INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

**ISO
13143-2**

First edition
2016-12-01

Electronic fee collection — Evaluation of on-board and roadside equipment for conformity to ISO 12813 —

Part 2:
Abstract test suite

Perception du télépéage — Évaluation des équipements embarqués et en bord de route quant à la conformité avec l'ISO 12813 —

Partie 2: Suite d'essais abstraite

COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

Contents

Page

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/TC 204, *Intelligent transport systems*.

This first edition of ISO 13143-2 cancels and replaces ISO/TS 13143-2:2011, which has been technically revised and incorporates the following main modifications compared to ISO/TS 13143-2:

— conversion from a Technical Specification to an International Standard;

— amendment of terms, in order to reflect harmonization of terms across electronic fee collection (EFC) standards;

— amendments to reflect changes in ISO 13143-1 due to changes to the underlying standards, in particular ISO 12813;

— editorial and formal corrections.

A list of all parts in the ISO 13143 series can be found on the ISO website.

Introduction

ISO 17575 is part of a set of standards that supports interoperability of autonomous EFC systems. It defines the EFC context data, their charge reports and their use of communication infrastructure.

The set of standards also supports short-range communication links in the context of autonomous electronic fee collection (EFC) on-board equipment (OBE) to enable spot checks for the enforcement process. The application interface is defined in ISO 12813:2015.

Within the set of EFC standards, this document defines the process and tests for conformity evaluation of OBE and roadside equipment (RSE) that comply with the requirements in ISO 12813:2015.

This document is intended to

— assess OBU and RSE capabilities,

— assess OBU and RSE behaviour,

— serve as a guide for OBU and RSE conformance evaluation and type approval,

— achieve comparability between the results of the corresponding tests applied in different places at different times, and

— facilitate communication between parties.

This document is based on

— ISO 12813:2015,

— the set of dedicated short-range communication (DSRC) standards defining the communication stack, and

— ISO/IEC 9646.

This document is based on using the tree and tabular combined notation (TTCN) that is a standardized language suitable for specification of test cases and steps for assessment of protocol and application behaviour. The TTCN language is also supported by modern automated tools that accelerate software design, implementation and testing.

Electronic fee collection — Evaluation of on-board and roadside equipment for conformity to ISO 12813 —

Part 2:
Abstract test suite

1 Scope

This document specifies the abstract test suite (ATS) to evaluate the conformity of on-board equipment (OBE) and roadside equipment (RSE) to ISO 12813 in accordance with the test suite structure and test purposes defined in ISO 13143-1:2016.

It provides a basis for conformance tests for dedicated short-range communication (DSRC) equipment (OBE and RSE) to enable interoperability between equipment supplied by different manufacturers.

In order to ascertain that OBE and RSE fulfil essential radio requirements, they are also likely to be subject to additional factory, site and system acceptance testing (e.g. of physical and environmental endurance, quality assurance and control at manufacturing, and charge point integration), which is outside the scope of this document.

NOTE   For example, within the European market, the essential radio requirements are set out in European Directives, compliance with which is a prerequisite for CE marking and placing on the European market.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 9646-3:1998, *Information technology — Open Systems Interconnection — Conformance testing methodology and framework — Part 3: The Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN)*

ETSI/TS 102 486-2-3 V1.2.1:2008-10, *Electromagnetic compatibility and Radio spectrum Matters (ERM); Road Transport and Traffic Telematics (RTTT); Test specifications for Dedicated Short Range Communication (DSRC) transmission equipment; Part 2: DSRC application layer; Sub-Part 3: Abstract Test Suite (ATS) and partial PIXIT proforma*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at http://www.iso.org/obp

3.1
base standard
approved international standard, technical specification or ITU-T Recommendation

Note 1 to entry: This includes but is not limited to approved standard deliverables from ISO, ITU, CEN, CENELEC, ETSI and IEEE.

