
**Buildings and constructed assets —
Service life planning —**

Part 2:
Service life prediction procedures

*Bâtiments et biens immobiliers construits — Prévion de la durée de vie —
Partie 2: Procédures pour la prévion de la durée de vie*

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 15686-2:2001



PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 15686-2:2001

© ISO 2001

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.ch
Web www.iso.ch

Printed in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword.....	iv
Introduction.....	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
3.1 Service life and performance.....	1
3.2 Service life forecasting	2
3.3 Environment and environmental characterization	3
3.4 Acts and actors	3
4 Abbreviated terms	3
5 Methodology.....	4
5.1 Brief description of SLP	4
5.2 Connection to ISO 15686-1	4
6 Methodological framework	6
6.1 Range of SLP and problem definition.....	6
6.2 Preparation	7
6.3 Pretesting	9
6.4 Ageing exposure programmes.....	10
6.5 Analysis and interpretation	12
7 Critical review.....	13
7.1 General description of critical review.....	13
7.2 Need and requirements for critical review	13
7.3 Process of critical review.....	13
8 Reporting.....	14
Annex A (informative) Guidance on process of SLP.....	16
Bibliography.....	23

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 3.

Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this part of ISO 15686 may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

International Standard ISO 15686-2 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 59, *Building construction*, Subcommittee SC 14, *Design life*.

ISO 15686 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Buildings and constructed assets — Service life planning*:

- *Part 1: General principles*
- *Part 2: Service life prediction procedures*
- *Part 3: Performance audits and reviews*
- *Part 4: Data requirements*
- *Part 5: Life cycle costing*
- *Part 6: Life cycle assessment*

Annex A of this part of ISO 15686 is for information only.

Introduction

The ISO 15686 series on “Buildings and constructed assets — Service life planning” is an essential contribution to the development of a policy for design life. A major impetus for the preparation of the ISO 15686 series is the current concern over the industry’s inability to predict costs of ownership and maintenance of buildings. A secondary objective of service life planning is to reduce the likelihood of obsolescence and/or to maximize the reuse value of the obsolete building components.

The purpose of this part of ISO 15686 is to describe the principles for service life predictions (SLPs) of building components, considering various service environments. The SLP methodology is developed to be generic, i.e. applicable to all types of building components, and is meant to serve as a guide to all kinds of prediction processes. The methodology may be used in the planning of SLP studies regarding new and innovative components of which the knowledge of their performance is limited, or be the guiding document in the assessment of already performed investigations in order to appraise their value as knowledge bases for SLP and reveal where complimentary studies are necessary.

This part of ISO 15686 is intended primarily for

- manufacturers who may wish to provide data on performance in use of their products,
- test houses, technical approval organizations, etc., and
- those who develop or draft product standards.

While this part of ISO 15686 could be used as a stand-alone document, for an improved understanding of its context it is recommended to read the other parts of ISO 15686, in particular ISO 15686-1, which is the umbrella document of the ISO 15686 series.

Data obtained in accordance with the methodology described in this part of ISO 15686 can be used in any context where appropriate, and specifically to obtain a forecast service life for a specific object via the factor method (or directly), as described in ISO 15686-1. The factor method aims to find an estimated service life of a component (ESLC) in the specific planning case, taking all case-specific conditions affecting the service life into consideration. Accordingly, this part of ISO 15686 interfaces with ISO 15686-1 as a crucial means of attaining the knowledge necessary for the service life planning process as described in ISO 15686-1.

This part of ISO 15686 will also interface with ISO 15686-4, which will specify in detail the way SLP data are formatted, stored and presented.

The SLP methodology does not cover estimation of service life limited by obsolescence or other non-measurable or unpredictable performance states. The methodology also does not cover prediction of the economic service life, but will yield data needed as input for such evaluations.

Predictions can be based on evidence from previous use, on comparisons with the known service life of similar components, on tests of degradation in specific conditions or on a combination of these. Ideally a prediction will be given in terms of the service life as a function of the in-use condition. In any case, the dependence of the service life on the in-use condition will be quantified in a suitable way. The reliability of the predicted service life of a component (PSLC) will depend on the evidence it is based on.

ISO 15686-2:2001(E)

The methods described in the ISO 15686 series are based on work carried out in many countries. In general terms they are a development of the current standards on durability published by the Architectural Institute of Japan, the British Standards Institution and the Canadian Standards Authority. Specifically, this part of ISO 15686 is an extension and modification of the RILEM recommendation 64, "Systematic Methodology for Service Life Prediction", developed by RILEM¹⁾ TC 71-PSL and TC 100-TSL working jointly with CIB²⁾ W80.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 15686-2:2001

-
- 1) The International Union of Testing and Research Laboratories for Materials and Structures.
 - 2) International Council for Building Research, Studies and Documentation.

Buildings and constructed assets — Service life planning —

Part 2: Service life prediction procedures

1 Scope

This part of ISO 15686 describes procedures that facilitate service life predictions of building components. It provides a general framework, principles and requirements for conducting and reporting such studies. This part of ISO 15686 does not describe the techniques of service life prediction of building components in detail.

2 Normative references

The following normative documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this part of ISO 15686. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revisions of, any of these publications do not apply. However, parties to agreements based on this part of ISO 15686 are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the normative documents indicated below. For undated references, the latest edition of the normative document referred to applies. Members of ISO and IEC maintain registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO 6241:1984, *Performance standards in building — Principles for their preparation and factors to be considered*.

