

---

---

**Solid biofuels — Determination of  
calorific value**

*Biocombustibles solides — Détermination du pouvoir calorifique*

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 18125:2017



STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 18125:2017



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2017, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland  
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax +41 22 749 09 47  
copyright@iso.org  
www.iso.org

# Contents

	Page
Foreword .....	v
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Principle</b> .....	<b>2</b>
4.1 Gross calorific value .....	2
4.2 Net calorific value .....	3
<b>5 Reagents</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>6 Apparatus</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>7 Preparation of test sample</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>8 Calorimetric procedure</b> .....	<b>8</b>
8.1 General .....	8
8.2 Preparing the bomb for measurement .....	10
8.2.1 General procedure .....	10
8.2.2 Using combustion aid .....	10
8.3 Assembling the calorimeter .....	11
8.4 Combustion reaction and temperature measurements .....	11
8.5 Analysis of products of combustion .....	12
8.6 Corrected temperature rise $\theta$ .....	12
8.6.1 Observed temperature rise .....	12
8.6.2 Isoperibol and static-jacket calorimeters .....	12
8.6.3 Adiabatic calorimeters .....	14
8.6.4 Thermometer corrections .....	14
8.7 Reference temperature .....	14
<b>9 Calibration</b> .....	<b>14</b>
9.1 Principle .....	14
9.2 Calibrant .....	15
9.2.1 Certification conditions .....	15
9.2.2 Calibration conditions .....	15
9.3 Valid working range of the effective heat capacity $\epsilon$ .....	15
9.4 Ancillary contributions .....	16
9.5 Calibration procedure .....	16
9.6 Calculation of effective heat capacity for the individual experiment .....	17
9.6.1 Constant mass-of-calorimeter-water basis .....	17
9.6.2 Constant total-calorimeter-mass basis .....	17
9.7 Precision of the mean value of the effective heat capacity $\epsilon$ .....	18
9.7.1 Constant value of $\epsilon$ .....	18
9.7.2 $\epsilon$ as a function of the observed temperature rise .....	19
9.8 Redetermination of the effective heat capacity .....	19
<b>10 Gross calorific value</b> .....	<b>19</b>
10.1 General .....	19
10.2 Combustion .....	20
10.3 Calculation of gross calorific value .....	20
10.3.1 General .....	20
10.3.2 Constant mass-of-calorimeter-water basis .....	20
10.3.3 Constant total-calorimeter-mass basis .....	22
10.3.4 $\epsilon$ as a function of the observed temperature rise .....	23
10.4 Expression of results .....	23
10.5 Calculation to other bases .....	23
<b>11 Performance characteristics</b> .....	<b>24</b>

11.1	Repeatability limit.....	24
11.2	Reproducibility limit.....	24
<b>12</b>	<b>Calculation of net calorific value at constant pressure.....</b>	<b>24</b>
12.1	General.....	24
12.2	Calculations.....	24
<b>13</b>	<b>Test report.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Annex A</b>	<b>(normative) Adiabatic bomb calorimeters.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>Annex B</b>	<b>(normative) Isoperibol and static-jacket bomb calorimeters.....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>Annex C</b>	<b>(normative) Automated bomb calorimeters.....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Annex D</b>	<b>(informative) Checklists for the design and procedures of combustion experiments.....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Annex E</b>	<b>(informative) Examples to illustrate the main calculations used in this document when an automated bomb calorimeter is used for determinations.....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>Annex F</b>	<b>(informative) List of symbols used in this document.....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>Annex G</b>	<b>(informative) Default values of most used solid biofuels for the calculations of calorific values.....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>Annex H</b>	<b>(informative) Flow chart for a routine calorific value determination.....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	.....	<b>53</b>
<b>Index</b>	.....	<b>54</b>

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 18125:2017

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 238, *Solid biofuels*.

[STANDARDSISO.COM](https://standardsiso.com) : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 18125:2017

# Solid biofuels — Determination of calorific value

## 1 Scope

This document specifies a method for the determination of the gross calorific value of a solid biofuel at constant volume and at the reference temperature 25 °C in a bomb calorimeter calibrated by combustion of certified benzoic acid.

The result obtained is the gross calorific value of the analysis sample at constant volume with all the water of the combustion products as liquid water. In practice, biofuels are burned at constant (atmospheric) pressure and the water is either not condensed (removed as vapour with the flue gases) or condensed. Under both conditions, the operative heat of combustion to be used is the net calorific value of the fuel at constant pressure. The net calorific value at constant volume may also be used; formulae are given for calculating both values.

General principles and procedures for the calibrations and the biofuel experiments are presented in the main text, whereas those pertaining to the use of a particular type of calorimetric instrument are described in [Annexes A](#) to [C](#). [Annex D](#) contains checklists for performing calibration and fuel experiments using specified types of calorimeters. [Annex E](#) gives examples to illustrate some of the calculations.

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 651, *Solid-stem calorimeter thermometers*

ISO 652, *Enclosed-scale calorimeter thermometers*

ISO 1770, *Solid-stem general purpose thermometers*

ISO 1771, *Enclosed-scale general purpose thermometers*

ISO 14780, *Solid biofuels — Sample preparation*

ISO 16559, *Solid biofuels — Terminology, definitions and descriptions*

ISO 18134-3, *Solid biofuels — Determination of moisture content — Oven dry method — Part 3: Moisture in general analysis sample*

ISO 18135, *Solid biofuels — Sampling*

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 16559 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <http://www.iso.org/obp>

**3.1 gross calorific value at constant volume**  
absolute value of the specific energy of combustion, in joules, for unit mass of a solid biofuel burned in oxygen in a calorimetric bomb under the conditions specified

Note 1 to entry: The products of combustion are assumed to consist of gaseous oxygen, nitrogen, carbon dioxide and sulphur dioxide, of liquid water (in equilibrium with its vapour) saturated with carbon dioxide under the conditions of the bomb reaction, and of solid ash, all at the *reference temperature* (3.4).

**3.2 net calorific value at constant volume**  
absolute value of the specific energy of combustion, in joules, for unit mass of the biofuel burned in oxygen under conditions of constant volume and such that all the water of the reaction products remains as water vapour (in a hypothetical state at 0,1 MPa), the other products being as for the gross calorific value, all at the *reference temperature* (3.4)

**3.3 net calorific value at constant pressure**  
absolute value of the specific heat (enthalpy) of combustion, in joules, for unit mass of the biofuel burned in oxygen at constant pressure under such conditions that all the water of the reaction products remains as water vapour (at 0,1 MPa), the other products being as for the gross calorific value, all at the *reference temperature* (3.4)

**3.4 reference temperature**  
international reference temperature for thermochemistry of 25 °C is adopted as the reference temperature for calorific values

Note 1 to entry: See 8.7.

Note 2 to entry: The temperature dependence of the calorific value of biofuels is small [less than 1 J/(g × K)].

**3.5 effective heat capacity of the calorimeter**  
amount of energy required to cause unit change in temperature of the calorimeter

**3.6 corrected temperature rise**  
change in calorimeter temperature caused solely by the processes taking place within the combustion bomb

Note 1 to entry: The corrected temperature rise is the total observed temperature rise corrected for heat exchange, stirring power, etc. (8.6).

Note 2 to entry: The change in temperature may be expressed in terms of other units: resistance of a platinum or thermistor thermometer, frequency of a quartz crystal resonator, etc., provided that a functional relationship is established between this quantity and a change in temperature. The *effective heat capacity of the calorimeter* (3.5) may be expressed in units of energy per such an arbitrary unit. Criteria for the required linearity and closeness in conditions between calibrations and fuel experiments are given in 9.3.

Note 3 to entry: A list of the symbols used and their definitions is given in Annex F.

## 4 Principle

### 4.1 Gross calorific value

A weighed portion of the analysis sample of the solid biofuel is burned in high-pressure oxygen in a bomb calorimeter under specified conditions. The effective heat capacity of the calorimeter is determined in calibration experiments by combustion of certified benzoic acid under similar conditions, accounted for in the certificate. The corrected temperature rise is established from observations of temperature

before, during and after the combustion reaction takes place. The duration and frequency of the temperature observations depend on the type of calorimeter used. Water is added to the bomb initially to give a saturated vapour phase prior to combustion (see 8.2.1 and 9.2.2), thereby allowing all the water formed, from the hydrogen and moisture in the sample, to be regarded as liquid water.

The gross calorific value is calculated from the corrected temperature rise and the effective heat capacity of the calorimeter, with allowances made for contributions from ignition energy, combustion of the fuse(s) and for thermal effects from side reactions such as the formation of nitric acid. Furthermore, a correction is applied to account for the difference in energy between the aqueous sulphuric acid formed in the bomb reaction and gaseous sulphur dioxide, i.e. the required reaction product of sulphur in the biofuel. The corresponding energy effect between aqueous and gaseous hydrochloric acid can be neglected due to the usually low value for the correction regarding solid biofuels.

## 4.2 Net calorific value

The net calorific value at constant volume and the net calorific value at constant pressure of the biofuel are obtained by calculation from the gross calorific value at constant volume determined on the analysis sample. The calculation of the net calorific value at constant volume requires information about the moisture and hydrogen contents of the analysis sample. In principle, the calculation of the net calorific value at constant pressure also requires information about the oxygen and nitrogen contents of the analysis sample.

## 5 Reagents

**5.1 Oxygen**, at a pressure high enough to fill the bomb to 3 MPa, pure with an assay of at least a volume fraction of 99,5 %, and free from combustible matter.

Oxygen made by the electrolytic process may contain up to a volume fraction of 4 % of hydrogen.

**5.2 Fuse.**

**5.2.1 Ignition wire**, of nickel-chromium 0,16 mm to 0,20 mm in diameter, platinum 0,05 mm to 0,10 mm in diameter, or another suitable conducting wire with well-characterized thermal behaviour during combustion.

**5.2.2 Cotton fuse**, of white cellulose cotton, or equivalent, if required (see 8.2.1).

**5.3 Combustion aids** of known gross calorific value, composition and purity, like benzoic acid, n-dodecane, paraffin oil, combustion bags or capsules may be used.

**5.4 Standard volumetric solutions and indicators**, only for use when analysis of final bomb solutions is required.

**5.4.1 Barium hydroxide solution**,  $c[\text{Ba}(\text{OH})_2] = 0,05 \text{ mol/l}$ .

**5.4.2 Sodium carbonate solution**,  $c(\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3) = 0,05 \text{ mol/l}$ .

**5.4.3 Sodium hydroxide solution**,  $c(\text{NaOH}) = 0,1 \text{ mol/l}$ .

**5.4.4 Hydrochloric acid solution**,  $c(\text{HCl}) = 0,1 \text{ mol/l}$ .

**5.4.5 Screened methyl orange indicator**, 1 g/l solution.

Dissolve 0,25 g of methyl orange and 0,15 g of xylene cyanol FF in 50 ml of a volume fraction of 95 % ethanol and dilute to 250 ml with water.

#### 5.4.6 Phenolphthalein, 10 g/l solution.

Dissolve 2,5 g of phenolphthalein in 250 ml of a volume fraction of 95 % ethanol.

**5.5 Benzoic acid**, of calorimetric-standard quality, certified by (or with certification unambiguously traceable to) a recognized standardizing authority.

Benzoic acid is the sole substance recommended for calibration of an oxygen-bomb calorimeter. For the purpose of checking the overall reliability of the calorimetric measurements, test substances, e.g. n-dodecane, are used. Test substances are mainly used to prove that certain characteristics of a sample, e.g. burning rate or chemical composition, do not introduce bias in the results. A test substance shall have a certified purity and a well-established energy of combustion.

The benzoic acid is burned in the form of pellets. It is normally used without drying or any treatment other than pelletizing; consult the sample certificate. It does not absorb moisture from the atmosphere at relative humidities below 90 %.

The benzoic acid shall be used as close to certification conditions as is feasible; significant departures from these conditions shall be accounted for in accordance with the directions in the certificate. The energy of combustion of the benzoic acid, as defined by the certificate for the conditions utilized, shall be adopted in calculating the effective heat capacity of the calorimeter (see 9.2).

## 6 Apparatus

### 6.1 General

The **calorimeter** (see [Figure 1](#)) consists of the assembled combustion bomb, the calorimeter can (with or without a lid), the calorimeter stirrer, water, temperature sensor, and leads with connectors inside the calorimeter can required for ignition of the sample or as part of temperature measurement or control circuits. During measurements, the calorimeter is enclosed in a thermostat. The manner in which the thermostat temperature is controlled defines the working principle of the instrument and hence the strategy for evaluation of the corrected temperature rise.

In aneroid systems (systems without a fluid), the calorimeter can, stirrer and water are replaced by a metal block. The combustion bomb itself constitutes the calorimeter in some aneroid systems.

In combustion calorimetric instruments with a high degree of automation, especially in the evaluation of the results, the calorimeter is in a few cases not as well-defined as the traditional, classical-type calorimeter. Using such an automated calorimeter is, however, within the scope of this document as long as the basic requirements are met with respect to calibration conditions, comparability between calibration and fuel experiments, ratio of sample mass to bomb volume, oxygen pressure, bomb liquid, reference temperature of the measurements and repeatability of the results. A print-out of some specified parameters from the individual measurements is essential. Details are given in [Annex C](#).


As the room conditions (temperature fluctuation, ventilation, etc.) may have an influence on the precision of the determination, the manufacturer's instructions for the placing of the instrument shall always be followed.

Equipment, adequate for determinations of calorific value in accordance with this document, is specified in [6.2](#) to [6.8](#).

### 6.2 Calorimeter with thermostat

**6.2.1 Combustion bomb**, capable of withstanding safely the pressures developed during combustion. The design shall permit complete recovery of all liquid products. The material of construction shall resist corrosion by the acids produced in the combustion of biofuels. A suitable internal volume of the bomb would be from 250 ml to 350 ml.

**WARNING** — Bomb parts shall be inspected regularly for wear and corrosion; particular attention shall be paid to the condition of the threads of the main closure. Manufacturers' instructions and any local regulations regarding the safe handling and use of the bomb shall be observed. When more than one bomb of the same design is used, it is imperative to use each bomb as a complete unit. Swapping of parts may lead to a serious accident.



**Key**

- |   |                |   |                 |
|---|----------------|---|-----------------|
| 1 | stirrer        | 4 | thermometer     |
| 2 | thermostat lid | 5 | calorimeter can |
| 3 | ignition leads | 6 | thermostat      |

**Figure 1** — Classical-type bomb combustion calorimeter with thermostat

**6.2.2 Calorimeter can**, made of metal, highly polished on the outside and capable of holding an amount of water sufficient to completely cover the flat upper surface of the bomb while the water is being stirred. A lid generally helps reduce evaporation of calorimeter water, but unless it is in good thermal contact with the can, it lags behind in temperature during combustion, giving rise to undefined heat exchange with the thermostat and a prolonged main period.