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC/TR 10000-1:1998, 3.1.1]

3.2
implementation conformance statement
statement of capabilities and options that have been implemented defining to what extent the implementation is compliant with a given specification

[SOURCE: ISO/TS 14907-2:2016, 3.6, modified]

3.3
implementation conformance statement proforma
document, in the form of a questionnaire, which when completed for an implementation or a system becomes an implementation conformance statement (ICS)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 9646-1:1994, 3.3.40]

3.4
implementation extra information for testing
statement containing all of the information related to the implementation under test (IUT) and its corresponding system under test (SUT) which will enable the testing laboratory to run an appropriate test suite against that IUT

[SOURCE: ISO/TS 14907-2:2016, 3.8]

3.5
implementation extra information for testing proforma
document, in the form of a questionnaire, which when completed for an implementation under test (IUT) becomes an implementation extra information for testing (IXIT)

[SOURCE: ISO/IEC 9646-1:1994, 3.3.42, modified]

3.6
on-board equipment
all required equipment on-board a vehicle for performing required EFC functions and communication services

3.7
on-board unit
single electronic unit on-board a vehicle for performing specific EFC functions and for communication with external systems

3.8
roadside equipment
equipment located along the road, either fixed or mobile

3.9
tester
combination of equipment, humans and processes able to perform specified conformance tests

[SOURCE: EN 15876-1:2016, 3.12]

4   Abbreviated terms

AP   Application Process (ISO 14906)

ASN.1   Abstract Syntax Notation One (ISO/IEC 8824-1)

ATS   Abstract Test Suite

BI   Behaviour Invalid (i.e. Invalid Behaviour tests)

BV	Behaviour Valid (i.e. Valid Behaviour tests)
Cf	Confirm (ISO 14906)
CM	Coordination message
DSRC	Dedicated Short-Range Communication (ISO 14906)
DUT	Device Under Test (ISO/TS 14907-2)
EFC	Electronic Fee Collection (ISO 17573)
ICS	Implementation Conformance Statement (EN 15509)
IXIT	Implementation eXtra Information for Testing (ISO/TS 14907-2)
IUT	Implementation Under Test (ISO/TS 14907-2)
MAC	Medium Access Control (EN 12795)
OBE	On-board equipment
OBU	On-board unit
PIXIT	Protocol Implementation eXtra Information for Testing
RSE	Roadside equipment
SAP	Service Access Point
SCS	Semiconductor Characterization System
TC	Test Case
TSS	Test Suite Structure (EN 15876-1)

5 Abstract test method (ATM)

5.1 General

This clause describes the abstract test method (ATM) used to test the protocol layers at the OBE side and at the RSE side.

5.2 Test architecture

ETSI/TS 102 486-2-3, Clause 4 describes the test architecture for application layer testing. As test purposes (TPs) from ETSI/TS 102 486-2-3 are referred to in ISO 13143-1, the test architectures presented there are relevant for the corresponding test cases (TCs). For all specific TPs introduced in ISO 13143-1, the test architecture defined in ETSI/TS 102 486-2-3 is also relevant.

6 Untestable test purposes (TPs)

This clause is intended to give a list of TPs that are not implemented in the abstract test suite (ATS) due to the chosen abstract test method (ATM) or other restrictions.

NOTE   The abbreviation OBU, rather than OBE, is used in the naming of test purposes for historical reasons and for direct correspondence with ETSI/TS 102 486–1-3, ETSI/TS 102 486–2-3 and ISO 13143-1.

Table 1 — Untestable TPs

Test purpose	Reason
(empty)	(empty)

NOTE   Currently, no untestable TPs have been identified.

7   Abstract test suite (ATS) conventions

7.1   General

The ATS conventions are intended to give a better understanding of the ATS but they also describe the conventions made for the development of the ATS. These conventions shall be considered during any later maintenance or further development of the ATS.

The ATS conventions contain the naming conventions (see 7.2) and the implementation conventions (see 7.3). The naming conventions describe the structure of the naming of all ATS elements. The implementation conventions describe the functional structure of the ATS.

