

---

---

**Metallic materials — Method of test  
for the determination of quasistatic  
fracture toughness of welds**

*Matériaux métalliques — Méthode d'essai pour la détermination de la  
ténacité quasi statique à la rupture des soudures*

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 15653:2018



STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 15653:2018



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland  
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax +41 22 749 09 47  
copyright@iso.org  
www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

	Page
Foreword .....	v
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Normative references</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>4 Symbols and units</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>5 Principle</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>6 Choice of specimen design, specimen orientation and notch location</b> .....	<b>4</b>
6.1 Classification of target area for notching .....	4
6.2 Specimen design .....	4
6.3 Specimen and crack plane orientation .....	4
<b>7 Pre-machining metallography</b> .....	<b>8</b>
7.1 Microstructural assessment of macrosections .....	8
7.2 Additional requirements for heat-affected zone tests .....	9
<b>8 Machining</b> .....	<b>9</b>
8.1 Tolerances on specimen dimensions .....	9
8.2 Notch placement for through-thickness notched specimens .....	10
8.3 Notch placement for surface-notched specimens .....	10
8.4 Notch machining .....	11
<b>9 Specimen preparation</b> .....	<b>16</b>
9.1 Fatigue precracking .....	16
9.2 Side grooving .....	16
<b>10 Test apparatus, requirements and test procedure</b> .....	<b>16</b>
<b>11 Post-test metallography</b> .....	<b>16</b>
11.1 General .....	16
11.2 Through-thickness notched specimens .....	17
11.2.1 Sectioning .....	17
11.2.2 Assessment .....	17
11.3 Surface-notched specimens .....	17
11.3.1 Sectioning .....	17
11.3.2 Assessment .....	17
11.4 Assessment of pop-in .....	17
<b>12 Post-test analysis</b> .....	<b>20</b>
12.1 Choice of tensile properties .....	20
12.2 Determination of fracture toughness .....	21
12.2.1 $K_{Ic}$ .....	21
12.2.2 $\delta$ .....	21
12.2.3 $J$ .....	22
12.2.4 Shallow-notched bend specimen .....	22
12.3 Qualification requirements .....	23
12.3.1 General .....	23
12.3.2 Weld-width-to-crack-ligament ratio .....	23
12.3.3 Crack front straightness .....	23
12.3.4 Symbols used to identify fracture toughness values .....	25
12.3.5 Through-thickness notched specimens .....	25
12.3.6 Surface-notched specimens .....	25
<b>13 Test report</b> .....	<b>26</b>
<b>Annex A (informative) Examples of notch locations</b> .....	<b>27</b>
<b>Annex B (informative) Examples of pre-test and post-test metallography</b> .....	<b>29</b>

<b>Annex C</b> (informative) <b>Residual-stress modification and precracking technique</b> .....	<b>31</b>
<b>Annex D</b> (normative) <b>Assessment of pop-in</b> .....	<b>35</b>
<b>Annex E</b> (informative) <b>Shallow-notched bend specimen testing</b> .....	<b>42</b>
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	<b>45</b>

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 15653:2018

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see the following URL: [www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html](http://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 164, *Mechanical testing of metals*, Subcommittee SC 4, *Toughness testing — Fracture (F), Pendulum (P), Tear (T)*.

This second edition of ISO 15653 cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 15653:2010), which has been technically revised.

The main changes compared to the previous edition are as follows:

- new formulae for the calculation of single-point determination of CTOD ([12.2.2](#)) have been added;
- introduction for reverse bending in [C.3](#) has been added;
- assessment of pop-in in [D.1](#) has been clarified;
- new formula for the calculation for single-point determination of CTOD in shallow notched specimens in [E.4](#) has been added.

[STANDARDSISO.COM](https://standardsiso.com) : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 15653:2018

# Metallic materials — Method of test for the determination of quasistatic fracture toughness of welds

## 1 Scope

This document specifies methods for determining fracture toughness in terms of stress intensity factor ( $K$ ), crack tip opening displacement or CTOD ( $\delta$ ) and experimental equivalent of the  $J$ -integral for welds in metallic materials ( $J$ ).

This document complements ISO 12135, which covers all aspects of fracture toughness testing of parent metal and which needs to be used in conjunction with this document. This document describes methods for determining point values of fracture toughness. It should not be considered a way of obtaining a valid  $R$ -curve (resistance-to-crack-extension curve). However, the specimen preparation methods described in this document could be usefully employed when determining  $R$ -curves for welds. The methods use fatigue precracked specimens which have been notched, after welding, in a specific target area in the weld. Methods are described to evaluate the suitability of a weld for notch placement within the target area, which is either within the weld metal or within the weld heat-affected zone (HAZ), and then, where appropriate, to evaluate the effectiveness of the fatigue crack in sampling these areas.

## 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 12135:2016, *Metallic materials — Unified method of test for the determination of quasistatic fracture toughness*

## 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 12135 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

### 3.1

#### stretch zone width

##### SZW

increase in crack length associated with crack tip blunting, i.e. prior to the onset of unstable crack extension, *pop-in* (3.3) or slow stable crack extension, and occurring in the same plane as the fatigue precrack

### 3.2

#### target area

intended fatigue crack tip position within the *weld metal* (3.7) or *HAZ* (3.9)

### 3.3

#### pop-in

abrupt discontinuity in the force versus displacement record, featured as a sudden increase in displacement and, generally, a sudden decrease in force, subsequent to which displacement and force increase to above their values at the initiation of the discontinuity

3.4

**local compression**

controlled compression applied to specimens in the thickness direction on the unnotched ligament prior to fatigue cracking using hardened steel platens

Note 1 to entry: See [Annex C](#).

3.5

**welding**

operation in which two or more parts are united by means of heat, friction, pressure or all three of these, in such a way that there is continuity in the nature of the metal between these parts

Note 1 to entry: Filler metal, the melting temperature of which is of the same order as that of the parent metal, may or may not be used.

3.6

**weld**

union of pieces of metal made by *welding* ([3.5](#))

3.7

**weld metal**

all metal melted during the making of a *weld* ([3.6](#)) and retained in the weld

3.8

**parent metal**

metal to be joined by *welding* ([3.5](#))

3.9

**heat-affected zone**

**HAZ**

zone in the parent metal that is metallurgically affected by the heat of *welding* ([3.5](#))

3.10

**fusion line**

**FL**

junction between the *weld metal* ([3.7](#)) and the *parent metal* ([3.8](#)) heat-affected zone

3.11

**weld positional**

**WP**

target position for the fatigue crack tip, defined with respect to a reference line

Note 1 to entry: See [Figure A.1](#) for examples.

3.12

**specific microstructure**

**SM**

target microstructure for the fatigue crack tip

Note 1 to entry: See [Figure A.2](#) for examples.

3.13

**specimen blank**

specimen prepared from *weld metal* ([3.7](#)) plus *parent metal* ([3.8](#)) prior to notching

3.14

**post-weld heat treatment**

heat treatment applied after *welding* ([3.5](#)) for the purpose of reducing residual stresses or modifying *weld* ([3.6](#)) properties

## 4 Symbols and units

For the purposes of this document, the symbols and units given in [Table 1](#) apply in addition to those in ISO 12135.

