
**Non-active surgical implants —
Implant coating —**

**Part 1:
General requirements**

*Implants chirurgicaux non actifs — Revêtement de l'implant —
Partie 1: Exigences générales*

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17327-1:2018



STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17327-1:2018



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	2
3 Terms and definitions	2
4 General Requirements	4
4.1 General description of the coating.....	4
4.2 Generic coating properties.....	4
4.2.1 General.....	4
4.2.2 Chemical composition.....	5
4.2.3 Phase composition.....	5
4.2.4 Surface texture.....	6
4.2.5 Coating coverage integrity.....	6
4.2.6 Dissolvability.....	6
4.2.7 Coating thickness.....	7
4.2.8 Adhesion strength.....	7
4.2.9 Abrasion resistance.....	7
4.2.10 Porosity and pore size.....	8
4.2.11 Surface wettability.....	8
Annex A (informative) Coating technology examples	9
Annex B (informative) Examples of intended functions of implant coatings	11
Annex C (informative) Standards with information related to coatings	12
Bibliography	14

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 150, *Implants for surgery*.

A list of all parts in the ISO 17327 series can be found on the ISO website.

Introduction

A wide variety of coatings are applied to numerous types of non-active surgical implant substrates. These coated implant substrates have a diversity of functionalities and intended uses and exhibit a plurality of mechanical, physical, chemical, biological and morphological/structural properties. Even though there are diversities among the types of coating applied to surgical implants, there are common attributes that can be used to define, evaluate and understand these implant coatings within a surgical implant application. This document defines general principles to be followed by manufacturers of coatings for non-active surgical implants. As the coating can represent the direct interface of the implant with the human body, the coating and its interface with the substrate can contribute to the potential failure of the intended function of the implant. A coating possesses unique features, properties and risks for its interaction with the tissue, which may not have been considered in detail in existing standards.

The role of this document is to provide a framework of design principles and evaluation guidelines for coatings on non-active surgical implants, hereafter referred to as implant coatings. Because similar basic principles can be applied to different technologies for implant coatings, this is a comprehensive document and is not limited to specific types of non-active surgical implants or to particular materials. Accordingly, this document can be applied, yet is not restricted to, metallic, ceramic, drug and polymeric coatings used in implants across a variety of applications.

This document provides guidance on generic coating properties and the potential methods that can be used to assess them. This document is not intended as a performance standard and provides neither a set of device performance criteria nor rigidly held test methods, including pass/fail criteria, as this might result in either an unnecessary constraint on the development and use of novel implant coatings, or a false sense of security in their general use for implants.

In some cases, national and international standards are available and can be used to show compliance with essential requirements for specific coating/substrate combinations, and these standards are referenced in [Annex C](#). Beyond these available application and performance standards, this document provides general guidance and generic principles for the evaluation of non-standardized implant coating combinations.

[STANDARDSISO.COM](https://standardsiso.com) : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17327-1:2018

Non-active surgical implants — Implant coating —

Part 1: General requirements

1 Scope

This document specifies general requirements for implant coatings, comprising both surface coatings and surface modifications, applied to non-active surgical implants. This document specifies requirements concerned with generic coating properties including chemical and phase compositions, surface texture, coating coverage integrity, dissolvability, coating thickness, adhesion strength, abrasion resistance, porosity and pore size, and surface wettability.

This document is applicable to surface coatings, which are defined as layers of material with any different property than the natural surface of the substrate which are intentionally added to the substrate.

This document is applicable to surface modifications, which are defined as intentional conversion or reconstruction of the surface of the original substrate to form a new surface material consisting of components of the substrate's own material and possibly foreign material and forming a surface layer with different properties.

Since the pertinent properties of a coating and their needed level of characterization are highly dependent on the intended application of the implant, the generic nature of the general requirements in this document is not intended to either override or replace the provisions of application-specific performance standards.

This document is not applicable to surfaces modified by texturing with the exclusive intention to change the roughness of the surface or the strength of the raw material.

This document is not applicable to natively passivated metal surfaces. While this document is applicable to intentionally passivated metal surfaces, well-established materials passivated by conventional techniques, such as nitric acid immersion, are usually non-hazardous and can be described in a very basic manner.