The ATSs for OBE and RSE are specified in Annex A and Annex B, respectively. The PIXIT proformas for OBE and RSE are specified in Annex C and Annex D, respectively.

7.2   Naming conventions

7.2.1   Declarations part

7.2.1.1   General

7.2.1 describes the naming conventions chosen for the elements of the ATS declarations part.

The following general rules apply for the names given in the declarations part.

Names of ASN.1 types imported from the base standard are preserved.

Predefined types (e.g. BITSTRING as defined in ISO/IEC 9646-5) are never used in structured type definitions, application service point (ASP) type definitions or protocol data unit (PDU) type definitions. Simple types are used instead.

All declarations in the test suite are listed in alphabetical order. A different order of listing should be used for maintenance reasons only.

7.2.1.2   Test suite operations

The test suite operation identifiers are prefixed with "TSO_".

EXAMPLE   TSO_substring.

7.2.1.3   Test suite parameter declarations

If the test suite parameter references a Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement (PICS) item, the test suite parameter identifiers are prefixed "TSPC_".

EXAMPLE 1   TSPC_extended_rf_carriers.

If the test suite parameter references a PIXIT item, the suite parameter identifiers are prefixed "TSPX_".

EXAMPLE 2   TSPX_pmid.

If the test suite parameter represents a system parameter, the complete name defined in the protocol is used.

7.2.1.4   Test case selection expression definition

The test case selection expression identifiers begin with the prefix "SEL_".

7.2.1.5   Test suite constant declarations

The test suite constant identifiers are prefixed "TSC_".

If the test suite constant represents a system parameter, the complete name defined in the protocol is used.

7.2.1.6   Test suite variable declarations

The test suite variable identifiers are prefixed "TSV_".

Complete names as defined in the protocol are used.

7.2.1.7   Test case variable declarations

The test case variable identifiers are prefixed "TCV_".

Complete names as defined in the protocol are used.

7.2.1.8   Timer declarations

Timers begin with the prefix "T_".

7.2.1.9   Application service point (ASP) type definitions

The general conventions in 7.2.1.1 apply for application service point (ASP) type definitions. All capital letters shall be used.

The identifier of an ASP type uses the same name as the name defined in the protocol.

7.2.1.10   Protocol data unit (PDU) type definitions

The general conventions in 7.2.1.1 apply for protocol data unit (PDU) type definitions. All capital letters shall be used.

The identifier of a PDU type uses the same name as the name defined in the protocol.

7.2.1.11   Coordination message (CM) type definitions

All capital letters shall be used for coordination message (CM) type definitions.

7.2.1.12   Alias definitions

Alias definitions are not used.

7.2.2   Constraints part

This subclause describes the naming conventions chosen for the elements of the ATS constraints part.

Constraints shall be written with all lowercase letters.

7.2.3 Dynamic part

7.2.3.1 General

7.2.3 describes the naming conventions used for the elements of the ATS dynamic part.

All test cases shall be listed in the order in which they appear in the Test Suite Structure (TSS) and TP document.

7.2.3.2 Test case (TC) identifier

The identifier of the test case (TC) is built in a similar way to the test purpose.

The identifier of a TC is built according to Table 2.

NOTE   The abbreviation OBU, rather than OBE, is used in the naming of test cases for historical reasons and for direct correspondence with ETSI/TS 102 486–1-3, ETSI/TS 102 486–2-3 and ISO 13143-1.

Table 2 — TC naming convention

Identifier:	TC_<layer>_<dut>_<x->_<nn>	Group identifier	Group description
	<layer>	AP-0BAS	Application layer – I Kernel support Security level 0
		AP-1BAS	Application layer – I Kernel support Security level 1
		...	...
	<dut> = type of DUT	OBU	On-Board Equipment
		RSE	Roadside Equipment
	x = Type of testing	BV	Valid Behaviour Tests
		BI	Invalid Behaviour Tests
	<nn> = sequential number	(01-99)	Test Purpose Number

EXAMPLE 1   TP identifier: TP/AP-0DAT/OBU/BV/01.

