



**International
Standard**

ISO 23500-5

**Preparation and quality
management of fluids for
haemodialysis and related
therapies —**

**Part 5:
Quality of dialysis fluid for
haemodialysis and related therapies**

*Préparation et management de la qualité des liquides
d'hémodialyse et de thérapies annexes —*

*Partie 5: Qualité des liquides de dialyse pour hémodialyse et
thérapies apparentées*

**Second edition
2024-04**

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 23500-5:2024



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Requirements	2
4.1 Microbiological contaminants in dialysis fluid.....	2
4.1.1 General.....	2
4.1.2 Microbiological requirements for standard dialysis fluid.....	2
4.1.3 Microbiological requirements for ultrapure dialysis fluid.....	2
4.1.4 Microbiological requirements for online prepared substitution fluid.....	2
4.2 Chemical composition of dialysis fluid.....	3
4.3 Chemical contaminants in dialysis fluid.....	3
5 Tests for conformity	3
5.1 Microbiological requirements.....	3
5.1.1 Sampling.....	3
5.1.2 Culture methods.....	3
5.2 Chemical requirements.....	5
Annex A (informative) Rationale for the development and provisions of this document	6
Annex B (informative) Reference tables	9
Bibliography	11

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 23500-5:2024

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 150, *Implants for surgery*, Subcommittee SC 2, *Cardiovascular implants and extracorporeal systems*, in collaboration with the European Committee for Standardization (CEN) Technical Committee CEN/TC 205, *Non-active medical devices*, in accordance with the Agreement on technical cooperation between ISO and CEN (Vienna Agreement).

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 23500-5:2019), which has been technically revised.

The main changes are: alternatives to classic microbial analytical methods [endotoxin testing using rFC (tp)] have been incorporated.

A list of all parts of the ISO 23500-series can be found on the ISO website.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

Haemodialysis patients are directly exposed to large volumes of dialysis fluid, with the dialyser membrane being the only barrier against transfer of hazardous contaminants from the dialysis fluid to the patient. It has long been known that there can be hazardous contaminants in the water and concentrates used to prepare the dialysis fluid. To minimize this hazard, ISO 23500-3 and ISO 23500-4 set forth quality requirements for the water and concentrates used to prepare dialysis fluid. However, if the dialysis fluid is not prepared carefully, it can contain unacceptable levels of contaminants even though it is prepared from water and concentrates, conforming to the requirements of ISO 23500-3 and ISO 23500-4. Further, the dialysis fluid can be used as the starting material for the online preparation of fluids intended for infusion into the patient, for example, in therapies such as online haemodiafiltration. For these reasons, this document was developed to complement the existing International Standards for water and concentrates, ISO 23500-3 and ISO 23500-4, respectively. Guidelines to aid the user in routinely meeting the requirements of this document and ISO 23500-3 can be found in ISO 23500-1.

Within these International Standards, measurement techniques current at the time of preparation have been cited. Other standard methods can be used, provided that such methods have been appropriately validated and are comparable to the cited methods. The rationale for the development of this document is given in [Annex A](#).

This document reflects the conscientious efforts of healthcare professionals, patients and medical device manufacturers to develop recommendations for the quality of dialysis fluid. This document is applicable to healthcare professionals involved in the management of dialysis facilities and the routine care of patients treated in dialysis facilities, since they are responsible for the final preparation of dialysis fluid.

This document aims to help protect haemodialysis patients from adverse effects arising from known chemical and microbiological contaminants that can be found in improperly prepared dialysis fluid. However, the physician in charge of dialysis has the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that the dialysis fluid is correctly formulated and meets the applicable quality standards.

The concepts incorporated in this document should not be considered inflexible or static. The requirements and recommendations presented in this document should be reviewed periodically in order to assimilate increased understanding of the role of dialysis fluid purity in patient outcomes and technological developments.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 23500-5:2024

Preparation and quality management of fluids for haemodialysis and related therapies —

Part 5: Quality of dialysis fluid for haemodialysis and related therapies

1 Scope

This document specifies the minimum chemical and microbiological quality requirements for dialysis fluids used in haemodialysis and related therapies.

