

---

---

**Health informatics — Vocabulary for  
terminological systems**

*Informatique de santé — Vocabulaire pour les systèmes  
terminologiques*

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17115:2007



**PDF disclaimer**

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17115:2007



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2007

The reproduction of the terms and definitions contained in this International Standard is permitted in teaching manuals, instruction booklets, technical publications and journals for strictly educational or implementation purposes. The conditions for such reproduction are: that no modifications are made to the terms and definitions; that such reproduction is not permitted for dictionaries or similar publications offered for sale; and that this International Standard is referenced as the source document.

With the sole exceptions noted above, no other part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20  
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11  
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47  
E-mail [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Web [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

**Contents**

Page

Foreword.....	iv
Introduction .....	v
1 Scope .....	1
1.1 Main purposes.....	1
1.2 Target groups.....	1
1.3 Topics not considered.....	1
2 Terms and definitions .....	1
2.1 Specialization .....	1
2.2 Formal representation of characteristics .....	2
2.3 Sanctioned specialization .....	3
2.4 Formal concept representation .....	4
2.5 Terminology and information models, concept systems .....	5
2.6 Specified concepts .....	5
2.7 Terminological systems .....	6
Annex A (normative) Selected definitions from ISO 1087-1:2000 .....	7
Bibliography .....	11
Alphabetical index .....	12

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17115:2007

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 17115 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 215, *Health informatics*.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17115:2007

## Introduction

Health terminology is complex and multifaceted, more so than most language domains. It has been estimated that between 500 000 and 45 million different concepts are needed to adequately describe concepts (e.g. conditions of patients and populations), actions in healthcare and related concepts (e.g. biomedical molecules, genes, organisms, technical methods and social concepts).

It is obvious that to adequately represent and especially to process this complexity, simple coding schemes are inadequate and formal multidimensional concept representation systems are required. Several such formal systems exist but systems and their underlying philosophy are described in different ways. The system itself can, for example, be called an ontology, medical entity dictionary, coding and reference model or reference terminology. The differences in terminology are understandable; this kind of work is highly interdisciplinary and integrates knowledge from linguistics, philosophy, informatics and health sciences, and there is room for misunderstanding between disciplines.

This International Standard is based on other standards, with clarifications and examples appropriate to health care in order to inform those working with terminology in health care, and aims to establish a set of basic concepts required to describe formal concept representation systems, especially for health sciences, and to describe representation of concepts and characteristics, for use especially in formal computer-based concept representation systems. These issues have previously been addressed by EN 12264, which is partly replaced by this International Standard. This document is not intended to be exhaustive, but to serve as a basis for related International Standards by reference and associated implementation guides.

Informally, the term "concepts" is often used when what is meant is "concept representations". However, this leads to confusion when precise meanings are required. Concepts arise out of human individual and social conceptualization of the world around them. Concept representations are artefacts constructed of symbols and are often manifest in computer programs. Because they are artefacts, it is possible to be precise about the functioning and capabilities of concept representations. It is more difficult to be clear about the yet poorly understood function of human conceptualization.

[STANDARDSISO.COM](http://STANDARDSISO.COM) : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 17115:2007

# Health informatics — Vocabulary for terminological systems

## 1 Scope

### 1.1 Main purposes

This International Standard defines a set of basic concepts required to describe formal concept representation systems, especially for health sciences, and describes representation of concepts and characteristics, for use especially in formal computer-based concept representation systems. A main motivation is to make it possible to precisely describe content models described in other International Standards.

The principles established by ISO/TC 37 are extended here into a formal system suited to health informatics.

Potential uses for this International Standard are

- to describe formal definitions, parts of definitions and how they are related, and
- to describe patterns for concept representation in a particular domain.

### 1.2 Target groups

The target groups for this International Standard are

- developers of concept representation systems for different health care domains,
- developers of standards for concept representation, especially those describing domain concept models,
- information modellers, knowledge engineers, and standards developers building information models for health information systems, such as electronic health records and decision support systems,
- developers of information systems that require an explicit system of concepts for internal organization, data warehouse management and middleware services.

### 1.3 Topics not considered

This International Standard does not include enumeration of axiomatic concepts and semantic links, or detailed content of health terminology systems (classifications, nomenclatures or reference terminology of health concepts).

