just because an AM process can consume significant energy, for example, does not mean it should not be used. The total impact (energy, water, carbon footprint, wastes, etc.) should be considered of the entire alternative process chains for the expected product life. Furthermore, the overall life-cycle impact of the product, given material and process choices, should be determined before adopting or rejecting the use of AM.

6.5 Business considerations

6.5.1 There are several business considerations when deciding if AM is the best method for production of a part.

6.5.2 *Cost* — There are several aspects to the consideration of cost, including but not limited to AM fabrication cost, total part fabrication cost, life cycle cost and up front engineering cost.

6.5.2.1 *AM fabrication cost* — Is it more effective to use AM? This consideration requires a cost analysis capability for the target process. Furthermore, it will be helpful if cost analyses are available for several AM processes and for one or more conventional manufacturing processes so that relative comparisons can be made. The capability of considering multiple materials will also be useful.

6.5.2.2 *Total part fabrication cost* — A process chain can be necessary to fabricate a part, where AM is only one process in the chain. Costs for all of these processes should be considered.

6.5.2.3 *Up front engineering costs* — Extensive design freedom can be a significant benefit, but considerable time and cost can be expended in searching extensive design spaces. Additionally, considerable time can be spent iterating with the fabrication process to determine the best process parameter settings, part orientation, supports and anchors, etc. Such iteration can become very expensive. In comparison, if an organization understands conventional processes and materials well, it can be more cost-effective to not employ AM.

6.5.3 *Material considerations* — To determine if a material used in AM meets the specifications for a product, mechanical and other physical properties should be considered, as well as the operating environment, as described in earlier sections.

6.5.4 *Number of parts in the order* — Does the production run warrant use of AM or would another method be more effective? This consideration is similar to that of fabrication cost. Also, if low production volumes or customized parts are required, AM is likely to be less expensive than conventional manufacturing processes that require significant set-up or tooling costs.

6.5.5 *Time for AM fabrication* — Is the AM production turnaround time appropriate for the parts order? Production time depends on how many parts can be fabricated in one build. It is important to distinguish between those AM processes that can fabricate multiple parts in one build and those where it is more suitable to build one part at a time. For small parts, it can be possible to fabricate thousands of the parts in a powder bed fusion process, where parts can be arrayed and stacked in 3 dimensions. This can greatly reduce average build time and overall production time for an order. Production time is also a function of how densely the platform or powder bed is packed. Practical limits exist on packing density due to the necessity of removing parts and support structures (e.g. vat photopolymerization) or managing the temperature distribution (powder bed fusion).

6.5.6 *Machine usage* — How many AM machines are needed for production? This is of course coupled with the previous two considerations. Overall production time for a batch of parts can be reduced, in many cases, by allocating more machines to the job.

6.5.7 *Post processing* — How detailed is the post processing step in the production of final parts? Support structure removal, polishing, thermal cycles (e.g. annealing), coatings, finishing, etc. are all possible post-processing steps that can be needed to achieve desired part qualities or properties. It is important to understand the time and expertise needed for manual post-processing. If a large volume of parts is needed over an extended period of time, it can be worthwhile to consider or develop automated post-processing methods.

6.5.8 *Waste disposal* — Can process wastes be disposed of easily? This is related to the sustainability considerations.

6.5.9 *Inspection* — Part inspection for accuracy, surface finish, mechanical properties and other requirements should be considered during the design process. The designer can design inspection features onto the part to allow, for example, the presence of anisotropic scaling to be checked. Part design should also include consideration as to whether non-destructive testing of structural integrity is required.

6.5.10 *Man-hours* — How many labour-hours need to be expended using AM vs. conventional manufacturing processes? This is similar to the cost and time considerations earlier. The skill level required should be considered also.

6.5.11 *Packaging and shipping* — Are there any special packaging and shipping considerations for the product? Is the device fabricated the final product that needs to be packaged for consumers? Will the part be delivered to a production facility for final assembly? Or, is the part a prototype that does not require special handling? A range of customer expectations exists that needs to be considered before delivering product.

6.5.12 Supply chain and enterprise level decisions — Several strategic decisions should be considered that impact supply chains. Should the company fabricate the part in-house or outsource its production? How much of the work should be outsourced? By what criteria should suppliers be selected? Should a centralized or distributed production model be utilized? The company's strategy regarding its desire to compete on cost, flexibility, dependability or other criteria affects how these decisions are made.