This document applies to

- dialysis fluids used for haemodialysis and haemodiafiltration,
- substitution fluid produced online for haemodiafiltration and haemofiltration based on dialysis fluid

This document does not apply to

- the water and concentrates used to prepare dialysis fluid or the equipment to produce dialysis fluid
- sorbent-based dialysis fluid regeneration systems that regenerate and recirculate small volumes of dialysis fluid,
- systems for continuous renal replacement therapy that use pre-packaged solutions, and
- systems and solutions for peritoneal dialysis.

The delivery and monitoring of the dialysis fluid composition and its permitted deviation from set points is governed by protective systems defined in IEC 60601-2-16.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 23500-1, *Preparation and quality management of fluids for haemodialysis and related therapies — Part 1: General requirements*

ISO 23500-3, *Preparation and quality management of fluids for haemodialysis and related therapies — Part 3: Quality of water for haemodialysis and related therapies*

ISO 23500-4, *Preparation and quality management of fluids for haemodialysis and related therapies — Part 4: Concentrates for haemodialysis and related therapies*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 23500-1 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

4 Requirements

4.1 Microbiological contaminants in dialysis fluid

4.1.1 General

The requirements contained in [Clause 4](#) apply to a sample of the dialysis fluid collected at the inlet to the dialyser or the reinfusion point.

4.1.2 Microbiological requirements for standard dialysis fluid

Standard dialysis fluid shall contain a total viable microbial count of less than 100 CFU/ml (when tested in accordance with [Clause 5](#)) and an endotoxin concentration of less than 0,5 EU/ml (when tested in accordance with [Clause 5](#)).

Action levels for the total viable microbial count and endotoxin concentration in dialysis fluid should also be set based on knowledge of the microbial dynamics of the system. Typically, the action levels are set at 50 % of the maximum allowable levels for total viable microbial count and endotoxin; other levels can be set.

If microbial counts exceeding the action levels are observed in the dialysis fluid, corrective measures, such as disinfection and retesting, should be taken promptly to reduce the levels.

Associated with the presence of bacteria and endotoxin in dialysis fluid is the likely presence of fungi (yeasts and filamentous fungi).

Tests for microbial growth and endotoxins are not required if the dialysis machine fluid pathway is fitted with an appropriate capacity bacteria-retentive and endotoxin-retentive filter validated by the manufacturer, and operated and surveyed according to the manufacturer's instructions, unless the manufacturer requires such tests in the instructions for use.

4.1.3 Microbiological requirements for ultrapure dialysis fluid

Ultrapure dialysis fluid shall contain a total viable microbial count of less than 0,1 CFU/ml (when tested in accordance with [Clause 5](#)) and an endotoxin concentration less than 0,03 EU/ml (when tested in accordance with [Clause 5](#)). If those limits are exceeded in ultrapure dialysis fluid, corrective measures should be taken to reduce the levels to an acceptable level. The user is responsible for surveying the dialysis fluid bacteriology of the system following installation. It is incumbent on the user to establish a regular surveillance routine.

Tests for microbial growth and endotoxins are not required if the dialysis machine fluid pathway is fitted with an appropriate capacity bacteria-retentive and endotoxin-retentive filter validated by the manufacturer, and operated and surveyed according to the manufacturer's instructions, unless the manufacturer requires such tests in the instructions for use.

4.1.4 Microbiological requirements for online prepared substitution fluid

The requirements contained in this subclause apply to online prepared fluid intended to be infused into the patient as it enters the patient's blood.

This fluid shall be sterile and nonpyrogenic.

Substitution fluid for convective therapies, such as haemodiafiltration and haemofiltration, can be produced online by a process of ultrafiltration with bacteria- and endotoxin-retentive filters. This online process shall be validated to produce fluid that is sterile and nonpyrogenic.