**6.2.3 Stirrer**, working at constant speed. The stirrer shaft should have a low-heat conduction and/or a low-mass section below the cover of the surrounding thermostat to minimize transmission of heat to or from the system; this is of particular importance when the stirrer shaft is in direct contact with the stirrer motor. When a lid is used for the calorimeter can, this section of the shaft should be above the lid.

The rate of stirring for a stirred-water type calorimeter should be large enough to make sure that hot spots do not develop during the rapid part of the change in temperature of the calorimeter. A rate of

stirring such that the length of the main period can be limited to 10 min or less is usually adequate (see [Annexes A](#) and [B](#)).

**6.2.4 Thermostat** (water jacket), completely surrounding the calorimeter, with an air gap of approximately 10 mm separating calorimeter and thermostat.

The mass of water of a thermostat intended for isothermal operation shall be sufficiently large to outbalance thermal disturbances from the outside. The temperature should be controlled to within  $\pm 0,1$  K or better throughout the experiment. A passive constant temperature ("static") thermostat shall have a heat capacity large enough to restrict the change in temperature of its water. Criteria for satisfactory behaviour of this type of water jacket are given in [Annex B](#).

NOTE 1 For an insulated metal static jacket, satisfactory properties are usually ensured by making a wide annular jacket with a capacity for water of at least 12,5 l.

NOTE 2 Calorimeters surrounded by insulating material, creating a thermal barrier, are regarded as static-jacket calorimeters.

When the thermostat (water jacket) is required to follow closely the temperature of the calorimeter, it should be of low mass and preferably have immersion heaters. Energy shall be supplied at a rate sufficient to maintain the temperature of the water in the thermostat to within 0,1 K of that of the calorimeter water after the charge has been fired. When in a steady state at 25 °C, the calculated mean drift in temperature of the calorimeter shall not exceed 0,000 5 K/min (see [A.3.2](#)).

**6.2.5 Temperature measuring instrument**, capable of indicating temperature with a resolution of at least 0,001 K so that temperature intervals of 2 K to 3 K can be determined with a resolution of 0,002 K or better. The absolute temperature shall be known to the nearest 0,1 K at the reference temperature of the calorimetric measurements. The temperature measuring device should be linear, or linearized, in its response to changes in temperature over the interval it is used.

As alternatives to the traditional mercury-in-glass thermometers, suitable temperature sensors are platinum resistance thermometers, thermistors, quartz crystal resonators, etc. which together with a suitable resistance bridge, null detector, frequency counter or other electronic equipment provide the required resolution. The short-term repeatability of this type of device shall be 0,001 K or better. Long-term drift shall not exceed the equivalent of 0,05 K for a period of 6 months. For sensors with linear response (in terms of temperature), drift is less likely to cause bias in the calorimetric measurements than are nonlinear sensors.

Mercury-in-glass thermometers shall conform to ISO 651, ISO 652, ISO 1770 or ISO 1771. A viewer with magnification about 5× is needed for reading the temperature with the resolution required.

A mechanical vibrator to tap the thermometer is suitable for preventing the mercury column from sticking (see [8.4](#)). If this is not available, the thermometer shall be tapped manually before reading the temperature.

### 6.2.6 Ignition circuit

The electrical supply shall be 6 V to 12 V alternating current from a step-down transformer or direct current from batteries. It is desirable to include a pilot light in the circuit to indicate when current is flowing.

Where the firing is done manually, the firing switch shall be of the spring-loaded, normally open type, located in such a manner that any undue risk to the operator is avoided (see warning in [8.4](#)).

**6.3 Crucible**, of silica, nickel-chromium, platinum or similar unreactive material.

The crucible should be 15 mm to 25 mm in diameter, flat based and about 20 mm deep. Silica crucibles should be about 1,5 mm thick and metal crucibles about 0,5 mm thick.

If smears of unburned carbon occur, a small low-mass platinum or nickel-chromium crucible, for example 0,25 mm thick, 15 mm in diameter and 7 mm deep, may be used.

#### 6.4 Ancillary pressure equipment

**6.4.1 Pressure regulator**, to control the filling of the bomb with oxygen.

**6.4.2 Pressure gauge** (e.g. 0 MPa to 5 MPa), to indicate the pressure in the bomb with a resolution of 0,05 MPa.

**6.4.3 Relief valve or bursting disk**, operating at 3,5 MPa, and installed in the filling line, to prevent overfilling the bomb.

**CAUTION — Equipment for high-pressure oxygen shall be kept free from oil and grease (high vacuum grease recommended by the manufacturer can be used according to the operating manual of the instrument). Do not test or calibrate the pressure gauge with hydrocarbon fluid.**

**6.5 Timer**, indicating minutes and seconds.

#### 6.6 Balances

**6.6.1 Balance for weighing the sample, fuse, etc.**, with a resolution of at least 0,1 mg; 0,01 mg is preferable and is recommended when the sample mass is of the order of 0,5 g or less (see [8.2.1](#)).

**6.6.2 Balance for weighing the calorimeter water**, with a resolution of 0,5 g (unless water can be dispensed into the calorimeter by volume with the required accuracy; see [8.3](#)).

**6.7 Thermostat** (optional), for equilibrating the calorimeter water before each experiment to a predetermined initial temperature, within about  $\pm 0,3$  K.

**6.8 Pellet press**, capable of applying a force of about 10 t, either hydraulically or mechanically, and having a die suitable to press a pellet having a diameter about 13 mm and a mass of  $(1,0 \pm 0,2)$  g.

### 7 Preparation of test sample

Samples for the determination of calorific value shall be sampled in accordance with ISO 18135 and shall be received in the laboratory in sealed air-tight containers or packages. The biofuel sample used for the determination of calorific value shall be the general analysis sample (ground to pass a test sieve with an aperture of 1,0 mm) prepared according to the procedure given in ISO 14780. Sieve with an aperture less than 1,0 mm (0,5 mm or 0,25 mm) might be necessary for some solid biofuels to ensure the requisite repeatability and a complete combustion.

Due to the low density of solid biofuels, they shall be tested in a pellet form. As a test portion, a pellet of mass  $(1,0 \pm 0,2)$  g is pressed with a suitable force to produce a compact, unbreakable test piece. Alternatively, the test may be carried out in powder form test portion closed in a combustion bag or capsule.

The general analysis sample shall be well mixed and in reasonable moisture equilibrium with the laboratory atmosphere. The moisture content shall either be determined simultaneously with the weighing of the samples for the determination of calorific value, or the sample shall be kept in a small, effectively closed container until moisture analyses are performed, to allow appropriate corrections for moisture in the analysis sample.

Determination of the moisture content ( $M_{ad}$ ) of the general analysis sample shall be carried out by the method specified in ISO 18134-3.

A flow chart for a routine calorific value determination can be found in [Annex H](#).

## 8 Calorimetric procedure


### 8.1 General

The calorimetric determination consists of two separate experiments, combustion of the calibrant (benzoic acid) and combustion of the biofuel, both under same specified conditions. The calorimetric procedure for the two types of experiment is essentially the same. In fact, the overall similarity is a requirement for proper cancellation of systematic errors caused, for example, by uncontrolled heat leaks not accounted for in the evaluation of the corrected temperature rise  $\theta$ .

The experiment consists of carrying out quantitatively a combustion reaction (in high-pressure oxygen in the bomb) to defined products of combustion and of measuring the change in temperature caused by the total bomb process.

The temperature measurements required for the evaluation of the corrected temperature rise  $\theta$  are made during a fore period, a main (= reaction) period, and an after period as outlined in [Figure 2](#). For the adiabatic type calorimeter, the fore and after periods need, in principle, be only as long as required to establish the initial (firing) and final temperatures, respectively (see [Annex A](#)). For the isoperibol (isothermal jacket) and the static-jacket type calorimeters, the fore and after periods serve to establish the heat exchange properties of the calorimeter required to allow proper correction for heat exchange between calorimeter and thermostat during the main period when combustion takes place. The fore and after periods then have to be longer; see [Annex B](#).

The power of stirring shall be maintained constant throughout an experiment which calls for a constant rate of stirring. An excessive rate of stirring results in an undesirable increase in the power of stirring with ensuing difficulties in keeping it constant. A wobbling stirrer is likely to cause significant short-term variations in stirring power.

**Key**

$X$	time	1	fore period
$Y$	temperature	2	main period
$t_f$	temperature at the end of main period	3	after period
$t_i$	ignition temperature	4	ignition
$t_j$	jacket temperature		

**Figure 2 — Time-temperature curve (isoperibol calorimeter)**

During combustion, the bomb head will become appreciably hotter than other parts of the bomb, and it is important to have enough well-stirred water above it to maintain reasonably small temperature gradients in the calorimeter water during the rapid part of the rise in temperature. For aneroid systems, the particular design determines to what extent hot spots may develop (see [Annex C](#)).

Certain biofuels may persistently burn incompletely, “exploding” and/or leaving residues that contain significant amounts of unburned sample or soot. By adding known amounts of an auxiliary material (e.g. benzoic acid, n-dodecane or paraffin oil), by using bags or capsules or cotton fuse, by omitting the distilled water from the bomb, or by using a lower oxygen filling pressure, a clean combustion can, in most instances, be achieved.

The auxiliary material shall be chemically stable, have known composition and purity, have a low vapour pressure and have a well-established energy of combustion; the energy should be known to within 0,10 % for particular material used. The amount used should be limited to the minimum amount required to achieve complete combustion of the sample. It should not exceed an amount that contributes half of the total energy in an experiment. The optimum proportion of the sample to auxiliary material depends on the properties of the fuel, and needs to be determined by experiment.

The mass of the auxiliary material shall be determined as accurately as possible so that its contribution can be correctly accounted for; this is particularly important when a hydrocarbon oil is used as its specific energy of combustion is considerably higher than that of the biofuel.

## 8.2 Preparing the bomb for measurement

### 8.2.1 General procedure

Weigh the test portion, pellet or the filled combustion bag or capsule, in the crucible, with a weighing resolution of 0,01 % or better. For 1 g test portions (see 9.2 and 10.2), this means weighing to the nearest 0,1 mg. Weigh the combustible fuse and/or ignition wire either with a precision comparable with that for weighing the test portion, or keep its mass constant, within specified limits, for all experiments (see 9.4 and 9.6.1).

Fasten the ignition wire tautly between the electrodes in the bomb (see also Note hereafter). Check the resistance of the ignition circuit of the bomb; for most bombs, it should not exceed 5  $\Omega$  to 10  $\Omega$ , measured between the outside connectors of the bomb head, or between the connector for the insulated electrode and the bomb head.

Tie, or attach firmly, the fuse (if needed, see Note hereafter) to the ignition wire, place the crucible in its support and bring the fuse into contact with the sample pellet or capsule. Make sure that the position of the crucible in the assembled bomb will be symmetrical with respect to the surrounding bomb wall.

When the ignition wire is combustible as well as electrically conducting, an alternative procedure may be adopted. A longer piece of wire, enough to make an open loop, is connected to the electrodes. After mounting of the crucible, the loop is brought in contact with the sample pellet or capsule. (In some cases, the ignition process is better controlled when the wire is kept at a small distance above the sample pellet.) Care should be taken to prevent any contact between ignition wire and crucible, in particular when a metal crucible is used since this would result in shorting the ignition circuit. A special fuse is superfluous under these conditions. The resistance of the ignition circuit of the bomb will be increased by a small amount only. For closer details of preparing the bomb, refer also to the manufacturer's instructions.

Add a defined amount of distilled water to the bomb. The amount shall always be exactly the same both in calibration and in determinations (see 9.2.1 and 9.2.2). As a main principle for biofuels, (1,0  $\pm$  0,1) ml distilled water is added into the bomb. With some biofuels (and some calorimeters), the complete combustion can be achieved by omitting the distilled water out from the bomb or by using combustion aid. In some cases, the total absorption of the gaseous combustion products might provide the use of a larger amount of distilled water (e.g. 5 ml).

Assemble the bomb and charge it slowly with oxygen to a pressure of (3,0  $\pm$  0,2) MPa without displacing the original air, or flush the bomb (with the outlet valve open, see manufacturer's instructions) with oxygen for about 30 s, close slowly the valve and charge the bomb to the pressure of (3,0  $\pm$  0,2) MPa. The same procedure shall be used both in calibration and in determinations. If the bomb is inadvertently charged with oxygen above 3,3 MPa, discard the test and begin again.

**WARNING — Do not reach over the bomb during charging.**

The bomb is now ready for mounting in the calorimeter can.

### 8.2.2 Using combustion aid

**Liquid combustion aid:** After the mass of the pellet has been determined, the auxiliary liquid material shall be added drop by drop on the pellet placed in the crucible (allowing the liquid to be absorbed) and the added amount to be determined precisely by weighing.

**Solid combustion aid:** Use of solid combustion aids (benzoic acid recommended) without combustion bags or capsules is not recommended (a homogenous mixture of sample material and combustion aid before pressing the test pellet might be difficult to achieve).

**Combustion bags or capsules:** Combustion capsules or bags, or combustible crucibles with precisely known calorific value (gelatin, acetobutyrate or polyethylene) may be used as combustion aids (as such or with, e.g. benzoic acid) according to the manufacturer's instructions. They shall be weighed precisely

before filling (see also 8.1). The sample material and the combustion aid like benzoic acid shall be mixed cautiously in the bag or capsule before testing.

### 8.3 Assembling the calorimeter

Bring the calorimeter water to within  $\pm 0,3$  K of the selected initial temperature and fill the calorimeter can with the required amount. The quantity of water in the calorimeter can shall be the same to within 0,5 g or better in all experiments (see 9.6.1). Make sure that the outer surface of the can is dry and clean before the latter is placed in the thermostat. Mount the bomb in the calorimeter can after the can (containing the correct amount of water) has been placed in the thermostat.

Alternatively, the system may be operated on a constant total-calorimeter-mass basis (see 9.6.2). The bomb is then mounted in the calorimeter can before this is weighed with the water. The total mass of the calorimeter can, with the assembled bomb and the calorimeter water, shall then be within the 0,5 g or better limit in all experiments.

The assembled calorimeter shall contain enough water to well cover the flat upper surface of the bomb head and cap.

NOTE Weighing the water to within 0,5 g applies when the effective heat capacity is in the order of 10 kJ/K.

Check the bomb for gas leaks as soon as its top becomes covered with water. If the gas valves are not fully submerged, check for leaks with a drop of water across the exposed opening. Connect the leads for the ignition circuit and mount the thermometer.

