

# INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

**ISO  
9359**

First edition  
1989-09-15

---

---

## **Air quality — Stratified sampling method for assessment of ambient air quality**

*Qualité de l'air — Échantillonnage stratifié pour l'estimation de la qualité  
de l'air ambiant*

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 9359:1989



Reference number  
ISO 9359 : 1989 (E)

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for approval before their acceptance as International Standards by the ISO Council. They are approved in accordance with ISO procedures requiring at least 75 % approval by the member bodies voting.

International Standard ISO 9359 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 146, *Air quality*.

Annexes A, B and C of this International Standard are for information only.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 9359:1989

© ISO 1989

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from the publisher.

International Organization for Standardization  
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Genève 20 • Switzerland

Printed in Switzerland

## Introduction

The ambient air quality at a particular location or region is generally variable with time, this variation being caused by a number of factors, especially meteorological conditions, topography and patterns of emissions.

Such circumstances may require that a large number of measurements be made over a long interval of time to ensure that a sufficiently wide range of conditions is covered. Stratified sampling is one method which reduces the number of measurements needed to assess certain aspects of ambient air quality. This technique has been applied for example in ambient air quality surveys and in noise surveys<sup>[1]</sup> (see examples given in annex B).

The basic aim of stratification and stratified sampling is to reduce the number of measurements needed to obtain results with a desired precision, or to improve the precision of the results without increasing the number of measurements.

To do this, information is necessary on the conditions which are likely to give rise to high, low or intermediate values of the ambient air quality characteristic of interest in the area being studied. This information is used to introduce a stratified sampling scheme in which the total number of measurements made is distributed among the different strata in such a way that the variance of the data obtained, within a given stratum, is reduced compared with the overall variance.

The reliability of the stratification scheme selected will depend upon the extent and validity of a priori knowledge, covering emission sources and the influences of topography and meteorological conditions on atmospheric dispersion. The use of results from previous measurement surveys or from specially mounted pilot surveys can be extremely helpful in the choice of strata<sup>[2]</sup>, as can the application of ambient air quality models. Data from existing ambient air quality monitoring stations which are sited to be representative of the area under examination can be used in the method.

The main body of this International Standard outlines principles to be applied for obtaining a meaningful stratification scheme. Annex A outlines the method to be used for carrying out the calculations.

This page intentionally left blank

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 9359:1989

# Air quality — Stratified sampling method for assessment of ambient air quality

## 1 Scope

This International Standard specifies a method for the assessment of certain aspects of ambient air quality in terms of percentiles and means using the principle of stratified sampling.

This is by estimating percentiles and means of the frequency distribution of measurements of ambient air quality characteristics. The application to the estimation of means, however, is restricted to cases where certain assumptions about the frequency distribution of the ambient air quality characteristic can be made using a priori knowledge or when a sufficient number of statistically independent measurements are available (see ISO 2854 and ISO 2602).

The results may be used to assess ambient air quality during the period of the measurement survey. (For length of period see also ISO 7168.) By using information on the longer-term occurrence of the various strata, an assessment for a longer period can be obtained using the same database.

Thus, although meteorological conditions have a profound effect on the concentration and distribution of air pollutants, stratified sampling enables results which are independent of the actual meteorological conditions prevailing during the interval of time of measurement to be calculated for a longer term.

## 2 Normative references

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this International Standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this International Standard are encouraged

to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards listed below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO 2602 : 1980, *Statistical interpretation of test results — Estimation of the mean — Confidence interval.*

ISO 2854 : 1976, *Statistical interpretation of data — Techniques of estimation and tests relating to means and variances.*

ISO 3534 : 1977, *Statistics — Vocabulary and symbols.*

ISO 7168 : 1985, *Air quality — Presentation of ambient air quality data in alphanumerical form.*

## 3 Definitions

For the purposes of this International Standard, the following definitions apply.

**3.1 percentile:** The value  $X_p$  which separates the range of the population of a parameter into two groups at the level of the percentage  $P$ .

**3.2 fractile; quantile:** The value  $X_p$  which separates the range of the population of a parameter into two groups at the level of the fraction  $f = P/100$ , where  $P$  is a given percentage.