**Table 1 — Symbols and units**

Symbol	Unit	Designation
$d_1, d_2$	mm	Lengths of microstructural features associated with pop-in.
$h$	mm	Effective weld width, defined as shortest distance between fatigue crack tip and weld fusion line within the central 75 % of the thickness (see <a href="#">Figures 13</a> and <a href="#">14</a> ).
HV10		Vickers hardness using 10 kg force.
N		Normal to welding direction.
P		Parallel to welding direction.
Q		Weld thickness direction.
$R_{p0,2b}$	MPa	0,2 % offset yield strength of parent metal at the temperature of the fracture test.
$R_{p0,2w}$	MPa	0,2 % offset yield strength of weld metal at the temperature of the fracture test.
$R_{mb}$	MPa	Tensile strength of parent metal at the temperature of the fracture test.
$R_{mw}$	MPa	Tensile strength of weld metal at the temperature of the fracture test.
$s_1$	mm	Distance between crack tip and target area measured in the crack plane (see <a href="#">Figure 12</a> ).
$s_2$	mm	Distance between crack tip and target area measured perpendicular to the crack plane (see <a href="#">Figure 12</a> ).
$V$	mm	Crack mouth opening displacement at notch edge in bend specimen and that at load line in compact specimen.
$V_g$	mm	Displacement measured by clip gauge mounted on knife edges.
$V_{g1}$	mm	Displacement measured with the double clip gauge arrangement described in <a href="#">E.3</a> and illustrated in <a href="#">Figure E.1</a> .
$V_{g2}$	mm	Displacement measured with the double clip gauge arrangement described in <a href="#">E.3</a> and illustrated in <a href="#">Figure E.1</a> .
X		Direction parallel to primary grain flow of parent metal.
Y		Direction transverse to primary grain flow and to thickness of parent metal.
Z		Direction through thickness of parent metal.
$\Delta a_{pop}$	mm	Maximum length of brittle crack extension (beyond SZW; see <a href="#">3.1</a> ) associated with pop-in.
$\lambda$	mm	Length of specific microstructure measured in pre-test or post-test metallography (see <a href="#">Figure B.2</a> ).

## 5 Principle

This document specifies procedures for the determination of fracture toughness on notched-plus-fatigue-cracked specimens taken from welds. It pertains to situations where the fatigue crack tip is

- located in relation to a weld feature of interest, referred to as “weld positional” (WP), and
- specifically located within a microstructure of interest, referred to as “specific microstructure” (SM).

Metallographic examination of the weld is used to confirm that the target weld feature and/or microstructure is indeed present at the crack tip and in sufficient quantity for testing.

Specimen geometry and notch orientation are chosen, and a fatigue crack then extended from the specimen's notch tip into the target weld feature or microstructure by applying a controlled alternating force to the specimen. The purpose of the test is to determine weld fracture toughness in the absence of significant residual welding stresses. To achieve this and to produce a straight-fronted fatigue crack,

modifications to the fatigue precracking procedure may be required. These modifications are usually necessary when testing as-welded or partially stress-relieved welds.

The fracture toughness test is performed and evaluated in accordance with ISO 12135, but subject to additional requirements of this test method regarding post-test analysis (see [12.2.1](#), [12.2.2](#) and [12.2.3](#)) and qualification (see [12.3](#)).

Post-test metallography is often required to make certain that the crack tip was located in the target weld feature and/or microstructure and to determine the significance of pop-ins.

The sequence of operations is summarized in [Figure 1](#).

## 6 Choice of specimen design, specimen orientation and notch location

### 6.1 Classification of target area for notching

A specimen selected for weld positional (WP) testing is intended to test a defined weld region with respect to a reference position (e.g. the weld metal centreline).

A specimen selected for specific microstructure (SM) testing is intended to sample a specific microstructure along the whole or part of the crack front length within the central 75 % of the specimen thickness.

NOTE Some examples of WP and SM notch locations are given in [Annex A](#).

WP weld metal centreline notch locations sampling predominantly grain-refined regions may give misleading (overly high) values of fracture toughness for misaligned two-pass and parallel multi-pass welds. For these welds, it is recommended that the SM notch locations shown in [Figures A.2 d\) and e\)](#), respectively, be used.

### 6.2 Specimen design

Specimen design shall be of compact or single-edge-notched bend configuration as defined in ISO 12135 and may be plain-sided or side-grooved. Bend specimens notched into the plate thickness (see [Figures 2, 3 and 4](#), parent metal specimens XY and YX and weld metal specimens NP and PN) are referred to as through-thickness notched specimens, while those notched into the planar surface of the plate (see [Figures 2, 3 and 4](#), parent metal specimens XZ and YZ and weld metal specimens NQ and PQ) are referred to as surface-notched specimens.

NOTE Tolerances on weld specimen dimensions are less stringent than those for testing parent metal (see [8.1](#)).

Test specimens shall have the dimension  $B$  or  $W$  (see [Figure 5](#)) equal to the full thickness of the parent metal adjacent to the weld to be tested (excluding weld overfill).

Testing of sub-sized (i.e.  $B$  or  $W < \text{full thickness}$  in directions Q for weld and Z for parent metal in [Figures 2, 3 and 4](#)) and/or side-grooved specimens is permitted, but shall be properly identified as such in the test report. Results from sub-sized and/or side-grooved specimens may differ from those from full-thickness specimens owing to size effects and/or different microstructural regions being tested.

### 6.3 Specimen and crack plane orientation

Specimen and crack plane orientation relative to the weld and parent metal working directions shall be defined using the identification system described in [Figures 2, 3 and 4](#).





Figure 1 — Flow chart for testing



**Key**

- 1 rolling direction
- N normal to weld direction
- P parallel to weld direction
- Q weld thickness direction


NOTE 1 The first letter in the designation is the direction normal to the crack plane.

NOTE 2 The second letter in the designation is the expected direction of crack propagation.

NOTE 3 See ISO 3785 for the definitions of X, Y and Z.

**Figure 2 — Crack plane orientation codes for fracture toughness specimens of parent metal and weld metal**

As shown in [Figure 2](#), specimen orientations NP and PN shall be referred to as through-thickness notched, while specimen orientations NQ and PQ shall be referred to as surface-notched.




**Key**


- 1 HAZ
- 2 weld
- 3 weld specimen orientation NP/XY
- 4 weld specimen orientation NP/YX
- 5 through-crack NP/ZX or NP/ZY
- X rolling direction
- Q weld thickness direction

NOTE For tests of the HAZ, where the rolling direction of the parent metal may affect resistance to crack extension, the weld and parent metal orientations may be combined to give both the weld direction and the parent metal rolling direction as shown in this figure and [Figure 4](#).

**Figure 3 — Crack plane orientation code for fracture toughness specimens for testing the HAZ of a typical butt weld and cruciform joint**



a) Typical butt weld



b) Angled cruciform joint

**Key**

1 rolling direction

NOTE For tests of the HAZ, where the rolling direction of the parent metal may affect resistance to crack extension, the weld and parent metal orientations may be combined to give both the weld direction and the parent metal rolling direction as shown in this figure and [Figure 3](#).