This document is not applicable to implant coatings utilizing viable tissue.

This document is not applicable to laminates, i.e. composite materials made of multiple layers, e.g. vascular prosthesis constructed of different expanded polytetrafluoroethylene layers, except the exposed surface of the laminate, which can be an implant coating (see 3.1, note 2 to entry).

This document is not applicable to coverings, e.g. covered stents.

NOTE 1 This document does not contain requirements on biocompatibility. Nevertheless, this is a critical property of the device and coating and needs to be addressed during risk assessment.

NOTE 2 This document supplements applicable non-active surgical implant standards and ISO 14630.

NOTE 3 This document does not require that manufacturers have a quality management system in place. However, the application of a quality management system, such as that described in ISO 13485, could be appropriate to help ensure that the implant achieves its intended performance.

NOTE 4 Although fully porous implants are not coatings, some of the considerations in this document can also be applied to them.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 14630, *Non-active surgical implants — General requirements*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 14630 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

3.1

implant coating

surface coating (3.2) or *surface modification* (3.3)

Note 1 to entry: Implant coating is considered a constituent of an implant.

Note 2 to entry: A laminate, i.e. a composite material made of multiple layers of the same or different materials with the same or different internal structures assembled sandwich-like and bonded by heat, pressure, welding, soldering or adhesives, is not in itself considered an implant coating. But the exposed surface of the laminate can be an implant coating.

Note 3 to entry: A covering, for example additional material (e.g. a graft) added to a structure (e.g. a stent) specifically to bridge elements of the structure for the sole purpose of reducing the permeability of the structure, is not considered an implant coating..

3.2

surface coating

layer of material with any different property than the natural surface of the substrate that is intentionally added to the substrate

Note 1 to entry: The coating can partially or fully cover the substrate surface.

Note 2 to entry: The term includes surface coatings created as a result of additive manufacturing.

3.3

surface modification

intentional conversion or reconstruction of the surface of the original substrate to form a new surface material consisting of components of the substrate's own material and possibly foreign material and forming a surface layer with different properties

3.4

coating property

measurable characteristic of a coating

Note 1 to entry: A coating property can for example be mechanical, physical, chemical or morphological/microstructural in nature.

Note 2 to entry: In multi-layered coatings, one or more characteristics are designed to change along the coating depth with one or more corresponding interfaces. These characteristics are also considered coating properties.

Note 3 to entry: In gradient coatings, one or more characteristics are designed to change (increase or decrease in magnitude of a particular property) along the coating depth without interfaces. These characteristics are also considered coating properties.

3.5**generic coating property**

coating property (3.4) generally of importance for most types of coatings

3.6**pertinent property**

coating property (3.4) relevant to the safety and/or efficacy of the device when used in its intended application

3.7**abrasion resistance**

resistance to mechanical damage exhibited by articulating against a surface

3.8**adhesion strength**

load per unit area required to separate the coating from the substrate

3.9**chemical composition**

type and ratio of the chemical constituents

Note 1 to entry: If the coating comprises multiple substances, the chemical composition of each substance and the ratio of these substances might also be important. The latter can be expressed as molar fraction, volume fraction, mass fraction, molality, molarity or normality.

3.10**coverage integrity**

<implant coating> absence of significant coverage defects

3.11**phase**

<implant coating> homogeneous portion of an implant coating that has uniform physical and chemical properties

Note 1 to entry: Phases have distinct physical (e.g. according to their crystalline structure) or chemical (e.g. according to their chemical composition) properties and are separated from each other by definite phase boundaries.

[SOURCE: Callister Jr., W.D.; Rethwisch D.G. "Materials Science and Engineering: An Introduction"[37]. Chapter 9.3 "Phases", modified — replaced "system" with "implant coating" and "characteristics" with "properties", Note 1 to entry has been added.]

3.12**phase composition**

description of the various phases and their proportions

3.13**pore size**

dimensional measure of void space

Note 1 to entry: Depending upon the specific description, pore size can be described as a length, an area or a volume. Pore size can also describe either singular voids or aggregates of void spaces.

