

First edition
2017-11

AMENDMENT 1
2019-09

**Petroleum and related products —
Precision of measurement methods
and results —**

Part 2:
**Interpretation and application of
precision data in relation to methods
of test**

AMENDMENT 1

*Produits pétroliers et connexes — Fidélité des méthodes de mesure et
de leurs résultats —*

*Partie 2: Application des valeurs de fidélité relatives aux méthodes
d'essai*

AMENDEMENT 1



Reference number
ISO 4259-2:2017/Amd.1:2019(E)

© ISO 2019

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 4259-2:2017/Amd 1:2019



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2019

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Fax: +41 22 749 09 47
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 28, *Petroleum and related products, fuels and lubricants from natural or synthetic sources*.

A list of all parts in the ISO 4259 series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 4259-2:2017/Amd 1:2019

Petroleum and related products — Precision of measurement methods and results —

Part 2:

Interpretation and application of precision data in relation to methods of test

AMENDMENT 1

3.1

Replace the term "proficiency testing program" with "proficiency testing scheme".

3.1

Replace the abbreviated term "PTP" with "PTS",

and apply throughout the document, except for 6.3.4, fourth paragraph, second sentence, where "PTP schemes" shall be replaced with "PT schemes" so that it reads:

Both are able to confirm and demonstrate that the results came from laboratories that have in-house SQC programs that are in control with respect to precision and bias for testing using ISO 5164 and that they regularly participate in industry PT schemes to confirm a lack of bias versus industry averages.

4.4.1, last sentence

Replace "Proficiency Testing Programs (PTP)" with "Proficiency Testing Schemes (PTS)" so that it reads:

For example, results collected through Proficiency Testing Schemes (PTS) for different test methods using the same sample can be analysed in this fashion.

Formula (16)

Replace " L_i " with " L_A " in the commentary text so that the definition of the variable Y_A reads:

Y_A is the average from L_A results for property C for a material using Test Method A, where each result is a single result obtained under reproducibility conditions;

Replace "property A" with "property C" in the commentary text so that the definition of the variable Y_B reads:

Y_B is the average from L_B results for property C using Test Method B on the same material tested by Test Method A, where each result is a single result obtained under reproducibility conditions;


4.4.2, last paragraph

Replace "Test Method 1 and Test Method 2" with "Test Method A and Test Method B" so that it reads:

If $Z > 2$, it shall be concluded, with 95 % confidence, that a constant bias correction statistically improves the degree of agreement between Test Method A and Test Method B for property C for this material.

Figure 2

Replace with the following figure:



6.3.4, first paragraph, last sentence

Replace the sentence with the following:

The reproducibility of RON using this method is 0,7 RON at the EN 228 specification of 95,0 ON (octane number) and the repeatability at the same level is 0,2 ON.

6.3.4, second paragraph, second sentence

Replace the sentence with the following:

The supplier RON result is 95,1 against the specification of 95,0 and the batch is sold Free On Board (FOB).

6.3.4, third paragraph, second sentence

Replace "6.3.1" with "6.3.2" so that it reads:

Subclause 6.3.2 indicates that the product fails the specification limit with 95 % confidence only if it exceeds the specification by an amount greater than 0,59R; this is not the case for this example.

6.3.4, fourth paragraph, first sentence

Replace "6.3.1" with "6.3.2" so that it reads:

In this case, despite the guidance in 6.3.2, the recipient is unhappy with their result and contacts the supplier regarding their result.

6.3.4, fifth paragraph, list item c), last line

Replace " $\bar{X} = (95,1 + 94,7)/2 = 94,9$ ON" with " $\bar{X} = (95,1 + 94,7)/2 = 94,9$ ".

6.3.4, fifth paragraph, list item d), last line

Replace " $\mu \geq (\bar{X} - 0,42 R)$ " with " $\mu \geq (\bar{X} - 0,42R)$ " and " $\mu \geq (94,9 - 0,294)$ or $\mu \geq 94,6$ ON" with " $\mu \geq (94,9 - 0,294)$ or $\mu \geq 94,6$ " so that it reads:

$$\mu \geq (\bar{X} - 0,42R), \text{ that is } \mu \geq (94,9 - 0,294) \text{ or } \mu \geq 94,6$$

6.3.4, fifth paragraph, item e), first sentence

Replace "94,6 ON" with "94,6" so that it reads:

Based on the outcome from d), it can be concluded, with 95 % confidence, that the true value is no worse than 94,6.

6.3.4, penultimate paragraph

Replace text with the following:

If only the recipient result is available, then 6.3.2 guides the comparison on conformance to specification and the confidence limit from Formula (9) in this example is simplified to $\mu \geq (X - 0,59R)$


6.3.4, last paragraph

Replace "6.3.2" with "6.3.3" so that it reads:

If the recipient had a long-term relationship with the supplier and had data from multiple batches of product, 6.3.3 gives guidance on how to assess whether the confidence of product meeting specification is acceptable.

Figure 3

Replace with the following figure:



7.5, first sentence

Replace text with the following:

This subclause shows how to evaluate the procedure described so far in Clause 7. It is a continuation of the examples given in 6.3.4 using the same samples, results and customer/supplier.

7.5, sixth paragraph

Replace text with the following:

The differences between the \bar{X}_S and \bar{X}_R averaged results shall meet the reduced reproducibility $0,84 \times R_2$, where R_2 is defined in Formula (21) below.

7.5, seventh paragraph, first sentence

Replace "R₂" with "0,84 × R₂" so that it reads:

Clearly in this example the difference of 0,17 ON between supplier and recipient averages meets the $0,84 \times R_2$ criteria and thus the results are accepted in the dispute process.