**WARNING — If gas escapes from the bomb, discard the test, eliminate the cause of leakage and begin again. Apart from being a hazard, leaks will inevitably lead to erroneous results.**

Cooling water, temperature controls, stirrers, etc. are turned on and adjusted, as outlined in the instrument manual. Make sure that the calorimeter stirrer works properly. A period of about 5 min is normally required for the assembled calorimeter to reach a steady state in the thermostat or jacket, irrespective of the type of calorimeter. The criteria for when steady state has been attained depend on the working principle of the calorimeter (see Annexes A and B).

### 8.4 Combustion reaction and temperature measurements

Start taking temperature readings, to the nearest 0,001 K or better, as soon as the calorimeter has reached steady-state conditions. Readings at 1 min intervals normally suffice to establish the drift rate of the fore period or check the proper functioning of an adiabatic system. When a mercury-in-glass thermometer is used for the temperature measurements, tap the thermometer lightly for about 10 s before each reading and take care to avoid parallax errors.

At the end of the fore period, when the initial temperature  $t_i$  has been established, the combustion is initiated by firing the fuse. Hold the switch closed only for as long as it takes to ignite the fuse. Normally, the current is automatically interrupted as the conducting wire starts burning or partially melts. As long as the resistance of the ignition circuit of the combustion bomb is kept at its normal low value, the electrical energy required to initiate the reaction is so small that there is no need to measure and account for it separately.

**WARNING — Do not extend any part of the body over the calorimeter during firing, nor for 20 s thereafter.**

Continue taking temperature readings at 1 min intervals. The time corresponding to  $t_i$  marks the beginning of the main period. During the first few minutes after the charge has been fired, when the temperature is rising rapidly, readings to the nearest 0,02 K are adequate. Resume reading temperatures to the nearest 0,001 K or better as soon as is practicable, but no later than 5 min after the beginning of the main period. Criteria for the length of the fore, main and after periods, and hence the total number of temperature readings required, are given in Annexes A and B.

## 8.5 Analysis of products of combustion

At the end of the after period, when all the required temperature readings have been completed, remove the bomb from the calorimeter, release the pressure slowly (following manufacturers' manual) and dismantle the bomb. Examine the interior of the bomb, the crucible and any solid residue carefully for signs of incomplete combustion. Discard the test if unburned sample or any soot deposit is visible. Remove and measure any unreacted pieces of combustible ignition wire.

NOTE Another symptom of incomplete combustion is the presence of carbon monoxide in the bomb gas. Slow release of the gas through a suitable detector tube reveals any presence of carbon monoxide and indicates the concentration level. 0,1 ml/l of carbon monoxide in the combustion gas from a 300 ml bomb corresponds to an error of about 10 J.

Wash the contents of the bomb quantitatively into a beaker with distilled water. Make sure that the underside of the bomb head, the electrodes and the outside of the crucible are also washed.

In the case of calibration experiments, determine the formed nitric acid from the combined bomb washings either by ion-chromatography (as nitrate) as described in ISO 10304-1 or dilute the combined washings to about 50 ml and analyse for nitric acid, e.g. by titration with the sodium hydroxide solution (5.4.3) to a pH of about 5,5 or by using the screened methyl orange solution (5.4.5) as an indicator.

When the "sulphur" and/or nitric acid corrections are based on the actual amounts formed in the bomb process, the bomb washings from fuel combustions are analysed by the procedure described in the next three paragraphs [methods a) to c)] or by an equivalent method. If the sulphur content of the biofuel and the nitric acid correction are known, analysis of the final bomb liquid may be omitted (see 10.1).

- a) Determine the formed nitric and sulphuric acid (as nitrate and as sulfate, respectively) by ion-chromatography as in ISO 10304-1.
- b) Dilute the combined bomb washings to about 100 ml. Boil the washings to expel carbon dioxide and titrate the solution with barium hydroxide solution (5.4.1) while it is still hot using the phenolphthalein solution (5.4.6) as an indicator. Add 20,0 ml of the sodium carbonate solution (5.4.2), filter the warm solution and wash the precipitate with distilled water. When cold, titrate the filtrate with the hydrochloric acid solution (5.4.4), using the screened methyl orange solution (5.4.5) as an indicator, ignoring the phenolphthalein colour change.
- c) If the sulfur content of the biofuel is known, the boiled bomb washings may be titrated, while still hot, with a simplified method using sodium hydroxide solution (5.4.3) and phenolphthalein as indicator (5.4.6).

## 8.6 Corrected temperature rise $\theta$

### 8.6.1 Observed temperature rise

The temperature at the end of the main period  $t_f$  gives, together with the initial or firing temperature  $t_i$ , the observed temperature rise  $t_f - t_i$ .

### 8.6.2 Isoperibol and static-jacket calorimeters

In addition to the rise in temperature caused by the processes in the combustion bomb, the observed temperature rise contains contributions from heat exchange between calorimeter and thermostat and

from stirring power. Allowance for heat exchange is made by the so-called heat-leak correction  $\Delta t_{\text{ex}}$  which includes the contribution from stirring power, i.e. [Formula \(1\)](#):

$$t_f - t_i = \theta + \Delta t_{\text{ex}} \quad (1)$$

and hence [Formula \(2\)](#):

$$\theta = (t_f - t_i) - \Delta t_{\text{ex}} \quad (2)$$

There are various ways of evaluating the term  $\Delta t_{\text{ex}}$ . The most common procedures used are the Regnault-Pfaundler and the Dickinson extrapolation methods.

NOTE The Regnault-Pfaundler method automatically accounts for variations in the time-temperature relationship for different types of samples and is hence the more reliable of the two methods.

Detailed instructions for the numerical evaluation of  $\Delta t_{\text{ex}}$  and the corrected temperature rise  $\theta$  for isoperibol and static-jacket calorimeters are given in [Annex B](#). The resulting formulae for  $\Delta t_{\text{ex}}$  are summarized in [Formulae \(3\)](#) and [\(4\)](#).

**Regnault-Pfaundler method** (see [B.5.2](#))

$$\Delta t_{\text{ex}} = (\tau_f - \tau_i) \times g_f + \frac{g_i - g_f}{t_{\text{mf}} - t_{\text{mi}}} \times \left[ n \times t_{\text{mf}} - \frac{(t_i + t_f)}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^{k=n-1} t_k \right] \quad (3)$$

where

$g_i$  is the drift rate in the fore (initial rating) period, in K/min;

$g_f$  is the drift rate in the after (final rating) period, in K/min;

$t_{\text{mi}}$  is the mean temperature in the fore period, in °C;

$t_{\text{mf}}$  is the mean temperature in the after period, in °C;

$t_i$  ( $= t_0$ ) is the temperature at the beginning of the main period (the time for ignition), in °C;

$t_f$  ( $= t_n$ ) is the temperature at the end of the main period, in °C;

$t_k$  is the successive temperature readings, in °C, taken at 1 min intervals during the main period ( $t_1$  being the temperature 1 min after the beginning of the main period and  $t_n = t_f$ );

$\tau_i$  is the time at the beginning of the main period (time of ignition), in min;

$\tau_f$  is the time at the end of the main period, in min;

$n$  is the number of 1 min intervals in the main period.

**Dickinson extrapolation method** (see [B.5.3](#))

$$\Delta t_{\text{ex}} = g_i(\tau_x - \tau_i) + g_f(\tau_f - \tau_x) \tag{4}$$

where

$\tau_x$  is the time, in min, where the change in temperature ( $t_x - t_i$ ) is 0,6 times the observed temperature rise ( $t_f - t_i$ );

$g_i$  and  $g_f$  represent, in principle, the drift rate, in K/min, at  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_f$ , respectively. They are calculated as for the Regnault-Pfaundler method.

Alternatively, temperature may be expressed in some arbitrary unit throughout (see [9.6.1](#)):

**8.6.3 Adiabatic calorimeters**

In adiabatic systems, heat exchange is by definition negligible. It is, however, common practice to compensate for the stirring power by an offset in temperature in the adiabatic control system (see [Annex A](#)). The corrected temperature rise  $\theta$  then becomes [Formula \(5\)](#):

$$\theta = t_f - t_i \tag{5}$$

Stirring power is otherwise manifested as a constant drift in temperature throughout the experiment and is easily corrected for, but may prolong the total period of temperature observations.

Detailed instructions for the numerical evaluation of the corrected temperature rise  $\theta$  for adiabatic calorimeters are given in [Annex A](#).

**8.6.4 Thermometer corrections**

When a mercury-in-glass thermometer is used, the corrections specified in the certificate issued with the thermometer shall be applied to the observed initial temperature  $t_i$  and the final temperature  $t_f$ .

**8.7 Reference temperature**

The temperature at the end of the main period, the final temperature  $t_f$ , is the reference temperature of the individual experiment.

**9 Calibration**

**9.1 Principle**

Combustion of certified benzoic acid under specified conditions to gaseous carbon dioxide and liquid water serves to make a change in temperature of the calorimeter of one unit interpretable in defined units of energy. The classical type of combustion calorimeter can be maintained unchanged over extended periods of time in terms of mass (heat capacity), geometry and heat exchange surfaces. This allows for calibration of the instrument to be carried out as a separate series of measurements, establishing the effective heat capacity  $\varepsilon$  of the calorimeter.

This calibration constant  $\varepsilon$  should not change significantly over time, provided minor repairs or other changes in the system are correctly accounted for. Some of the fully automated calorimetric instruments are, however, physically less well-defined and therefore require more frequent calibrations, for some systems even daily.

Systematic errors may arise, for example, from evaporation of calorimeter water, from uncontrolled heat exchange along various paths and/or imperfections and lag in an adiabatic temperature control system during the reaction period. Cancellation of this type of error depends largely on the similarity between the calibration experiments and combustion of the test portions with respect to time-temperature profile and total change in temperature of the calorimeter. Systematic variation in the mass of benzoic acid used in the calibration experiments is an expedient way of establishing the requirements for “similarity” for a particular calorimetric system (see [9.3](#)).

## 9.2 Calibrant

### 9.2.1 Certification conditions

The certificate value for the energy of combustion of benzoic acid refers to a process where the mass of sample and initial water, respectively, is 3 g/l of free bomb volume, the initial pressure of oxygen is 3,0 MPa and the reference temperature is 25 °C. The products of combustion are defined as gaseous carbon dioxide, liquid water and an equilibrium amount of carbon dioxide dissolved in the aqueous phase. Any nitric acid formed is corrected for by the energy for the process, where the acid is decomposed to form liquid water and gaseous nitrogen and oxygen. When calibrations are performed under different conditions, the certificate value shall be adjusted. A numerical expression to correct for such deviations is given in the certificate.

### 9.2.2 Calibration conditions

The calibration conditions determine the overall calorimetric conditions for the subsequent fuel determinations. For bombs with an internal volume of about 300 ml, 1 g of calibrant and 1 ml of water initially in the bomb are normally used (see [8.2.1](#)). For bombs with a volume nearer to 200 ml, 0,6 g of benzoic acid is preferable; the amount of water should then be reduced accordingly (both in calibration and in determinations).

NOTE 1 The correction terms (per g of benzoic acid) for deviations from certificate conditions, quoted from a typical benzoic acid certificate, are for the initial pressure, mass of test portion, mass of water and reference temperature of the experiment, respectively 5 J/MPa, 1,1 J/g·l<sup>-1</sup>, 0,8 J/g·l<sup>-1</sup> and -1,2 J/K.

NOTE 2 As long as the initial pressure of oxygen and the reference temperature are kept within (3,0 ± 0,3) MPa and (25 ± 2) °C, respectively, the departure from certification conditions caused by pressure and/or temperature deviations is within ±3 J/g and need not be accounted for.

NOTE 3 The most significant deviation from the certification conditions is usually caused if larger amounts of water, e.g. 5 ml/g calibrant, are used. For a 300 ml bomb, this causes an increase in the certified value of 11 J/g. If 1,0 g of benzoic acid and 5,0 ml of water are used in a 200 ml bomb, the certified value increases by 20 J/g. The change is mostly caused by an increase in the fraction of carbon dioxide dissolved in the bomb liquid. If no water is used, the certified value decreases by 2 J/g.

NOTE 4 When the total heat capacity of the calorimeter is small, for example, in aneroid systems, the sample mass may have to be reduced in order to limit the total change in temperature (see [Annex C](#)).

## 9.3 Valid working range of the effective heat capacity $\epsilon$

It ought to be possible to vary the amount of calibrant at least ±25 % without getting a significant trend in the values obtained for the effective heat capacity. If this is not the case, the working limits for a constant value of  $\epsilon$  shall be defined in terms of total temperature rise measured. All subsequent measurements of calorific value shall be kept within these temperature rise limits by adjusting the sample weight of biofuel to be measured.

A plot of  $\epsilon$  values, as a function of mass of calibrant used, reveals whether there is a significant trend in the effective heat capacity for a particular calorimeter. In this test, the calibrant mass should be varied from 0,7 g to 1,3 g, or an equivalent relative amount, and a minimum of eight experiments should be performed. There is no need to vary the initial amount of water in the bomb.

A convenient way of checking a system already calibrated by combustion of, for example, 1,0 g samples, is to use the benzoic acid as an unknown. The mean values from triplicate runs on 0,7 g and 1,3 g sample masses, respectively, are compared with the certificate values. This normally suffices to ascertain whether the effective heat capacity is constant for the range of heat produced. Deviations are generally expected to be in the direction of “low” calorific values for larger sample masses, equivalent to obtaining  $\varepsilon$  values on the high side when derived from large samples. Using benzoic acid as a test substance is particularly useful in checking the performance of highly automated systems.

The required range for a verified (validated) value of  $\varepsilon$  depends on the total variation in calorific value of the fuels normally analysed. A moderate trend in  $\varepsilon$ , e.g.  $\pm 0,3\%$  for a  $\pm 30\%$  variation in the observed temperature rise, may be compensated for by expressing the effective heat capacity  $\varepsilon$  as a function of  $(t_f - t_i)$  over some defined range. Similarly, if a non-linearized temperature sensor is used,  $\varepsilon$  may be expressed as a (linear) function of  $(t_f - t_i)$ , provided stringent criteria are also established for how much  $t_f$  or  $t_i$  is allowed to vary.

Deviation of  $\varepsilon$  from a constant value, as discussed here, is caused by the physical design of the calorimeter and/or shortcomings in the temperature control of the instrument. For a particular set-up, examination of the applicable range of  $\varepsilon$  from a given set of calibration conditions should be carried out when the instrument is new or has been subjected to major repair or moved to a different location, and when changes in the temperature control system have occurred. Some adiabatic systems need to be checked on a more regular basis (see [Annex A](#)). Some automated calorimeters require calibration with a prescribed variation in sample mass (see [Annex C](#)).