ISO 6707-1:1989, *Building and civil engineering — Vocabulary — Part 1: General terms*.

ISO 15686-1:2000, *Buildings and constructed assets — Service life planning — Part 1: General principles*.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this part of ISO 15686, the terms and definitions given in ISO 6707-1 and ISO 15686-1 and the following apply. The terms are ordered by concepts for the assistance of users of this part of ISO 15686.

3.1 Service life and performance

3.1.1 ageing

degradation due to long-term influence of agents related to use

3.1.2 degradation indicator

deficiency which shows when a performance characteristic fails to meet a requirement

EXAMPLE When gloss is a performance characteristic, gloss loss is the corresponding degradation indicator. When mass (or thickness) is a performance characteristic, mass loss is the corresponding degradation indicator.

3.1.3

terminal critical property

in an established set of critical properties for a building or a part, the critical property that first fails to maintain the corresponding performance requirement when subjected to exposure in a particular service environment

3.1.4

performance characteristic

quantity that is a measure of a critical property, or a magnitude of that quantity

EXAMPLE A performance characteristic can be the same as the critical property, for instance gloss. On the other hand, if the critical property is strength, thickness or mass may in certain cases be utilized as a performance characteristic.

3.2 Service life forecasting

3.2.1

accelerated short-term exposure

short-term exposure in which the intensity of the agents is raised above the levels expected in service

3.2.2

ageing exposure

procedure in which a building product is exposed to agents believed or known to cause degradation for the purpose of service life prediction (or comparison of relative performance)

3.2.3

control sample

sample retained in an environment that is believed or known not to induce degradation for the purpose of comparison between exposed and non-exposed samples

3.2.4

feedback from practice

inspection of buildings

performance evaluation or assessment of residual service life of building parts in actual buildings

3.2.5

long-term exposure

ageing exposure under in-use conditions and with a duration of the same order as the service life

3.2.6

predicted service life distribution

probability distribution function of the predicted service life

3.2.7

reference sample

sample of known performance which are exposed simultaneously and under identical conditions as a sample under study for the purpose of comparison

3.2.8

service life prediction

generic methodology which, for a particular or any appropriate performance requirement, facilitates a prediction of the service life distribution of a building or its parts for the use in a particular or in any appropriate environment

3.2.9

short-term exposure

ageing exposure with a duration considerably shorter than the service life anticipated

NOTE A term sometimes used and related to this type of exposure programme is "predictive service life test". A predictive service life test is a combination of a specifically designed short-term exposure and a performance evaluation procedure.

3.3 Environment and environmental characterization

3.3.1

agent intensity

measure of the extent to or level at which an agent is present

NOTE In this part of ISO 15686 the term “agent intensity” refers figuratively to any quantity that meets the requirements for a measure as specified in the definition above, i.e. not only to UV radiation and rain intensity, etc., but also to relative humidity, SO₂ concentration, freeze-thaw rate and mechanical pressure, etc.

3.3.2

building context

description of a building and its parts in terms of influences from design, service environment and usage

3.3.3

dose

agent dose

mean of the agent intensity during a time period multiplied by the length of this time period

3.3.4

dose-response function

function that relates the dose(s) of a degradation agent to a degradation indicator

3.3.5

in-use condition

environmental condition under normal use

3.4 Acts and actors

3.4.1

commissioned specialist

person or organization capable of conducting a service life prediction study

3.4.2

commissioning client

person or organization that orders the service life prediction study

4 Abbreviated terms

ESLC	estimated service life of a component
PSLDC	predicted service life distribution of a component
PSLC	predicted service life of a component
RSLC	reference service life of a component
SLP	service life prediction

5 Methodology

5.1 Brief description of SLP

The methodology described is intended to be generic and aims, for a particular or any appropriate set of performance requirements, to facilitate an SLP of any kind of building components for the use in a particular or range of in-service environment.

NOTE In practice an SLP is usually restricted to cover a few typical service environments or a single reference environment complemented by an analysis on the sensitivity of intensity variations of degradation agents.

The term “prediction” of an SLP study refers to one of four ways, or any combinations of these, to assess the service life, as follows:

- speeding-up of the time dimension (at accelerated short-term exposures);
- interpolation/extrapolation using data of similar components;
- interpolation/extrapolation using data from similar service environments;
- extrapolation in the time dimension (at short-term in-use exposures).

The systematic approach or methodology for SLP of building components described includes the identification of needed information, the selection or development of test procedures (exposure programmes and evaluation methods), testing (exposure and evaluation), interpretation of data, and reporting of results. The essential steps in an SLP process are outlined in Figure 1. The methodology employs an iterative research or decision-making process which permits improved predictions to be made as the base of knowledge grows, as illustrated by the outermost loop in Figure 1. It is often not necessary to perform every step, for instance the pretesting procedure can often be excluded or shortened due to already available knowledge of the component under study. While not illustrated, sub-loops between steps within a cycle may be necessary. In any case, it is of the greatest importance to account for all assumptions and judgements made.

Normally the service life for a particular set of performance requirements is not predicted as a single value – a PSLC. Instead a predicted service life distribution of a component (PSLDC) is determined. The PSLDC is described by at least two parameters, the expectation value and the standard deviation. For very costly tests, however, the aim may be limited to find a PSLC only.