6.6 Geometry considerations

6.6.1 Designer considerations related to geometry fall into two broad categories: part geometry and characteristics, and electronic file and exchange considerations. Considerations related to part geometry are presented first.

6.6.2 Accuracy and precision — Accuracy provides an indication of how close the physical dimensions on an AM part agree with the specified dimensions. Precision provides an indication of how repeatable an AM process is. The mean and standard deviation of dimensional error are often taken as measures of accuracy and precision for a process. These values are often dependent on build orientation and/or part size for AM processes.

6.6.3 Surface roughness — Surface roughness is a measure of the deviations normal to the surface of an additively manufactured surface from the ideal surface (i.e. surface texture). Surface roughness is often dependent on the orientation, feed stock and process parameters (e.g. layer thickness) for AM processes.

6.6.4 Minimum feature size — The minimum feature size refers to the smallest feature that a process is capable of producing. Features can involve positive volumes (i.e. ribs, bosses) or negative volumes (i.e. holes). Removal of support material is an important consideration for some AM processes with respect to minimum feature size (i.e. support removal from deep blind holes). As CAD model feature sizes approach the machine's feature resolution limits, designers should take into account that many AM processes do not produce shapes with sharp corners or other fine details.

6.6.5 Maximum aspect ratio — Related to minimum feature size is the maximum aspect ratio for a feature. Short thin features can build correctly, but if the feature is taller, the feature can break, crumble or otherwise fail. Maximum aspect ratio expresses the relationship between feature width and height or length.

6.6.6 Minimum feature spacing — This refers to the minimum space that shall be specified between adjacent features. For example, the minimum gap between moving parts in an additively manufactured assembly can be important to ensure that parts or features do not fuse together during manufacture.

6.6.7 Recommended assembly fits — This is the recommended clearance or interference between mating features on parts that are to be assembled after production. The recommended dimensions depend upon the function of the assembly. Clearances between mating features are appropriate when the parts shall move freely with respect to one another. Clearances can also be appropriate when parts are to be glued together. Interferences between mating features are appropriate when the parts shall be securely held together. Transitional fits can be appropriate when parts are intended to be assembled and disassembled.

6.6.8 Maximum part size — Maximum part size refers to the largest part dimensions along the X, Y and Z axes as defined by ISO/ASTM 52921 that can be produced on a given AM machine. Parts can be larger than the build envelope of the selected process or machine. Designers can break part designs into multiple parts, each of which fits into the desired build envelope. Parts should be designed with assembly features that facilitate assembly operations, such as pins, holes and mating joints (e.g. dovetails). Designers should analyse the economics of the assembly operations to compare with alternative manufacturing processes.

6.6.9 Maximum unsupported feature — This consideration is applicable to those AM processes that require support structures. Surfaces that face downward (i.e. overhangs) in the build can require supports. Typically, a process has a minimum angle (measured from vertical) for surfaces that indicates

a surface requires supports. This threshold angle can depend on feature size or length. The concept of maximum unsupported feature refers to the largest feature size that can be built accurately at that threshold angle without support structures.

6.6.10 Physical considerations — To be suitable for AM, the part shall be designed such that all thin areas of the part are thick enough to accommodate the minimum thickness requirements of the target AM machine as well as pre-processing software such as slicers. The designer shall also consider the physical orientation of the part during manufacturing. For example, material extrusion processes can require greater or lesser support structures depending upon the orientation of the part in 3D space. It is advisable to design the part for at least one orientation that minimizes overhangs, or areas of the part requiring support, in order to reduce wasted material and expedite production, if design freedom allows. Consideration of the effects of residual stresses and shrinkage can be important when determining part orientation as well. The part should be arranged in the software in the desired manufacturing orientation for maximum clarity of intended build orientation to the machine operator. The physical mass of the part being manufactured shall also be considered, depending upon the selected manufacturing process, to ensure that gravity or other external forces do not cause the manufacturing process to fail.

6.6.11 Mesh considerations — It is currently standard practice in the AM industry to convert a 3D CAD model of a part into a triangular mesh (“mesh” for short) in the AMF format or STL format, since a mesh is the required form of input to AM build preparation software. Mesh models should satisfy several conditions. Designers should view their part’s triangular mesh representation to ensure that it meets their requirements.

6.6.11.1 The designer should utilize an appropriate number of polygonal facets for accurate representation at the target scale, balanced against the size of the mesh data.