**Figure 4 — Crack plane orientation codes for fracture toughness specimens for testing the HAZ at an angle,  $\alpha$ , to the parent metal rolling direction for a typical butt weld and angled cruciform joint**

**7 Pre-machining metallography**

**7.1 Microstructural assessment of macrosections**

When the notch target area is defined as SM, either separate macrosections or the ends of the welds shall be prepared with the plane of the section perpendicular to the welding direction. These transverse weld sections shall bound the length of weld to be tested to ensure that the target microstructure is present at the expected crack tip position and in sufficient quantity for testing. The macrosections shall be polished, etched and examined at a magnification suitable to identify the target area prior to specimen manufacture. Where separate macrosections are prepared, their positions along the weld shall be recorded.

Examination of the macrosections shall be used to establish that

- a) in a through-thickness notched specimen, the intended crack tip is likely to reside in the target area within the central 75 % of the thickness, and

b) in a surface-notched specimen, the intended crack tip is no more than 0,5 mm from the target area.

If the desired microstructure is not present, there is insufficient quantity to test, or the crack tip position tolerances cannot be achieved, the weld shall be rejected as unsuitable for testing to the SM criteria. In this case, a new target area may be selected or a new weld prepared. If the bend specimen is to be employed and the specific microstructure is available in sufficient quantity to test, but the crack tip position tolerances cannot be achieved, the shallow-notched specimen testing procedures described in [Annex E](#) may be used by agreement between the parties involved.

Owing to the lower crack tip constraint associated with a shallow notch, the fracture toughness value determined from a shallow-notched specimen ( $0,10 \leq a_0/W \leq 0,45$ ) may be higher than that obtained from a standard notched specimen ( $0,45 \leq a_0/W \leq 0,70$ ) for the same crack tip microstructure. The significance of this potential difference shall be considered when a shallow-notched specimen is to be used.

## 7.2 Additional requirements for heat-affected zone tests

When the target area is SM in the HAZ, microstructural examinations additional to those in [7.1](#) shall be conducted on the polished and etched macrosection to determine whether or not the target microstructure is within the central 75 % of the thickness and in sufficient quantity for a successful test.

The measured positions and lengths of the target microstructure may optionally be presented in map form (an example is shown in [Annex B](#)). If such a map is drawn, it shall include the full macrosection thickness, showing the positions of the target microstructure. The percentage of target microstructure shall be calculated over the central 75 % of the specimen thickness.

Where surface-notched specimens are selected, the macrosection shall be used to confirm that the target microstructure is present within the range  $0,45 \leq a_0/W \leq 0,70$ .

If it is considered unlikely that the fatigue crack tip is placed in accordance with the SM acceptance criteria, then consideration shall be given to revising the target area, preparing a new weld or using a shallow-notched specimen as described in [7.1](#).

## 8 Machining

### 8.1 Tolerances on specimen dimensions

Specimen blanks shall be machined from the product so that the target area identified for testing can be successfully notched. Blanks shall be machined to the dimensional tolerances defined here prior to notching.

Compact specimens shall meet the dimensional requirements of ISO 12135. Standard bend specimens shall conform to [Figure 5](#). Shallow-notched bend specimens (see [7.1](#), [7.2](#) and [Annex E](#)) shall likewise conform to [Figure 5](#), except that the relative crack length shall be in the range  $0,10 \leq a_0/W \leq 0,45$ .

NOTE 1 The dimensional tolerances in [Figure 5](#) for the standard single-edge-notched bend specimen are intentionally less stringent than those of ISO 12135 in order to minimize alteration of the original weld product.

Weld misalignment, weld distortion and specimen blank curvature (for blanks removed from pipe sections) shall conform to the requirements of [Figure 6](#). The straightness requirement of 2,5 % of  $W$  on specimen blank sides applies to pipe curvatures (expressed as the ratio of pipe radius to weld thickness)  $\geq 10$ . Welded joints not meeting the specified straightness/misalignment requirements shall be straightened by local bending prior to notching. The points of straightening-force application shall be located at a minimum distance  $B$  from the region to be notched. It is essential that the region to be notched is not deformed by straightening operations. A method for straightening specimen blanks from distorted or curved sections is illustrated in [Figure 7](#).

When it is not possible to straighten a specimen blank taken from pipe, a rectangular block of test material may be cut from the pipe and joined by welding to suitable extension pieces. The total length of the test block and extension pieces shall give a specimen of sufficient length to satisfy the curvature

requirements of [Figure 6](#). The weld joints shall be sufficiently distant so as not to affect the target microstructure.

NOTE 2 Laser and electron beam welding processes have proved useful in producing narrow joints with low distortion between the test block and the extension pieces.

When a full section thickness specimen is intended, machining shall be kept to a minimum in order to meet the tolerance requirements and the requirements for local compression (see [C.2](#)).

Weld overfill shall be machined level with the original product surface.

When the metal thicknesses on each side of the weld differ by 10 % or more, the blank shall be machined down to the thickness of the thinner side. In such cases, the original and final specimen blank dimensions shall be reported.

## 8.2 Notch placement for through-thickness notched specimens

The procedure for through-thickness notch placement for the NP crack plane orientation is illustrated in [Figure 8](#). Both the surface to be notched (side A) and the opposite surface (side B) are ground and etched to reveal the weld and HAZ. A reference line is scribed on each prepared surface A and B normal to the specimen axis  $\pm 5^\circ$  and along the targeted microstructure. These scribed lines are carried over onto the surfaces normal to the prepared surfaces. A new line is then constructed equidistant between the carried-over lines. This line is used to delineate the intended plane of the notch to be machined into surface A.

NOTE This procedure is designed to ensure that the final crack tip is in the targeted microstructure (especially if it is the HAZ) when the specimen axis is not perpendicular to the weld direction and  $a_0/W = 0,5$ . If  $a_0/W \neq 0,5$ , the line constructed to delineate the intended plane of the machined notch is adjusted laterally to ensure that the final crack tip is in the targeted microstructure.


## 8.3 Notch placement for surface-notched specimens

The procedure for surface-notch placement for the NP crack plane orientation is illustrated in [Figure 9](#). The side surfaces (those at right angles to the surface to be notched) are ground and etched to reveal the weld metal and HAZ. Reference lines are scribed upwards from the selected target-microstructure area to the surface to be notched. Perpendiculars emanating from the scribe lines (normal to the specimen axis  $\pm 5^\circ$ ) are marked (again by scribing) on the surface to be notched. A new line is constructed equidistant between the two lines. This line is used to delineate the intended plane of the machined notch.


NOTE This procedure is designed to ensure that the final crack tip, at the specimen mid-thickness, is in the targeted microstructure when the specimen axis is not perpendicular to the weld direction.

### 8.4 Notch machining

Notch machining shall follow the guidelines provided in ISO 12135.



a) Rectangular-section specimen



**b) Square-section specimen**


**a) Rectangular-section specimen**

Width =  $W$   
 Thickness =  $B = 0,5W$   
 Crack length =  $a = 0,45W$  to  $0,7W$   
 Loading span =  $4W$   
 Notch width =  $0,062 5W_{\max}$   
 Specimen straightness; see [Figure 6](#)

**b) Square-section specimen**

Width =  $W$   
 Thickness =  $B = W$   
 Crack length =  $a = 0,45W$  to  $0,7W$   
 Loading span =  $4W$   
 Notch width =  $0,062 5W_{\max}$   
 Specimen straightness; see [Figure 6](#)


**Figure 5 — Proportional dimensions and tolerances for bend specimens**




**Key**

- 1 loading points
- 2 curved surface due to tube radius  $4W = \text{span}$


**Figure 6 — Tolerances for misalignment, distortion and curvature in single-edge-notched bend specimens**



a) To reduce angular distortion



b) To reduce curvature of specimen blank from pipe  
(each specimen arm straightened separately)




c) Resultant "gull wing" specimen blank shape

**Key**

- 1 applied straightening force
- 2 weld

**Figure 7 — Method for straightening bend specimens**




**Key**

- |   |                         |   |                         |
|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 1 | reference scribe line A | 4 | reference scribe line B |
| 2 | fusion line             | 5 | side A (notched side)   |
| 3 | side B (unnotched side) | 6 | notch                   |

NOTE NP crack plane orientation.