EXAMPLE 2   TC identifier: TC_AP_0DAT_OBU_BV_01.

7.2.3.3 Test step identifier

The test step identifier is built of substrings in lowercase letters, preceded by a string of uppercase letters. The substrings are joined by underscore characters. The first substring indicates the main function of the test step, e.g. PR for PReamble, PO for POstamble, LTS for Local Tree and STP for general test step. The second substring indicates the purpose of the step.

EXAMPLE   STP_emulate_mac.

7.2.3.4 Default identifier

The default identifiers begin with the prefix "DF_", followed by a string in lowercase letters.

7.3 Implementation conventions

7.3.1 Declaration part

The comment line of single element TTCN tables (e.g. test suite constants) is used to give a reference where the format and content of the element is described in the relevant protocol document. Any particularity of the element format or content is described in the comment line.

The detailed comments are used to describe any peculiarity of the table.

In the ASP, PDU, and CM type declarations, the comments column is used to identify whether a parameter (in ASPs) or field (in PDUs) is mandatory or optional:

— M: mandatory;

— O: optional.

In the ASP and PDU declarations, the comments column is further used to give information about the parameter/field value, in particular if the parameter/field contains a fixed spare value.

7.3.2 Constraint part

The ASPs and PDUs are defined in such a way that all relevant parameters/fields are parametrized. This improves the transparency of the constraints in the dynamic part, as all values which are relevant for the test are always present.

Generally, no modified constraints are used. This allows easier reuse and adaptation of constraints if they are reused in other test specifications.

The detailed comments footer is used to describe any particularity of the table.

7.3.3 Dynamic part

All events which are defined as a conformance requirement by the TP cause a preliminary verdict PASS if the requirement is met.

The preamble, the test body and the postamble have different defaults, which allow a specific verdict handling, e.g. only INCONC (= inconclusive) verdicts are assigned in the preamble.

Except for local trees, test steps do not contain a default. There are no restrictions regarding the error handling.

TPs which are listed in the untestable TP list in Clause 6 are not considered in the ATS, thus these TC identifiers are missing in the ATS and the numbering of the TCs may not always be continuous.

Annex A
(normative)

Abstract test suite (ATS) for on-board equipment (OBE)

A.1 General

This abstract test suite has been produced using the Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN) according to ISO/IEC 9646-3. The abstract test suite was developed on a separate TTCN software tool and is attached to this document in an electronic insert. It contains the TTCN tables and a test suite overview part that provides additional information and references.

A.2 TTCN graphical form (TTCN.GR)

The TTCN.GR representation of this ATS is contained in an HTML file (EN_ISO _13143-2_AP_OBE.html contained in the folder "OBE" in the archive ISO13143-2(2016)v2.zip) in the electronic insert which accompanies this document.

NOTE 1   The above referenced file (i.e. ISO13143-2(2016)v2.zip) is freely available for download at http://standards.iso.org/iso/13143.

NOTE 2   The SHA-256 cryptographic hash digest of the above referenced file is 511407ca920b79aa6091a7e8a46895214cbf73c5a39ac284ec80eaa661ab60ab, which can be used to verify its integrity. The SHA-256 algorithm is specified in NIST 180-4[21]. Software for this type of computation is freely available for download on the web, for example, OpenHashtool and RHash. Be aware that pasting the text of the file into one of the hash digest computation pages available on the Web can result in a non-matching hash digest due to changes in the underlying coding.

Where an ATS (in TTCN-2) is published in both graphical and machine processable format, these two forms shall be considered equivalent. In the event that there appear to be syntactical or semantic differences between the two, the problem shall be resolved and the erroneous format (whichever it is) shall be corrected.

A.3 TTCN machine processable form (TTCN.MP)

The TTCN.MP representation corresponding to this ATS is contained in an ASCII file (EN_ISO _13143-2_AP_OBE.mp contained in the folder OBE) in the electronic insert which accompanies this document.