#### 9.4 Ancillary contributions

In addition to the energy from combustion of benzoic acid, there are contributions from combustion of the fuse(s) and the formation of nitric acid (from “air” nitrogen in the gaseous phase). The contribution from a fuse is derived from the amount involved and the appropriate energy of combustion. Any unreacted fuse wire has to be taken into account, i.e. subtracted from the initial amount.

The amount of nitric acid formed is determined on the final bomb solution, for example, by acid-base titration (see [8.5](#)).

In most systems, the contribution from the fuse(s) can be kept nearly the same in all experiments (fuel and calibration) and can consequently be assigned a constant value. For a given bomb configuration, the amount of nitric acid formed in calibration experiments is approximately proportional to the amount of benzoic acid burned.

#### 9.5 Calibration procedure

For the ordinary series of calibrations, five satisfactory combustions on benzoic acid shall be carried out. The sample shall be burned as pellets (see [5.5](#)). The calorimetric procedure described in [Clause 8](#) shall be followed. Recommendations concerning sample mass and initial amount of bomb water are given in [9.2.2](#). It is advantageous to use a crucible of low mass for the benzoic acid combustions. The initial temperature shall be chosen such that the reference temperature of the experiment (defined as  $t_f$ ; see [8.7](#)) is within the chosen range for the reference temperature.

The design of the calibration experiment, in terms of oxygen pressure, amount of bomb water, reference temperature, duration of the fore, main and after periods, etc., defines the detailed procedure for subsequent fuel combustions.

When the effective heat capacity  $\varepsilon$  of a calorimeter cannot be regarded as constant over the required working range but needs to be expressed as a function of  $(t_f - t_i)$  (see [9.3](#)), the number of calibration experiments shall be increased to eight or more. The mass of sample for the individual experiments is chosen to yield values for the change in temperature over the entire intended working range, with a few replicate measurements around the end points, to define the slope of the  $\varepsilon$  versus  $(t_f - t_i)$  relationship.

## 9.6 Calculation of effective heat capacity for the individual experiment

### 9.6.1 Constant mass-of-calorimeter-water basis

For systems where the quantity of water in the calorimeter vessel is kept the same in all experiments,  $\varepsilon$  is calculated using [Formula \(6\)](#):

$$\varepsilon = \frac{m_{\text{ba}} \times q_{V,\text{ba}} + Q_{\text{fuse}} + Q_{\text{ign}} + Q_{\text{N}}}{\theta} \quad (6)$$

where

$m_{\text{ba}}$  is the mass, in g, of benzoic acid ([5.5](#));

$q_{V,\text{ba}}$  is the certified gross calorific value at constant volume, in J/g, for the benzoic acid (see [9.2.1](#));

$Q_{\text{fuse}}$  is the contribution from combustion of the fuse, in J;

$Q_{\text{ign}}$  is the contribution from oxidation of the ignition wire, in J;

$Q_{\text{N}}$  is the contribution from formation of nitric acid (from liquid water and gaseous nitrogen and oxygen; see [8.5](#) and [9.2.1](#)), in J;

$\theta$  is the corrected temperature rise, in K or in an arbitrary unit (see [3.6](#) and [8.6](#)).

NOTE  $\varepsilon$  is normally expressed in J/K. When  $\theta$  is expressed in arbitrary units,  $\varepsilon$ , will, of course, be expressed in J per this arbitrary unit, e.g. J/ $\Omega$ .

The contribution from combustion of a cotton fuse is 17 500 J/g and from a nickel-chromium wire 6 000 J/g. Platinum wire melts and resolidifies and gives no net contribution.

When the sum of  $Q_{\text{fuse}} + Q_{\text{ign}}$  is nearly the same, within a few joules, in all experiments, it can be assigned a constant value. It is not generally recommended to incorporate  $Q_{\text{fuse}} + Q_{\text{ign}}$  in the value of  $\varepsilon$ , unless it is in itself small and the variation in  $\theta$  is less than  $\pm 20\%$ .

For the formation of nitric acid from liquid water and gaseous nitrogen and oxygen, the contribution is 60 J/mmol.

$Q_{\text{N}}$ , in J, is calculated from the found nitrate content  $w(\text{NO}_3)$ , in mg, determined by ion-chromatography using [Formula \(7\)](#):

$$Q_{\text{N}} = 0,97 \times w(\text{NO}_3) \quad (7)$$

or

from the titration result of [ $c(\text{NaOH}) = 0,1 \text{ mol/l}$ ], in ml, using formula  $Q_{\text{N}} = 6,0 \times \text{ml}$  (see [8.5](#)).

### 9.6.2 Constant total-calorimeter-mass basis

When the system is operated such that the calorimeter can with the assembled bomb and the water always has the same total mass, the amount of water in the can will vary slightly, depending chiefly

upon the mass of the crucible used. It is then convenient to define  $\varepsilon_o$  as the effective heat capacity for the hypothetical calorimeter with no crucible in the bomb, as given by [Formula \(8\)](#):

$$\varepsilon_o = \varepsilon_{\bullet} + m_{cr} \times c_{p,aq} \quad (8)$$

where

$\varepsilon_{\bullet}$  is equal to  $\varepsilon$  as defined in [9.6.1](#);

$m_{cr}$  is the mass, in g, of the crucible used in the calibration experiment (see Note hereafter);

$c_{p,aq}$  is the specific heat capacity of water, in J/(g·K), when the  $\varepsilon$  values are in J/K. At 25 °C, it is equal to 4,18 J/(g·K).

When arbitrary “units of temperature” are used, the value of  $c_{p,aq}$  shall be adjusted accordingly. The relation between the kelvin and the unit utilized need only be known to within  $\pm 10$  % for this purpose.

NOTE In the formula for  $\varepsilon_o$ , the second term is derived from:

$$m_{cr} \times (c_{p,aq} - c_{p,cr}) + m_{sample} \times (c_{p,aq} - c_{p,sample})$$

The second part of this expression may be incorporated in  $\varepsilon_o$  without loss in accuracy, as its value will not vary significantly between calibration and fuel experiments. The expression is then reduced to [Formula \(9\)](#):

$$m_{cr} \times (c_{p,aq} - c_{p,cr}) \quad (9)$$

which, in most cases, may be simplified to  $m_{cr} \times c_{p,aq}$  as given in the formula for  $\varepsilon_o$ . However, when a wide variety of crucibles is used, the heat capacity of the crucible may have to be accounted for. For instance, if a 10 g platinum crucible is used for the calibration experiments and a 10 g quartz crucible is used for the fuel combustions, an error of 6 J/K is introduced if  $c_{p,cr}$  is not taken into account, corresponding to 18 J for a 3 K temperature rise. The correct formula is then shown as [Formula \(10\)](#):

$$\varepsilon_o = \varepsilon_{\bullet} + m_{cr} \times (c_{p,aq} - c_{p,cr}) \quad (10)$$

The specific heat capacities for platinum, quartz and steel are 0,133 J/(g·K), 0,74 J/(g·K) and 0,45 J/(g·K), respectively.

## 9.7 Precision of the mean value of the effective heat capacity $\varepsilon$

### 9.7.1 Constant value of $\varepsilon$

Calculate the arithmetic mean  $\varepsilon_{(n)}$  and the standard deviation from the results of the individual calibration experiments,  $\varepsilon$  (see [9.6.1](#)) or  $\varepsilon_o$  (see [9.6.2](#)), respectively. The standard deviation shall not exceed 0,20 %. All results from the current series of calibrations shall be included in the calculations; only experiments with evidence of incomplete combustion may, and shall, be discarded.

Provided the precision requirement is met, the arithmetic mean  $\varepsilon_{(n)}$  or  $\varepsilon_{o(n)}$ , respectively, is regarded as the value for the effective heat capacity of the calorimeter.

If the precision requirement is not met, the cause for the unsatisfactory results shall be identified and corrected, and a new series of calibration experiments shall be performed.

### 9.7.2 $\varepsilon$ as a function of the observed temperature rise

When  $\varepsilon$  cannot be regarded as constant, list the individual values of  $\varepsilon$  (see 9.6.1) or  $\varepsilon_0$  (see 9.6.2), respectively, together with the corresponding values for the observed temperature rise ( $t_f - t_i$ ), for clarity denoted  $\Delta t$ . Fit the results to a straight line by linear regression with  $\Delta t$  as the independent variable. In addition to calculating the coefficients  $a$  and  $b$  as shown in Formula (11):

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = a + b \times \Delta t \quad (11)$$

the estimate of the variance  $s^2$  about the line shall be calculated. For convenience,  $\theta$  may be used instead of  $\Delta t$ .

The standard deviation  $s$  shall not exceed 0,20 %. Only results from experiments with evidence of incomplete combustion may, and shall, be discarded in the calculations.

Provided the precision requirement is met,  $\hat{\varepsilon}$ , as defined above, is regarded as the value for the effective heat capacity of the calorimeter to be used in the calculations of the calorific values for the fuels. The valid working range in terms of the observed temperature rise shall be clearly specified.

If the precision requirement is not met, the cause for the unsatisfactory results shall be identified and corrected, and a new series of calibration experiments shall be performed.

## 9.8 Redetermination of the effective heat capacity

When any significant part of the system is changed, the mean effective heat capacity shall be re-determined (see 9.3). It shall also be re-determined at intervals not longer than 6 months.

NOTE It is recommended, especially on a new system, to check the calibration regularly by performing a few monthly experiments using benzoic acid as a test substance (see 9.3).

Where a change to the system is not involved, the new mean value of  $\varepsilon$  shall be within 0,25 % of the previous value. If the difference is greater than 0,25 %, experimental procedures shall be examined and the cause of the problem identified and dealt with.

## 10 Gross calorific value

### 10.1 General

The calorimetric conditions for the biofuel combustions shall be consistent with those of the calibration experiments (see 8.2.1, 9.2.2 and 9.5). With the calorimetric procedure under satisfactory control, ascertaining complete combustion of the biofuel is the most important issue.

Some biofuels might be difficult to burn completely in the bomb and may have to be burned with a combustion aid or by using a combustion bag or capsule, with well-established energy of combustion, or by omitting the distilled water in the bomb (see Clause 7 and 8.2) or by reducing the oxygen pressure.

The bomb washings for sulphuric and nitric acid shall be analysed using procedures described in 8.5 or alternatively, a constant value for nitric acid (see below) together with a determined, typical or default value for sulfur shall be used for corrections (see 10.3.2 and E.4).

Nitric acid formation is largely dependent on the combustion temperature and enhanced by the nitrogen content of the sample. The nitric acid correction is normally different for fuel and benzoic acid combustions, respectively, and may also vary significantly for different types of biofuels.

The variation in the correction for nitric acid might be due to biofuels having low nitrogen content on the borderline of significance. When the sulfur content is determined separately on the sample, the nitric acid correction may be assigned a constant per-gram-of-sample value. A similar strategy shall then be adopted for the calibration experiments.

## 10.2 Combustion

Duplicate combustions shall be made. A representative sample shall be taken from the analysis sample (see [Clause 7](#)) and a pellet shall be pressed or capsule shall be used. The mass of the sample burned (or the sample together with combustion aid or capsule) shall be such that the observed temperature rise is within the range of the calibration experiments. The calorimetric procedure described in [8.2.1](#) to [8.6](#) shall be followed, with the same calorimetric conditions as in the calibration experiments (see [9.2.2](#)).

Usually, a mass of around 1 g of biofuel sample is adequate. If the observed temperature rise falls outside the valid range for  $\varepsilon$ , the sample size of the biofuel shall be adjusted to meet the valid temperature rise range or the calibration shall be confirmed for the extended range of  $\varepsilon$  (see [9.3](#)).

## 10.3 Calculation of gross calorific value

### 10.3.1 General

The energy change for the total bomb process is given by the effective heat capacity  $\varepsilon$  multiplied by the corrected temperature rise  $\theta$ . To derive the energy of combustion of the biofuel sample, the energy contributions from all the ancillary reactions shall be subtracted from  $\varepsilon \times \theta$  (see [9.4](#)). When a combustion aid is used, its contribution is usually the largest ancillary quantity and shall be accurately accounted for.

Moreover, sulphur in the sample quantitatively yields sulphuric acid in the bomb, whereas the required state of sulphur for the calorific value of the fuel is gaseous sulphur dioxide (see [4.1](#)). This is accounted for by a term representing the decomposition, at constant volume, of the aqueous sulphuric acid into gaseous sulphur dioxide and oxygen, and liquid water.

The derived calorific value for the biofuel is the gross calorific value at constant volume.

### 10.3.2 Constant mass-of-calorimeter-water basis

Calculate the gross calorific value at constant volume from the individual experiment by substituting into [Formula \(12\)](#):

$$q_{V,gr} = \frac{\varepsilon_{(n)} \times \theta - Q_{\text{fuse}} - Q_{\text{ign}} - Q_N - m_2 \times q_{V,2} - Q_S}{m_1} \quad (12)$$

where

$q_{V,gr}$  is the gross calorific value at constant volume of the fuel as analysed, in J/g;

$\varepsilon_{(n)}$  is the mean value of the effective heat capacity of the calorimeter as determined in the calibrations (see [9.6.1](#)), in J/K, or, alternatively, in J per some arbitrary unit (see Note in [9.6.1](#));

$Q_S$  is the correction for taking the sulfur from the aqueous sulphuric acid to gaseous sulfur dioxide, in J;

$m_1$  is the mass, in g, of the sample of the fuel;

- $m_2$  is the mass, in g, of the combustion aid (if relevant);
- $q_{V,2}$  is the gross calorific value at constant volume of the combustion aid (if relevant), in J/g;
- $\theta$ ,  $Q_{\text{fuse}}$ ,  $Q_{\text{ign}}$  and  $Q_{\text{N}}$  are defined in [9.6.1](#).

NOTE When no buoyancy correction is applied to  $m_2$ , care should be taken to ensure that  $q_{V,2}$  is valid for “per gram weighed in air”.

The energy quantities required to calculate the contributions from fuse, ignition wire and formation of nitric acid are given in [9.6.1](#). Specific heat capacities for water and some common crucible materials are given in [9.6.2](#).

To account for the reaction where sulphuric acid decomposes into liquid water and gaseous sulphur dioxide and oxygen, the correction is 302 J/mmol, equivalent to 9,41 J/mg of sulphur, which in turn corresponds to a  $Q_{\text{S}} / m_1$  value of 94,1 J/g of sample for 1 % of sulphur in the analysis sample, as given in [Formula \(13\)](#).

$$Q_{\text{S}} = 94,1 \times w(\text{S}) \times m_1 \quad (13)$$

where

$w(\text{S})$  is the sulfur content of the sample (as analysed), as a percentage.