**Figure 8 — Notch placement procedure using reference scribe lines in a through-thickness notched specimen**



**Key**

- |   |                         |   |                         |
|---|-------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 1 | notch                   | 5 | reference scribe line A |
| 2 | fusion line             | 6 | side A                  |
| 3 | notched side            | 7 | side B                  |
| 4 | reference scribe line B |   |                         |

NOTE NP crack plane orientation.

**Figure 9 — Notch placement procedure in a surface-notched specimen**

## 9 Specimen preparation

### 9.1 Fatigue precracking

Fatigue precracking shall be carried out in accordance with ISO 12135. For specimens where the intended fatigue crack tip is located in weld metal, the calculation of the maximum fatigue precracking force,  $F_f$ , and the maximum fatigue stress intensity factor,  $K_f$ , shall be based on the tensile properties of the weld metal, i.e. the region in which the fatigue crack is to be located. In all other cases, the properties of the adjacent material with the lowest tensile properties shall be used.

Any post-weld or stress relief heat treatment shall be completed before fatigue precracking.

When possible, use of the shortest fatigue crack length permitted in ISO 12135 is recommended in order to minimize fatigue crack front bowing and crack deviation from the specified target area.

Problems may occur in meeting the fatigue crack front straightness requirements specified in [12.3.3](#), particularly with specimens prepared from as-welded or partially stress-relieved welds. In such instances, the procedures given in [Annex C](#) shall be considered.

NOTE 1 The magnitude and distribution of residual stresses in as-welded and partially post weld heat treated specimens depend on the material, the welding procedure, the degree of restraint and the post-weld specimen preparation.

NOTE 2 Residual stresses may (or may not) contribute to uneven fatigue crack extension, and may have an effect on the resulting fracture toughness determination.

If the specimen is prepared from a post-weld heat-treated weld, then the procedures in [Annex C](#) may not be necessary.

NOTE 3 A straight fatigue crack front may indicate a) low or b) uniform residual stresses in the vicinity of the crack tip.

If the fatigue precrack does not meet the straightness requirements of [12.3.3](#), then modifications to the fatigue precracking procedure shall be made in accordance with [Annex C](#). When such modifications are made, the fracture toughness result shall be identified as described in [12.3.4](#).

### 9.2 Side grooving

Where side grooving is selected, it shall be conducted in accordance with the requirements of ISO 12135.

## 10 Test apparatus, requirements and test procedure

The apparatus, requirements and procedures for  $K_{Ic}$ ,  $\delta$  and  $J$  testing shall all be as prescribed in ISO 12135.

## 11 Post-test metallography

### 11.1 General

Post-test metallography shall be applied to specimens designated for SM testing in order to verify crack tip placement in the target microstructure. A section containing the fracture face shall be cut from the specimen. When the target area is the HAZ, the section shall be removed from the side of the specimen containing the weld metal. This section shall be used for the post-test analysis described in [11.2](#) and [11.3](#) to verify the microstructure at the fatigue crack tip.

Post-test sectioning is not required when the target area is WP.

In the case of brittle fracture, verification that the crack tip did indeed sample the specific microstructure does not guarantee that cleavage initiation necessarily occurred in that microstructure.

Further sectioning and metallography may be necessary (when requested by the customer) to identify the microstructure at fracture initiation. The recommended sectioning procedures are the same as those described for the assessment of pop-in and are given in [Annex D](#).

## 11.2 Through-thickness notched specimens

### 11.2.1 Sectioning

The through-thickness notched specimen shall be sectioned in a plane perpendicular to the fracture surface, behind the fatigue crack tip, at a position within 2 mm of the maximum fatigue precrack length, and shall include the fatigue crack over the central 75 % of the specimen thickness ( $B$  or  $B_N$  for side-grooved specimens) (see [Figure 10](#), section A). The cut surface shall be examined metallographically to ensure that the fatigue crack did indeed sample the specific microstructure.

### 11.2.2 Assessment

The prepared metallographic surface shall be examined to ensure that the fatigue crack tip front sampled the SM and that the SM was located within the central 75 % of the specimen thickness ( $B$  or  $B_N$ ). A microstructural map shall be prepared which records the positions and lengths of the specific microstructure features within the central 75 % of the specimen thickness ( $B$  or  $B_N$ ). An example of a specimen notched into the HAZ is shown in [Annex B](#).

## 11.3 Surface-notched specimens

### 11.3.1 Sectioning

If the specimen fractures by cleavage, the fracture surface shall be examined at a suitable magnification to identify the initiation site, and at least one section shall be taken as close as possible to this position. If only stable crack extension has occurred, the section shall be taken at the maximum fatigue precrack length. The plane of the section shall be perpendicular to the notch/crack plane (see [Figure 11](#)).

NOTE Identification of the fracture initiation site may be done visually, but may require the aid of optical microscopy or scanning electron microscopy.

### 11.3.2 Assessment

The prepared metallographic surface shall be examined to ensure that the fatigue crack tip sampled the SM. If the SM lies ahead of the fatigue crack tip, the minimum separation distance,  $s_1$ , shall be measured to an accuracy of  $\pm 0,05$  mm [for NQ crack plane orientation, see [Figure 12 a](#)]. If the specific microstructure lies to one side of the fatigue crack tip, the separation distance,  $s_2$ , shall be measured to an accuracy of  $\pm 0,05$  mm [see [Figure 12 b](#)].


NOTE It might be necessary to section both fracture surfaces to establish these distances.

## 11.4 Assessment of pop-in

Pop-ins giving both force drops and displacement increases of less than 1 % shall be ignored. All other pop-ins shall be considered significant unless shown to be insignificant by the fractographic and metallographic procedures described in [Annex D](#).

NOTE The criteria for the assessment of pop-in described in ISO 12135 are intended for testing homogeneous material and may be inappropriate for welds which are, in general, inhomogeneous. Experience indicates that, for weld testing, the size of the pop-in is usually related to the extent of brittle material present at the crack tip. Small changes in crack tip position can alter the size of the pop-in. Hence the criteria for assessing pop-in in ISO 12135 may be inappropriate for welds.


Dimensions in millimetres



**Key**


- 1 section B
- 2 section A
- 3 cuts
- 4 fatigue precrack tip
- 5 notch
- 6 surface to be examined (polish and etch)
- 7 fatigue crack

**Figure 10 — Post-test sectioning procedure to identify microstructure at fatigue crack in a through-thickness notched specimen**


**Key**

- 1 cuts
- 2 notch
- 3 fatigue crack
- 4 surface to be examined (polish and etch)

**Figure 11 — Post-test sectioning of a surface-notched specimen**



a) Target microstructure ahead of fatigue crack tip



b) Target microstructure on one side of fatigue crack tip

**Key**

- 1 weld bead
- 2 reheated weld metal
- 3 fatigue crack tip
- 4 reheated weld metal
- 5 SM (target microstructure)

NOTE NQ crack plane orientation.