## 2 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply. Selected background terms and definitions from ISO 1087-1 are provided in Annex A.

NOTE The use of angular brackets <> refers to a category which can be specialized to various concepts as required.

### 2.1 Specialization

#### 2.1.1

##### **specialize**

form a more **specific concept** (A.3.2.16) [by constraining the **extension** (A.3.2.8) of a more **generic concept** (A.3.2.15)]

EXAMPLE 1 Infection that hasCause Bacteria can be specialized to Infection that hasCause.

EXAMPLE 2 Pneumococcus Hepatitis can be specialized to NonA-NonB-hepatitis.

NOTE 1 To specialize is to increase the intension and decrease the extension of a concept. The more **specific concept** (A.3.2.16) has a larger **intension** (A.3.2.9), but a smaller extension than the **general concept** (A.3.2.3). Specialization and generalization can be achieved in many ways, including replacing a semantic link with a more specific semantic link (and vice versa for generalization).

NOTE 2 The more specific concept has a broader **intension**, but a narrower **extension** than the **generic concept**.

NOTE 3 Ways to specialize concepts include

- adding one or more **composite characteristics** (2.2.1),
- replacing the **characterizing concept** (2.2.2) in one or more **characteristics** (A.3.2.4) with a more **specific concept** (A.3.2.16), and
- forming an intersection of two concepts (where the intersection is a specialization of both the "parents").

NOTE 4 The opposite is **generalize** (2.1.2).

### 2.1.2 generalize

form a more **generic concept** (A.3.2.15) [that represents a superset of the **extension(s)** (A.3.2.8) of one or more **specific concepts** (A.3.2.16)]

EXAMPLE Infection that hasCause Pneumococcus can be generalized to Infection that hasCause Bacterium.

NOTE 1 To generalize is to decrease the **intension** (A.3.2.9) and increase the extension of a concept. Specialization and generalization can be achieved in many ways, including replacing a semantic link with a less specific semantic link (and vice versa for specialization).

NOTE 2 This can be done by removing one or more **characteristics** (A.3.2.4) or by replacing the **characterizing concept** (2.2.2) in one or more characteristics with a more generic concept.

NOTE 3 The opposite is **specialize** (2.1.1).

### 2.1.3 level of specialization

property of a **concept** (A.3.2.1) reflecting the number of and detail of **characteristics** (A.3.2.4) in its **intension** (A.3.2.9)

NOTE A **specific concept** (A.3.2.16) has a high level of specialization and a fine granularity; a **generic concept** (A.3.2.15) has low level of specialization and coarse granularity.

### 2.1.4 generic concept

category

**concept** (A.3.2.1) in a **generic relation** (A.3.2.21) having the narrower **intension** (A.3.2.9) [and the wider **extension** (A.3.2.8)]

[ISO 1087-1:2000, A.3.2.15]

## 2.2 Formal representation of characteristics

### 2.2.1 composite characteristic

qualifier

representation of a **characteristic** (A.3.2.4)

EXAMPLE hasCause Bacteria; Location = LeftUpperLobeOfLung.

NOTE 1 Typically expressed by a **semantic link** (2.2.3) and a **characterizing concept** (2.2.2).

NOTE 2 Can be compared to an attribute-value pair in a **compositional system** (2.5.2).

NOTE 3 A qualifier often denotes **characteristics** with a small simple **characterizing generic concept** (2.3.3), such as laterality (left or right), or severity (low, moderate, high).

### 2.2.2

#### **characterizing concept**

**concept** (A.3.2.1) that is referenced by a **semantic link** (2.2.3) in a **composite characteristic** (2.2.1)

EXAMPLES "Bacterium" in the construct "Disease that hasCause Bacterium"; "Yellow" in the construct "SkinLesion that hasColour Yellow".

### 2.2.3

#### **semantic link**

formal representation of a directed **associative relation** (A.3.2.23) or **partitive relation** (A.3.2.22) between two **concepts** (A.3.2.1)

EXAMPLES hasLocation (with inverse isLocationOf); isCauseOf (with inverse hasCause).