The sulfur content of the sample shall be determined or alternatively, a typical or default value may be used (see [E.4](#) and [Annex G](#)). If the sulfur content is not determined, the value used shall be stated in the test report.

When the analytical procedures described in [8.5](#) are used, the contributions from sulphuric and nitric acids are given by [Formulae \(14\)](#) to [\(19\)](#):

- a) determination by ion-chromatography

$$Q_{\text{S}} = 3,14 \times w(\text{SO}_4) \quad (14)$$

$$Q_{\text{N}} = 0,97 \times w(\text{NO}_3) \quad (15)$$

where

$w(\text{SO}_4)$  is the sulfate content and  $w(\text{NO}_3)$  the nitrate content found, in mg, respectively;

- b) titration with barium hydroxide and hydrochloric acid

$$Q_{\text{S}} = 15,1(V_1 + V_2 - 20,0), \text{ and} \quad (16)$$

$$Q_{\text{N}} = 6,0(20,0 - V_2), \text{ respectively,} \quad (17)$$

where

$V_1$  is the volume used, in ml, of the barium hydroxide solution (5.4.1);

$V_2$  is the volume used, in ml, of the hydrochloric acid solution (5.4.4);

c) titration with sodium hydroxide.

The corrections for nitric acid and sulphuric acid are calculated using a combined correction  $Q_{N,S}$  instead of  $Q_N$  together with an additional correction for sulphur ( $Q_{S,add.}$ ) in the formula of  $q_{V,gr}$  (one part of  $Q_S$ , namely  $2 \times 60$  J/mmol is included in  $Q_{N,S}$ ; the additional correction needed is  $302 - 2 \times 60 = 182$  J/mmol which is equal to 5,7 J/mg sulphur in the sample).

$$Q_{N,S} = 6,0 \times V \quad (18)$$

$$Q_{S,add.} = 57 \times w(S) \times m_1 \quad (19)$$

where

$V$  is the volume used, in ml, of sodium hydroxide solution (5.4.3);

$w(S)$  is the sulfur content of the sample (as analysed), as a percentage.

The certification-condition value may be used for benzoic acid utilized as a combustion aid, provided 1 ml of water is used initially in the bomb. For larger amounts of water, it is recommended to adjust the per-gram value in accordance with the amount-of-water term in the certificate.

The mean value of duplicate determinations is regarded as the gross calorific value for the general analysis sample of the biofuel.

### 10.3.3 Constant total-calorimeter-mass basis

In this case, the mean value of the effective heat capacity  $\epsilon_{o(n)}$  is the one derived from the individual  $\epsilon_o$  results (see 9.6.2) and represents the calorimeter without a crucible. The value of  $\epsilon$  valid for the actual fuel experiment is shown in Formula (20):

$$\epsilon_{\bullet} = \epsilon_{o(n)} - m_{cr} \times c_{p,aq} \quad (20)$$

where

$m_{cr}$  is the mass, in g, of the crucible used in the fuel combustion;

the other symbols are defined in 9.6.2.

If the heat capacity of the crucible has been taken into account (see Note to 9.6.2) in computing the  $\epsilon_o$  values,  $(c_{p,aq} - c_{p,cr})$  should be substituted for  $c_{p,aq}$  in the calculations of  $\epsilon_{\bullet}$ .

$\epsilon_{\bullet}$  replaces  $\epsilon_{(n)}$  in the formula given in 10.3.2 for the calculation of the gross calorific value at constant volume for the biofuel test portion from an individual experiment. The mean value of duplicate determinations is regarded as the resulting value for the general analysis sample of the biofuel.

### 10.3.4 $\varepsilon$ as a function of the observed temperature rise

When it is required that the effective heat capacity of the calorimeter is expressed as a function of the observed temperature rise (see 9.3 and 9.7.2),  $\varepsilon_{(n)}$  in the formula in 10.3.2 and  $\varepsilon_{o(n)}$  in the formula in 10.3.3, respectively, shall be replaced by [Formula \(21\)](#):

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = a + b \times \Delta t \quad (21)$$

where the coefficients  $a$  and  $b$  are derived from the calibrations (see 9.7.2).

$\Delta t$  is the notation for the observed temperature rise ( $t_f - t_i$ ), in K or the arbitrary unit used, for the actual fuel experiment. For convenience,  $\theta$  may be used instead of  $\Delta t$  (see 9.7.2).

The mean value of duplicate determinations is regarded as the resulting value for the general analysis sample of the biofuel.

## 10.4 Expression of results

As the moisture content of the actual analysis sample is of interest merely in connection with the calculation to other bases, it is recommended to calculate a value for the gross calorific value at constant volume for the dry fuel (dry basis, in dry matter), using [Formula \(22\)](#):

$$q_{V,gr,d} = q_{V,gr} \times \frac{100}{100 - M_{ad}} \quad (22)$$

where

$q_{V,gr,d}$  is the gross calorific value at constant volume of the dry (moisture-free) fuel, in J/g;

$M_{ad}$  is the moisture content in the analysis sample, in percentage by mass;

$q_{V,gr}$  is defined in 10.3.2.

The calorific value at constant volume required for any particular moisture content basis  $q_{V,gr,m}$  is derived from [Formula \(23\)](#):

$$q_{V,gr,m} = q_{V,gr,d} \times (1 - 0,01M) \quad (23)$$

where  $M$  is the moisture content, in percentage by mass, for which the calorific value is required, normally for the fuel as sampled or as fired (total moisture content as received,  $M_{ar}$ ), and [Formula \(24\)](#):

$$(1 - 0,01M_{ar}) = \frac{100 - M_{ar}}{100} \quad (24)$$

The result shall be reported to the nearest multiple of 10 J/g with unambiguous statements concerning the states constant volume, gross (liquid water), and moisture basis (dry, and also "as sampled" if it is reasonable).

Determination of the moisture content ( $M$  or  $M_{ar}$ ) shall be carried out by the method specified in ISO 18134-1 or ISO 18134-2.

## 10.5 Calculation to other bases

For the calculation of results to other bases, refer to ISO 16993.

## 11 Performance characteristics

### 11.1 Repeatability limit

The results of duplicate determinations, carried out in the same laboratory by the same operator with the same apparatus within a short interval of time on the same analysis sample, shall not differ by more than 120 J/g for wood pellets and saw dust. For all other solid biofuels, the repeatability limit is 140 J/g.

### 11.2 Reproducibility limit

The means of the results of duplicate determinations carried out in each of two laboratories, on representative portions taken from the same sample at the last stage of sample preparation, shall not differ by more than 300 J/g for wood pellets and saw dust. For all other solid biofuels, the reproducibility limit is 400 J/g.

## 12 Calculation of net calorific value at constant pressure

### 12.1 General

The main difference between the **gross** and **net** calorific values is related to the physical state of water in the reaction products (compare definitions 3.1 to 3.3). The calorific value of the fuel most commonly used for practical purposes is the net calorific value at **constant pressure** for the fuel with some specified moisture content. This value may be derived from the gross calorific value at **constant volume** for the dry sample, provided that the total hydrogen content of the moisture-free sample can be determined by experiment or, for the particular biofuel, reliably estimated. In addition, the oxygen and nitrogen contents of the moisture-free sample “add” to the gaseous phase of the product system and should, in principle, be taken into account. For this purpose, the nitrogen may be included in the term for oxygen.

NOTE The net calorific value at constant volume (see 3.2) for the biofuel at some specified moisture level is as easily calculated, once a measure of the hydrogen content is available. In this case, the oxygen and nitrogen content is of no consequence.

### 12.2 Calculations

The net calorific value at constant pressure at required moisture levels may be calculated by the following formulae. For calculations to other bases, see ISO 16993.

Net calorific value at constant pressure for a dry sample (dry basis, in dry matter) is calculated using [Formula \(25\)](#):

$$\begin{aligned} q_{p,\text{net},d} &= q_{V,\text{gr},d} + 6,15 \times w(\text{H})_d - 0,8 \times [w(\text{O})_d + w(\text{N})_d] - 218,3 \times w(\text{H})_d \\ &= q_{V,\text{gr},d} - 212,2 \times w(\text{H})_d - 0,8 \times [w(\text{O})_d + w(\text{N})_d] \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Net calorific value at constant pressure at a required moisture content  $M$  (e.g. as received,  $M_{\text{ar}}$ , whereupon the symbol of calorific value is  $q_{p,\text{net},\text{ar}}$ ) is calculated using [Formula \(26\)](#):

$$\begin{aligned} q_{p,\text{net},m} &= \left\{ q_{V,\text{gr},d} - 212,2 w(\text{H})_d - 0,8 [w(\text{O})_d + w(\text{N})_d] \right\} \times (1 - 0,01M) - 24,43M \\ &= q_{p,\text{net},d} \times (1 - 0,01M) - 24,43 \times M \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where

- $q_{p,\text{net},m}$  is the net calorific value at constant pressure, in J/g, of the biofuel with moisture content  $M$  (usually as received  $M_{\text{ar}}$ );
- $q_{V,\text{gr},d}$  is the gross calorific value at constant volume, in J/g, of the moisture-free fuel (see 10.4);
- $w(\text{H})_d$  is the hydrogen content, in percentage by mass, of the moisture-free (dry) biofuel (including the hydrogen from the water of hydration of the mineral matter as well as the hydrogen in the biofuel substance);
- $w(\text{O})_d$  is the oxygen content, in percentage by mass, of the moisture-free biofuel;
- $w(\text{N})_d$  is the nitrogen content, in percentage by mass, of the moisture-free biofuel;
- $M$  is the moisture content, in percentage by mass, for which the calculation is required. On the dry basis,  $M = 0$ ; on the air-dried basis,  $M = M_{\text{ad}}$  (see 10.4); on the as-sampled or as-fired (as received,  $\text{ar}$ ) basis,  $M = M_{\text{ar}}$  (total moisture content as received).

The enthalpy of vaporization (constant pressure) for water at 25 °C is 44,01 kJ/mol. This corresponds to 218,3 J/g for a mass fraction of 1 % of hydrogen in the biofuel sample or 24,43 J/g for a mass fraction of 1 % of moisture, respectively.

$[w(\text{O})_d + w(\text{N})_d]$  may be derived by subtracting from 100 the percentages of ash, carbon, hydrogen and sulfur.

NOTE The net calorific value at constant volume may be calculated from the following formula:

$$q_{V,\text{net},m} = [q_{V,\text{gr},d} - 206w(\text{H})_d] \times (1 - 0,01M) - 23,0M$$

where  $q_{V,\text{net},m}$  is the net calorific value at constant volume, in J/g, of the fuel with a moisture content of  $M$ . The other symbols are defined above.

### 13 Test report

The test report shall include at least the following information:

- a) identification of the laboratory performing the test and the date when the test was undertaken;
- b) identification of the biofuel and the sample tested;
- c) a reference to this document, i.e. ISO 18125;
- d) results with reference to the state(s) valid for the calorific value(s), i.e. with relevant symbols:
  - 1) gross calorific value (at constant volume) of dry biofuel (dry basis, in dry matter) ( $q_{V,\text{gr},d}$ );
  - 2) net calorific value (at constant pressure) of dry biofuel (dry basis, in dry matter) ( $q_{p,\text{net},d}$ );
  - 3) net calorific value of the moist biofuel (as sampled or as received,  $\text{ar}$ ) ( $q_{p,\text{net},\text{ar}}$ );
- e) values of hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulphur used to calculate gross calorific value and net calorific value;
- f) if typical or default values for hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, sulphur are used in the calculations, this shall be clearly stated;
- g) any unusual features noted during the determination.

## Annex A (normative)

### Adiabatic bomb calorimeters

#### A.1 Principle

In a truly adiabatic calorimeter, there is no heat exchange between the calorimeter and its surrounding thermostat (water jacket). Heat exchange takes place via common boundaries, the driving force being a net difference in temperature. Ideally, therefore, the whole of the outside surface of the calorimeter can, including the lid, should have a uniform temperature which at all times, during an experiment, is to be matched by the, also uniform, temperature of the inner wall of the thermostat well and lid that are facing the calorimeter. Without any difference in temperature, i.e. with zero thermal heat, there is no net flow of heat between calorimeter and thermostat. However, there will still be a slow rise in calorimeter temperature caused mainly by the stirring power, with additional positive or negative contributions, from thermometer probe self-heating and from conduction of heat along stirrer shaft, ignition leads, thermometers, etc. For convenience, "adiabatic" calorimeters are often operated with a small negative thermal head to balance, i.e. offset, this upward drift in temperature.

#### A.2 Sources of error for the real calorimeter

Truly adiabatic conditions are difficult to achieve in practice, in particular during the rapid part of the rise in calorimeter temperature upon ignition of the sample. The design of the thermostat and the way it is operated determine how effectively it responds to the change in calorimeter temperature and hence also the extent of uncontrolled heat exchange.

When the calorimeter itself has no lid, its upper heat exchange properties are largely determined by the surface of the calorimeter water together with the surface of, for example, bomb parts extending above the water. With such an "open" calorimeter, there is always some uncontrolled evaporation of calorimeter water during the main period, accompanied by a corresponding "heat loss". The magnitude of this error is mainly a function of how much the thermostat lid lags behind in temperature during the main period.

Unless special precautions have been taken in its design and mounting, a calorimeter lid is usually in poor thermal contact with the calorimeter itself. It then lags behind in temperature and may, for instance, be responsible for uncontrolled heat leakage from the thermostat. It may also prolong the time required for the calorimeter to reach thermal equilibrium or steady state. On the other hand, a lid prevents a net heat loss from evaporation of calorimeter water since this will condense on the inside of the lid, restoring the evaporation energy to the calorimeter. In fact, the condensing water assists the thermal equilibration of the lid with the rest of the calorimeter.

To minimize heat exchange caused by temporary temperature differences that cannot be prevented entirely, it is important to keep the outside surface of the calorimeter and the "inside" of the thermostat clean (polished) and dry. Generally, errors and insufficiencies that differ or vary between calibration and fuel experiments are the ones that in the end affect the accuracy of the final results.

#### A.3 Adiabatic conditions

##### A.3.1 Thermostat

When the thermostat is heated by passing an electrical current directly through the thermostat water, care shall be taken to keep the salt concentration (usually  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ ) at the specified level in order to maintain the heating power about the same in all experiments. A diminishing salt concentration may

significantly hamper the heating rate, eventually leading to difficulties in achieving adiabatic conditions during the combustion of the sample.