Conformity of online produced fluid with the requirements of this document cannot be demonstrated with traditional test procedures. For this reason, conformity with this document shall be ensured by proper operation of a validated system, verified in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions at the time of installation, and confirmed by the user with a regular surveillance and maintenance schedule. The user shall follow the manufacturer's instructions for use of the validated system and the user's surveillance and maintenance schedule shall be designed to confirm that the water and concentrates used to prepare the substitution fluid continue to meet the specifications of ISO 23500-3 and ISO 23500-4.

4.2 Chemical composition of dialysis fluid

Dialysis fluid shall be prepared from water meeting the requirements of ISO 23500-3 and acid and bicarbonate concentrates meeting the requirements of ISO 23500-4. The water and concentrates shall be combined in accordance with the concentrate manufacturers dilution instructions using individual dialysis fluid delivery systems as specified in IEC 60601-2-16 or a central dialysis fluid delivery system.

4.3 Chemical contaminants in dialysis fluid

The water and concentrates shall be combined using individual dialysis fluid delivery systems or a central dialysis fluid delivery system constructed from materials that do not contribute chemical contaminants to the final dialysis fluid.

The maximum levels of chemical contaminants permitted in water used to prepare dialysis fluid and concentrates are given in ISO 23500-3 and are also shown in [Annex B](#) (see [Tables B.1](#) and [B.2](#)) together with methods of determination (see [Table B.3](#)). Other equivalent analytical methods can be used. Where testing for the individual trace elements listed in [Table B.2](#) is not available, an analysis for total heavy metals can be used with a maximum allowable level of 0,1 mg/l.

5 Tests for conformity

5.1 Microbiological requirements

5.1.1 Sampling

In some newer dialysis machines, dialysis fluid flow stops when the effluent line is disconnected from the dialyser. In these instances, the machines are equipped with dialysis fluid sampling ports that can be accessed using a syringe. Sample ports can be disinfected with alcohol and allowed to air-dry. A sterile syringe should be used to aspirate at least 10 ml of dialysis fluid out of the sampling port. The filled syringe is discarded and a fresh sample of dialysis fluid collected using a new sterile syringe. For sample ports consisting of a simple septum penetrated with a needle, the use of a second syringe is not necessary. If no sampling port is available and if the dialysis machine permits, samples can be collected immediately before the dialyser by disconnecting the inlet connector and aseptically collecting a "free/clean" catch sample after allowing dialysis fluid to run for at least 60 s unless manufacturers' instructions state otherwise.

Microbial analysis of any fluid sample should be conducted as soon as possible after collection to avoid unpredictable changes in the microbial population. If samples cannot be analysed within 4 h of collection, they should be stored at <10 °C without freezing and during transit to the laboratory. Sample storage for more than 24 h should be avoided and sample shipping should be according to the laboratory's instructions.

5.1.2 Culture methods

Accurate microbiological surveillance is important in the indication of the microbial content of dialysis water and dialysis fluid. Culture results obtained using the methods outlined in this document and summarized in [Table 1](#) are only a relative indicator of the bioburden and do not provide an absolute measure of the absolute microbial burden.

Total viable microbial counts (standard plate counts) shall be obtained using conventional microbiological assay procedures (pour plate, spread plate, membrane filter techniques). The calibrated loop technique shall not be used.

Preferred methods and sample volumes are:

- for standard dialysis fluid:
 - spread plate, 0,1 ml to 0,3 ml;
 - pour plate, typically 1 ml;
- for ultrapure dialysis fluid: membrane filtration, 10 ml to 1 000 ml;
- for substitution fluid: sterility cannot be proven by sampling.

Different media types and incubation periods can result in varying colony concentrations and types of microorganisms recovered.

The use of Reasoner's 2A agar (R2A) has been shown in published studies to result in higher colony counts than tryptic soy agar (TSA) for water and dialysis fluids samples^{[20][21]}. However, in a more recent publication^[22], the authors indicated that there were no significant differences for comparisons of bacterial burden of standard dialysis water and standard dialysis fluid yielding colony counts ≥ 50 CFU/ml when assayed using R2A and TSA at the conditions stated in [Table 1](#).