**Figure 12 — Measurement of  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  in a surface-notched SM specimen**

**12 Post-test analysis**

**12.1 Choice of tensile properties**

When the crack tip is located completely in weld metal, the pertinent tensile properties shall be those determined using an all-weld-metal tensile specimen. When located in, or partially in, the transformed HAZ, the higher of the parent metal and weld metal strengths shall apply.

NOTE 1 Crack tip opening displacement (CTOD) in the HAZ is affected by the strength and size of the HAZ and the adjacent microstructures. Underestimates of CTOD fracture toughness are obtained by using the higher of the parent metal and weld metal strengths.

NOTE 2 By agreement, tensile properties may be estimated from the parent metal and weld metal strengths which consider strength mismatch<sup>[1][2]</sup>.

For carbon and C-Mn steels, if the tensile properties of the weld metal and parent metal cannot be measured, they can be estimated (in MPa) from room temperature correlations with measured hardness (in HV10) as follows.

Parent metal<sup>[3]</sup>,

$$R_{p0,2b} = 3,28 \text{ HV10} - 221, \text{ for } 160 < \text{HV10} < 495 \quad (1)$$

Weld metal,

$$R_{p0,2w} = 2,35 \text{ HV10} + 62, \text{ for } 170 < \text{HV10} < 330 \quad (2)$$

Parent metal,

$$R_{mb} = 3,3 \text{ HV10} - 8, \text{ for } 100 < \text{HV10} < 400 \quad (3)$$

Weld metal,

$$R_{mw} = 3,0 \text{ HV10} + 22,1, \text{ for } 170 < \text{HV10} < 330 \quad (4)$$

For ferritic steels, when tension testing below room temperature cannot be done and when  $R_{p0,2}$  and  $R_m$  at the low temperature of the intended fracture test is not available, the low-temperature yield and tensile strengths may be estimated (in MPa) from the room-temperature yield and tensile strength using [Formulae \(5\)](#) and [\(6\)](#)<sup>[4]</sup>:

$$R_{p0,2} (\text{at low temperature, } T) = R_{p0,2} (\text{at room temperature}) + \frac{10^5}{(491 + 1,8T)} - 189 \quad (5)$$

$$R_m (\text{at low temperature, } T) = R_m (\text{at room temperature}) \left[ 0,7857 + 0,2423 \exp\left(\frac{-T}{170,646}\right) \right] \quad (6)$$

where  $T$  is the intended fracture test temperature, in °C, and is greater than -196 °C.

## 12.2 Determination of fracture toughness

### 12.2.1 $K_{Ic}$

Interpretation of the test record to determine  $K_{Ic}$  shall be carried out in accordance with ISO 12135, but with the additional requirements provided in [12.1](#) concerning the appropriate choice of  $R_{p0,2}$ .

### 12.2.2 $\delta$

Single point determination of CTOD, uncorrected for ductile crack extension ( $\delta_0$ ) shall be obtained from [Formulae \(7\)](#) to [\(10\)](#).

For bend specimens<sup>[5]</sup>:

$$\delta_0 = \left[ \left( \frac{S}{W} \right) \frac{F}{(BB_N W)^{0,5}} \times g_1 \left( \frac{a_0}{W} \right) \right]^2 \left[ \frac{(1-\nu^2)}{mR_{p0,2}E} \right] + \tau \cdot \frac{0,43(W-a_0)V_p}{0,43(W-a_0)+a_0} \quad (7)$$

For compact specimens, including both straight notch and stepped notch:

$$\delta_0 = \left[ \frac{F}{(BB_N W)^{0,5}} \times g_2 \left( \frac{a_0}{W} \right) \right]^2 \left[ \frac{(1-\nu^2)}{mR_{p0,2}E} \right] + \tau \cdot \frac{0,52(W-a_0)V_p}{0,52(W-a_0)+a_0} \quad (8)$$

where

$$m = 4,9 - 3,5 \frac{R_{p0,2}}{R_m} \quad (9)$$

$$\tau = \left[ -1,4 \left( \frac{R_{p0,2}}{R_m} \right)^2 + 2,8 \left( \frac{R_{p0,2}}{R_m} \right) - 0,35 \right] \left\{ 0,8 + 0,2 \exp[-0,019(B-25)] \right\} \quad (10)$$

where  $S$ ,  $W$ ,  $B$ ,  $B_N$ ,  $F$ ,  $g_1(a_0/W)$ ,  $g_2(a_0/W)$  are defined in ISO 12135.  $V_p$  is the plastic component of  $V$ . When attached knife edges are employed,  $V_g$  is converted from the clip gauge output into  $V$ , using the following relationships.

For bend specimens,

$$V = \frac{0,43(W-a_0)+a_0}{0,43(W-a_0)+a_0+z} V_g \quad (11)$$

For straight notch compact specimens,

$$V = \frac{0,52(W-a_0)+a_0}{0,52(W-a_0)+a_0+0,25W+z} V_g \quad (12)$$

For stepped notch compact specimens,

$$V = \frac{0,52(W-a_0)+a_0}{0,52(W-a_0)+a_0+z} V_g \quad (13)$$

where  $z$  is the distance of the knife edge tip from the notched edge of the specimen, as defined in ISO 12135.

When ductile crack extension takes place and CTOD fracture toughness needs to be defined by an  $R$ -curve, the procedures and equations given in ISO 12135 shall be followed but subject to the additional requirements in [12.1](#).

### 12.2.3 $J$

Interpretation of the test record to determine  $J$  from standard bend or compact specimens shall be carried out in accordance with ISO 12135, but subject to the additional requirements in [12.1](#).

### 12.2.4 Shallow-notched bend specimen

When a shallow-notched bend specimen is employed ( $0,10 \leq a_0/W \leq 0,45$ ), the interpretation of the test record to determine single point values of  $J_0$  and  $\delta_0$ , uncorrected for ductile crack extension, shall be carried out in accordance with [Annex E](#).

## 12.3 Qualification requirements

### 12.3.1 General

All of the qualification checks listed in ISO 12135 are applicable to this document, with the following modifications.

### 12.3.2 Weld-width-to-crack-ligament ratio

For weld metal tests, the  $\delta$  estimation procedures shall be considered qualified by this document when the following requirements are met<sup>[6][7]</sup>:

- for a crack in the centre of the weld, the ratio of the weld width (over the central 75 % of the thickness) to the crack ligament length shall be greater than 0,2, i.e.  $2h/(W - a_0) > 0,2$  [see [Figures 13](#) a) and b) for through-thickness notched specimens and [Figures 14](#) a) and b) for surface-notched specimens];
- for a crack offset from the weld centreline, the ratio of the effective weld width (shortest distance between the crack plane and the weld fusion boundary over the central 75 % of the specimen thickness) to the crack ligament length shall be greater than 0,1, i.e.  $h/(W - a_0) > 0,1$  [see [Figures 13](#) c) and d) for through-thickness notched specimens and [Figures 14](#) c) and d) for surface-notched specimens];
- for both cases a) and b), an additional requirement is that the ratio of the weld metal 0,2 % offset yield strength to the parent metal 0,2 % offset yield strength shall be in the range 0,50 to 1,50. See [Formula \(14\)](#).

$$0,50 < \frac{R_{p0,2w}}{R_{p0,2b}} < 1,50 \quad (14)$$

For weld metal tests, the  $J$  estimation procedures<sup>[6][7]</sup> shall be considered qualified to this document when the ratio of weld metal to parent metal 0,2 % offset yield strengths is in the range 0,50 to 1,25. See [Formula \(15\)](#).

$$0,50 < \frac{R_{p0,2w}}{R_{p0,2b}} < 1,25 \quad (15)$$

For HAZ tests, the  $\delta$  and  $J$  estimation procedures of ISO 12135 shall be used (see [12.1](#) for choice of yield strength for calculating  $\delta$ ). When reporting results, the 0,2 % offset yield strengths of both the parent and weld metal shall be stated.