6.6.11.2 The mesh shall be watertight, meaning the facets of the mesh completely enclose a positive volume without areas having zero thickness and without holes, gaps or cracks. There should be no non-manifold edges, meaning an edge shared by more than two facets. There should also be no coincident edges or facets, meaning two edges or facets occupying the same space.

6.6.11.3 Sometimes meshing software produce “flipped normal vectors,” a situation where a triangle in the mesh has an orientation opposite to that of its neighbours. The normal vector of a triangle in a mesh shall point outward, not inward toward the part’s interior in a valid STL or AMF file.

6.6.11.4 Internal facets, or those enclosed within the watertight volume, should be eliminated.

6.6.12 Data interchange considerations — If the design process will include multiple software components operating in a tool chain, the designer shall take the import and export capabilities of all the software in the tool chain into consideration. The data file used as a transport between any two software components should retain the highest complexity representation of the data that is required by any software component later in the tool chain. For example, if one software component requires a non-uniform rational B-spline (NURBS) representation of the part, it should not be placed in the tool chain downstream of a software component incapable of importing a NURBS representation. Likewise, if final scale is unknown, higher resolution mesh or volumetric data should be preserved until the scale is known. Because of the limitations of import and export among software packages, care shall be exercised in sequencing the software in the tool chain. This tool chain might include the final additive manufacturing pre-processing software or firmware on the target manufacturing device. If the tool chain spans multiple devices or computers, ensure there are no disruptive differences in how the transport data file is interpreted on those devices or computers, in terms of architecture, endianness (ordering or sequencing of bytes of a word of digital data), floating point precision, dependency on graphics hardware, and so on.

6.7 Material property considerations

6.7.1 General

The following is a list of material properties to assist in the selection of AM technologies and material type. AM processes typically produce parts with anisotropic properties, where the anisotropy is greater than in most conventional manufacturing processes. Designers shall be aware of these anisotropies and design for them. In some cases, designers can take advantage of the anisotropy and are encouraged to explore creative design solutions, rather than view anisotropy as a negative characteristic. Designers should also realize that material properties can be highly dependent on subsequent post-processing steps, such as heat treatments, that can be applied to fabricated components. Also, it is important to note that standards are being developed for characterizing properties of feedstock materials, such as metal powders for powder bed fusion processes (see ASTM F3049-14).

6.7.2 Mechanical properties

6.7.2.1 Overview — Comprehensive standards are emerging for classes of materials which would subsume some aspects of this section. For example, ASTM F3122-14 is a guide on evaluating mechanical properties of metal AM parts. It should also be noted that uncertainties exist regarding test specimens, e.g. their location in the build, ensuring that the specimen's properties are representative of those of the part, how to capture process information along with the test data, etc.

6.7.2.2 Tensile strength, tensile modulus, tensile elongation — These are common tensile mechanical properties that are determined using standard dog-bone samples, according to ASTM D638 and ASTM E8/E8M. For parts fabricated using AM processes, these properties commonly vary for parts built in different orientations.

6.7.2.3 Flexural strength, flexural modulus — These are other common mechanical properties that address the ability of a material to resist deformation under bending loads, commonly reported using ASTM D790. Again, the properties can vary for different build orientations.

6.7.2.4 IZOD impact, notched/un-notched — This test measures a material's resistance to impact from a swinging pendulum, which is often referred to as impact strength. The test is commonly reported using ASTM D256/ ASTM D4812. Parts produced using many AM processes have impact strengths less than those fabricated using same/similar materials on conventional manufacturing processes, particularly if porosity is evident. For this property, build orientation has not been investigated to understand the effect in test results.

6.7.2.5 Compression strength, compression modulus — These properties refer to the ability of a material to resist axially directed pushing forces that attempt to squeeze or compress the material together, commonly reported using ASTM D695 and ASTM E9. For many AM processes, these properties tend to be less sensitive to build orientations than tensile or flexural properties.

6.7.2.6 Shear strength — This property refers to the ability of a material to resist forces that attempt to cause it to become permanently deformed by sliding against itself without rupture. This is commonly reported using ASTM D732. For this property, build orientation has not been investigated to understand the effect in test results.

6.7.2.7 Fatigue strength and fatigue limit — These properties are defined as the value of stress at which failure occurs after a specified number of cycles, and as the limiting value of stress at which failure occurs as the number of cycles becomes very large, respectively. In many cases, AM-produced parts in their as-built state have poor fatigue performance compared to their traditionally manufactured counterparts due to crack propagation from layer, scan or material deposition interfaces, or from residual porosity. However, if well designed, voids intentionally induced could act as crack arrestors. The orientation of the part with respect to the primary fatigue load axis has an impact on fatigue life, in the part's as-built state. Part surface finish likely has an effect on fatigue life, although exceptions can arise. In some cases, AM-

produced parts can be better than traditionally manufactured parts for fatigue if these issues are well understood and controlled.