See also A.1.1.

5.2 Connection to ISO 15686-1

This part of ISO 15686 refers to ISO 15686-1 and aims, in this context, to describe a tool to achieve a reference service life of the component (RSLC) as accurately as possible (or, alternatively, to achieve a forecast service life directly). An RSLC is required when an estimated service life of the component (ESLC) for a specific design object is to be assessed in accordance with the factor method as described in ISO 15686-1. Thus, the RSLC can be obtained from the PSLDC as established in accordance with this part of ISO 15686. The condition at which the PSLDC has been established then becomes the reference condition, which is compared to the specific condition prevailing at the design object in order to estimate the factors of the factor method.

The choice of the single value RSLC from the distribution established depends on the safety margin required for the component. For replaceable, non-structural components, in most cases the expectation value (i.e. the mean) PSLC of the distribution could be employed as the RSLC. However, requirements on scheduled maintenance plans, interlocking with other replaceable components or other circumstances, may suggest a more conservative choice. For non-replaceable and/or structural components, for which a safety margin is required, a more, and frequently a significantly more conservative choice has to be made. In such cases, though, normally the safety margin is directly or indirectly regulated by standards or codes specifically applicable for the component.




Figure 1 — Systematic methodology for SLP of building components

When the SLP utilized to obtain the RSLC for the specific design object has been carried out under various conditions, the PSLDC obtained under the condition which deviates the least from the specific condition is used for that purpose. An SLP carried out under various conditions also implies a means to estimate factors of the factor method, in most cases particularly the one taking into account the difference between the specific and the reference outdoor environment. This can be accomplished by interpolation/extrapolation techniques.

6 Methodological framework

6.1 Range of SLP and problem definition

6.1.1 General

Initially, the problem to be solved shall be defined and the range of the study established, including identification or specification of essential data.

NOTE These issues may vary from case to case depending on the aim and ambition of the SLP and on the level of existing knowledge of the component.

Two extreme ranges are as follows.

- a) **Specific study:** this is intended to focus on a rather specific application of the component tested in terms of service environment and usage with a specified set of performance requirements. The aim is to establish the PSLDC (or PSLC) and determine the sensitivity of the PSLDC (or PSLC) on moderate variations from these presumptions.
- b) **General study:** this is intended to cover a broad application of the component tested in terms of service environment and usage with an unspecified or a loosely specified set of performance requirements. The aim is to establish performance-over-time functions for the performance characteristics chosen in the whole range of applications.

6.1.2 Definition of a specific study

6.1.2.1 Identification of service environment and usage

A reference building context (see ISO 6241 and CIB Master List) shall be identified according to the information given on the specific case. This shall account for the specific use of the component, covering the design consequences, and comprise a description of the environment, including static and dynamic mechanical stress, at the site where a building is planned to be located. A description on the effects of occupancy (such as water vapour, heat or abrasion) and the principles on which the building is operated (e.g. high or low thermal inertia) shall also be included if appropriate.

6.1.2.2 Quantification of the set of performance requirements

The set of performance characteristics shall be identified and the corresponding requirements quantified according to critical properties specified.

NOTE This may take the form, for example, of a failure mode and effect analysis (FMEA).

The set of performance requirements shall conform to the information obtained in accordance with 6.1.2.1.

6.1.3 Definition of a general study

6.1.3.1 Specification of ranges of service environment and usage

All types of environments where the component is intended to be used or being within the range of the study shall be specified, including static and dynamic mechanical stress.

The various types of environments may be grouped into a discrete number of classes, each class being representative for certain ranges of agent intensities.

Care shall be taken regarding the effect of various usages and positions of the component, as this can strongly govern the in-use conditions and possible synergistic effects of the degradation agents (see 6.2.3).

NOTE The actual in-use condition relevant to materials degradation is the microenvironment, i.e. the prevailing environmental condition in a layer adjacent to or at a component surface (e.g. pollutant concentration and driving rain), and within the component (e.g. mechanical stress).

6.1.3.2 Quantification of the set of performance requirements

First, a set of performance characteristics shall be identified from critical properties specified. Next, in order to limit the performance range to be covered by the service life analysis, the set of the lowest appropriate performance requirements for the component shall be quantified.

NOTE The set of performance requirements can include specifications on, for example, strength, optical transmission, acoustical insulation and æsthetical qualities.

The performance requirements shall conform to the information obtained in accordance with 6.1.3.1.

6.1.4 Characterization of the component

The component to be evaluated shall be characterized as thoroughly as relevant in terms of structure, physical properties and chemical composition.

6.1.5 Critical review considerations

Critical review is a technique to verify whether or not an SLP study has met the requirements in this part of ISO 15686 of methodology and reporting. Whether, how and by whom the critical review is to be conducted shall be planned and confirmed when defining the study.

A critical review of an SLP shall be conducted where the results are to be disclosed to the public.

For other applications, for example for company-internal product development, critical review may be omitted.

The process of critical reviewing is described in clause 7.

6.2 Preparation

6.2.1 General

After the range of the study has been defined, in accordance with 6.1, degradation agents, possible degradation mechanisms and how degradation can be accelerated or induced within ageing exposure programmes shall be identified and postulated.

6.2.2 Identification of degradation agents and their intensities

The type and intensity distribution of the expected degradation agents based on the knowledge as compiled in accordance with 6.1.2.1 or 6.1.3.1 shall be identified.