3.14**porosity**

<implant coating> volume fraction of voids/pores in the total coating volume consisting of solid and void components

3.15**dissolvability**

ability of a solid, liquid or gas to be dissolved in a solvent without any chemical reaction

3.16

solubility

maximum mass of a solute that can be dissolved in a unit volume of solution measured under equilibrium conditions

Note 1 to entry: The solubility depends on chemical composition, structure and *phase composition* (3.12) of the solute and the solvent as well as on temperature, pH and pressure of the solution.

3.17

dissolution rate

change of the dissolved mass of a solute with time

3.18

surface texture

property describing the non-smooth nature of a surface

Note 1 to entry: The surface texture can for example be characterized by:

- a) The fabric as a directed or ordered, isotropic or non-isotropic arrangement of surface patterns (e.g. grooves or scratches);
- b) The roughness as a measure of finely spaced surface irregularities with usually random distribution;
- c) The waviness as a measure of surface irregularities with a spacing larger than that of the surface roughness (e.g. due to machining).

3.19

surface wettability

ability of a solid surface to influence the contacting liquid to spread over that surface

3.20

thickness

<implant coating> distance between the substrate and the outer surface of the coating in a direction orthogonal to the substrate

Note 1 to entry: For a multi-layer coating, the coating thickness is considered to be the total thickness of all individual layers.

4 General Requirements

4.1 General description of the coating

An implant coating shall be described by its materials, manufacture process (for examples see [Annex A](#)) and/or intended function (for examples see [Annex B](#)). [Annex A](#) and [Annex B](#) are only included as information to the user of this document and provide some practical examples for manufacture processes and intended functions respectively.

EXAMPLE PVD ceramic coating for wear reduction and as a diffusion barrier against allergenic substances.

EXAMPLE sprayed paclitaxel drug coating for restenosis reduction.

4.2 Generic coating properties

4.2.1 General

The coating and substrate constitute a system. The design and intended function of this system are both critical for the selection of appropriate properties to evaluate or characterize.

This subclause contains a set of generic coating properties that shall be considered for evaluation or characterization of the implant coating. Although all of the generic coating properties listed below need to be considered, it is not necessary to test or evaluate all of these properties for each type of coating, if

they are deemed not pertinent. The decision whether a generic coating property is considered pertinent shall be done within a risk assessment process.

This document describes a set of coating properties to be considered for their potential to impact the safety and performance of the implant when used in the intended application. It is ultimately the responsibility of the device manufacturer to identify, control, and assess critical performance properties of the coating as applied to a particular implant. Identification and evaluation can be facilitated by potentially pertinent general, material, and application-specific implant standards (see [Annex C](#) for a partial listing). Available standards, alone or in combination, can be sufficiently comprehensive to assess a device's safety and performance. It is within this broader and combined general, material, and application-specific context that pertinent coating properties shall be risk-assessed for their potential impact on implant performance. The generic coating properties provided here are not meant to be an exhaustive list, and other properties specific to a particular coating or intended function also shall be considered. The properties for evaluation or characterization shall be selected based on the intended function of the implant coating. The test method to be used for coating evaluation or characterization shall be selected to appropriately evaluate or characterize the coating according to its intended function.

The test methods and sample preparation procedures used shall be documented in detail. Justification shall be given for the adequacy of the method and the sample size chosen. The sample geometry shall be in accordance with the requirements of the test method and consideration shall be given to the substrate geometry. The substrate material of the test specimen shall be the same as the base material of the coated implant under evaluation. The production methods of the coating shall be representative of those used for the coating on the final implant. The test specimen shall be described including any post-coating processes performed on it, such as cleaning, sterilization and ageing representative of the shelf-life.

4.2.2 Chemical composition

The chemical composition and the measurable impurities of the coating shall be specified.

The chemical composition of the coating shall be characterized and documented using a methodology most appropriate for the specific coating.

NOTE 1 The chemical compositions of different coatings can typically be characterized and documented in e.g. elemental, molecular or oxide basis.

While deciding on the preparation technique and analysis method, the impact of the substrate material shall be taken into account. It might be required to remove the coating appropriately before performing the chemical analysis.

NOTE 2 ISO 10993-18 provides tests methods for chemical characterization of materials. These methods can be used to assess the chemical composition of coatings.