NOTE 1 This includes all relations except the **generic relation** (A.3.2.21).

NOTE 2 A semantic link always has an inverse, i.e. another semantic link with the opposite direction.

NOTE 3 A semantic link can be part of a **composite characteristic** (2.2.1) where it describes the role of the **characterizing concept** (2.2.2). Similarly, it describes the role of a **characterizing generic concept** (2.3.3) in a **sanctioned characteristic** (A.3.1).

## 2.3 Sanctioned specialization

### 2.3.1

#### **sanctioned characteristic**

formal representation of a **type of characteristics** (A.3.2.5)

EXAMPLE 1 performedUsing <INSTRUMENT>; hasLocation <BodyPartOrImplantedDevice>.

EXAMPLE 2 "CauseOfInflammation canBe set{ bacteria, virus, parasite, autoimmune, chemical, physical }", where "canBe" is the **semantic link** (2.2.3), and "set{ bacteria, virus, parasite, autoimmune, chemical, physical }" is the **characterizing generic concept** (2.3.3).

NOTE A sanctioned characteristic is typically made up of a combination of a semantic link and a characterizing generic concept, and can be used in **domain constraints** (2.3.2).

### 2.3.2

#### **domain constraint**

sanction rule prescribing the set of **sanctioned characteristics** (2.3.1) that are valid to **specialize** (2.1.1) a **concept** (A.3.2.1) in a certain **subject field** (A.3.1.2)

EXAMPLE "Infection possibly hasLocation SkeletalStructure" describes that an infection in a certain context can be located in a structure that is a kind of skeletal structure.

NOTE 1 The rule describes the set of potential **characteristics** (A.3.2.4) by combining the **semantic link** (2.2.3) and the **characterizing generic concept** (2.3.3) it links to, possibly by enumeration of the concepts in the characterizing generic concept.

NOTE 2 Different levels of sanctioning are possible (e.g. conceivable, sensible, normal, usuallyInTheContextOf, necessary).

### 2.3.3

#### **characterizing generic concept**

characterizing category

value domain

**formal category** (2.5.3) whose specialization by a **domain constraint** (2.3.2) is allowed to be used as **characterizing concept** (2.2.2) in a particular context

EXAMPLE <INFECTIOUS\_ORGANISM> = {bacterium, virus, parasite}, in the context of "Infection that hasCause INFECTIOUS\_ORGANISM".

NOTE The context includes a **superordinate concept** (A.3.2.13) and a **semantic link** (2.2.3).

## 2.4 Formal concept representation

### 2.4.1

#### **compositional concept representation**

**intensional definition** (A.3.3.2) of a **concept** (A.3.2.1) using as **delimiting characteristics** (A.3.2.7) one or more **composite characteristics** (2.2.1)

NOTE This allows inference and subsumption within a **compositional system** (2.5.2). It is usually expressed in a formalism, such as description logic.

### 2.4.2

#### **axiomatic concept representation**

axiom concept representation present in a **formal system** (2.5.1) without a **formal definition** (2.4.3)

EXAMPLES Liver; Incision act; Pain.

NOTE This often represents a "natural kind" from the perspective of a particular terminology system; i.e. something that "just exists". It may have a definition or description outside the system but, by choice, this is not represented in the system.

### 2.4.3

#### **formal definition**

definition within a **formal system** (2.5.1)

NOTE 1 This can be done by a **compositional concept representation** (2.4.1) or a formal **extensional definition** (A.3.3.3).

NOTE 2 It is usually automatically processable and governed by explicit rules.

### 2.4.4

#### **concept name**

canonical expression

**term** (A.3.4.3) which uniquely designates a **concept** (A.3.2.1) within a **concept system** (A.3.2.11)

EXAMPLE 1 Machine readable: <Inflammation that <hasCause Bacteria hasLocation Lung>> (with compositional characteristics sorted alphabetically after semantic link) instead of <pulmonaryInfection that hasCause Bacteria>.

EXAMPLE 2 General language: Inflammation that has cause bacteria and has location lung (with compositional characteristics sorted alphabetically after semantic link) instead of pulmonary infection that has cause bacteria.

NOTE 1 It is the preferred expression to represent a **concept** (A.3.2.1) in a given terminology system.