NOTE 1 It can be difficult to quantify the in-use intensity of biological agents and agents originating from the occupancy, but upper limits within the normal range can usually be established by professional judgement.

One or several reference environments shall be considered, the number depending on the range of the study. A list of relevant degradation agents is presented in Table 1.

NOTE 2 The agents are classified according to their nature. In general, external to the building the origin of the agents is either the atmosphere or the ground, whereas internal to the building the origin is related to occupancy or design and installations. However, although not stated in ISO 6241, an agent acting externally while originating as a design consequence can also occur, for instance from an incompatible neighbouring component. Furthermore, the influence of agents originating from the atmosphere to internal degradation should not be disregarded.

Table 1 — Degradation agents affecting the service life of building components ^a

Nature	Class
Mechanical agents	Gravitation Forces and imposed or restrained deformations Kinetic energy Vibrations and noises
Electromagnetic agents	Radiation Electricity Magnetism
Thermal agents	Extreme levels or fast alterations of temperature
Chemical agents	Water and solvents Oxidizing agents Reducing agents Acids Bases Salts Chemically neutral
Biological agents	Vegetable and microbial Animal
^a Condensed from ISO 6241:1984, Table 4.	

See also A.2.1.1 to A.2.1.3.

6.2.3 Agents related to occupancy and significance of installation and maintenance practices

Although agents related to occupancy are not often included in ageing exposure programmes, as they can affect the service life of building components, they shall be evaluated if deemed critical. Such a measure should be carried out either by means of inspection of buildings or in-use exposure, or both; see 6.4.3.3 and 6.4.3.5, respectively.

NOTE However, abuse is usually considered beyond the scope of test methods.

Normally, installation and possible maintenance undertaken for samples of the ageing exposure programme should follow practices recommended by the manufacturer or good practice if such are not given.

Evaluation of effects imposed by variations in installation and maintenance procedures may be included as a part of the study.

It is of crucial importance not to exaggerate any maintenance procedure, which may lead to erroneous PSLC compared to real use.

6.2.4 Identification of possible degradation mechanisms

All possible mechanisms by which the identified degradation agents are known or believed to induce changes in the properties of the component shall be identified.

NOTE The mechanisms can be identified at various levels. If, for instance, the chemistry of the component is well documented, it may be possible to identify mechanisms based upon specific chemical reactions, such as hydrolysis and photo-oxidation. If less is known about the chemical, mechanical, physical and biological reactions of the component, mechanisms may be defined in more general terms as, for example, thermal decomposition, volatilization of constituents, constituent diffusion, corrosion, fatigue, wear, shrinking/swelling and rotting. See also A.2.1.4.

6.2.5 Identification of possible effects of degradation

Possible effects of degradation on performance characteristics of the component shall be identified on the basis of data obtained in accordance with 6.2.2 and 6.2.4.

6.2.6 Choice of performance characteristics and performance evaluation techniques

The critical properties, corresponding to the set of performance requirements quantified in accordance with 6.1.2.2 or 6.1.3.2, shall be interpreted in terms of any of the performance characteristics found to be afflicted with degradation in accordance with 6.2.5.

For each of the performance characteristics selected, appropriate measurement and/or inspection techniques shall be chosen. To be able to perform an SLP in accordance with this part of ISO 15686, quantitative data shall be obtained. The initial values of performance characteristics selected shall be determined before the ageing exposure programme starts. (See also A.2.1.5.)

6.2.7 Feedback from other studies

Information from other studies, concluded or running, should always be sought.

NOTE Useful information can come from general knowledge of similar components, measurement techniques and exposure programme design to detailed data on performance-over-time functions of cases closely related to the case to be studied. In the latter case, in favourable circumstances, this can reduce the test volume and range required and/or reduce the test period considerably.

6.2.8 Postulations regarding ageing exposure programmes

With the information obtained in accordance with 6.2.2 to 6.2.7, postulations shall be made regarding specific procedures for inducing the identified mechanisms of degradation using the degradation agents identified.

When accelerated short-term exposure is used, care shall be taken to ensure that extreme intensity levels of degradation agents do not result in degradation mechanisms that would not be experienced in service.

NOTE The postulations that are made in this step lay the groundwork for selecting or designing preliminary exposure programmes.

6.3 Pretesting

6.3.1 General

Pretesting shall be based upon the postulates made in accordance with 6.2.8. A pretest shall provide for the selected performance characteristics to be evaluated before and after exposure to the degradation agents to which the component will be exposed in service, or at least to all degradation agents suspected to be of any significance. This shall, when properly performed

- establish the primary degradation agents and their order of importance,
- support or rule out the previously identified mechanisms by which property changes occur,
- establish the agent intensity levels needed to induce property changes and demonstrate how rapid changes in the selected performance characteristics can be induced by exposure to extreme intensities,

- contribute to a better understanding of the nature of the primary degradation agents leading to property changes and indicate additional or substitutional property changes that are likely to be relevant and useful as performance characteristics, and
- verify the adaptability of the measurement and inspection techniques chosen for the performance evaluation.

See also A.2.2

6.3.2 Intensities of degradation agents employed in pretests

Intensities shall be levelled in relation to the quantitative in-use distributions or ranges identified in accordance with 6.2.2.