6.7.2.8 Creep behaviour — This property refers to the behaviour of parts that elongate under load when subject to elevated temperatures. This is commonly reported using ASTM E139 for metals and ASTM D2990 for plastics.

6.7.3 Thermal properties

6.7.3.1 Heat deflection (HDT) — The temperature at which a polymer material deforms under a specific load. The test is often performed at multiple pressures, including at 0,455 MPa and 1,82 MPa (66 psi and 264 psi), and is commonly reported using ASTM D648. This parameter is of interest for parts that are used at temperatures above room temperature.

6.7.3.2 Glass transition temperature (T_g) — The temperature at which a polymer material transitions from a hard and relatively brittle state into a molten or rubber-like state. For processes that utilize a solid-to-liquid-to-solid set of phase changes, T_g is an important parameter for both AM processing as well as part usage at elevated temperatures.

6.7.3.3 Melt point — The temperature at which a solid becomes a liquid at standard atmospheric pressure.

6.7.4 Electrical properties

6.7.4.1 Volume resistivity — The resistance to leakage current through the body of an insulating material, commonly reported using ASTM D257.

6.7.4.2 Dielectric constant — The ratio of the amount of energy stored in a material by an applied voltage relative to that stored in a vacuum. This consideration and the next two have relevance to dielectric materials that are used in capacitors, piezoelectric materials and actuators, antennas, and more generally the response of materials to electromagnetic fields. This is commonly reported using ASTM D150-98.

6.7.4.3 Dissipation factor — The ratio of the power loss in a dielectric material to the total power transmitted through the dielectric, commonly reported using ASTM D150-98.

6.7.4.4 Dielectric strength — The maximum electrical potential gradient that a material can withstand without rupture, commonly reported using ASTM D149-09.

6.7.5 Other

6.7.5.1 Specific gravity/density — The measure of the ratio of mass of a given volume of material at 23 °C to the same volume of deionized water, commonly reported using ASTM D792.

6.7.5.2 Rockwell hardness — This is a hardness measurement of a metal based on the net increase in depth of impression as a load is applied and is commonly reported using ASTM D785.

6.7.5.3 Durometer — This is a hardness measurement for polymers, elastomers and rubbers. There are several durometer scales; the ASTM D2240-00 standard defines 12 scales.

6.7.5.4 Flame classification/flammability — Flammability is the ability of a material to support combustion. This consideration is of high relevance for any applications in human transportation, such as in the aerospace and automotive industries, and is commonly reported using ANSI/UL 94.

6.7.5.5 *Water absorption* — The amount of weight gain (%) experienced in a polymer material after immersion in water for a specific length of time under controlled environment, commonly reported using ASTM D570.

6.8 Considerations related to different process categories

6.8.1 General

The following topics cover general process considerations and considerations that can be important for process selection for the seven categories of AM processes that are defined in 3.1. Process selection involves choosing among these and choosing appropriate materials. Designers can find it useful to consider polymer vs. metal, thermoplastic vs. thermoset polymers, and vector vs. raster processing. Part quality and properties are influenced by the specific process variable settings that are chosen to fabricate the part. To support those considerations, primary process variables are identified for each process category.

6.8.2 Specific considerations for different process categories

6.8.2.1 *Binder jetting* — Binder jetting is capable of fabricating the full spectrum of powder materials (ceramics, metals and polymers) and offers the capability to control pore characteristics (size, morphology and volume fraction) with repeatability and reproducibility. Jetting a binder into a bed of powder provides a means to manipulate chemical, physical and mechanical properties. Support structures are rarely needed since the powder itself supports the parts being fabricated. This is called “self-supporting”. The key process variables to consider include powder selection, binder selection and formulation, powder-binder interactions, infiltrant selection, saturation (amount of binder deposited per unit volume of powder) and post-processing treatments.