NOTE 2 It is unique within the system and unambiguous.

### 2.4.5

#### **categorial structure**

minimal set of **domain constraints** (2.3.2) for representing **concept systems** (A.3.2.11) in a **subject field** (A.3.1.2)

### 2.4.6

#### **precoordinated concept representation**

**compositional concept representation** (2.4.1) within a **formal system** (2.5.1), with an equivalent single unique identifier

EXAMPLE Problem = Fracture that hasLocation Femur. This is an example of how a precoordinated concept is represented.

NOTE The identifier (code, term, etc.) may be within or outside the terminology system in question.

**2.4.7****post-coordinated concept representation**

**compositional concept representation** (2.4.1) using more than one **concept** (A.3.2.1) from one or many **formal systems** (2.5.1), combined using mechanisms within or outside the formal systems

EXAMPLE Problem.Main = "Fracture", Problem.Location = Femur within a template for a problem description.

NOTE Combining concepts from disparate terminologies can cause problems with overlapping and/or conflicting concepts. Typically, the mechanisms for making **compositional concept representations** (2.4.1) are specified in an information model (e.g. as templates for a certain type of concept).

**2.5 Terminology and information models, concept systems****2.5.1****formal [concept representation] system**

set of machine processable definitions in a **subject field** (A.3.1.2)

**2.5.2****compositional system**

system that supports the creation of **compositional concept representations** (2.4.1)

**2.5.3****formal category**

**generic concept** (2.1.4) represented by a **formal definition** (2.4.3)

NOTE This implies that the generic concept's **extension** (A.3.2.8) can be determined algorithmically and includes extensionally defined **concepts** (A.3.2.1) and formal **intensional definitions** (A.3.3.2).

**2.6 Specified concepts****2.6.1****mapping**

assigning an element in one set to an element in another set through **semantic correspondence** (2.6.2)

NOTE It is the relation with the best semantic correspondence between an element in one set and an element in another set.

**2.6.2****semantic correspondence**

measure of similarity between two concepts

NOTE The opposite is semantic distance.

**2.6.3****instance of a concept**

member of the **extension** (A.3.2.8) of a **concept** (A.3.2.1)

**2.6.4****focus concept representation**

specified representation of the **concept** (A.3.2.1) of interest within a **formal system** (2.5.1)

EXAMPLE "Moderately severe inflammation caused by pneumococci located in the upper lobe of the left lung, ascertained by plain film pulmonary X-ray and sputum culture" in the context of a diagnosis with confirmatory evidence.

NOTE It includes context information, enabling independent use.

## 2.6.5

### **generic relation**

subtype relation

relation between two **concepts** (A.3.2.1) where the **intension** (A.3.2.9) of one of the concepts includes that of the other concept and at least one additional **delimiting characteristic** (A.3.2.7)

[ISO 1087-1:2000, A.3.2.21]

NOTE All individuals in the **extension** (A.3.2.8) of the second are included in the extension of the first.

EXAMPLE A generic relation exists between the concepts 'internal organ' and 'heart', 'surgical deed' and 'appendectomy', 'inflammatory disease' and 'pericarditis'.

## 2.7 Terminological systems

### 2.7.1

#### **classification**

exhaustive set of mutually exclusive **categories** (2.1.4) to aggregate data at a pre-prescribed **level of specialization** (2.1.3) for a specific purpose

EXAMPLE ICD 10.

### 2.7.2

#### **coding scheme**

collection of rules that maps the elements in one set, the "coded set" onto the elements in a second set "the code set"

[ISO 2382-4]

NOTE The two sets are not part of the coding scheme.

### 2.7.3

#### **coding system**

combination of a set of **concepts** (A.3.2.1) [coded concepts], a set of code values, and at least one **coding scheme** (2.7.2) mapping code values to coded concepts

NOTE Coded concepts are typically represented by **terms** (A.3.4.3) but can have other representation. Code values are typically numeric or alphanumeric.

### 2.7.4

#### **reference terminology**

set of atomic level designations structured to support representations of both simple and compositional concepts independent of human language (within machine)

NOTE 1 Reference terminology is designed to uniquely represent **concepts** (A.2.3.1).