Tryptone glucose extract agar (TGEA) incubated at 17 °C to 23 °C for a period of 7 d in previous studies also yielded higher colony counts than TSA. In Reference ^[22], a comparison of this medium with TSA showed that the proportion of standard dialysis water samples yielding colony counts ≥ 50 CFU/ml was significantly different from that found using TSA at an incubation temperature of 35 °C to 37 °C and an incubation time of 48 h ($p = 0,001$). The proportions of dialysis fluid samples in which microbial burden was ≥ 50 CFU/ml were not significantly different on the two media and incubation conditions.

The culture medium and incubation times selected should be based on the type of fluid to be analysed, for example, standard dialysis fluid, water used in the preparation of standard dialysis fluid, ultrapure dialysis fluid, water used for the preparation of ultrapure dialysis fluid or fluid used for on line therapies such as haemodiafiltration. The method selected should be based on the analysis of the advantages, disadvantages and sensitivity of each of the suggested methods. It should also ensure that patient safety is safeguarded and allow for consideration of local laboratory working practices, and that reimbursement requirements can be met.

Blood agar and chocolate agar shall not be used.

Table 1 — Culture techniques

Culture medium	Incubation temperature	Incubation time
TGEA	17 °C to 23 °C	7 d
R2A	17 °C to 23 °C	7 d
TSA ^a	35 °C to 37 °C	48 h

^a The use of TSA has only been validated for dialysis water and standard dialysis fluid^[22].

Other medium, incubation conditions and colony counting times can be used provided it has been demonstrated that such methods have been appropriately validated and are comparable to the cited methods.

Currently there are no requirements for routine surveillance for the presence of fungi (i.e. yeasts and filamentous fungi), however if quantification is required, membrane filtration is suggested as the method for obtaining a sample suitable for analysis. For culture, Sabouraud or malt extract agar (MEA) are recommended.

The detection of bacterial endotoxins, which originate from gram-negative bacteria, is mainly carried out using Limulus amoebocyte lysate (LAL), which is obtained from horseshoe crab blood (*Limulus polyphemus* or *Tachypleus tridentatus*). The corresponding chapters have been harmonized between the European Pharmacopoeia ((EP) <2.6.14>),^[16] the Pharmacopoeia of the United States-National Formulary, (<85>)^[13] and the Japanese Pharmacopoeia (<4.01>)^[19].

ISO 23500-5:2024(en)

The LAL test is based on the humoral coagulation cascade of the horseshoe crab *Limulus polyphemus*. The first enzyme in this coagulation cascade reacts with endotoxin and is called Factor C. This factor is now produced recombinantly (i.e. with biotechnology) and offered as the rFC test by several manufacturers for the determination of bacterial endotoxins. Compared to the LAL test, the rFC test has proven to be at least as sensitive and reliable, but less susceptible to certain interfering factors and batch fluctuations. Due to biotechnological production, no live animals are required as blood donors.

This new method has been incorporated into the European Pharmacopoeia <2.6.32>^[17] and will also be included into the USP-NF <1085.1>^[14].

Conformity with the microbial standards for ultrapure dialysis fluid and substitution fluid prepared online with a validated system can be met by following the requirements and instructions of the manufacturer of the dialysis fluid delivery system.

5.2 Chemical requirements

Conformity with the requirements for the chemical contents of the dialysis fluid is determined by local regulations or as recommended by the manufacturer of the dialysis fluid delivery system (continuous surveillance for proportionating systems, see ISO 23500-1).

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 23500-5:2024

Annex A (informative)

Rationale for the development and provisions of this document

A.1 Introduction

The information in this annex is intended to give the reader a historical perspective of the development of the microbiological and chemical contaminant limits in this document.