NOTE These estimation and qualification procedures may result in  $\pm 10$  % error in weld metal  $\delta$  or  $J$ . Overestimates occur when  $R_{p0,2w}/R_{p0,2b} > 1,50$  for  $\delta$ , and  $> 1,25$  for  $J$ ; underestimates occur when  $R_{p0,2w}/R_{p0,2b} < 0,50$  for  $\delta$  and  $J$ <sup>[6][7]</sup>. When determining HAZ fracture toughness, the  $J$  and  $\delta$  estimation procedures may result in  $\pm 5$  % and  $- 20$  % to  $+10$  % error, respectively, for  $0,7 < R_{p0,2w}/R_{p0,2b} < 2,5$ <sup>[1]</sup>.

### 12.3.3 Crack front straightness

Crack length measurements shall be made in accordance with ISO 12135. For  $\delta$  and  $J$  tests using bend specimens, none of the seven interior crack length measurements shall differ from the weighted nine-point average value by more than  $0,2 a_0$ . For compact specimens and  $K_{Ic}$  tests using either compact or bend specimens the crack front straightness requirements shall conform entirely to ISO 12135.


NOTE 1 Crack front straightness requirements are based on empirical evidence from bend specimens<sup>[8]</sup>.

NOTE 2 In order to meet the requirements of SM and WP testing, it might not be possible to allow a relaxation of the fatigue crack front straightness requirements, and the more stringent requirements of ISO 12135 may be necessary.



- Key**
- 1 crack along weld centreline
  - 2 crack off weld centreline

**Figure 13** — Definition of  $h$  and  $2h$  in through-thickness notched (NP) specimens from double- and single-sided welds



**Figure 14 — Definition of  $h$  and  $2h$  in surface-notched (NQ) specimens from double- and single-sided welds**

#### 12.3.4 Symbols used to identify fracture toughness values

In addition to the symbols required by ISO 12135 to identify fracture toughness values, the following shall be used:

- $K$ ,  $J$ ,  $\delta$  (no superscripts) shall be used when [Annex C](#) modifications to the fatigue precracking procedure have NOT been made;
- $K^M$ ,  $J^M$ ,  $\delta^M$  (with M as superscript) shall be used to identify results from specimens when the fatigue precracking procedure HAS been modified in accordance with [Annex C](#).

#### 12.3.5 Through-thickness notched specimens

When post-test sectioning and metallographic examination of SM specimens in accordance with [11.2](#) shows that the fatigue crack front has indeed sampled both the designated target area and, where specified, the designated lengths of specific microstructure within the central 75 % of the specimen thickness ( $B$  or  $B_N$ ), the fracture toughness result shall be considered qualified. When these requirements are not satisfied, the fracture toughness of the specific microstructure has not been determined and the test result shall be considered not qualified.

#### 12.3.6 Surface-notched specimens

When post-test sectioning and metallographic examination of SM specimens in accordance with [11.3](#) shows either that the fatigue crack tip has indeed sampled the specific microstructure or that the dimension  $s_1$  or  $s_2$  (see [11.3.2](#)) is  $<0,5$  mm, the fracture toughness result shall be considered qualified. When these requirements are not satisfied, the fracture toughness of the specific microstructure has not been determined and the test result shall be considered not qualified.

### 13 Test report

The test report shall be in accordance with ISO 12135, with the following additional information:

- a) whether weld positional (WP) or specific microstructure (SM) notching was used;
- b) the crack plane orientation in accordance with [Figures 2, 3 and 4](#);
- c) the original thicknesses of the weld and parent metal adjacent to the weld;
- d) the pre-test metallography results of macrosection examination (if appropriate);
- e) the tensile properties of the weld and parent metal and the method used to derive the values;
- f) the effective weld width,  $h$ , as appropriate;
- g) the method used to achieve a straight fatigue crack front and inclusion of a superscript in the result symbol in accordance with [12.3.4](#), if appropriate;
- h) the assessment of pop-in significance (if appropriate) in accordance with [Annex D](#);
- i) whether the result can be considered qualified with respect to crack sampling of the designated target area;
- j) the distance  $s_1$  or  $s_2$ , as appropriate, for SM notching;
- k) whether a shallow-notched bend specimen was used in accordance with [Annex E](#) and, if so, the value of  $a_0/W$ .

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 15653:2018

## Annex A (informative)

### Examples of notch locations

This annex gives examples of typical locations used when testing weld metal and HAZ with through-thickness and surface-notched bend specimens. [Figure A.1](#) shows weld positional (WP) notch locations, while [Figure A.2](#) shows specific microstructure (SM) notch locations.






		Orientation	Geometry	Notch location
a)		NP	$B \times B$ or $B \times 2B$	Weld metal centreline
b)		NQ	$B \times B$	Weld metal centreline from weld root
c)		NP	$B \times B$ or $B \times 2B$	HAZ with notch intersecting fusion line at mid-thickness
d)		NP	$B \times B$ or $B \times 2B$	HAZ with notch intersecting fusion line at quarter-thickness
e)		PQ	$B \times B$	Transverse to weld
f)		PN	$B \times B$	Transverse to weld, on weld centreline

Figure A.1 — Examples of weld positional (WP) notch locations






		Orientation	Cross-section proportions (thickness × width)	Notch location
a)		NP	$B \times B$	Columnar weld metal on weld centreline
b)		NQ	$B \times B$	Weld root or second side welded
c)		NQ	$B \times B$	Grain-coarsened HAZ adjacent to columnar weld metal
d)		NP	$B \times B$ or $B \times 2B$	Maximum volume of as-deposited columnar weld metal
e)		NP	$B \times B$ or $B \times 2B$	Maximum volume of columnar weld metal
f)		NP	$B \times B$ or $B \times 2B$	Crack front to sample a specific region within the HAZ


Figure A.2 — Examples of specific microstructure (SM) notch locations

## Annex B (informative)

### Examples of pre-test and post-test metallography

Pre-test metallography of an etched macrosection is necessary when SM testing is specified for the HAZ. [Figures B.1](#) and [B.2](#) give examples of the method of quantifying the amount of HAZ microstructure, in this case, the HAZ adjacent to columnar weld metal. [Figure B.2](#) shows how to prepare a map of the target microstructure identified in the macrosection (see [Figure B.1](#)) within the central 75 % of the specimen thickness. The individual lengths of SM ( $\lambda$ ) along a line representing the idealized notch are summed to give the percentage SM present.