NOTE 2 The terminology lists the concepts and specifies their structure, relationships and, if present, their systematic and **formal definitions** (2.4.3).

### 2.7.5

#### **clinical terminology**

terminology required directly or indirectly to describe health conditions and healthcare activities

NOTE 1 Health conditions include symptoms, complaints, illness, diseases, disorders, etc.

NOTE 2 It is used in, for example, medical records, clinical communication, and medical science.

## Annex A (normative)

### Selected definitions from ISO 1087-1:2000

The following terms and definitions are selected from ISO 1087-1:2000. They are included here as background to the key terms and definitions in this International Standard. For consistency, the numbering in this annex reflects the numbering in ISO 1087-1:2000, with the addition of "A."

#### A.3.1 Language and reality

##### A.3.1.1

##### **object**

anything perceivable or conceivable

NOTE Objects may be material (e.g. an engine, a sheet of paper, a diamond), immaterial (e.g. conversion ratio, a project plan) or imagined (e.g. a unicorn).

##### A.3.1.2

##### **subject field**

domain

field of special knowledge

NOTE The borderlines of a subject field are defined from a purpose-related point of view.

#### A.3.2 Concepts

##### A.3.2.1

##### **concept**

unit of knowledge created by a unique combination of **characteristics** (A.3.2.4)

NOTE Concepts are not necessarily bound to particular languages. They are, however, influenced by the social or cultural background often leading to different categorizations.

##### A.3.2.2

##### **individual concept**

**concept** (A.3.2.1) which corresponds to only one **object** (A.3.1.1)

EXAMPLES Individual concepts are: 'Saturn', 'the Eiffel Tower'.

NOTE Examples of individual concepts are usually represented by **appellations** (A.3.4.2).

##### A.3.2.3

##### **general concept**

**concept** (A.3.2.1) which corresponds to two or more **objects** (A.3.1.1) which form a group by reason of common properties

EXAMPLES General concepts are 'planet', 'tower'.

##### A.3.2.4

##### **characteristic**

abstraction of a property of an **object** (A.3.1.1) or of a set of **objects** (A.3.1.1)

NOTE Characteristics are used for describing **concepts** (A.3.2.1).

##### A.3.2.5

##### **type of characteristics**

category of **characteristics** (A.3.2.4) which serves as the criterion of subdivision when establishing **concept systems** (A.3.2.11)

NOTE The type of characteristics "colour" embraces characteristics "being red, blue, green", etc. The type of characteristics "material" embraces characteristics "made of wood, metal", etc.

#### **A.3.2.6**

##### **essential characteristic**

characteristic (A.3.2.4) which is indispensable to understanding a **concept** (A.3.2.1)

#### **A.3.2.7**

##### **delimiting characteristic**

**essential characteristic** (A.3.2.6) used for distinguishing a **concept** (A.3.2.1) from related **concepts** (A.3.2.1)

NOTE The delimiting characteristic "support for the back" may be used for distinguishing the concepts 'stool' and 'chair'.

#### **A.3.2.8**

##### **extension**

totality of **objects** (A.3.1.1) to which a **concept** (A.3.2.1) corresponds

#### **A.3.2.9**

##### **intension**

set of **characteristics** (A.3.2.4) which makes up the **concept** (A.3.2.1)

#### **A.3.2.10**

##### **concept field**

unstructured set of thematically related **concepts** (A.3.2.1)

NOTE Concept fields may be used as a starting point for establishing **concept systems** (A.3.2.11).

#### **A.3.2.11**

##### **concept system**

system of concepts

set of **concepts** (A.3.2.1) structured according to the relations among them

#### **A.3.2.12**

##### **concept diagram**

graphic representation of a **concept system** (A.3.2.11)