4.2.3 Phase composition

Properties of coatings with specific chemical or elemental compositions can vary due to the formation of different phases (i.e. physical states, such as crystallographic forms, amorphous states) and their relative proportions.

The phase composition of the material is limited by but not completely defined by the chemical composition of the coating. Phase composition of coatings is often used as a readily measured property for specification or quality control in contrast to some of the performance related properties. In case of crystalline solids, phase composition is ordinarily determined using X-ray diffraction analysis on a coating separated from the substrate.

The phase composition of the coating shall be taken under consideration and it shall be decided whether or not phase composition is a pertinent property for this specific coating.

If phase composition is deemed pertinent, it shall be specified and verified. For verification applicable standards given in [Annex C](#) should be used. If none of the standards given in [Annex C](#) are used, another suitable method shall be specified and used.

If it is not deemed pertinent, no testing is required. However, a justification shall be provided to explain why this property is not deemed pertinent.

4.2.4 Surface texture

The surface texture of a coating can be characterized by descriptive terms but shall be quantified in terms of surface roughness parameters, if applicable.

Measurements should take into account random or orientated surfaces, as well as waviness and fabric. The uniformity of surface texture across the coated area shall be characterized.

The surface texture of the coating shall be taken under consideration and it shall be decided whether or not surface texture is a pertinent property for this specific coating.

If surface texture is deemed pertinent, it shall be specified and verified. For verification applicable standards given in [Annex C](#) should be used. If none of the standards given in [Annex C](#) are used, another suitable method shall be specified and used.

If it is not deemed pertinent, no testing is required. However, a justification shall be provided to explain why this property is not deemed pertinent.

4.2.5 Coating coverage integrity

All coatings have some coverage defects and it is essential to determine the degree to which those defects are detrimental to function.

NOTE Coverage defects can include cracks, deviations from uniform thickness, etc.

It shall be determined which type, size and amount (e.g. defects/unit area) of coverage defects are pertinent to the performance of a coating. An appropriate method for detection of the pertinent coverage defects shall be chosen and used to inspect the implant coating. The pertinent coverage defects detected shall be specified in the form of type, size and amount (e.g. defects/unit area) as appropriate. In this manner, the coating coverage integrity shall be specified and verified. For verification applicable standards given in [Annex C](#) should be used. If none of the standards given in [Annex C](#) are applicable, another suitable method shall be specified and used.

4.2.6 Dissolvability

Dissolution testing is performed in order to determine the solubility and/or the dissolution rate of the entire coating or its components in solvents representing physiologically similar solutions. This investigation is conducted to simulate and evaluate the degradation of the coating characterized by the weight loss in water based buffer solutions which resemble the chemical composition and the temperature conditions of physiological fluids.

The dissolvability of the coating shall be taken under consideration and it shall be decided whether or not dissolvability is a pertinent property for this specific coating.

If dissolvability is deemed pertinent, it shall be specified and verified. For verification applicable standards given in [Annex C](#) should be used. If none of the standards given in [Annex C](#) are used, another suitable method shall be specified and used.

If it is not deemed pertinent, no testing is required. However, a justification shall be provided to explain why this property is not deemed pertinent.

NOTE If a portion of the coating is intended to elute or dissolve over time, additional elution or release testing will be necessary.

4.2.7 Coating thickness

Coating thickness encompasses the average distance from the outer surface of the coating to the interface between the coating and substrate, in a direction orthogonal to the substrate. A rationale shall be provided for where the nominal interface (boundary) between well-discernible phases of the coating and the substrate is located. The coating thickness can be determined by different methodologies depending on the coating composition and substrate material.

NOTE 1 For some implants coating mass per area can be more pertinent than the actual coating thickness.

NOTE 2 If the coating thickness depends on the environmental conditions, e.g. the humidity, it is important to consider the environmental conditions as well as conditioning.

The coating thickness shall be taken under consideration and it shall be decided whether or not coating thickness is a pertinent property for this specific coating.

If coating thickness is deemed pertinent, it shall be specified and verified. For verification applicable standards given in [Annex C](#) should be used. If none of the standards given in [Annex C](#) are used, another suitable method shall be specified and used.