**3.3 stratified sampling:** Of a population which can be divided into different sub-populations (called strata), sampling carried out in such a way that specified proportions of the sample are drawn from the different strata. [ISO 3534]

**3.4 stratum:** Sub-population of a population characterized by certain features.

4 Symbols

Symbol	Meaning
$f$	weighted fraction of the values of $f_i$
$f_+$	upper confidence limit for the weighted fraction
$f_-$	lower confidence limit for the weighted fraction
$f_i$	fraction of the $i$ th stratum being below (or above) a given value
$k$	number of strata
$n$	total number of measurements
$n_i$	number of measurements in the $i$ th stratum
$m_i$	number of measurements having a value below a given value in the $i$ th stratum
$P_i$	percentage of the $i$ th stratum being below (or above) a given value ( $P_i = 100 f_i$ )
$s^2(f)$	estimate for the variance of $f$
$s^2(\bar{x})$	estimate for the variance of $\bar{x}$
$s_i^2(f_i)$	estimate for the variance of $f_i$
$s_i^2(x_{ij})$	estimate for the variance of $x_{ij}$
$t_{v;1-\alpha}$	tabulated value of the $t$ -distribution for the one-sided test at the significance level $\alpha$ and for $v$ degrees of freedom (for tables see ISO 2602)
$u_{1-\alpha}$	tabulated value of the standardized normal distribution for the one-sided test at the significance level $\alpha$
$\bar{x}$	weighted mean of the values of $\bar{x}_i$
$\bar{x}_+$	upper confidence limit for the weighted mean
$\bar{x}_-$	lower confidence limit for the weighted mean
$\bar{x}_i$	arithmetic mean of measurements within the $i$ th stratum
$x_{ij}$	$j$ th measurement in the $i$ th stratum
$w_i$	probability of occurrence of the $i$ th stratum given as a weighting factor
$X_p$	percentile; fractile (or quantile)
$\alpha$	significance level
$1 - \alpha$	confidence level
$\Delta$	margin of error
$\mu$	mean of population
$\mu_i$	mean of the $i$ th stratum
$v$	number of degrees of freedom
$\sigma_i^2(f_i)$	variance of $f_i$
$\sigma_i^2(x_{ij})$	variance of $x_{ij}$

5 Guidelines for stratification

The stratification scheme should be designed in such a way that the strata means,  $\mu_i$ , are different from each other and the variances are smaller than the variance of the population. The probability of occurrence of each stratum,  $w_i$ , should be known in advance (see 5.1 to 5.6). To calculate final results it is necessary to use weighting factors,  $w_i$ , which refer to the time period for which the assessment of ambient air quality is being made.

If a long-term assessment is needed, based on only a relatively short interval of time of measurement, then weighting factors,

$w_i$ , appropriate to the long-term situation should be used to weigh the strata data, and not weighting factors,  $w_i$ , for the interval of time of measurement. In a similar way, it is possible to use the method for prospective assessments of ambient air quality — as might be required, for example, in relation to projected increases in traffic flow — and it would then be necessary to use predicted weighting factors,  $w_i$ .

Often, there will be some uncertainty in the weighting factors,  $w_i$ , and the effect this has on the calculated percentiles or means will need to be determined by using equations (A.6) and (A.7) (see annex A).

For a satisfactory stratification, a priori information is required relating the magnitude of the ambient air quality characteristic of interest to those factors which affect it or result from it. This information is used to estimate  $\mu_i$ ,  $\sigma_i$ , and  $w_i$ . The factors on which this information is usually based are the temporal and spatial patterns of emissions, transport and dispersion, associations with other ambient air pollutants, and effects of the ambient air pollutant of interest. Examples of ways in which these factors could be used to set up stratification are given below.

5.1 Patterns of emissions

Certain emissions show obvious variations with time or in their spatial pattern.

EXAMPLES

1 Emissions of sulfur dioxide and other combustion products produced as a result of space heating have a strong seasonal variation. It could be appropriate to define strata covering different periods of the year, e.g. summer and winter, if the assessment of ambient air quality being made is likely to be affected by these emissions.

2 Road traffic exhaust emissions usually have a strong diurnal variation; their source can be considered to be linear in the case of a major road or areal in the case of an urban area. If airborne lead levels were being assessed in the vicinity of a main highway, then the strata used could be based on distance from the highway and time of day in relation to peak traffic flow periods. A spatial or temporal stratification could be used to assess carbon monoxide levels in an urban area.