When SM testing is specified, post-test metallography is necessary to confirm that the target microstructure was present close to the fatigue crack tip, for example, and to confirm the mapping shown in [Figure B.2](#) for section A in [Figure 10](#).



#### Key

- 1 columnar weld metal
- 2 idealized notch line
- 3 HAZ adjacent to columnar weld metal

**Figure B.1 — HAZ adjacent to columnar weld metal for idealized notch line on macrosection**



- Key**
- 1 cap
  - 2 root
  - 3 target HAZ

NOTE Percentage of specific microstructure (over middle 75 % of thickness) =  $\frac{\sum \lambda_n}{0,75B} \times 100$ .

**Figure B.2 — Microstructural map of HAZ adjacent to columnar weld metal**

## Annex C (informative)

### Residual-stress modification and precracking technique

#### C.1 General

One of the following techniques, specified in [C.2](#), [C.3](#) and [C.4](#), shall normally be used for testing as-welded or partially post weld heat treated or partially stress-relieved specimens. By agreement, alternative procedures may be employed if they are documented and validated. The technique used shall be indicated when reporting the test results.

NOTE 1 These techniques are normally unnecessary for welds that have been stress-relieved by post-weld heat treatment.

NOTE 2 In as-welded or partially stress-relieved specimens, residual welding stresses will be present. However, the magnitude and distribution of these stresses may be different from those present in the weld from which the specimens were taken. Residual stresses can result in unacceptable fatigue crack front straightness and, moreover, can affect the determination of the fracture toughness. Experience has shown that local compression conducted prior to fatigue precracking will reduce residual stresses to low and uniform levels<sup>[9]</sup><sup>[10]</sup>, thus minimizing these effects. Alternative techniques which can produce acceptably straight fatigue cracks, especially in thick-section welds where local compression is impractical, are the stepwise high *R*-ratio fatigue cracking<sup>[11]</sup> and reverse bending<sup>[13]</sup><sup>[14]</sup> methods. However, significant residual stresses may still remain in the ligament ahead of the fatigue crack ( $W - a_0$ ), and this may affect the fracture toughness<sup>[12]</sup>.

NOTE 3 Local compression can affect fracture toughness in some materials and under certain testing conditions, but it is difficult to predict which materials are likely to be susceptible. However, experience indicates that it is preferable to accept this risk rather than obtain a result from a specimen with an unacceptable fatigue crack shape.

NOTE 4 Whichever technique is chosen and when there is little experience with the technique/material combination, it is advisable that the test programme include spare specimens to confirm that the chosen procedure results in acceptably straight fatigue precracks.

#### C.2 Local compression

Local compression<sup>[9]</sup><sup>[10]</sup> is applied across 88 % to 92 % of the ligament ( $W - a$ ) in front of the machined notch prior to fatigue precracking and side grooving. The compression shall encompass the notch tip and be applied through hardened-steel platens to produce a total plastic strain of up to 1 % of the specimen thickness (see Notes 1 and 2). Local compression before notch machining is also acceptable. Approximate values of forces that need to be applied to rectangular- and square-section specimens to achieve the required compression are given in [Figure C.1](#); these are for guidance only and may be exceeded if necessary to achieve the required plastic strain. Depending on the thickness, *B*, local compression may be applied from one side only or a compression of up to 0,5 % of *B* may be applied simultaneously on each side of the specimen (see [Figure C.1](#)). It is acceptable to apply the force incrementally in steps up to the final load.

Multiple applications of lower compression forces using smaller platens may be employed for a rectangular-section,  $B \times 2B$ , specimen. In such cases, and for a square-sectioned,  $B \times B$ , specimen, no dimension of the contact area of the platens shall be less than 0,5*B* (see [Figure C.1](#)). In addition, the final deformation shall be made nearest to the notch tip.

A number of force applications may be necessary to achieve the required plastic deformation. The resulting reduction in thickness shall be measured to  $\pm 0,025$  mm or  $\pm 0,1$  % of *B*, whichever is larger.

For specimens that have been locally compressed, the dimension  $B$  used for the calculation of the fatigue force and stress intensity factor shall be  $B$  in the region of the notch measured after local compression.

NOTE 1 Local machining of the ligament to be compressed on both sides of the specimen may be necessary to ensure a smooth bearing surface for the platen and to achieve uniform deformation.

Any bulging of the back face of the ligament leading to distortion in bend specimens at the loading point shall be removed by machining.

NOTE 2 Experience indicates that a total deformation equal to 1 % of  $B$  may be too much for some welds and materials, and straighter crack fronts may be obtained with less. Trials may be necessary to establish the optimum conditions.

### C.3 Reverse bending

The specimen is loaded to compress the machined notch (i.e. reverse bending of the specimen) before conventional fatigue precracking [13][14][15][16]. The aim is to induce a compressive plastic zone at the machined notch tip, where a uniform tensile residual stress through thickness is expected. This is done using a single cycle of bending load applied to the notch side of a bend specimen. A four point bend load system is recommended to avoid damage to the machined notch mouth and integral knife edges where present. During reverse bending, the machine notch faces shall not touch. The reverse bending load,  $P_{rb}$  is determined from [Formula C.1](#).

$$P_{rb} = L_r \cdot P_L = L_r \frac{B(W - a_m)^2}{S - S_s} R_{p0,2} \quad (C.1)$$

$B$ ,  $W$ ,  $a_m$ ,  $R_{p0,2}$  are given in accordance with ISO 12135.  $S_s$  is a short span between inner loading points in four point bending.  $L_r$  is load ratio of  $P_{rb}$  to the limit load,  $P_L$  [17]. Experience with reverse bending indicates that suitable range for  $L_r$  is from 0,6 to 1,0 and that the choice for  $L_r$  depends on weld residual stress condition in the specimen.

The compressive plastic zone size,  $\omega_{rb}$ , generated by the reverse bending load is obtained from [Formula \(C.2\)](#):

$$\omega_{rb} = \frac{\pi}{8} \left( \frac{K_{rb}}{L \cdot R_{p0,2}} \right)^2 \quad (C.2)$$

where  $K_{rb}$  is the stress intensity factor for the reverse bend load,  $P_{rb}$ , which is calculated from [Formula \(C.3\)](#) for four-point bending:

$$K_{rb} = \frac{P_{rb}(S - S_s)}{B \times W^{1,5}} g_1 \left( \frac{a_m}{W} \right) \quad (C.3)$$

where non-dimensional function,  $g_1(a_m/W)$ , is given in ISO 12135:2016, Annex D.

$L$  in [Formula \(C.2\)](#) is the notch constraint factor, which takes the value of 2,3 [14], for both rectangular and square cross section specimens. After reverse bending, the fatigue crack is introduced according to [9.1](#). The recommended target fatigue crack length,  $a_f$  is in the range of  $1,0\omega_{rb}$  to  $1,5\omega_{rb}$ . Fatigue crack shall be extended beyond  $\omega_{rb}$  [14]. A fatigue crack extending beyond  $1,5\omega_{rb}$  will be subjected to weld residual stress outside of reverse bending plastic zone. [Table C.1](#) gives recommended load ratio,  $L_r$  and target fatigue precrack length,  $a_f$ , when machine notch length ratio,  $a_m/W$  is 0,475, as an example.