6.8.2.2 *Directed energy deposition* — Directed energy deposition is a process category based on spraying or feeding a metal feedstock into a focused energy source, creating a weld pool, which traverses to build the object. This is essentially a welding process that utilizes either a powder spray or wire feed. In principle, any metal alloy that can be welded should be processable using directed energy deposition. Steels and a variety of alloys are commercially available. It is also possible to mix powders, enabling graded material compositions. Good metallic material properties can be achieved since the feedstock is fully melted and typically rapidly cooled, yielding properties that are usually as good as or better than cast. However, residual stresses can be an issue. This process can be utilized to fabricate parts or to repair metal parts, since the process is flexible enough to build on virtually any metal substrate. Five-axis machine architecture is needed in order to fabricate parts of arbitrary complexity due to the nature of the deposition process and since the process does not utilize support structures. For part fabrication (as opposed to repair), the part is built on a platform and shall be removed using a machining operation. The key process variables include laser power, material feed rate, scan speed and the atmosphere (pressure, choice of inert gas, gas flow rate).

6.8.2.3 *Material extrusion* — In material extrusion processes, a filament of material or a paste material is extruded through a nozzle which traverses to build up the object, layer by layer. Support structures are often employed to support overhanging features, and can consist of either the part material or a secondary material (often soluble, e.g. wax, soluble polymer, etc.). Filament-based material extrusion systems typically utilize amorphous thermoplastic polymer materials. Composite (filled) materials are available also consisting of a thermoplastic polymer matrix material with one or more filler constituents, for example, ceramic nanoparticles or short fibres. Paste extruders utilize a variety of materials, from glue to ceramic or metal slurries (suspensions) to silicones. Due to the layer-based extrusion process, material properties are anisotropic: stronger in the build plane than in the Z-direction due to limitations of inter-layer bonding. Key process variables include material composition, nozzle diameter (extruded filament diameter), material feed rate, scan speed, extrusion temperature, and build chamber atmosphere and temperature.

6.8.2.4 *Material jetting* — Material jetting processes deposit liquid materials via droplet formation processes such as ink-jet, aerosolization or atomization processes. Materials, also called inks, can include photopolymers, nano-ink dispersions, solutions, wax, biomaterials, etc. Typically banks of jetting nozzles are utilized to enable high rate material deposition. In some processes, multiple jets provide a means of locally controlling material composition by depositing different materials in different areas or even by combining multiple materials. If conductive inks are deposited, electronic circuits can be fabricated either on external part surfaces or embedded inside parts. Parts tend to be fully dense and have good surface finish due to the small size of the droplets, which range from 16 µm to 30 µm. Support structures are needed; depending on the materials deposited, soluble supports can be available. Key process variables include ink formulation (composition, solvent system, solid loading fraction), deposition temperature, substrate temperature, carrier gas (aerosol jetting), substrate stand-off distance, print pattern and deposition pattern (scan speed, droplet generation rate, hatch spacing, etc.).

6.8.2.5 *Powder bed fusion* — In powder bed fusion processes, an energy source is used to melt powder particles to form part cross-sections. Typically, the energy source is a laser or electron beam, but other variants are possible, such as heat lamps if material patterning mechanisms are used. After fusing powder on one layer, a new layer of powder is spread across the build area in preparation for the next powder fusing operation. Primarily, metals and semi-crystalline thermoplastic polymers are used, but ceramics and other materials have been demonstrated as well. For polymers, polyamide (trade name: Nylon) materials are most commonly used, but elastomers, glass-filled polyamide and some other reinforced variants are available. For metals, a wide variety of alloys are available, including steels and titanium, nickel-based, cobalt-chrome and aluminium alloys. For polymers, the process typically produces parts with some porosity, in order to maintain dimensional accuracy. For metals, full melting is typical, resulting in parts that approach full density. For polymer PBF processes, support structures are typically not needed since the powder bed supports the parts. In contrast, metal PBF typically requires support structures to anchor the part to the platform in order to maintain part accuracy and prevent warpage. Key process variables include laser/e-beam power, scan speed, scan pattern, powder composition, powder size distribution and powder bed temperature.

6.8.2.6 *Sheet lamination* — Sheet lamination processes fabricate parts by layering sheets of material, cutting them into shapes of desired part cross sections, and bonding the sheets together. Different processes implement these operations in different orders, with some cutting then stacking and bonding, while others stack then bond and cut. Different bonding approaches have been used including glue, thin polymer layers that are melted, consolidation at elevated temperatures and pressures, and ultrasonic welding. The first sheet lamination process used paper, but other research and commercial systems have been developed for plastic, metal and ceramic sheets, including composites. Fabricated parts are typically fully dense. Particularly for processes that stack then cut sheets, the stack of sheets has parts embedded inside, necessitating post-processing operations to remove the excess material. Key process variables include sheet material composition, sheet thickness, bonding mechanism and materials, cutting process selection (e.g. laser, knife) and others that depend upon these choices.