5.2 Transport and dispersion

When selecting criteria for a stratification scheme related to the transport and dispersion of air pollutants in the atmosphere, it is necessary to decide whether long-range transport of air pollution, governed by synoptic factors, or nearby sources, meteorological effects and topography, is the dominant influence on the ambient air quality characteristic of interest. Stratification may then be based on factors such as

- local topography;
- air temperature;
- wind speed and wind direction;
- atmospheric stability;

- mixing height;
- solar radiation;
- weather type;
- air mass type;

or on results of dispersion models. These models using emission and meteorological data predict the temporal and spatial pattern of ambient air quality which may be used to devise stratification schemes.

#### EXAMPLES

1 Considering the effects of a single emission source at some distance from the area under examination, a stratification based on wind speed and wind direction may be useful (see also clause B.1).

2 An assessment of ambient air quality may be required in an urban-industrial basin area with many emission sources. Here, a variety of parameters could be examined for their suitability, e.g. atmospheric stability, seasonal effects, wind speed and wind direction (see also clause B.2).

3 If concentrations of carbon monoxide need to be assessed at street level in an area with tall buildings, e.g. in the central part of a large city, then wind speed and wind direction may be used along with the time of day (see also 5.1, example 1).

4 If oxidants are being assessed, a stratification based on solar radiation, wind direction and temperature may be useful.

5 For inter-regional or long-range transported air pollutants, the air mass concept may be used.

#### 5.3 Associations with other ambient air pollutants

Some ambient air quality characteristics are indicative of atmospheric conditions or are associated with other ambient air quality characteristics of interest. The concentrations of certain ambient air pollutants may be closely correlated and stratified sampling for the ambient air pollutant of interest may then be carried out on the basis of the level of the indicator ambient air pollutant.

EXAMPLE — The concentrations of the ambient air pollutant of interest, as measured for example at a fixed, continuous monitoring station, can be used to define strata within which random sampling can be performed (see also clause B.3).

#### 5.4 Effects

The effects of ambient air pollution may lend themselves to establish a stratification.

#### EXAMPLES

1 Effects on plant growth or crops could lead to an areal or temporal stratification.

2 Frequency and occurrence of complaints from the public about odours, for example, could also be used.

3 Plants and growth periods.

#### 5.5 Pilot surveys

If it is not possible to devise a stratification on the basis of existing knowledge of ambient air quality and the factors governing its variation in the area of interest, then it may be necessary to set up a pilot survey of ambient air quality, or to carry out calculations using ambient air quality models, which are themselves based on stratification.

#### 5.6 Ambient air quality models

Ambient air quality models, using emission and meteorological data to predict the temporal and spatial emission patterns of ambient air quality, may be used to devise stratification.

### 6 Measurement guidelines

#### 6.1 Number of strata and number of measurements per stratum

Having decided on a stratification criterion, it is then necessary to decide how many strata are to be used, and how many measurements are to be made within each stratum to achieve a desired confidence limit in the assessment.

Experience with the stratified sampling technique has shown that the reduction in variance obtained by increasing the number of strata,  $k$ , soon falls off, and that  $k = 2, 3$ , or  $4$  is usually sufficient.

If the probability,  $w_i$ , and the estimate of the variance of each stratum,  $s_i^2$ , are known from a priori knowledge (see 5.1 to 5.4) or a pilot survey (see 5.5), the total number of measurements,  $n$ , for a given margin of error,  $\Delta$ , is given by equation (1):

$$n = \left( \frac{2 t_{v; 1-\alpha}}{\Delta} \right)^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^k w_i s_i \right)^2 \quad \dots (1)$$

The theory of stratified sampling shows that, once the total number of measurements to be made has been decided upon, it is possible to allocate these among the different strata so as to achieve a minimum variance in the results calculated for the population.

If the arithmetic mean is determined, then this optimum allocation is achieved when

$$n_i = n \frac{w_i s_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k w_i s_i} \quad \dots (2)$$

And if fractions are determined, then

$$n_i = n \frac{w_i \sqrt{f_i (1 - f_i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^k w_i \sqrt{f_i (1 - f_i)}} \quad \dots (3)$$

In other words, a large number of measurements should be carried out in a particular stratum if that stratum has a higher probability of occurrence, as given by  $w_i$ , or a large variance, as given by  $s_i^2$  or  $f_i(1 - f_i)$ .