#### **A.3.2.13**

##### **superordinate concept**

broader concept

**concept** (A.3.2.1) which is either a **generic concept** (A.3.2.15) or a **comprehensive concept** (A.3.2.17)

#### **A.3.2.14**

##### **subordinate concept**

narrower concept

**concept** (A.3.2.1) which is either a **specific concept** (A.3.2.16) or a **partitive concept** (A.3.2.18)

#### **A.3.2.15**

##### **generic concept**

**concept** (A.3.2.1) in a **generic relation** (A.3.2.21) having the narrower **intension** (A.3.2.9)

#### **A.3.2.16**

##### **specific concept**

**concept** (A.3.2.1) in a **generic relation** (A.3.2.21) having the broader **intension** (A.3.2.9)

#### **A.3.2.17**

##### **comprehensive concept**

**concept** (A.3.2.1) in a **partitive relation** (A.3.2.22) viewed as the whole

#### **A.3.2.18**

##### **partitive concept**

**concept** (A.3.2.1) in a **partitive relation** (A.3.2.22) viewed as one of the parts making up the whole

**A.3.2.19****coordinate concept**

**subordinate concept** (A.3.2.14) having the same nearest **superordinate concept** (A.3.2.13) and same criterion of subdivision as some other **concept** (A.3.2.1) in a given **concept system** (A.3.2.11)

**A.3.2.20****hierarchical relation**

relation between two **concepts** (A.3.2.1) which may be either a **generic** (A.3.2.21) or a **partitive relation** (A.3.2.22)

**A.3.2.21****generic relation**

genus/species relation

relation between two **concepts** (A.3.2.1) where the **intension** (A.3.2.9) of one of the concepts includes that of the other concept and at least one additional **delimiting characteristic** (A.3.2.7)

NOTE A generic relation exists between the concepts 'word' and 'pronoun', 'vehicle' and 'car', 'person' and 'child'.

**A.3.2.22****partitive relation**

part-whole relation

relation between two **concepts** (A.3.2.1) where one of the concepts constitutes the whole and the other concept a part of that whole

NOTE A partitive relation exists between the concepts 'week' and 'day', 'molecule' and 'atom'.

**A.3.2.23****associative relation**

pragmatic relation

relation between two **concepts** (A.3.2.1) having a non-hierarchical thematic connection by virtue of experience

NOTE An associative relation exists between the concepts 'education' and 'teaching', 'baking' and 'oven'.

**A.3.2.24****sequential relation**

**associative relation** (A.3.2.23) based on spatial or temporal proximity

NOTE A sequential relation exists between the **concepts** (A.3.2.1) 'production' and 'consumption', etc.

**A.3.2.25****temporal relation**

**sequential relation** (A.3.2.24) involving events in time

NOTE A temporal relation exists between the **concepts** (A.3.2.1) 'spring' and 'summer', 'autumn' and 'winter'.

**A.3.2.26****causal relation**

**associative relation** (A.3.2.23) involving cause and its effect

NOTE A causal relation exists between the **concepts** (A.3.2.1) 'action' and 'reaction', 'nuclear explosion' and 'fall-out'.

**A.3.3 Definitions****A.3.3.1****definition**

representation of a **concept** (A.3.2.1) by a descriptive statement which serves to differentiate it from related concepts

### A.3.3.2

#### **intensional definition**

**definition** (A.3.3.1) which describes the **intension** (A.3.2.9) of a **concept** (A.3.2.1) by stating the **superordinate concept** (A.3.2.13) and the **delimiting characteristics** (A.3.2.7)

NOTE The following is an example of an intensional definition for the concept 'incandescent lamp':

#### **incandescent lamp**

electric lamp in which a filament is heated by an electric current in such a way that it emits light

### A.3.3.3

#### **extensional definition**

description of a **concept** (A.3.2.1) by enumerating all of its **subordinate concepts** (A.3.2.14) under one criterion of subdivision

#### EXAMPLES

#### **Family 18 in the Periodic Table**

helium, neon, argon, krypton, xenon and radon

#### **noble gas**

helium, neon, argon, krypton, xenon, or radon

## A.3.4 Designations

### A.3.4.1

#### **designation**

designator

representation of a **concept** (A.3.2.1) by a sign which denotes it

NOTE In terminology work, three types of designations are distinguished: symbols, **appellations** (A.3.4.2) and **terms** (A.3.4.3).

### A.3.4.2

#### **appellation**

name

verbal **designation** (A.3.4.1) of an **individual concept** (A.3.2.2)

### A.3.4.3

#### **term**

verbal **designation** (A.3.4.1) of a **general concept** (A.3.2.3) in a specific subject field