If it is not deemed pertinent, no testing is required. However, a justification shall be provided to explain why this property is not deemed pertinent.

For multi-layer coatings, if the individual layer thickness is pertinent to the coating, individual layers shall be defined and their individual thicknesses shall be determined. In this case, if the layer thickness determination is not feasible, the respective pertinent properties shall be evaluated.

4.2.8 Adhesion strength

Adhesion strength can be tested by the separation of the coating from the substrate upon which it sits by tension, shear or some combination of these forces, with both static and cyclic fatigue tests. Adhesion strength can also be assessed by alternative methodologies.

The adhesion strength of the coating shall be taken under consideration and it shall be decided whether or not adhesion strength is a pertinent property for this specific coating.

If adhesion strength is deemed pertinent, it shall be specified and verified. For verification applicable standards given in [Annex C](#) should be used. If none of the standards given in [Annex C](#) are used, another suitable method shall be specified and used.

If it is not deemed pertinent, no testing is required. However, a justification shall be provided to explain why this property is not deemed pertinent.

4.2.9 Abrasion resistance

Effects of abrasion include rubbing, scratching and ploughing of the coating surface. Testing of abrasion resistance should reflect the most likely mechanical insults to the surface based on potential uses of the coating. Test results for abrasion resistance shall include both the amount of material removed based on mechanical insult and the mode of removal.

The abrasion resistance of the coating shall be taken under consideration and it shall be decided whether or not abrasion resistance is a pertinent property for this specific coating.

If abrasion resistance is deemed pertinent, it shall be specified and verified. For verification applicable standards given in [Annex C](#) should be used. If none of the standards given in [Annex C](#) are used, another suitable method shall be specified and used.

If it is not deemed pertinent, no testing is required. However, a justification shall be provided to explain why this property is not deemed pertinent.

4.2.10 Porosity and pore size

The measurements of coating porosity and pore size can for example be performed by analysing polished cross sections of the coating with microscopic techniques in which computerized image analysis methods can be used.

Microscopic techniques are not able to differentiate between open and closed pores. If the differences are important then an intrusion method can be used to assess open porosity. If a distribution of pore sizes is required, then a method based on intrusion porosimetry can be used.

The coating porosity and pore size of the coating shall be taken under consideration and it shall be decided whether or not coating porosity and pore size are pertinent properties for this specific coating.

If coating porosity or pore size are deemed pertinent, it shall be specified and verified. If coating porosity and pore size are specified and verified, they shall be characterized by methods which ensure to consider both hidden or closed pores and open pores, i.e. pores that are accessible from the coating surface. For verification applicable standards given in [Annex C](#) should be used. If none of the standards given in [Annex C](#) are used, another suitable method shall be specified and used.

If they are not deemed pertinent, no testing is required. However, a justification shall be provided to explain why this property is not deemed pertinent.

4.2.11 Surface wettability

The measurement of coating wettability is typically performed by determination of the contact angle between the coating and the liquid that is spreading over that material. This test should be done on a clean surface free of any non-coating surface chemistries and the specific liquid with which the wettability is to be determined.

The wettability of the coating shall be taken under consideration and it shall be decided whether or not wettability is a pertinent property for this specific coating.

If wettability is deemed pertinent, it shall be specified and verified. For verification applicable standards given in [Annex C](#) should be used. If none of the standards given in [Annex C](#) are used, another suitable method shall be specified and used.

If it is not deemed pertinent, no testing is required. However, a justification shall be provided to explain why this property is not deemed pertinent.

Annex A (informative)

Coating technology examples

A.1 Anodic oxidation

Technology that modifies the surface of metals by means of an electric-field driven oxygen diffusion into the anode metal. The properties of the oxide coating produced can be controlled by the electrolyte composition and the electric process parameters.

A.2 Chemical Vapour Deposition (CVD)

Technology based on chemical reactions in the reactor chamber which can proceed in a wide range of conditions (hot, cold, atmospheric or low pressure). These processes employ various gaseous, liquid and solid chemicals as sources of the material the coating is to be made of. CVD processes are activated either thermally (resistance/microwave/infrared heating) or by using radiation as well as plasma excitation to ionize and dissociate the reactants.