To calculate the number of measurements in the  $i$ th stratum,  $n_i$ , precisely, knowledge of both  $w_i$  and  $\sigma_i$  (or  $f_i$ ) is needed. While the weighting factors,  $w_i$ , may be established before the measurements begin, it is likely that there is little or no information on  $\sigma_i$  (or  $f_i$ ). Consequently, it is advisable to refine  $n_i$  as the measurements proceed, by calculating  $s_i$  (or  $f_i$ ) and then making use of these in equations (2) or (3).

NOTE — The validity of some of the equations given in annex A will depend upon the number of measurements made in a particular stratum. Thus equation (A.9) requires that  $n_i > 15$  since it is based on the approximated binomial test; if this is not satisfied, then exact theory must be used. The equation giving confidence limits for the weighted mean [equation (A.10)] is always valid if  $n_i \geq 5$ .  $n_i$  may be less if the frequency distribution of the air quality characteristic in the  $i$ th stratum is gaussian.

In cases where information about the variance is not available, proportional allocation to  $w_i$  may be suitable.

## 6.2 Independence of measurements

In order to apply the equations and methods of calculation specified in this International Standard, it is necessary for the measurements to be carried out in a manner such that they may be assumed to be independent.

NOTE — Ambient air quality measurements at a particular measurement site are often highly auto-correlated and it may thus be necessary to ensure intervals of time of sufficient length between the measurements. For example, strong auto-correlations have been observed in central Europe for periods up to six days. When stratified sampling is employed, independent measured values could well be obtained at shorter intervals of time, because correlation effects due to changes from one stratum to another have been eliminated.

## 6.3 Interval of time and site of measurements

Having established a stratification, the number of strata to be used, the number of measurements to be carried out and the

way in which these are to be allocated among the different strata, it is then necessary to decide on a scheme for obtaining measurements within each stratum which are random in time and space, respectively. The interval of time of measurement should be less than the expected duration of the stratum condition.

## NOTES

1 Randomness in time can be achieved simply by using random number tables, but for purely practical reasons it may be necessary to limit intervals of time of measurement to, for example, normal daytime working hours. In this case, great care is needed to ensure that bias is not introduced to the measured values due to a temporal pattern in the air quality characteristic being assessed, e.g. the diurnal variation in traffic exhaust emissions. A temporal form of stratification should be decided upon if considerable influence is to be expected. This will necessitate measurements being carried out outside normal working hours.

2 If randomness in space is used, it can be achieved by identifying a large number of measurement sites using a grid, from which the accessible measurement sites are then listed and numbered. The measurement sites to be used for measurements can then be selected by using random number tables.

An alternative method of measurement site selection may be used if sufficient information is available from previous studies to make possible the identification of representative measurement sites, which may allow a reduction in the number of measurements.

## 7 Methods of calculation

Estimates for fractiles, means, and fractions of the population are calculated as sums of the corresponding weighted values for the various strata. The variances of these sums are obtained by calculating the respective weighted sums of the variances of the strata. These are usually less than or equal to the variances which would be obtained by random unstratified sampling<sup>[2]</sup>.

Appropriate formulae to be used are set out in annex A. Examples of how this International Standard can be applied, together with calculated results, are given in annex B.

## Annex A (informative)

### Mathematical equations

All the symbols used in this annex are as defined in clause 4.

The fraction below the value of the fractile  $X_p$  is determined from equation (A.1):

$$f_i = \frac{m_i}{n_i} \quad \dots (A.1)$$

The arithmetic mean of the measurements in the  $i$ th stratum is obtained from equation (A.2):

$$\bar{x}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{ij} \quad \dots (A.2)$$

The estimate for the variance in terms for the fraction in the  $i$ th stratum is given by equation (A.3):

$$s_i^2(f_i) = f_i(1 - f_i) \quad \dots (A.3)$$

and the estimate for the variance of  $x_{ij}$  is given by equation (A.4):

$$s_i^2(x_{ij}) = \frac{1}{n_i - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (x_{ij} - \bar{x}_i)^2 \quad \dots (A.4)$$

The estimate for the fraction from the population is found by calculating the sum of the weighted fractions from equation (A.5):

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i f_i \quad \dots (A.5)$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^k w_i = 1$