Where an ATS (in TTCN-2) is published in both graphical and machine processable format, these two forms shall be considered equivalent. In the event that there appear to be syntactical or semantic differences between the two, the problem shall be resolved and the erroneous format (whichever it is) shall be corrected.

Annex B
(normative)

Abstract test suite (ATS) for roadside equipment (RSE)

B.1 General

This abstract test suite has been produced using the Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN) according to ISO/IEC 9646-3. The abstract test suite was developed on a separate TTCN software tool and is attached to this document in an electronic insert. It contains the TTCN tables and a test suite overview part that provides additional information and references.

B.2 TTCN graphical form (TTCN.GR)

The TTCN.GR representation of this ATS is contained in an HTML file (EN_ISO _13143-2_AP_RSE.html contained in the folder "RSE" in the archive ISO13143-2(2016)v2.zip) in the electronic insert which accompanies this document.

NOTE 1   The above referenced file (i.e. ISO13143-2(2016)v2.zip) is freely available for download at http://standards.iso.org/iso/13143.

NOTE 2   The SHA-256 cryptographic hash digest of the above referenced file is 511407ca920b79aa6091a7e8a46895214cbf73c5a39ac284ec80eaa661ab60ab, which can be used to verify its integrity. The SHA-256 algorithm is specified in NIST 180-4[21]. Software for this type of computation is freely available for download on the web, for example, OpenHashtool and RHash. Be aware that pasting the text of the file into one of the hash digest computation pages available on the Web can result in a non-matching hash digest due to changes in the underlying coding.

Where an ATS (in TTCN-2) is published in both graphical and machine processable format, these two forms shall be considered equivalent. In the event that there appear to be syntactical or semantic differences between the two, the problem shall be resolved and the erroneous format (whichever it is) shall be corrected.

B.3 TTCN machine processable form (TTCN.MP)

The TTCN.MP representation corresponding to this ATS is contained in an ASCII file (EN_ISO _13143-2_AP_RSE.mp contained in the folder RSE) in the electronic insert which accompanies this document.

Where an ATS (in TTCN-2) is published in both graphical and machine-processable format, these two forms shall be considered equivalent. In the event that there appear to be syntactical or semantic differences between the two, the problem shall be resolved and the erroneous format (whichever it is) shall be corrected.

9

Annex C
(normative)

PIXIT proforma for on-board equipment (OBE)

C.1 General

The protocol implementation extra information for testing (PIXIT) proforma is based on ISO/IEC 9646-6. Any additional information needed can be found in this document.

C.2 Identification summary

Table C.1 — Identification summary

PIXIT Number:	
Test Laboratory Name:	
Date of Issue:	
Issued to:	

C.3 ATS summary

Table C.2 — ATS summary

Protocol Specification:	
Protocol to be Tested:	
ATS Specification:	
Abstract Test Method:	

C.4 Test laboratory

Table C.3 — Test laboratory

Test Laboratory Identification:	
Test Laboratory Manager:	
Means of Testing:	
SAP Address:	

C.5   Client identification

Table C.4 — Client identification

Client Identification:	
Client Test Manager:	
Test Facilities Required:	

C.6   DUT

Table C.5 — DUT

Name:	
Version:	
SCS Number:	
Machine Configuration:	
Operating System Identification:	
DUT Identification:	
PICS Reference for DUT:	
Limitations of the DUT:	
Environmental Conditions:	

C.7   Protocol layer information

C.7.1   Protocol identification

Table C.6 — Protocol identification

Name:	
Version:	
PICS References:	

C.7.2   DUT information

The DUT information shall be provided by the manufacturer of the DUT in an ASCII file. The DUT information required can be found in the HTML file EN ISO_13143-2_AP_OBE.html in the Declarations Part, subsection "Test Suite Parameter Declarations". See the electronic insert which accompanies this document.