Inadequate adiabatic control during the first half of the main period is easily overlooked. Irrespective of the mode of heating the thermostat, checks should be made at regular intervals (weekly) to ascertain, for example, that the time it takes for the thermostat to catch up with the rapidly rising calorimeter temperature during combustion does not gradually increase.

### A.3.2 Adiabatic control

The controls for achieving adiabatic conditions shall be adjusted as prescribed in the instrument manual. In particular, select that setting of the bridge circuit that results in zero or minimum drift in calorimeter temperature at the final temperature of the experiments (see [A.5](#)).

**NOTE** Non-linear sensors are often used in the temperature control circuits. Unless the two sensors are perfectly matched, it is not possible to obtain zero drift in temperature over the whole of the selected working range. Neither is it then possible to achieve zero thermal head over the whole range with one bridge setting. Imperfectly matched sensors also put restrictions on the acceptable variation in the final temperature of the experiments.

In a well-behaved calorimeter, the adiabatic control settings usually need little or no short-term adjustment. This shall, however, be verified by regularly checking the drift rate at the final temperature, for example, by following the temperature over a 5 min to 10 min period in excess of the normal duration of the experiment. A drift rate of 0,001 K/min or more at the final temperature shall be eliminated by adjustment of the control settings, or corrected for (see [6.2.4](#) and [A.5](#)).

### A.4 Initial steady state and length of the main period

The equilibration period serves to let the various components of the assembled calorimeter reach a uniform temperature. Simultaneously, the adiabatic controls work to bring the thermostat to its working temperature, close to that of the calorimeter. Let a few minutes pass after the controls have indicated that the temperature of the thermostat and of the calorimeter are about the same before taking readings of the calorimeter temperature at 1 min intervals.

When three consecutive readings yield the same value, within 0,001 K or better, or when they all change by the same (limited) amount (constant drift rate), the charge may be fired.

**NOTE** The expected duration of the combined equilibration and fore period for most adiabatic systems is in the order of 8 min to 10 min. However, subjecting any part of the calorimeter to substantially deviating temperatures in between experiments may significantly prolong the time for thermal equilibration of the calorimeter.

Depending on the type of sample, the combustion in the bomb takes from about 10 s to 25 s. The time required for the total amount of heat released to become uniformly distributed, i.e. for all parts of the calorimeter to attain a uniform temperature, is primarily a function of stirring pattern and stirrer efficiency. The main period shall cover this temperature equalization time but there is no merit in making it longer than necessary.

The length of the main period is determined in a series of calibration experiments where readings of temperature are taken at 1 min intervals from the time of firing the charge in each experiment. From these observations, note the time, in min, from the time of firing until the second of three consecutive readings that do not differ by more than 0,001 K is reached. The largest of these specific times from five calibration experiments defines the length of the main period. It shall not exceed 10 min, nor shall the time periods evaluated from the individual experiments differ by more than 2 min.

When normal operation involves a slight drift of the final temperature of the experiment, the requirement of "constant temperature" changes to one of constant drift rate to within 0,001 K/min for three consecutive 1 min intervals.

## A.5 Correction for drift at the final temperature

When the adiabatic controls are set to give zero drift at the final temperature, the corrected temperature rise is  $\theta = t_f - t_i$  (see 8.6.3) where  $t_i$  is the calorimeter temperature at the time of firing the charge and  $t_f$  is the temperature at the end of the main period.

A limited drift in temperature prior to ignition of the sample need not be accounted for in the calculations. Significant drift at the end of and beyond the main period shall, however, be taken into account. It may be regarded as a constant contribution throughout most of the main period. A reasonable approach is to make a correction commencing 1 min after ignition of the sample. The drift rate should, in principle, be determined for the individual run. But insofar as the final drift rate has been established as constant over extended periods of time for a defined range of final temperature, the correction may be based on such a fixed rate.

NOTE 1 A drift rate of 0,001 K/min unaccounted for would, with a main period of about 10 min, result in an error in  $\theta$  of approximately 0,01 K. For  $\epsilon$  values of about 10 kJ/K, the resulting error in the calorific value of the fuel would be in the order of 100 J/g. If exactly the same error from the same source is made in the calibrations and in all fuel experiments, it will of course be of no consequence for the final result, at least as long as the variation in  $\theta$  stays within about  $\pm 30\%$ .

The final drift rate  $g_f$  in K/min shall be determined over a time period that is at least half of what the correction is supposed to cover. For a main period of 9 min, this gives a rating period of 4 min.

NOTE 2 When the total temperature change of the calorimeter is expressed in units other than temperature (see 9.6.1),  $g_f$  is the corresponding per minute value of that unit.

The corrected temperature rise  $\theta$ , corrected for drift at the final temperature, is calculated from [Formula \(A.1\)](#):

$$\theta = t_f - t_i - g_f \times (\Delta\tau - 1) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

$\Delta\tau$  is the length of the main period, in min;

$g_f$  is calculated from [Formula \(A.2\)](#):

$$g_f = \frac{t_{f+a} - t_f}{a} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where  $t_{f+a}$  is the temperature  $a$  min after the end of the main period.

Another way of evaluating  $g_f$  is as the slope of a linear regression fitting of time-temperature readings at 1 min intervals from the end of the main period onwards.

## A.6 Strategy for checking on bias

For adiabatic combustion calorimeters, the main source for systematic error in the measurement is related to difficulties in maintaining adiabatic conditions during the rapid part of the temperature change in the calorimeter. This is manifested as an upward trend in the values obtained for the effective heat capacity with increasing sample mass. Fast-burning samples, such as paraffin oil, usually aggravate this problem and this type of heat-leak error shall not cancel between calibration and fuel experiments.

In most calorimeters, a check on temperature lag in the thermostat as a function of sample mass and type is readily made. The change in thermostat temperature upon ignition of the sample is measured for about 3 min and plotted as a function of time together with time-temperature values for the

calorimeter. For adiabatic calorimeters, readings of calorimeter temperature are, in fact, not required during the first part of the main period for other than diagnostic purposes. For the check on thermostat lag, they are required at a frequency sufficient to outline the features of the time-temperature curve.

No particular calibration of the thermostat thermometer is required, but it shall have a response time comparable to that of the calorimeter thermometer. On the graph plot, the two temperature “scales” are simply made to coincide at the time for ignition of the sample. The two temperatures should, of course, be close at the upper end where the system is approaching thermal equilibrium. The area between the two curves is a measure of potential heat leak, and a significant increase of this area as a function of sample mass, i.e. of  $\theta$ , or sample type for comparable values of  $\theta$ , indicates that there is a risk of systematic error in the determinations of calorific value. Special care is then required in restricting the variation in heat evolved per experiment to a safe level and range.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 18125:2017

## Annex B (normative)

### Isoperibol and static-jacket bomb calorimeters

#### B.1 Principle

The characteristic feature of isoperibol calorimeters is the isothermal jacket. The temperature of the surrounding thermostat is kept constant throughout the experiment by active control. The thermostat of a static-jacket calorimeter has a thermal capacity such that, even without active control, its temperature remains nearly constant during measurements. In both cases, there is a flow of heat between the calorimeter itself and the thermostat. Calorimeters surrounded by thermally insulating material behave largely as static-jacket calorimeters.

Heat exchange between calorimeter and thermostat takes place via common boundaries, the driving force being the thermal head. Ideally, the whole of the outside surface of the calorimeter can, including the lid, should have a uniform temperature equal to that measured by the temperature sensor in the calorimeter. The temperature of the inner wall of the thermostat well and lid facing the calorimeter should remain constant and uniform throughout the experiment.

To make it possible to evaluate and correct for the actual heat exchange, the calorimeter, as a whole, shall behave in conformity with Newton's law of cooling, i.e. the heat flow between calorimeter and thermostat shall be directly proportional to the actual temperature difference for a sufficiently large range of thermal head. For the calorimeter, this is expressed as:

$$\frac{dq}{d\tau} = k(t_j - t) \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where

$\frac{dq}{d\tau}$  is the heat flow into the calorimeter;

$t_j$  is the jacket temperature;

$t$  is the calorimeter temperature;

$(t_j - t)$  is the thermal head;

$k$  is the Newton's law cooling constant.

In [Formula \(B.1\)](#),  $dq$  (heat change) may be replaced by  $c_p dt$  (heat capacity  $\times$  temperature change). As the heat capacity of the calorimeter  $\varepsilon$  can be regarded as constant over the temperature range of an experiment, the formula then becomes [Formula \(B.2\)](#):

$$\frac{dq}{d\tau} = G(t_j - t) + P_{st} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

where

$\frac{dq}{d\tau}$  is the rate of temperature change (drift) in the calorimeter caused by the flow of heat;

$G$  is a constant generally referred to as the specific rate constant;

$P_{st}$  is the power of stirring.

The requirement that the power of stirring shall be constant throughout an experiment (see 8.1) allows  $dq / d\tau$  to be expressed as [Formula \(B.3\)](#):

$$dq / d\tau = G(t_{\infty} - t) \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where

$t_{\infty}$  is the temperature that the calorimeter would eventually attain if left running for an extended period of time;

$G$  and  $t_{\infty}$  are evaluated from the time-temperature measurements of the rating periods, the fore and the after period, respectively (see [Figure 2 in 8.1](#)).

The contribution from heat exchange to the total observed temperature rise in the main period is obtained by integration [see [Formula \(B.4\)](#)]:

$$\Delta t_{ex} = \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} (dt / d\tau) d\tau = \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} G(t_{\infty} - t) d\tau \quad (\text{B.4})$$

using the time-temperature readings  $(t, \tau)$  of the main period.

## B.2 Sources of error for the real calorimeter

Making the isothermal jacket of an isoperibol calorimeter behave as required in terms of constant and uniform temperature presents no real problem, provided that the thermostat fluid is circulated through the thermostat lid at a reasonable rate.

In a static-jacket calorimeter, the thermostat temperature will change slightly during an experiment, with a somewhat different profile when the calorimeter temperature rises upon firing the charge. The thermal capacity of the thermostat shall be such that for a specific rate (cooling) constant  $G$  of  $0,002 \text{ 0 min}^{-1}$ , the rise in temperature of the jacket water is less than  $0,16 \text{ K}$  from the time of firing the charge to the end of the after period; for a specific rate constant of  $0,003 \text{ 0 min}^{-1}$ , it shall be less than  $0,11 \text{ K}$ . The drift in temperature of the thermostat is proportional to the thermal head.

A calorimeter lid in poor thermal contact with the main part of the calorimeter lags behind when the temperature changes rapidly in the main period, and may give rise to an unpredictable heat exchange with the thermostat. It may also prolong the time required for the calorimeter to reach thermal equilibrium or steady state. On the other hand, a lid prevents a net heat loss from evaporation of calorimeter water since this will condense on the inside of the lid, restoring the evaporation energy to the calorimeter. In fact, the condensing water assists the thermal equilibration of the lid with the rest of the calorimeter. The choice of thermostat temperature affects the evaporation losses when the calorimeter has no lid.

Variations in heat exchange properties are minimized by keeping the outside surface of the calorimeter and the "inside" of the thermostat clean (polished) and dry. The specific rate constant  $G$  then should not vary by more than  $\pm 3 \%$  from one experiment to the other. Larger deviations may, for example, be indicative of stirrer malfunction. It should be emphasized that the errors which really affect the accuracy of the final results are those that differ or vary between calibration and fuel experiments.

### B.3 Choice of jacket temperature

It is good calorimetric practice to run the thermostat of an isoperibol calorimeter at a temperature that is 0,2 K to 0,4 K higher than the final temperature of the calorimeter. In this way, the calorimeter will be the colder part throughout the experiment, hence minimizing evaporation losses. This is particularly important when the calorimeter has no lid.

The same argument applies to static-jacket calorimeters.

### B.4 Rating periods

#### B.4.1 Initial steady state and fore period

A few minutes should be allowed to let the various components of the assembled calorimeter reach a uniform temperature after turning the stirrer on, before readings of temperature are taken at 1 min intervals. The initial rating period, the fore period, in principle, begins as soon as the calorimeter reaches a steady state in terms of temperature drift rate. For successive 1 min intervals, the temperature increments should then not differ by more than 0,002 K/min or the average difference should not exceed 0,001 K/min. A fore period of 5 min (six readings; five increments) should suffice to establish the value of  $(dt / d\tau)_i = g_i$ , the initial drift rate. For an increase in temperature,  $g_i$  has a positive value ( $> 0$ ).

NOTE The calorimeter temperature, as a function of time as a whole, is an exponential going asymptotically to  $t_\infty$ . However, during rating periods of 10 min or less, the curvature is negligible except in cases of a large thermal head, in excess of 5 K, in combination with a large value for the specific rate constant, greater than 0,005 min<sup>-1</sup>.

The charge is fired directly upon taking the last reading of temperature in the fore period (see 8.4).

#### B.4.2 After period and length of the main period

The final rating period (the after period) begins when all parts of the calorimeter have attained a uniform temperature after combustion of the sample, i.e. when the calorimeter has reached a new steady state in terms of temperature drift rate. The time required for the total amount of heat released to become uniformly distributed is primarily a function of stirring pattern and stirrer efficiency. The duration of the main period shall be chosen so that temperature equalization is ensured, but there is no merit in making the main period longer than necessary.

The main period begins at the last reading of temperature in the fore period and ends with the beginning of the after period. The latter is determined in a series of calibration experiments and is taken as the time when, for a subsequent 5 min period, the average deviation of the individual 1 min temperature increments is not more than 0,001 K/min. The mean of the length of time for the main period determined from five calibration experiments, rounded to the nearest minute, defines the length of the main period. It shall not exceed 10 min. Nor shall the time intervals evaluated from the individual experiments differ by more than 2 min.

The length of the main period shall be the same in the calibration and in the fuel experiments. When  $\theta$  is meant to vary over a wide range, it is advisable to determine the length of the main period at the larger values of  $\theta$ .

The duration of the after period should be 5 min to 7 min in order to establish the final drift rate  $g_f$  well enough for the calculation of the correction for heat exchange  $\Delta t_{ex}$ . For an increase in temperature with time,  $g_f$  has a positive value ( $> 0$ ).