The mean of the population,  $\mu$ , is estimated by the sum,  $\bar{x}$ , of the weighted arithmetic means from equation (A.6):

$$\bar{x} = \sum_{i=1}^k w_i \bar{x}_i \quad \dots (A.6)$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^k w_i = 1$

The variance for the weighted fraction is estimated using equation (A.7):

$$s^2(f) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{w_i^2 s_i^2(f_i)}{n_i} \quad \dots (A.7)$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^k w_i = 1$

The confidence limits for the weighted fraction are given by equations (A.8):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f_+ &= f + \left( s(f) u_{1-\alpha} + \frac{1}{2n} \right) \\ f_- &= f - \left( s(f) u_{1-\alpha} + \frac{1}{2n} \right) \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (A.8)$$

The variance for the weighted mean is estimated using equation (A.9) (see also note to 6.1):

$$s^2(\bar{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{w_i^2 s_i^2(x_{ij})}{n_i} \quad \dots (A.9)$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^k w_i = 1$

The confidence limits for the weighted mean are given by equations (A.10) (see also note to 6.1):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \bar{x}_+ &= \bar{x} + s(\bar{x}) t_{v;1-\alpha} \\ \bar{x}_- &= \bar{x} - s(\bar{x}) t_{v;1-\alpha} \end{aligned} \right\} \dots (A.10)$$

## Annex B (informative)

### Examples of application

#### B.1 Single emission source located near to rural community

##### B.1.1 Task

A small chemical plant has installed new gas cleaning equipment to reduce emissions of a specific compound, C. An assessment of ambient air quality is required to demonstrate that, in the nearby small rural community, values of the mass concentration of C in ambient air are less than 190 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for at least 85 % of the time, with a confidence limit of 90 %.

There are other emission sources in the area and at a greater distance influencing the mass concentration of C in ambient air, but none is likely to be as important as the chemical plant. The stack ends 20 m above roof-top, and the gas temperature is considered to be above 45 °C.

On the basis of dispersion calculations, it is found that high mass concentrations of C are to be expected with a wind direction between north and north-east or when wind speed is less than 1 m/s together with a temperature inversion. Intermediate mass concentrations of C are likely with wind directions north-west to north or east to south, provided that wind speeds do not exceed 3 m/s. All other meteorological conditions should lead to lower levels.

##### B.1.2 Stratification scheme

Three strata are characterized, based on the meteorological conditions described above, and data for temperature, wind speed and wind direction from a long-established nearby weather station are used to calculate the weighting factors,  $w_i$ , as given in table B.1. It is considered that errors in the weighting factors,  $w_i$ , are small enough to be neglected in this case.

**Table B.1 – Stratification scheme**

Stratum number	Stratum characteristics	$w_i$
1	Wind direction 0° to 45° Wind speed < 1 m/s with temperature inversion	0,29
2	Wind direction 90° to 180° or 315° to 360° Wind speed 1 m/s to 3 m/s	0,24
3	All other situations	0,47
Total number of measurements ≈ 100		

No information is available on the variances expected in the overall data or in the data from the three strata, and thus it is not possible to calculate how many measurements to make or

how to allocate them among the strata. However, bearing in mind the high confidence limits, it is considered that up to 100 measurements may be needed and a broadly similar number of measurements should be carried out within each stratum.

##### B.1.3 Sampling scheme

Because the size of the area where measurements are required is small compared to its distance from the chemical plant, stratified sampling (in time) is carried out at a single measurement site in the community.

##### B.1.4 Results

The results of the measurements are summarized in table B.2.

**Table B.2 – Results**

Stratum number	Number of measurements		Total
	< 190 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	≥ 190 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	
1	19	5	24
2	23	1	24
3	34	0	34
			82

The fractions below the threshold of 190 µg/m<sup>3</sup> are calculated for each stratum:  $f_1 = 0,79$ ;  $f_2 = 0,96$ ;  $f_3 = 1,00$ . Using equation (A.5)

$$f = (0,29 \times 0,79) + (0,24 \times 0,96) + (0,47 \times 1,00) = 0,93$$

Thus, the mass concentration of C will be less than 190 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for 93 % of the time.