EXAMPLE Weather and climatological data for the most extreme climates in which the component will be used can form the basis for the choice of intensities of these agents in the pretests.

6.4 Ageing exposure programmes

6.4.1 General

The full exposure programme shall be carefully designed so as to provide data required in accordance with the range and aim of the study, considering the information and data obtained by the procedures described above.

Although evident from the definitions of agent (see ISO 15686-1:2000, 3.10) and ageing exposure (see 3.2.2), it should be emphasized that ageing exposure in this context should be regarded in a broad sense, i.e. ageing exposure refers to any kind of set-up when samples are subjected to degradation agents according to Table 1. For instance, when applying mechanical loads, samples are exposed to mechanical agents.

6.4.2 Design and performance of exposure programmes

As component properties and environmental characteristics are stochastic variables, i.e. they are represented by statistical distributions, the exposure programme, irrespective of the type, shall be designed, if feasible, to comprise a multiplicity of specimens or test objects, enabling a statistical treatment of test data.

This may be difficult to follow in some cases when dealing with experimental building and in-use-exposure, see 6.4.3.4 and 6.4.3.5, respectively, or when tests are very costly. In such cases distribution widths or ranges should, if possible, be estimated from other sources of information.

For all kind of exposure programmes, the conditions shall be recorded continuously or at sufficiently short intervals, for the following reasons (partially depending on the type of exposure programme):

- to enable establishment of performance-over-time or dose-response functions, see A.2.4.2 and A.2.4.3;
- to provide a relationship between different exposure periods and sites (for exposure programmes with uncontrolled conditions); see 6.4.3.2 a) and b);
- to check that the actual environmental conditions are representative for the environmental type (for exposure programmes with uncontrolled conditions);
- to verify that the intended degradation agent intensities are achieved (for exposure programmes with controlled conditions).

NOTE 1 The sources of recording may vary from official environmental data bases to detailed measurements of degradation agent intensities at or in the vicinity of the test samples.

NOTE 2 In the field of environmental characterization a strong development occurs, e.g. towards standardized measurement techniques and improved dispersion models, hosted in GIS (Geographical Information Systems) software environments, facilitating mapping of environmental data from meso/local levels to micro levels.

6.4.3 Long-term exposures

6.4.3.1 Range and type

The exposure programme shall either consist of an actual in-use exposure of a complete system in which feedback information on the performance of the components included is obtained over time, or involve exposure of selected components. An exposure programme shall be designed so that all agents of importance are considered.

Even for a specific study, the exposure should preferably take place in more than one type of service environment.

The different ways of generating data from long-term exposures are described in the following four categories:

- field exposure, see 6.4.3.2;
- inspection of buildings, see 6.4.3.3;
- exposure in experimental buildings, see 6.4.3.4;
- in-use exposure, see 6.4.3.5.

6.4.3.2 Field exposure

Standardized ways of performing atmospheric field exposures have been in operation for some time, see A.2.3.1.1.

It is essential to note that

- a) the results of a field exposure relate to the specific exposure site and that the transformation of data to relate to another geographic location requires knowledge of performance-over-time or dose-response and environmental characteristics,
- b) care should be taken when drawing conclusions from one exposure period to another, especially if the time of exposure is short, and
- c) exposing component samples to the environment may be regarded as an accelerated exposure (for instance at exposure racks with inclination 45° and directed towards the sun) with the degree of acceleration varying with the type of component under exposure.

6.4.3.3 Inspection of buildings

The service life of building components may be evaluated through inspection of buildings. As many buildings as feasible should be included in the study by means of statistical sampling methods.

See also A.2.3.1.2.

6.4.3.4 Exposure in experimental buildings

Durability evaluations of building components may be carried out by exposing the component in dedicated experimental test buildings.

Similar difficulties may apply as outlined under field exposure, see 6.4.3.2.

See also A.2.3.1.3.

6.4.3.5 In-use exposure

In-use exposure is an intentional use of a component in a full-scale building or structure under normal use, in order to evaluate the service life of the component.

See also A.2.3.1.4.

6.4.4 Short-term exposures

6.4.4.1 Accelerated short-term exposures

Accelerated short-term exposures should normally be designed from information obtained in pretests and/or from long-term exposure of the same or similar components. In general the intensity of agents in these exposure programmes should be less than in pretests to reduce the likelihood of causing degradation by mechanisms that are not encountered in service. The properties measured before and after ageing shall be those that have been identified as most useful or most important for indicating degradation. The possibility of synergistic effects between degradation agents shall be considered.

It shall be confirmed that degradation mechanisms and the relative reaction rates induced by accelerated short-term exposures are the same or at least similar to those observed in service.

See also A.2.3.2.

6.4.4.2 Short-term in-use exposures

Short-term exposures are usually, but not always, based upon accelerated ageing. In cases when property changes leading to degradation can be detected at early stages (typically by means of high-sensitive surface analysis instruments), an exposure set-up employing in-use conditions, i.e. designs similar to those for long-term exposures, can be utilized.

6.4.5 Performance evaluation

6.4.5.1 Evaluation scheme

During exposure the performance shall be evaluated in terms of the selected performance characteristics by means of the measurement and inspection techniques chosen, see 6.2.6. The evaluation shall take place at sufficiently narrow intervals, in accordance with the range and aim of the study. In order to verify that the degradation mechanisms do not change with time of exposure, the exposure programme shall permit the most important degradation mechanisms to be identified in a relatively short period of time.