A.2 Microbiological contaminants in dialysis fluid

Pyrogenic reactions are caused by lipopolysaccharides or endotoxins that are associated with gram-negative bacteria. Furthermore, gram-negative water bacteria have been shown to have the capability of multiplying rapidly in a variety of hospital-associated fluids, including distilled, deionized, reverse osmosis and softened water, all of which have been used in the past as supply water for haemodialysis systems. The dialysis fluid, which is a balanced salt solution made with this water, likewise provides a very good growth medium for these types of bacteria. Several studies have demonstrated that the incidence of pyrogenic reactions can be related directly to the number of bacteria in dialysis fluid even at low levels of microbial contamination, pyrogenic reactions have been reported when the source of endotoxin was exogenous to the dialysis system (i.e. present in the community water supply). The presence of non-tuberculous mycobacteria has also been associated with outbreaks of infection in dialysis units.

Several investigators have shown that bacteria growing in dialysis fluid can produce products that cross dialysis membranes^{[23]-[24]}. It has also been shown that gram-negative bacteria growing in dialysis fluid produced endotoxins, that in turn stimulated the production of anti-endotoxin antibodies in haemodialysis patients^[25]. These data suggest that endotoxins do indeed cross dialysis membranes, either intact or as fragments. The use of the very permeable membranes known as high-flux membranes has raised the possibility of a greater likelihood of passage of endotoxins into the blood path. Several studies support this contention. Reference ^[24] notes an increase in plasma endotoxin concentrations during dialysis against dialysis fluid containing 10^3 CFU/ml to 10^4 CFU/ml of *Pseudomonas* species. In vitro studies using both radiolabelled lipopolysaccharide and biological assays have demonstrated that biologically active substances derived from bacteria found in dialysis fluid can cross a variety of dialysis membranes. In a Japanese Society for Dialysis Therapy (JSdT) survey, the 1-year mortality rate was significantly higher at facilities with a dialysis fluid endotoxin concentration of $>0,100$ EU/ml^[26]. Consequently, it seemed prudent to impose an upper limit on the endotoxin content of dialysis water and dialysis fluid. A level of 2 EU/ml was chosen by AAMI in 2001 as the upper limit for endotoxin, since these levels were easily achieved with contemporary water treatment systems using reverse osmosis, ultrafiltration, or both. At the same time, the European Community chose to use 0,25 EU/ml as the maximum allowable level of endotoxin in dialysis water. When ISO 13959 was revised in 2009, the 0,25 EU/ml limit for dialysis water was included. During the development of this document for dialysis fluid quality, the maximum allowable level of endotoxin was set at 0,5 EU/ml analysed by the *Limulus* amoebocyte lysate test. The level is set higher than that for dialysis water in recognition that both the water and concentrates used in the preparation of dialysis fluid can contribute endotoxins.

In addition to the acute risk of pyrogenic reactions, there is increasing indirect evidence that chronic exposure to low amounts of endotoxin can play a role in some of the long-term complications of haemodialysis therapy. Patients treated with ultrapure dialysis fluid have demonstrated a decrease in serum β_2 -microglobulin concentrations, a decrease in markers of an inflammatory response and oxidant stress, an increased responsiveness to erythropoietin, an improved nutritional status and a decline in renal function^{[27]-[29]}.

These observations have led to the recommendation that dialysis fluid of a higher microbiological quality, so-called "ultrapure" dialysis fluid, should be used for routine haemodialysis^[29]. Ultrapure dialysis fluid is specified as one having a bacterial content of less than 0,1 CFU/ml and an endotoxin content of less than

0,03 EU/ml. This definition is now widely accepted, particularly in Europe. During the development of this document, the desirability of using ultrapure dialysis fluid on a routine basis was recognized, although obtaining this level of bacterial and endotoxin content on a routine basis is not always feasible in all dialysis settings.

As up to 7 d can elapse between sampling dialysis fluid for the determination of microbiological contamination and the receipt of results depending on the analytical method used, and because microbial proliferation can be rapid, action levels for microbial counts were introduced into this document. These action levels allow the user to initiate corrective action before levels exceed the maximum levels established in this document.