## B.5 Calculation of the corrected temperature rise $\theta$

### B.5.1 General

The observed temperature rise,  $t_f - t_i$ , is the sum of  $\theta$ , the change in temperature caused by the processes in the combustion bomb, and  $\Delta t_{\text{ex}}$ , the contribution from heat exchange with the surrounding thermostat (including the contribution from stirring power). The time-temperature readings taken during the fore, main and after periods contain the information required for the evaluation of  $\Delta t_{\text{ex}}$ , and hence  $\theta$  from [Formula \(B.5\)](#):

$$\theta = t_f - t_i - \Delta t_{\text{ex}} \quad (\text{B.5})$$

The rating periods yield, as shown in [Formulae \(B.6\)](#) and [\(B.7\)](#):

$$g_i = \left( \frac{dt}{d\tau} \right)_i = G(t_\infty - t_{\text{mi}}) \quad (\text{B.6})$$

and

$$g_f = \left( \frac{dt}{d\tau} \right)_f = G(t_\infty - t_{\text{mf}}) \quad (\text{B.7})$$

utilized in the calculation of the specific rate constant, as shown in [Formula \(B.8\)](#):

$$G = \frac{g_i - g_f}{t_{\text{mf}} - t_{\text{mi}}} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

where

$g_i$  is the drift rate in the fore (initial rating) period, in K/min;

$g_f$  is the drift rate in the after (final rating) period, in K/min;

$t_{\text{mf}}$  is the mean temperature in the after period, in °C;

$t_{\text{mi}}$  is the mean temperature in the fore period, in °C.

Temperature may be expressed in some arbitrary unit throughout (see [9.6.1](#)).

$g_i$  and  $g_f$  are preferably evaluated as the slope of a linear least-squares fitting of the time-temperature values of the fore and after periods, respectively. Alternatively, they are taken as the mean values of the 1 min temperature increments in the rating periods.

**B.5.2 Regnault-Pfaundler method**

For time-temperature readings in the main period all taken at equal time intervals (e.g. 1 min),  $\Delta t_{ex}$  may be expressed as [Formula \(B.9\)](#):

$$\Delta t_{ex} = G \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} (t_{\infty} - t) d\tau = [g_f + G(t_{mf} - t_m)] \times (\tau_f - \tau_i) \tag{B.9}$$

where  $t_m$  (the integrated mean temperature) is calculated from [Formula \(B.10\)](#):

$$t_m = \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{t_0 + t_n}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{k=n-1} t_k \right] \tag{B.10}$$

where

$t_0 (= t_i)$  is the temperature at the beginning of the main period;

$t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, \dots, t_n$  are the successive temperature readings taken during the main period,  $t_n (= t_f)$  being that at the end;

$\tau_i$  and  $\tau_f$  are the times at the beginning and end of the main period, respectively.

**B.5.3 Dickinson extrapolation method**

In the Dickinson extrapolation method, the objective is to find a time  $\tau_x$  such that [Formula \(B.11\)](#) is solved:


$$g_i(\tau_x - \tau_i) + g_f(\tau_f - \tau_x) = G \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_x} (t_{\infty} - t) d\tau = \Delta t_{ex} \tag{B.11}$$

This is accomplished when the hatched areas *a* and *b* in [Figure B.1](#) are of equal size. The corrected temperature rise  $\theta$  becomes [Formula \(B.12\)](#)

$$\theta = t_f - t_i - g_i(\tau_x - \tau_i) - g_f(\tau_f - \tau_x) = t_f^{\bullet} - t_i^{\bullet} \tag{B.12}$$

where  $g_i$  and  $g_f$  represent, in principle, the drift rates at  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_f$ , respectively.

For a combustion reaction, the time-temperature curve is close to being an exponential which means that  $\tau_x$  is the time associated with the temperature where the change in temperature ( $t_x - t_i$ ) is 0,6 times the total (observed) temperature rise ( $t_f - t_i$ ). The quantity ( $\tau_x - \tau_i$ ) varies with the kinetic behaviour of the combustion reaction of the type of sample studied.



**Key**

X time,  $\tau$

Y temperature,  $t$

**Figure B.1 — Dickinson extrapolation**

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 18125:2017

## Annex C (normative)

### Automated bomb calorimeters

#### C.1 Instrument

Among the various types of fully automated bomb combustion calorimeters, there are instruments that fulfil all the basic requirements regarding a physically well-defined calorimeter, as well as instruments whose thermal behaviour has to be described empirically. The former type of instrument usually demands less in terms of comparability, for example, in the amount of heat released in calibrations and in fuel experiments, respectively, in order to yield reliable results. Also, the effective heat capacity  $\varepsilon$  of a well-defined calorimeter, as a rule, remains constant over long periods of time.

There is no particular reason to assume that instruments with a less well-defined calorimeter could not produce calorific values with the required accuracy, provided that the repeatability is within some set limits and the user is aware of and adheres to restrictions in the choice of operating conditions. Normally, a calorimeter of this kind requires more frequent calibrations, in some cases every day that it is used.

Aneroid calorimeters (see 6.1) are convenient for automated operation, as they require no apportioning of calorimeter water, thereby also eliminating evaporation errors. They are usually operated as adiabatic or quasi-adiabatic systems but may equally well be of the isoperibol type. Characteristically, they have a small heat capacity, leading to large changes in calorimeter temperature, thus facilitating the measurement of  $\theta$  with a relatively high resolution. Conversely, large values of  $\theta$  tend to increase the risk for introducing systematic error in aneroid systems aggravated by difficulties in achieving uniform calorimeter surface temperature during combustion of the sample. A countermeasure is to limit the sample mass, bearing in mind that for smaller samples, particular attention shall be given to their being representative.

In certain cases, well-defined stable calorimetric systems allow operation in dynamic mode, i.e. already a few minutes into the main period, it is possible to predict the final outcome of the experiment in terms of  $\theta$ , without any loss in accuracy of the results.

#### C.2 Calibration

The effective heat capacity  $\varepsilon$  shall, in principle, be determined as specified in [Clause 9](#), with particular reference to [9.2](#), [9.4](#) and [9.5](#).

The instrument manufacturer may specify bomb conditions (ratio of sample mass to bomb volume, initial bomb water, oxygen pressure) that deviate significantly from those defined in [9.2.1](#). When these bomb conditions cause changes in the energy of combustion of the calibrant (benzoic acid) larger than  $\pm 5$  J/g (see [9.2.2](#)), it shall be possible to adjust any preset value for benzoic acid, i.e. to input the correct value for the calculations of  $\varepsilon$ .

Recommendations to exclude the initial amount of water in the bomb should primarily be disregarded. The amount, however, may be kept quite small (or even zero) but should be the same both in calibration and in all experiments.

The reference temperature of the experiments (equal to the final temperature  $t_f$  of the main period) should be kept the same, within  $\pm 1$  K, in all experiments. If necessary, it may be chosen arbitrarily

within  $\pm 10$  K from 25 °C without seriously affecting the numerical values of the determinations of calorific value (see 3.4). A deviation in excess of  $\pm 5$  K from 25 °C should be quoted with the test result.

NOTE Ancillary quantities given in 9.6.1, 9.6.2 and 10.3.2 refer, in principle, to states and reactions at 25 °C.

Some instruments call for calibration using samples differing by about a factor of 2 in mass. Correctly implemented, this offers considerable flexibility for subsequent fuel measurements. Establishing a valid working range for the effective heat capacity  $\varepsilon$  is always required (see 9.3). When the range is narrow in terms of the amount of heat released, special attention shall be given to performing all experiments within these limits.

For instruments that require frequent calibration, the manufacturer may provide benzoic acid pellets of appropriate mass with an assigned value for the energy of combustion. As a rule, these pellets do not qualify as the calibrant (see 5.5 and 9.2) but are convenient for everyday use. An alternative is to check the calibration by making a series of measurements on a pelletized sample of certified benzoic acid at regular intervals and whenever a new batch of the manufacturer's sample is used. The mean value from a series of five combustions, with the sample mass about the same throughout, shall not differ by more than  $\pm 50$  J/g from the certified value, recalculated when applicable, to the actual bomb conditions.

Some instruments require preconditioning by combustion of a few samples before yielding stable results. Almost any benzoic acid (pelletized) or combustion aid (see 8.1) may be used for this purpose. The results from these conditioning runs should be disregarded.

Combustion of certified benzoic acid as an "unknown" is generally the most convenient way of checking the performance of a calorimeter (see 9.3).

### C.3 Precision requirements for calibrations

The values of  $\varepsilon$  for the individual calibration experiments should be printed or displayed so that they can be manually recorded (in J/K or in arbitrary units together with  $\theta$  in these units). Generally, the precision requirements for  $\varepsilon$ , as given in 9.7, apply.

Some systems compensate for significant drift by using the mean of the previous mean value and the value for  $\varepsilon$  from the latest calibration experiment as the measure for the effective heat capacity. In such a case, the individual values of  $\varepsilon$  for a series of calibration experiments cannot be used to evaluate the precision characteristics of the measurements. Instead, a series of individual measurements using certified benzoic acid as the sample shall be performed over a period of 1 day or, at the most, 2 days. For a series of five benzoic acid combustions, the standard deviation shall not exceed 0,20 %. The mean value shall not differ by more than  $\pm 50$  J/g from the certificate value (see C.2).

### C.4 Comparability of calibration and fuel experiments

The conditions specified in 10.1 to 10.3 apply, including arguments about whether thermal contributions from combustion of the fuse and/or side reactions, such as the formation of nitric acid, need to be taken into account in the evaluations (see 9.6.1).

In the calculational procedures of automated instruments, there are normally no provisions to allow specifically for the use of crucibles of widely different material and mass.

In aneroid systems or systems working on a constant mass-of-water basis, the error from disregarding a difference in heat capacity of individual crucibles is as shown in Formula (C.1):

$$\frac{\theta \times \Delta C}{m_1} \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where

$\Delta C$  is the difference in heat capacity ( $m_{cr} \times c_{p,cr}$ ) of the crucible used in the calibrations and that used in combustion of the fuel;

$m_1$  is the mass of fuel burned.

For calorimeters working on a constant total-calorimeter-mass basis, the error is estimated by using [Formula \(C.2\)](#) (see [9.6.2](#)):

$$\frac{\theta \times \Delta m_{cr} \times c_{p,aq}}{m_1} \quad (C.2)$$

Getting clean combustions is the first priority. Optimizing the overall conditions to achieve it is usually worthwhile.

### C.5 Documentation and print-out

The evaluation of the gross calorific value at constant volume  $q_{V,gr}$  for the analysis sample shall, in principle, be in accordance with [10.4](#). The value shall be given in J/g or another convenient unit.

The printed or otherwise recorded information on the individual experiment shall allow the user to verify the calculations starting from values of  $\theta$ ,  $\varepsilon$ , mass of sample, fuse and any combustion aid. The formulae used should be given in the manual itself or in an annex. Ancillary quantities used in the calculations shall be unambiguously identifiable, and it shall be possible to make the necessary alterations in the program required by changes in procedure, including a change in the numerical value used for the energy of combustion of the calibrant in calibration experiments. Corrections applied for ignition energy, side reactions, etc. shall be clearly stated.

The reference temperature of the experiment shall be identified to the nearest 0,2 K.

### C.6 Precision requirements for fuel experiments

The precision requirements in terms of repeatability limit of the results of duplicate measurements are stated in [Clause 11](#).

## Annex D (informative)

### Checklists for the design and procedures of combustion experiments

#### D.1 General

This annex contains checklists intended as aids in setting up and carrying out a complete determination of a calorific value, including calibration of the instrument, using a specified type of calorimeter. Formulae, identical to those given in the main text, are repeated here for clarity.

The general experimental conditions are defined in [D.2](#) which are common to the use of all types of bomb calorimeters. [D.3](#) contains information pertinent to the use of adiabatic calorimeters, [D.4](#) applies to isoperibol calorimeters and [D.5](#) deals with the highly automated bomb-calorimetric systems. Static-jacket calorimeters may be treated as isoperibol systems.

Numbers in parentheses refer to clauses or subclauses in the main text or [Annexes A](#) to [C](#). The basic calorimetric procedure is described in [Clause 8](#). The calibration procedures are described in [9.5](#) and [9.6](#). The experimental and calculational procedures for the fuel combustions are specified in [10.2](#) to [10.4](#). Additional information required for the particular type of calorimeter is given in:

- [Annex A](#) and [D.3](#) for adiabatic calorimeters;
- [Annex B](#) and [D.4](#) for isoperibol or static-jacket calorimeters;
- [Annex C](#) and [D.5](#) for other types of calorimeters.

#### D.2 Choice of general parameters

**Calibration conditions**, the basis for the conditions of subsequent fuel experiments (see [9.2.2](#) and [9.3](#) for general calibration requirements):

- bomb volume,  $V_{\text{bomb}}$ , expressed in litres;
- mass of benzoic acid,  $m_{\text{ba}}$ , expressed in grams;
- mass of bomb water,  $m_{\text{aq}}$ , expressed in grams;  $V_{\text{aq}}$ , expressed in millilitres, may be substituted for  $m_{\text{aq}}$ ;
- initial pressure of oxygen,  $p_0$ , expressed in megapascals;
- reference temperature,  $t_{\text{ref}}$ , expressed in degrees Celsius.

#### Calculation of the bomb condition value of benzoic acid:

This value is used in the calculations of the effective heat capacity of the calorimeter,  $\varepsilon$ . Consult the particular benzoic acid certificate; see also [9.6.1](#) and [9.6.2](#).

**Certificate value** of benzoic acid, expressed in joules per gram (see [9.2.1](#))

- $m_{\text{ba}}/V_{\text{bomb}}$ , expressed in grams per litre, equal to 3,0 g/l;
- $V_{\text{aq}}/V_{\text{bomb}}$ , expressed in millilitres per litre, equal to 3,0 m/l;
- $p_0$ , expressed in megapascals, equal to 3,0 MPa;

- $t_{\text{ref}}$ , expressed in degrees Celsius, equal to 25 °C; see 8.7;
- adjustment to certificate value, expressed in joules per gram, in accordance with the formula in the certificate.

This yields,  $q_{\text{V,ba}}$ , expressed in joules per gram.

**Amount of calorimeter water** (8.1 and 8.3; not relevant for aneroid systems):

The amount of calorimeter water is determined either

- a) on a constant mass-of-calorimeter-water basis, i.e. the mass of calorimeter water, expressed in grams; see 8.3, 9.6.1 and 10.3.2; or, alternatively
- b) on a constant total-calorimeter-mass basis, i.e. the mass of the (calorimeter + water + assembled bomb), expressed in grams; see 8.3, 9.6.2 and 10.3.3.

**Additional parameters to consider:**

- ignition wire (fuse),  $I_{\text{wire}}$ , expressed in centimetres, or a constant  $Q_{\text{ign}}$ , expressed in joules; see 9.4 and 9.6.1;
- fuse,  $m_{\text{fuse}}$ , expressed in grams, or a constant  $Q_{\text{fuse}}$ , expressed in joules; see 9.4 and 9.6.1.

Decide whether the correction for nitric acid  $Q_{\text{N}}$  needs to be determined by analysis for the individual experiment or assigned a constant **per-gram** value (not necessarily the same for calibrant and fuel experiments, respectively) or **per-experiment** value (see 9.4 and 10.1).