The exposure shall, except for a short-term in-use exposure, be run such that at least one of the performance characteristics, i.e. the one corresponding to the terminal critical property, retained at the end of exposure has declined to a level equal to or below the corresponding performance requirement for a statistically satisfactory number of samples.

See also A.2.3.3.

6.4.5.2 Comparison of types of degradation

The types and range of degradation obtained from accelerated short-term exposures shall be compared with those from in-use conditions. If mechanisms are induced, not being representative of those obtained under the in-use conditions, the ageing exposure programmes shall be altered after reassessing the information obtained in accordance with 6.1 to 6.3.

6.5 Analysis and interpretation

Degradation models in terms of the PSLDC (or PSLC) shall be established by processing the results of the performance evaluations carried out at various ageing exposure programmes (long-term exposures, short-term exposures or combinations thereof) in two or three steps.

- a) From performance evaluation data, performance-over-time functions or dose-response functions for the exposure conditions employed are established.
- b) If the exposure conditions employed do not cover all exposure conditions in which the component is to be assessed, by synthesizing, modelling and/or interpolating/extrapolating the performance-over-time or dose-

response functions established in step a), a performance-over-time or dose-response function for a hypothetical condition may be established.

- c) A PSLDC (or PSLC) is resolved from performance-over-time or dose-response functions established in step a) or b) by inserting the quantified set of performance requirements to be fulfilled by the component tested, expressed in terms of the performance characteristics or degradation indicators employed in the exposure programmes. The PSLDC is determined by the performance-over-time function or dose-response function of the critical property found to be the terminal critical property. (When dealing with proper dose-response functions the dose variable(s) is separated into time and intensity variables in order to obtain the time dimension and, finally, the service life in an explicit form.)

Extra caution shall be taken with all extrapolations (see A.2.4.1); support from mechanisms-based models is strongly recommended.

In addition to interpolation/extrapolation in exposure conditions, interpolation/extrapolation in time and material properties (for similar components) may be utilized.

NOTE In a specific study (see 6.1.2), the analysis may be limited to the PSLDC (or PSLC) at the specified conditions and its sensitivity to moderate variations in the service environment and the set of performance requirements, typically expressed as partial derivatives.

See also A.2.4.

7 Critical review

7.1 General description of critical review

The critical review process shall ensure that

- the methods used to carry out the SLP are consistent with this part of ISO 15686,
- the methods used to carry out the SLP are scientifically and technically valid,
- any external data used are appropriate and reasonable,
- the interpretations reflect the limitations identified and the goal of the study, and
- the reporting is transparent and consistent, and suitable to inform the intended audience (e.g. the public or the client experts).

7.2 Need and requirements for critical review

The use of SLP results to support planning of buildings raises special concerns, since this application will affect interested parties external to the SLP study, in which case a critical review shall be conducted. In some applications, divergent critical review requirements may be imposed from other parties, e.g. from certification and technical approval bodies. Such compulsory measures can increase the stringency compared to, but shall not be used to circumvent the requirements of the critical review process as described in this part of ISO 15686.

7.3 Process of critical review

If an SLP study is to be critically reviewed, the scope of the critical review shall be defined when defining the study. The scope shall identify why the critical review is being undertaken, what will be covered and to what level of detail, and who needs to be involved in the process.

A critical review shall be carried out by an internal or, preferably, an external expert, in any circumstances independent of the study. The expert shall be familiar with the requirements of this part of ISO 15686 and have scientific and technical expertise. A review statement shall be prepared, either by the person conducting the SLP

study and then reviewed by the expert, or by the expert in its entirety. The review statement, comments by the commissioned specialist and any response to recommendations made by the reviewer shall be included in the report.

8 Reporting

The results of the study shall be reported to the intended audience. The findings of all analyses, data, methods, assumptions and limitations shall be transparent and presented in sufficient detail to allow the reader to assess the quality of the information. The report shall also allow the results and interpretation to be used in a manner consistent with the goals of the study.

All results shall be reported together with measured, calculated or estimated statistical distributions.

NOTE Distributions may, for example, be expressed in terms of distribution functions, standard deviations or levels of confidence.

As short-term exposures typically involve a significant degree of uncertainty, the results shall be considered with care.

A report on an SLP shall, wherever applicable, include the following information:

- a) General aspects:
 - 1) details of the commissioning client of the SLP;
 - 2) details of the commissioned specialist of the SLP;
 - 3) date and identification number of the report;
 - 4) statement that the study has been conducted in accordance with all the requirements of this part of ISO 15686.
- b) Goal and range definition.
- c) Component description:
 - 1) main or other identification marks of the components;
 - 2) designation of the components in accordance with recommendations or prescriptions expressed in official standards or regulations;
 - 3) description of the components;
 - 4) properties of the components such as performance data and model descriptions;
 - 5) the name and address of manufacturer or supplier of the components;
 - 6) date of supply of the components.

When actual tests have been performed, include the following.