To calculate the confidence limits for  $f$ , first  $s_1^2$ ,  $s_2^2$  and  $s_3^2$  are calculated from equation (A.3), and  $s$  is then determined to be 0,026 using equation (A.7). Using equation (A.8) the 90 % one-sided confidence limits are determined. As only the lower limit for  $f$  is of interest, the appropriate value for  $\alpha$  is 0,10, for which  $u_{1-\alpha} = 1,28$ . Thus,

$$f_- = 0,93 - 0,04 = 0,89$$

and the lower confidence limit becomes 89 %, and the objective set out of achieving less than 190 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for 85 % of the time with 90 % confidence limit has been met.

## B.2 Multiple emission source situated in an urban-industrial area

### B.2.1 Task

As part of the environmental programme associated with a major ironworks development, it was decided that measurements should be carried out to determine whether the development had a significant impact on local ambient air quality, particularly on levels of the concentration of sulfur dioxide.

### B.2.2 Stratification scheme

The development took place at a coastal site, on the fringe of a large urban-industrial area, with many emission sources (see figure B.1).

It was not practicable to carry out measurements which were random in space. However, a single measurement site was selected not close to any sources of sulfur dioxide, and that was not likely to be affected by local topography. Furthermore, measurements carried out in the past had indicated that the measurement site was likely to be representative of the area of interest.

A continuously measuring instrument was used for determining mass concentrations of sulfur dioxide. Meteorological data from a nearby comprehensive weather station were available.

A considerable amount of knowledge about the dependence of the mass concentration of sulfur dioxide on the meteorological conditions in the study area could be used to set up a stratification scheme. For example, relatively high concentrations occurred when the wind blew from the urban-industrial area towards the measurement site, while much lower mass concentrations of sulfur dioxide were associated with air coming from the direction of the sea. Thermally stable atmospheric conditions tended to produce higher mass concentrations of sulfur dioxide independently of wind direction.

Using this knowledge, a stratification scheme based on the values of selected meteorological parameters was developed. The three strata used are defined in table B.3.

Table B.3 – Stratification scheme

Stratum	Wind direction angular degrees	Wind speed m/s	Temperature gradient °C/km	$w_i$ *)
1	325 to 100 100 to 155 or 255 to 325	all <6	> -5 > -5	0,15
2	325 to 100 100 to 155 or 255 to 325 100 to 155 or 255 to 325	all <6 >6	< -5 < -5 all	0,40
3	155 to 255	all	all	0,45

\*) The weighting factors  $w_i$  were calculated from long-term data from the nearby weather station.

### B.2.3 Sampling scheme

For the purpose of this study, it was decided to compare mass concentrations of sulfur dioxide measured at the measurement site in 1977 and 1978. The data were available as continuously registered records of a sulfur dioxide monitoring instrument. It was decided to select randomly 300 h of 1977 and 1978 and to calculate the hourly mean mass concentration of sulfur dioxide from the records.

Each of these values was assigned to one of the three strata according to the meteorological conditions prevailing during the hour concerned. As there was no information on the variance in each stratum ( $s_i^2$ ) no attempt was made to optimize the distribution of data between the three strata, although this could be done in any future study based on the results obtained here.

### B.2.4 Results

Table B.4 shows the fractiles,  $X_P$ , for three different mass concentrations of sulfur dioxide for the two years, together with their 80 % confidence limits. These results were calculated as follows.

From the mass concentrations of sulfur dioxide collected for each stratum, the value of  $f_i$  was calculated for each of the three threshold concentrations of 50  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , 100  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  and 200  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . Corresponding values of  $f$  and  $P$  were then determined simply from equation (A.5) and the fact that  $P = 100 f$ .

Table B.4 – Calculation and result

Year	Stratum	$n_i$	$f_i$ below threshold concentrations			$f$ below threshold concentrations *)		
			$X_P = 50 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	$X_P = 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	$X_P = 200 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	$X_P = 50 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	$X_P = 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$	$X_P = 200 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$
1977	1	90	0,800	0,911	0,967	59,6 % $\pm$ 3,9 %	79,3 % $\pm$ 3,5 %	94,7 % $\pm$ 2,0 %
	2	100	0,740	0,830	0,930			
	3	93	0,398	0,720	0,957			
1978	1	55	0,854	0,964	0,982	68,3 % $\pm$ 3,1 %	84,2 % $\pm$ 2,6 %	94,5 % $\pm$ 1,8 %
	2	90	0,900	0,967	0,989			
	3	151	0,405	0,689	0,894			

\*) 80 % confidence limits indicated.




Figure B.1 — Map of the area showing the topography and the position of the measuring site