## D.3 Adiabatic calorimeters

### D.3.1 Determination of the corrected temperature rise $\theta$

Make the necessary adjustments to achieve adiabatic conditions (see A.3.1 and A.3.2).

Estimate the heat capacity of the system and, from the choice of mass of sample, make a prediction of the expected temperature rise  $\Delta t$  in order to determine the starting temperature ( $t_{\text{ref}} - \Delta t$ ).

Determine what the conditions are for an initial steady state (see A.4).

Make a series of experiments to determine the length of the main period (see A.4, 8.2 to 8.5 and 9.5).

From the time-temperature measurements ( $\tau_{\text{k}}, t_{\text{k}}$ ) for a set of benzoic acid combustions, calculate the corrected temperature rise  $\theta$  for the individual experiments (see A.5) using Formula (D.1):

$$\theta = t_{\text{f}} - t_{\text{i}} \tag{D.1}$$

For a significant (but limited) drift at the end of the main period,  $\theta$  is derived using Formula (D.2) (see A.5):

$$\theta = t_{\text{f}} - t_{\text{i}} - g_{\text{f}} \times (\Delta \tau - 1) \tag{D.2}$$

### D.3.2 Evaluation of the effective heat capacity $\varepsilon$

Calculate the effective heat capacity  $\varepsilon$  for the individual experiments.

For alternative [D.2 a](#)), the constant mass-of-calorimeter-water basis ([9.6.1](#)),  $\varepsilon$  is calculated using [Formula \(D.3\)](#):

$$\varepsilon = \frac{m_{ba} \times q_{V,ba} + Q_{fuse} + Q_{ign} + Q_N}{\theta} \quad (D.3)$$

For alternative [D.2 b](#)), the constant total-calorimeter-mass basis ([9.6.2](#)),  $\varepsilon_o$  is calculated using [Formula \(D.4\)](#):

$$\varepsilon_o = \varepsilon_{\bullet} + m_{cr} \times c_{p,aq} \quad (D.4)$$

where

$\varepsilon_{\bullet}$  is equal to  $\varepsilon$  as defined above;

$m_{cr}$  is the mass of the crucible used in the individual calibration experiment.

Compare Note in [9.6.2](#).

Calculate the mean value  $\varepsilon_{(n)}$  or  $\varepsilon_{o(n)}$ , respectively, and make sure that the precision requirements are met ([9.7](#)).

The system is now calibrated and the main calorimetric parameters set for subsequent combustion measurements on fuel samples.

Ancillary quantities required in the calculations are given in [9.6.1](#).

### D.3.3 The gross calorific value at constant volume $q_{V,gr}$

Perform the fuel combustions in accordance with the instructions in [10.2](#) and [10.3](#).  $\theta$  is calculated in the same way as for the calibrations.

For alternative [D.2 a](#)), a calorimeter operated on the constant mass-of-calorimeter-water basis, calculate the calorific value (see [10.3.2](#)) using [Formula \(D.5\)](#):

$$q_{V,gr} = \frac{\varepsilon_{(n)} \times \theta - Q_{fuse} - Q_{ign} - Q_N - m_2 \times q_{V,2}}{m_1} - \frac{Q_S}{m_1} \quad (D.5)$$

For alternative [D.2 b](#)), a calorimeter operated on the constant total-calorimeter-mass basis, calculate the calorific value (see [10.3.3](#)) using [Formula \(D.6\)](#):

$$q_{V,gr} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\bullet} \times \theta - Q_{fuse} - Q_{ign} - Q_N - m_2 \times q_{V,2}}{m_1} - \frac{Q_S}{m_1} \quad (D.6)$$

where

$\varepsilon_{\bullet}$  is derived from  $\varepsilon_{\bullet} = \varepsilon_{o(n)} - m_{cr} \times c_{p,aq}$ ;

$m_{cr}$  is the mass, in g, of the crucible in the individual experiment.

Always use the crucible best suited for the particular sample under investigation.

Ancillary quantities required in the calculations are given in [9.6.1](#) and [10.3.2](#).

## D.4 Isoperibol calorimeters

### D.4.1 Determination of the corrected temperature rise $\theta$

Set the jacket temperature to the value chosen for the experiments (see [B.3](#)).

Estimate the heat capacity of the system and, from the choice of mass of sample, make a prediction of the expected temperature rise  $\Delta t$  in order to determine the starting temperature ( $t_{\text{ref}} - \Delta t$ ).

Investigate what the conditions are for an initial steady state and decide upon the length of the fore or initial rating period ([B.4.1](#)).

Make a series of experiments to determine the length of the main period (see [B.4.2](#), [8.2](#) to [8.5](#) and [9.5](#)).

From the time-temperature measurements ( $\tau_k, t_k$ ) for a set of benzoic acid combustions, calculate the corrected temperature rise  $\theta$  for the individual experiments, utilizing either the Regnault-Pfaundler or the Dickinson method.

#### Regnault-Pfaundler method (see [B.5.1](#) and [B.5.2](#))

Determine the drift rates  $g_i$  and  $g_f$  and the mean temperatures  $t_{\text{mi}}$  and  $t_{\text{mf}}$  of the rating periods and calculate the specific rate constant  $G$  according to [Formula \(D.7\)](#):

$$G = \frac{g_i - g_f}{t_{\text{mf}} - t_{\text{mi}}} \quad (\text{D.7})$$

Then calculate  $t_m$  (the integrated mean temperature) and  $\Delta t_{\text{ex}}$  (the contribution from heat exchange) according to [Formulae \(D.8\)](#) and [\(D.9\)](#):

$$t_m = \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{t_0 + t_n}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{k=n-1} t_k \right] \quad (\text{D.8})$$

and

$$\Delta t_{\text{ex}} = G \int_{\tau_i}^{\tau_f} (t_{\infty} - t) d\tau = [g_f + G(t_{\text{mf}} - t_m)] \times (\tau_f - \tau_i) \quad (\text{D.9})$$

Finally, calculate  $\theta$  using [Formula \(D.10\)](#):

$$\theta = t_f - t_i - \Delta t_{\text{ex}} \quad (\text{D.10})$$

**Dickinson extrapolation method (see [B.5.1](#) and [B.5.3](#))**

Make a graph of the time-temperature ( $\tau_k, t_k$ ) values of the main period and determine the time for  $t_i + 0,6 \times (t_f - t_i)$ . This time is taken as  $\tau_x$ . Determine the drift rates, i.e. the slopes of the rating periods, using [Formulae \(D.11\)](#) and [\(D.12\)](#):

$$g_i = (dt / d\tau)_i \quad (\text{D.11})$$

and

$$g_f = (dt / d\tau)_f \quad (\text{D.12})$$

Then calculate  $\theta$  using [Formula \(D.13\)](#):

$$\theta = t_f - t_i - g_i(\tau_x - \tau_i) - g_f(\tau_f - \tau_x) \quad (\text{D.13})$$

NOTE The extrapolation time  $\tau_x$  for the fuel experiments is likely to differ from that for the calibrations.

**D.4.2 Evaluation of the effective heat capacity  $\varepsilon$** 

Calculate the effective heat capacity for the individual experiments using the appropriate formula [alternative [D.2 a](#)) or [D.2 b](#))] as given in [D.3.2](#).

Calculate the mean value  $\varepsilon_{(n)}$  or  $\varepsilon_{o(n)}$ , respectively, and make sure that the precision requirements are met (see [9.7](#)).

The system is now calibrated and the main calorimetric parameters set for subsequent combustion measurements on fuel samples.

**D.4.3 The gross calorific value at constant volume  $q_{V,gr}$** 

Perform the fuel combustions in accordance with the instructions in [10.2](#) and [10.3](#).  $\theta$  is calculated in the same way as for the calibrations.

Calculate the calorific value using the appropriate formula [alternative [D.2 a](#)) or [D.2 b](#))] as given in [D.3.3](#).

**D.5 Automated bomb calorimeters**

Operate the calorimeter according to the instructions. The corrected temperature rise  $\theta$  is usually derived automatically by the system.

Make sure that the correct value is used for the energy of combustion of the calibrant under the bomb conditions utilized (see [D.2](#)) in the evaluation of the calibration constant.

Make sure that the precision requirements are met. If necessary, check the system by burning benzoic acid as an unknown. Any restrictions set by the manufacturer, on the amount of sample burned, shall be adhered to.

Define the valid working range for subsequent measurements.

Make a check on the calculations with respect to fuse wire and nitric acid corrections. Unless the correction for sulphuric acid to sulphur dioxide ( $Q_s / m_1$ ) is already taken care of by the system, use the value given in [10.3.2](#).

## Annex E (informative)

### Examples to illustrate the main calculations used in this document when an automated bomb calorimeter is used for determinations

The given example is based on an adiabatic calorimeter. The differences between automatic calorimeter types (adiabatic, isoperibol or isothermal) and manufacturers appear as they do give out both of the critical temperatures ( $t_i$  and  $t_f$ ) or only the corrected temperature rise  $\theta$ . Attention should be paid to the handling of corrections, especially  $Q_{\text{fuse}}$  and  $Q_{\text{ign}}$  (there might be small differences between manufacturers).

#### E.1 Gross calorific value at constant volume

The calibration data are given in [Table E.1](#).

**Table E.1 — Calibration data**

No.	$m_{\text{ba}}$ g	$t_i$ K	$t_f$ K	$\theta$ K	$Q_{\text{fuse}}$ J	$Q_{\text{ign}}$ J	NaOH ml	$Q_N$ J	$\varepsilon$ J/K
1	1,028 2	1,059	4,102	3,043	0	21,5	6,5	39,0	8 962
2	1,052 5	0,454	3,568	3,114	0	21,5	5,9	35,4	8 963
3	1,001 9	0,892	3,859	2,967	0	21,5	6,4	38,4	8 957
4	1,022 9	0,942	3,970	3,028	0	21,5	5,7	34,2	8 959
5	1,014 6	0,373	3,375	3,002	0	21,5	6,4	38,4	8 964

Average  $\varepsilon_{(n)}$       **8 961**

$$q_{V,\text{ba}} = 26\,465 \text{ J/g}$$

$$l_{\text{wire}} = 10 \text{ cm of ignition wire; of which 8 cm is burned } (Q_{\text{ign}} = 2,69 \text{ J/cm})$$

No fuse, cotton, etc. was used.

$$\text{NaOH, } [c = 0,1 \text{ mol/l}]$$

#### Corrected temperature rise $\theta$

Difference of initial and final temperatures ( $t_f - t_i$ ) or directly read temperature rise  $\theta$  (see above).

#### Calculation of the effective heat capacity $\varepsilon$

The effective heat capacity  $\varepsilon$  is derived from the total energy change of the overall bomb process, e.g.  $(1,028\,2 \times 26\,465 + 21,5 + 39,0)$  divided by  $\theta$  (3,043), i.e.  $\varepsilon = 8\,962 \text{ J/K}$ .

#### Calculation of the gross calorific value $q_{V,\text{gr}}$

For the combustion of about 1 g of a biofuel sample, the parameters of the experiment are

$$m_1 = 1,192\ 4\ \text{g} \quad Q_{\text{fuse}} = 0$$

$$l_{\text{wire}} = 8\ \text{cm} \quad Q_{\text{ign}} = 21,5\ \text{J}$$

$$\theta = 2,630\ \text{K} \quad Q_{\text{N,S}} = 29,4\ \text{J}$$

The nitric acid correction (and a part of the sulphuric acid correction) was determined by titration with NaOH (method c) as  $Q_{\text{N,S}}$ .

The “combustion energy” from the biofuel sample is derived from  $(\varepsilon_{(n)} \times \theta)$  minus the contributions from the ignition wire and the formation of nitric acid, i.e.  $(21,5 + 29,4)$  J, divided by the mass of the biofuel sample  $m_1$ .

Hence

$$\frac{8\ 961 \times 2,630 - 21,5 - 29,4}{1,192\ 4} = 19\ 722\ \text{J/g}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  was used as  $\varepsilon_{(n)}$ .

The sulphur content of the biofuel analysis sample was a mass fraction of 0,02 %. The correction to account for the reaction from aqueous sulphuric acid to gaseous sulphur dioxide is  $0,02 \times 57 = 1\ \text{J/g}$  ( $Q_{\text{S}} / m_1 = (57 \times S \times m_1) / m_1$ ), which is to be subtracted from 19 722 J/g, yielding 19 721 J/g as the gross calorific value at constant volume for the analysis sample,  $q_{V,\text{gr}}$ .

The total moisture content as received ( $M_{\text{ar}}$ ) for the biofuel in question was a mass fraction of 40,0 %; the moisture content of the analysis sample ( $M_{\text{ad}}$ ) was a mass fraction of 3,0 %. For the dry sample (dry basis, in dry matter), the gross calorific value at constant volume is

$$q_{V,\text{gr,d}} = 19\ 721 \times \frac{100}{100 - 3,0}\ \text{J/g} = 20\ 330\ \text{J/g} \text{ or } 20,33\ \text{MJ/kg}$$

For the original (moist, as received) biofuel sample, the gross calorific value at constant volume is

$$q_{V,\text{gr,ar}} = 20\ 330 \times (1 - 0,01 \times 40,0) = 12\ 198\ \text{J/g} \text{ or } 12,20\ \text{MJ/kg}.$$

## E.2 Gross calorific value at constant pressure

If the biofuel were burned in oxygen at constant pressure, instead of at constant volume in the bomb, the volume of the system would change. The hydrogen in the fuel, reacting with gaseous oxygen to give liquid water, causes a decrease in the volume of the system. When the biofuel carbon reacts with gaseous oxygen, an equal volume of gaseous carbon dioxide is formed and hence no change in volume occurs in combustion of the carbon. The oxygen and nitrogen in the biofuel both give rise to an increase in volume. The change in the volume of the gaseous phase for the combustion reaction may be expressed as

$$\Delta n_{\text{g}} = 0,01 \times \left[ -\frac{0,5 \times w(\text{H})}{2,016} + \frac{w(\text{O})}{31,999} + \frac{w(\text{N})}{28,013} \right] \text{ mol per g of sample}$$

where  $w(\text{H})$ ,  $w(\text{O})$  and  $w(\text{N})$  are the total percentages of hydrogen, oxygen and nitrogen, respectively, in the biofuel substance in the state specified for the conversion from a constant volume calorific value to a constant pressure value.

The  $\Delta n_{\text{g}}$  value is to be multiplied by  $RT$  to interpret the volume change in terms of the associated change in energy.  $T$  in this context is the reference temperature for calorific values, i.e. 298,15 K (25 °C).