- d) Exposure programme description, including exposure at pretests:
 - 1) general exposure situation, i.e. at outdoor exposure data as latitude, longitude, altitude, distance from coast, special factors like high wind, climate type, etc.;
 - 2) design of the exposure programme, including possible maintenance undertaken for samples, and any accidental deviations thereof;

- 3) environmental data (including any neighbouring dissimilar components), degradation agent intensities and cycling data;
 - 4) exposure period.
- e) Performance evaluation description, including evaluation at pretests:
- 1) methods of measurements or inspections;
 - 2) component data, results of measurements or inspections.
- f) Interpretation of data:
- 1) account for external data sources utilized;
 - 2) specific models or algorithms;
 - 3) results of interpretation;
 - 4) limitations of the interpretation, related to methodology as well as to data;
 - 5) data quality assessment.
- g) Critical review:
- 1) details of reviewers;
 - 2) critical review reports;
 - 3) responses to recommendations.

When the results of the SLP are to be communicated to any third party (i.e. an interested party other than the commissioning client and the commissioned specialist of the study), a third-party report should be prepared, containing all information indicated above, except what is agreed between the commissioning client and the commissioned specialist to be closed information. This report constitutes a reference document and should be made available to any third party to whom the communication is made.

NOTE ISO 15686-4 will provide more detailed guidance on methods of presenting and formatting data on SLP.

Annex A (informative)

Guidance on process of SLP

A.1 General

A.1.1 Brief description of SLP (see 5.1)

Figure A.1 indicates possible variable patterns of performance over time (so-called performance-over-time functions) for particular performance characteristics of a component in a particular service environment. (The saw-toothed curve illustrates a typical progressive deterioration process reversed by regular maintenance.) Performance characteristics are measurable, physical quantities for each of the critical properties identified for the tested component.

When only one critical property is identified, once the performance-over-time function and the corresponding performance requirement have been determined, the PSLC is given as the point of time when the performance-over-time function and the performance requirement intersect. If several critical properties are identified, and thus several performance-over-time functions and corresponding performance requirements are determined, the PSLC is given by the intersection predicted to take place first.

Thus, the critical property quantified by the performance characteristics that will first fall below its performance requirement is decisive for the service life and this property, therefore, is designated the terminal critical property. The terminal critical property can vary from case to case, depending on the actual environment and the actual set of performance requirements.

However, in reality, performance over time describes a statistical distribution of the decline in the performance characteristic (see A.2.4.2). In practice, most quantities involved in an SLP process are statistically distributed and should therefore be treated by appropriate statistical methods. This is also true of, for example, component properties, degradation agent intensities and, consequently, service lives.




Figure A.1 — Hypothetical performance-over-time functions

Rather than performance characteristics, related degradation indicators may be measured over time, as for instance when so-called dose-response functions are employed. Such functions relate the doses of the most important degradation agents to one or a few degradation indicators (see A.2.4.3).

A.2 Methodological framework

A.2.1 Preparation

A.2.1.1 Identification of degradation agents (see 6.2.2)

The most relevant agents within ageing exposure programmes on building components usually originate from the atmosphere, such as the following:

- freeze-thaw and wind (mechanical);
- solar radiation (electromagnetic);
- temperature: elevated, depressed and pulsed (thermal);
- precipitation: solid, liquid, vapour (chemical);
- normal air constituents (chemical);
- air contaminants: gases, aerosols, particulates (chemical).

Some quantitative information on agents originating from the atmosphere is available from published weather and climatological data and from data published on air pollution. Classification of the environment in terms of its corrosivity on a number of standard materials, mainly metals, is also carried out for wide areas. Normally this work is carried out using a series of International Standards specifying how to classify atmospheric corrosivity, i.e. ISO 9223, ISO 9224, ISO 9225 and ISO 9226.

Dose-response functions (see A.2.4.3), relating the dose of agents, mainly of chemical nature, to the degradation of materials, are available from this work for certain classes of materials.

In the IEC 60721 series, intensities of agents affecting the performance of electric components are classified. Where appropriate, these standards may also be applied to the environment of building components.

A.2.1.2 Combined agents and combination of agents

Some agents are combined by more than one co-existing factor (e.g. an imposed force such as freeze-thaw stress is due to cycling temperature and to the presence of water). At the same time, water is solely a chemical agent. Temperature itself is the thermal agent, while for many chemical reactions the temperature is decisive for the reaction rate. Other agents of the same or different nature can give rise to significant synergistic effects [e.g. sulfur dioxide together with nitric oxides, and UV radiation together with oxygen (photo oxidation)]. Effects of combined agents and combinations of agents have to be thoroughly taken into account in all steps in a SLP.

A.2.1.3 Chemical and physical incompatibility between dissimilar components

Incompatibility between components is considered as an agent related to the design, and normally only occurs under particular conditions. By considering each component separately, incompatibility between a particular component and a neighbouring component can be treated analogously to, for instance, the case when the component is subjected to an agent originating from the atmosphere. That is, in general terms both the neighbouring component and the agent originating from the atmosphere are parts of the environment of the component, in accordance with ISO 6241:1984, 6.4.1. Incompatibility includes, for example, corrosion caused by contact between dissimilar metals when moisture is present, or stress caused by different thermal expansion coefficients of rigidly connected dissimilar components at extreme temperature conditions.

A.2.1.4 Identification of possible degradation mechanisms (see 6.2.4)

Limitations on the knowledge available will always exist. However, it is important to identify as many conceivable degradation mechanisms as possible. This reduces the possibility for error and improves the basis for establishing that mechanisms induced at exposure programmes, in particular at accelerated short-term exposures, are representative of those that occur in service.