**Table C.1 — Recommended load ratio,  $L_r$  and target fatigue precrack length,  $a_f$  ( $a_m/W = 0,475$ )**

$L_r$	$\omega_{rb}/W$	$a_f/W$		$a_o/W = (a_m + a_f)/W$	
		$1,0\omega_{rb}/W$	$1,5\omega_{rb}/W$	$a_o/W = (a_m + 1,0\omega_{rb})/W$	$a_o/W = (a_m + 1,5\omega_{rb})/W$
0,6	0,012	0,012	0,018	0,487	0,493
0,7	0,017	0,017	0,025	0,492	0,500
0,8	0,022	0,022	0,033	0,497	0,508
0,9	0,028	0,028	0,042	0,503	0,517
1,0	0,034	0,034	0,051	0,509	0,526

Other notch depth to specimen width ratios can be employed, including shallow-notched bend specimens. In such cases the calculations are similar to those shown in [Table C.1](#) and employ [Formulae \(C.1\)](#), [\(C.2\)](#) and [\(C.3\)](#) for the chosen value of  $a_m/W$ .


NOTE 1 Experience indicates that reverse bending can be effective when local compression is in-effective or difficult to achieve when large specimens need to be tested, i.e.  $B \geq 50$ mm.

NOTE 2 Experience indicates that reverse bending is not always successful<sup>[12][13]</sup>, and does not reduce significantly the level of residual stress at the fatigue crack tip position<sup>[12]</sup>. The presence of residual stress can affect the test result.

#### C.4 Stepwise high $R$ -ratio

In the stepwise high  $R$ -ratio technique<sup>[11]</sup>, fatigue precracking consists of two steps, each at a different fatigue stress ratio,  $R$ . For the first step, the stress ratio  $R = 0,1$  is used (i.e. the conventional  $R$  value for precracking) until the fatigue precrack has grown to a length of about 1 mm. In the second step,  $R$  is increased to 0,7 and the fatigue precrack grown to the desired length. The same  $K_f$  (maximum value of  $K$ ) is used in both steps.

NOTE Use of  $R > 0,1$  is inconsistent with the fatigue precracking requirements in ISO 12135. Experimental work indicates that fracture toughness may be increased if  $R > 0,1$ <sup>[9][13]</sup>.



**Key**

- 1  $W$  minus machined notch length
- 2 1 % of  $B$  (or 0,5 % of  $B$  on each side)

NOTE 1  $R_{p0,2}$  is the lower of the values for the parent metal and the weld metal.

NOTE 2  $C = 8\%$  to  $12\%$  of  $(W - a)$ .

**Figure C.1 — Alternative local compression treatments for a rectangular-section,  $B \times 2B$  specimen. For a square-section,  $B \times B$ , specimen, a circular platen can be used with a diameter of  $B/2$  and  $F = 0,3B^2R_{p0,2}$ . Alternatively, a rectangular platen can be used with a length and width of  $B/2$  and  $F = 0,4B^2R_{p0,2}$ .**

## Annex D (normative)

### Assessment of pop-in

#### D.1 General

This procedure shall be used to assess the acceptability of pop-ins classified as significant in accordance with [11.4](#).

If the pop-in is assessed as significant in accordance with ISO 12135 (i.e.  $P$ , calculated in accordance with ISO 12135, is greater than 5 %), post-test fractography and metallography are not required, and the pop-in remains significant. However, if the pop-in is assessed as not significant in accordance with ISO 12135 (i.e.  $P$ , calculated in accordance with ISO 12135, is less than 5 %) and the force drop and displacement increase greater than 1 %, its actual significance with respect to this standard shall be determined from the fractographic and metallographic assessment procedures described in [D.2](#) to [D.5](#).

Values of  $\delta$  and  $J$  measured at the first pop-in event shall be designated  $\delta_{\text{pop}}$  and  $J_{\text{pop}}$ , respectively.

#### D.2 Fractography

Both fracture faces shall be examined for evidence of an arrested brittle crack extension, generally in the plane of the fatigue crack, and the maximum crack extension,  $\Delta a_{\text{pop}}$ , excluding the SZW, shall be measured (see [Figure D.1](#)). Where no evidence of such an arrested brittle crack can be found, the significance of the pop-in shall be assessed in accordance with ISO 12135.

Pop-in can be caused by an arrested crack running perpendicular to the plane of the fatigue precrack. This is sometimes referred to as a “split”. The fracture toughness at pop-in caused by a split shall be reported, but might not characterize the fracture toughness of the material for the intended crack orientation. A different specimen and crack plane orientation might be necessary to characterize the fracture toughness of the material in the plane of the split<sup>[19][20]</sup>. Assessment of the structural significance of a split is outside the scope of this document.

#### D.3 Sectioning and metallography

One or both fracture surfaces containing an arrested brittle crack extension shall be examined by optical and/or scanning electron microscopy to identify the primary fracture initiation site. When the crack tip is located in the HAZ, the fracture surface adjacent to the weld shall be examined. After marking the initiation position, a metallographic section shall be taken through the initiation point in a plane perpendicular to the fatigue crack plane as illustrated in [Figure D.2](#) for a through-thickness notched specimen and [Figure D.3](#) for a surface-notched specimen. The sections shall be polished and etched in accordance with usual metallographic practice for microstructural examinations.

#### D.4 Assessment

The metallographic section taken from a through-thickness notched specimen (see [Figure D.4](#)) shall be examined and the length,  $d_1$ , of the specific microstructure parallel to the crack front at initiation shall be measured. The lengths of similar microstructures present in the section within the central 75 % of  $B$  (or  $B_N$  in the case of side-grooved specimens), but not intersected by the crack front, shall be measured and the maximum individual length,  $d_2$ , recorded (see [Figure D.4](#)). If the section is beyond the fatigue crack tip, a further section behind the fatigue crack tip may be necessary to measure  $d_2$ .


The metallographic section taken from a surface-notched specimen (see [Figure D.5](#)) shall be examined and the total length,  $d_1$ , of the microstructural region in which the pop-in initiated shall be measured. This length  $d_1$  shall only include the microstructural region ahead of the fatigue crack tip (see [Figure D.5](#)). More than one section may be taken to assess the dimension  $d_1$ .

### D.5 Pop-in significance

Following metallographic examination, a pop-in shall be considered not significant if


- a) for a through-thickness notched specimen:  $P$ , calculated in accordance with ISO 12135, is less than 5 % and  $d_1 > d_2$ , or
- b) for a surface-notched specimen:  $P$  is less than 5 % and  $\Delta a_{pop} < d_1$ .

The pop-in shall be considered significant when  $d_2 > d_1$  or  $d_1 < \Delta a_{pop}$  because a larger pop-in may have occurred if more of the brittle microstructure had been sampled or had been present ahead of the crack tip. Further tests may be necessary to confirm or reject this possibility. A flow chart illustrating the assessment of pop-in is shown in [Figure D.6](#).



**Key**  
 1  $a$  or  $(a_0 + \Delta a)$

**Figure D.1 — Measurement of  $\Delta a_{pop}$**



**Key**

- 1 section A
- 2 fatigue crack
- 3 arrested brittle crack
- 4 section B
- 5 cuts
- 6 initiation
- 7 surface to be examined (polish and etch) (see [Figure D.4](#))

**Figure D.2 — Post-test sectioning procedure for identifying fracture initiation microstructure in a through-thickness notched specimen**