A.3 Tests for conformity with microbiological requirements

The original clinical observations showing a relationship between bacterial levels in dialysis fluid and pyrogenic reactions were based on cultures performed with standard methods agar (SMA), a medium containing relatively few nutrients. Later, the use of TSA, a general-purpose medium for isolating and cultivating fastidious organisms was recommended because it was thought more appropriate for culturing bicarbonate-containing dialysis fluid.

Recommended methods for assaying fluid microbiological content are shown in [Table 1](#). Such methods provide only a relative indication of the bacterial bioburden rather than an absolute measure. Different media types and incubation periods can result in varying colony concentrations and types of microorganisms recovered.

The use of R2A has been shown in previous studies to result in higher colony counts than TSA for dialysis water and dialysis fluid samples. Within the United States TSA is widely used and in a recent study, Reference [22] considered whether culture of dialysis water and dialysis fluid using TSA-48 h can reasonably be considered "equivalent to" culture using TGEA-7 d or R2A-7 d. In their study, water and fluid samples collected from 41 US dialysis programs between 2011 and 2014 were cultured at two US laboratories. Bacterial cultures were performed on 681 dialysis water samples (234 TSA-48 h and TGEA-7 d; 447 TSA-48 h and R2A-7 d) and 593 dialysis fluid samples (186 TSA-48 h and TGEA-7 d; 407 TSA-48 h and R2A-7 d). The proportions of samples exceeding the action level determined by the different methods were compared. Zero bacterial growth in dialysis water was observed in 58,3 % (397/ 681) of samples based on the TSA-48 h method, in 0 % (0/ 234) of samples based on the TGEA-7 d method, and in 88,6 % (396/447) based on the R2A-7 d method.

For dialysis fluid, zero bacterial growth was observed in 64,4 % (382/593) of samples based on the TSA-48 h method, in 0 % (0/186) of samples based on the TGEA-7 d method, and in 95,1 % (387/407) based on the R2A-7 d method.

Paired comparisons of the number of samples of dialysis water and dialysis fluid with total colony counts at or above the action level of ≥ 50 CFU/ml by TSA-48 h versus TGEA-7 d and R2A-7 d was performed. The proportion of dialysis water samples yielding microbiological culture colony counts ≥ 50 CFU/ml by TGEA-7 d was significantly different from the proportion by TSA-48 h ($p = 0,002$; difference in proportion of 4,3 % [95 % CI, 1,3 % to 7,3 %]). The proportion of dialysis fluid samples exceeding the action level by TGEA-7 d was not significantly different than by the TSA-48 h method ($p = 0,69$).

A comparison of the proportions of dialysis water and dialysis fluid using TSA-48 h and R2A-7 d methods did not demonstrate significant differences statistically. Samples were not cultured using both TGEA-7 d and R2A-7 d, which would have allowed for a direct comparison of the commonly used methods, however such comparison already exists in the literature.

In view of the findings of this study, TSA has been included as a method for quantifying bacterial levels in dialysis fluid and dialysis water. However, as these studies were performed on samples requiring compliance with standard dialysis fluid, it should only be used for such fluid and not for ultrapure dialysis fluid whose microbial contaminant level requirements are more stringent.

The culture medium and incubation times selected should be based on the type of fluid to be analysed, for example, standard dialysis fluid, water used in the preparation of standard dialysis fluid, ultrapure dialysis fluid, water used for the preparation of ultrapure dialysis fluid or fluid used for on line therapies such as

haemodiafiltration. The method selected should be based on the analysis of the advantages, disadvantages and sensitivity of each of the suggested methods. It should also ensure that patient safety is safeguarded, allow for consideration of local laboratory working practices, and that the reimbursement requirements can be met.

The membrane filtration technique is applied when higher sensitivity is required or desired. The use of larger volumes (up to 1 000 ml) will provide greater sensitivity, but the improved sensitivity needs to be balanced against the increased risk of contamination in collecting and handling the sample. Even with the most sensitive techniques, conformity with the stringent requirements for online prepared substitution fluid cannot be demonstrated by culturing; it has to be ensured by use of a validated process.