6.8.2.7 *Vat photopolymerization* — Similar to powder bed fusion, vat photopolymerization processes fabricate part cross sections using patterned energy beams. However, the material used is a liquid resin that polymerizes when the energy beam shines on the resin surface. Photopolymerization is the most common type of reaction, but thermal initiation of reactions has also been explored in some research systems. Materials are limited to thermosets that can be photo or thermally initiated. Commercial and many research processes utilize acrylate or epoxy material systems, although some research processes are exploring other materials including polyurethanes, hydrogels and other chemistries. Commercial stereolithography machines are generally regarded as having the best accuracy and very good surface finish, compared to other AM processes for equally sized parts. Support structures are required and are typically fabricated using the material in the resin vat, which is the same as the part material. Key process variables include process configuration (laser scan vs. mask projection), energy beam power, scan pattern or mask pattern, laser scan speed or mask display duration, and layer thickness.

6.8.3 Other considerations

6.8.3.1 Post-processing considerations — Post processing requirements depend greatly on the specific process and material under consideration. If support structures are used, they shall be removed. In some cases, supports are to be removed mechanically, while in other cases they can be dissolved in a solvent. For processes using metals, parts are typically anchored to a platform in order to support the part and maintain its proper shape during fabrication; machining operations are to be used to remove the parts. Support structures usually produce a rougher surface than would otherwise be fabricated. In vat photopolymerization processes, the part is immersed in a resin bath and a thin coating of the resin remains on the part after removal from the machine. This resin shall be cleaned off and, in many cases, a subsequent post-cure operation performed to fully cure the part's surfaces and any remaining uncured resin inside the part. For processes that utilize a powder bed (powder bed fusion or binder jetting), the parts shall be removed from the bed and cleaned off. Since fine powders are used typically, this part clean up should be performed with some protection for the personnel performing the post-processing. In many cases, users can have control over support structure generation through variables that control support density and placement, as well as touch point size or position. Finally, end use requirements can require the part to be finish machined, sanded, painted or have other operations performed. Specific recommendations are process, material and situation dependent, so more detailed considerations are difficult to provide.

6.8.3.2 Qualification requirements — For some component suppliers and AM component designers/users, it is critical to define the actions needed to demonstrate or confirm that a given AM process, using a particular AM material for a particular AM design, will produce a component having the properties necessary for the part to perform successfully. Furthermore, the process shall be repeatable. Qualification is particularly important for production applications. Designers should be aware of these requirements and identify relevant standards in their company or industry.

6.8.3.3 Inspection method considerations — Qualification and inspection are quality assurance processes that are complementary. When inspections are more robust and practical, qualification requirements can be reduced. Conversely, when inspections are difficult or impossible, qualification requirements are made to be more stringent. A wide variety of inspection processes is available, including destructive and non-destructive methods. Designers should work with their quality groups to ascertain if appropriate inspection and qualification processes are available or need to be developed for the types of parts that they are designing.

6.9 Communication considerations

6.9.1 Considerations in this category address issues that arise in communicating design intent and requirements. Examples include how to specify conditions that ensure that parts fit together properly, clearances, etc. Also, shrink factors can be important. The context is important here: part geometry is typically exchanged using STL or AMF files, so how should all the information that is conveyed in CAD models, notes on CAD drawing, email messages, etc. be conveyed from designer to manufacturer?

6.9.2 Design intent — There are many advantages in developing designs using AM rather than conventional processes. One such benefit is the reduction of risk of manufacturing error. Complex assemblies can be reduced to a single part. Though attractive, from a simplistic and potentially “paperless” approach, the successful conveyance and implementation of design intent should not be taken lightly. If the designer neglects communicating more than the CAD requirements, the resulting component cannot meet the designer's and user's expectations. Therefore, communication between the designer and producers of AM components is essential. Helping the AM producer fully understand what is expected of their services is the responsibility of the designer and a clear understanding is needed to successfully produce a part design. In some cases, the design can be simple enough to pass to one or several AM systems and, based on industry norms for a given system, a desired part can often result. When part designs begin including more function and moving parts with clearances or properties such as chemical, mechanical or thermal, the designer shall successfully communicate these expectations and preferably use multiple forms of verbal and non-verbal, perhaps traceable, communication. Sometimes,