A.2.1.5 Choice of performance characteristics and performance evaluation techniques (see 6.2.6)

Numerous inspection techniques exist with various degrees of sophistication. Standardized techniques are usually recommended just because they are standardized. However, apart from generally being phenomenological and very simple (too simple in certain cases), many of the standardized inspection techniques suffer from the fact that they rely upon subjective judgements of the practitioners. This makes comparisons between studies from different practitioners less reliable, which in fact counteracts the purpose of standardization. Accordingly, there is a need for further development in this field and the standardization of existing, more sophisticated techniques.

A.2.2 Pretesting

A.2.2.1 Biological and incompatibility agents (see 6.3)

Biological and incompatibility agents may not be important unless combined with the extreme values of other agents. For example, fungi and bacteria are most active in warm, moist locations; chemical incompatibility may only be important as long as liquid water is present between joined components; physical incompatibility may not be important unless there are large temperature changes. Accordingly, the effects of biological and incompatibility agents can usually be estimated along with pretests intended to determine the effect of the relevant main agents.

A.2.3 Ageing exposure programmes

A.2.3.1 Long-term exposures

A.2.3.1.1 Field exposure (see 6.4.3.2)

National and international atmospheric field exposure programmes have been performed on a vast number of materials; many are still ongoing. Evaluations of these programmes have resulted in the establishment of performance-over-time and dose-response functions (see A.2.4.2 and A.2.4.3), which can be taken into account as a source of information at SLP.

The most extensive exposure programme is the UN ECE ICP programme, aimed at classifying the effect of airborne acidifying pollutants on corrosion of materials. The programme involves field exposure at 39 sites in 12 European countries and in the United States and Canada.

Several International Standards are available specifying how to expose specific materials outdoors, for example ISO 8565, ISO 4607, ISO 877, ISO 2810 and ISO 4665-2. In addition, ISO 9226, which mainly specifies how to classify atmospheric corrosivity, also specifies exposure set-up.

A.2.3.1.2 Inspection of buildings (see 6.4.3.3)

Evaluating the service life of building components through inspection of buildings involves several obstacles:

- it may be difficult to obtain data of the history of the inspected components, i.e. data on the original performance values, information on installation and performed maintenance, etc.;
- normally the service environment cannot be controlled and may be difficult to monitor or measure and describe.

Feedback from practice of durability data by inspection of buildings has the advantage, when the investigations are properly designed, to give direct correlation between the state of components, the exposure environment and the building use.

A.2.3.1.3 Exposure in experimental buildings (see 6.4.3.4)

If the exposure programme and the test building are properly designed, this approach has several advantages. The most important advantage is that the building component can be tested in full scale (for example to measure the effective sound insulation performance).

A.2.3.1.4 In-use exposure (see 6.4.3.5)

The aim of this approach is to create an experimental situation as well observed as possible, where the component tested is under the influence of the full range of degradation agents of the in-use situation. There are several similarities between the use of experimental buildings and the in-use exposure approach.

The limitations of the in-use exposure approach are basically the same as for experimental buildings. The in-use environment affecting the component tested is normally more difficult or not at all possible to control, and may also be difficult to measure and describe.

In-use exposure is a necessary experimental approach in many cases when building components are to be subjected to degradation agents directly related to user action and behaviour.

A.2.3.2 Accelerated short-term exposures (see 6.4.4.1)

The aim of accelerated short-term exposures is to provide relatively rapid means of measuring the rate of property changes, typical of those that occur in long-term exposures under in-use conditions.

Accelerated short-term exposures may be structured into three major groups.

- a) **Reference components/Comparative exposures:** in the simplest form, such exposure programmes can be used to rank and classify new components in reference to existing components. However, when the degradation mechanisms are understood, by designing an exposure programme carefully and combining results from detailed long-term exposures on the same type of or similar (reference) components, an SLP is achievable.
- b) **Simulated and accelerated environmental exposures:** such exposure programmes attempt to simulate and accelerate the entire service environment, or at least the intensity of the agents to which the component is expected to be susceptible. The level of acceleration is normally estimated from relative intensities of the degradation agents and some degradation model.
- c) **Acceptance exposures:** usually such accelerated exposures are designed with a pass or fail performance requirement, relying on experience of the performance of similar components in specific environments. This type can at the best give a crude quantitative indication of the service life and is discouraged.

In order to confirm that degradation mechanisms and the relative reaction rates induced by accelerated short-term exposures are the same or at least similar as those observed in service, accelerated short-term exposures are often combined with in-use-condition short-term exposures (see 6.4.4.2). By measuring degradation at early stages under both exposure situations, a quick comparison can be made.

Accelerated short-term exposure, in combination with modelling, is particularly well suited to assess the effects of mechanical and thermal agents, static as well as cyclic.

Many International Standards are available specifying how to expose specific materials to artificial weathering, for example, ISO 4611, ISO 877, ISO 4892-2, ISO 4892-3, ISO 4892-4, ISO 291, ISO 9370, ISO 4582, ISO 4892-1, ISO 2809, ISO 3668, ISO 11341, ISO 11507, ISO 3668, ISO 4665-1, ISO 4665-2, ISO 4665-3, ISO 11758, ISO 11431, ISO 13638, ISO 14615, ISO 2135 and ISO/TR 11728.

A large number of International Standards for exposure of electric components is available in the IEC 60068-2 series, where mechanical agents are also considered. Several of these standards may be applicable to certain building components.