The recovery of fungi from dialysis fluid implies a potential risk for haemodialysis patients. Currently, there are no requirements for routine surveillance for the presence of fungi (i.e. yeasts and filamentous fungi), however if an indication is required, membrane filtration is the preferred method to obtain a sample for analysis. The culture media used should be Sabouraud or MEA media. An incubation temperature of 17 °C to 23 °C and an incubation time of 168 h (7 d) are recommended^[30]. Other medium, incubation conditions and colony counting times can be used provided it has been demonstrated that such methods have been appropriately validated and are comparable to the cited methods.

A.4 Chemical contaminants in dialysis fluid

When this document was being developed, the need to include maximum levels for chemical contaminants in dialysis fluid was also discussed. It was proposed that the maximum allowable levels of chemical contaminants in dialysis fluid should be the same as those in the water used to prepare the dialysis fluid since there were no data supporting the need for lower levels.

Dialysis fluid is prepared from water and concentrates, meeting the requirements of ISO 23500-3 and ISO 23500-4, including the same requirements for maximum levels of chemical contaminants that were proposed for inclusion in this document. Because the water and concentrates are combined using individual dialysis machines or central dialysis fluid delivery systems that are required to be constructed of materials that do not contribute chemical contaminants to the dialysis fluid, it was concluded that including maximum allowable levels of chemical contaminants in the dialysis fluid would be redundant and impose an unnecessary burden on dialysis facilities.

Annex B
(informative)

Reference tables

Table B.1 — Maximum allowable levels of toxic chemicals and dialysis fluid electrolytes in dialysis water^{a,b}

	Contaminant	Maximum concentration mg/l ^b
Contaminants with documented toxicity in haemodialysis	Aluminium	0,01
	Total chlorine ^c	0,1
	Copper	0,1
	Fluoride	0,2
	Lead	0,005
	Nitrate (as N)	2
	Sulfate	100
Electrolytes normally included in dialysis fluid	Zinc	0,1
	Calcium	2 (0,05 mmol/l)
	Magnesium	4 (0,15 mmol/l)
	Potassium	8 (0,2 mmol/l)
	Sodium	70 (3,0 mmol/l)

^a The physician in charge of dialysis has the ultimate responsibility for ensuring the quality of water used for dialysis.

^b The reader is cautioned to refer to ISO 23500-3 to ensure that there have been no changes to this table.

^c Unless otherwise indicated.

^d When chlorine is added to water, some of the chlorine reacts with organic materials and metals in the water and is not available for disinfection (i.e. the chlorine demand of the water). The remaining chlorine is the total chlorine and is the sum of free or non-bound chlorine and combined chlorine.

Total chlorine is usually measured on site by appropriately trained personnel in water prior to entering the treatment system. Additional measurements in the treated water are not necessary provided that the pre-treatment concentration level is below the permitted limit.

There is no direct method for the measurement of chloramine. It is generally established by measuring total and free chlorine concentrations and calculating the difference. When total chlorine tests are used as a single analysis the maximum level for both chlorine and chloramine shall not exceed 0,1 mg/l. Since there is no distinction between chlorine and chloramine, this safely assumes that all chlorine present is chloramine.

NOTE The maximum allowable levels of contaminants listed in this table and [Table B.2](#) include the anticipated uncertainty associated with the analytical methodologies listed in [Table B.3](#).

Table B.2 — Maximum allowable levels of other trace elements in dialysis water^a

Contaminant	Maximum concentration mg/l
Antimony	0,006
Arsenic	0,005
Barium	0,1
Beryllium	0,000 4
Cadmium	0,001
Chromium	0,014
Mercury	0,000 2
Selenium	0,09
Silver	0,005

^a The reader is cautioned to refer to the latest edition of ISO 23500-3 to ensure that no changes have been made to the maximum concentrations shown.