A.3 Electrolytic process

Technology used to produce thin films by cathodic deposition. The principle of electrolysis is used for the galvanic deposition of metals from salt solutions and for the controlled precipitation of coatings from supersaturated solutions.

A.4 Ion implantation

Technology for introducing elements into the near-surface region of solids by directing a beam of accelerated ions onto the surface of a material.

A.5 Plasma polymerisation

Technology usually operated under low pressure and low temperature plasma conditions where gaseous organic monomers are activated by a gas discharge to initiate polymerization leading to the formation of highly cross-linked polymer coatings.

A.6 Thermal spraying

Thermal spraying techniques are coating processes in which melted (or heated) materials are sprayed onto a surface. The feedstock (or coating precursor) is heated by an electrical (plasma or arc) or chemical (combustion flame) heat source.

A.7 Physical Vapour Deposition (PVD)

Technology comprising a variety of vacuum based techniques for the deposition of thin films by condensation of the vaporized film material onto workpiece surfaces. In contrast to CVD procedures Physical Vapour Deposition is independent of chemical reactions during film formation. The typically solid coating material is vaporized by supplied electric energy (conducting crucible, cathodic arc, electron beam), laser power or ion bombardment (sputtering).

A.8 Sintered coatings

Type of coatings consisting of individual entities (powder particles, wires, mesh, beads, etc.) that are agglomerated thermally (solid or partially liquid state) to comprise a porous structure.

A.9 Sol-Gel coating technique

Technology for producing metal oxide coatings. The process involves conversion of metal-organic monomers into a colloidal solution (sol) that acts as the precursor for an integrated network (or gel) of either discrete particles or network polymers. Typical precursors are metal alkoxides. Sol-Gel coatings can for example be produced by dip-coating, spray-coating, layer-by-layer deposition or interfacial polymerization processes.

A.10 Solution precipitation method

Deposition of a coating on the substrate from an aqueous solution with supersaturated ionic concentrations, sometimes includes an electrochemical deposition process.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17327-1:2018

Annex B (informative)

Examples of intended functions of implant coatings

IMPORTANT — The examples outlined in [Annex B](#) below have been reported in the literature for some medical device coatings used in specific applications. Although some coatings have been shown to elicit these intended functions in specific applications, this does not mean that the same coating will generate the same effect in a different application; or, that a coating with similar properties will generate the same effect or to the same degree in the same application. The coating may be only one factor among many others which determine whether or not the intended function is observed and to what degree it is observed. Adequate evidence is necessary to demonstrate that a coating used on a specific device in a specific application is able to produce the desired effect in a clinically relevant manner to make the types of claims outlined below.

B.1 Wear reduction

Articulating components of devices with hard ceramic coatings (e.g. Titanium nitride) can potentially reduce friction and wear of the frictional counterpart (e.g. Ultra High Molecular Weight Polyethylene UHMWPE).

B.2 Reduction of allergenic potential

Some materials used for devices contain elements which can initiate allergic reactions of the immune system. Implant coatings can potentially prevent the elution of such elements into the body fluid or tissue by acting as diffusion barriers (e.g. ceramic coatings on CoCrMo implants which suppress the release of Co, Cr and Mo ions dramatically).

B.3 Biological fixation

A sufficiently porous coating can potentially promote bone and/or soft tissue integration and anchoring through the pores of coating.

B.4 Improved wettability

The wettability of components of devices can be improved by coatings. Improved wettability can potentially help to reduce friction between a non-implantable intravascular device such as an implant delivery system and the blood vessel, and reduce wear in the articulation for orthopaedic devices.

B.5 Antimicrobial effect

Coatings that contain antibiotics or bactericidal metal ions with defined concentrations and selected release properties can potentially produce an antimicrobial effect frequently combined with a tolerable cell-toxic behaviour. The function of these coatings is to impede bacterial colonization or formation of biofilms until the implant surface is completely overgrown by tissue cells.

B.6 Prevention of cell adhesion

In case of trauma applications, the devices are frequently set temporarily. A coating (e.g. anodic oxidized titanium) can potentially reduce cell adhesion enabling easy removal of the device decreasing the risk of serious injury of the surrounding tissue.