NOTE The maximum allowable levels of contaminants listed in [Table B.1](#) and this table include the anticipated uncertainty associated with the analytical methodologies listed in [Table B.3](#).

ISO 23500-5:2024(en)

Chemical analyses of the substances listed in [Tables B.1](#) and [B.2](#) can be obtained by using methods referenced in documents of ISO, the American Public Health Association (APHA)^[Z], or the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)^{[8]-[10]}, or other equivalent analytical methods. Where testing for the individual trace elements listed in [Table B.2](#) is not available, an analysis for total heavy metals can be done with a maximum allowable level of 0,1 mg/l.

Table B.3 — Analytical test methods for chemical contaminants

Contaminant	Analytical technique	Reference, method
Aluminium	Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) or atomic absorption (electrothermal)	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3113 ^[Z]
Antimony	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (platform)	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , EPA Method 200.7 ^[10]
Arsenic	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (gaseous hydride)	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3114 ^[Z]
Barium	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (electrothermal)	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3113 ^[Z]
Beryllium	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (platform)	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , EPA Method 200.7 ^[10]
Cadmium	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (electrothermal)	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3113 ^[Z]
Calcium	ICP-MS or ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) titrimetric method, or atomic absorption (direct aspiration), or ion specific electrode	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3500-Ca D ^[Z] , APHA Method 3111B ^[Z]
Total chlorine	N-diethyl-p-phenylenediamine (DPD) ferrous titrimetric method, or DPD colourimetric method Thio-Michler's ketone (TMK/MTK) colourimetric method	APHA Method 4500-Cl F ^[Z] , APHA Method 4500-Cl G ^[Z]
Chromium	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (electrothermal)	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3113 ^[Z]
Copper	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (direct aspiration) or neocuproine method	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3111 ^[Z] , APHA Method 3500-Cu D ^[Z]
Fluoride	Ion chromatography or ion selective electrode method or sodium 2-(parasulfophenylazo)-1,8-dihydroxy-3,6-naphthalenedisulfonate (SPADNS) method	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , ISO 10359-1 ^[3] , APHA Method 4500-F- C ^[Z] , APHA Method 4500-F- D ^[Z]
Lead	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (electrothermal)	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3113 ^[Z]
Magnesium	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (direct aspiration) ion chromatography	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3111 ^[Z] , EPA Method 300.7 ^[9]
Mercury	Flameless cold vapour technique (atomic absorption)	APHA Method 3112 ^[Z]
Nitrate	Ion chromatography or spectrophotometric method using sulfosalicylic acid or cadmium reduction method	ISO 10304-1 ^[2] , ISO 7890-3 ^[1] , APHA Method 4500-NO ₃ E ^[Z]
Potassium	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (direct aspiration) or flame photometric method or ion specific electrode	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3111 ^[Z] , APHA Method 3500-K B ^[Z] , APHA Method 3500-K C ^[6]
Selenium	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (gaseous hydride) or atomic absorption (electrothermal)	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3114 ^[Z] , APHA Method 3113 ^[Z]
Silver	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (electrothermal)	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3113 ^[Z]
Sodium	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (direct aspiration) or flame photometric method, or ion specific electrode	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3111 ^[Z] , APHA Method 3500-Na B ^[Z]
Sulfate	Ion chromatography or turbidimetric method	ISO 10304-1 ^[2] , APHA Method 4500-SO ₄ ²⁻ E ^[Z]
Total heavy metals	Colorimetric	European Pharmacopoeia, 5.20 ^[18] , European Pharmacopoeia 2.4.20 ^[15] , United States Pharmacopoeia and the National Formulary (USP-NF) < 232 > ^[11] , United States Pharmacopoeia and the National Formulary (USP-NF) <233> ^[12]
Zinc	ICP-MS or atomic absorption (direct aspiration) or dithizone method	ISO 17294-2 ^[4] , APHA Method 3111 ^[Z] , APHA Method 3500-Zn B ^[Z]