

INTERNATIONAL  
STANDARD

**ISO**  
**11036**

First edition  
1994-12-01

---

---

**Sensory analysis — Methodology —  
Texture profile**

*Analyse sensorielle — Méthodologie — Profil de la texture*



Reference number  
ISO 11036:1994(E)

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

International Standard ISO 11036 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 34, *Agricultural food products*, Subcommittee SC 12, *Sensory analysis*.

Annexes A, B and C of this International Standard are for information only.

© ISO 1994

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from the publisher.

International Organization for Standardization  
Case Postale 56 • CH-1211 Genève 20 • Switzerland

Printed in Switzerland

## Introduction

Sensory profiling methods are formal procedures used for assessing in a reproducible manner the separate attributes of a sample and then rating their intensity on a suitable scale. The methods can be used for evaluating odour, flavour, appearance and texture, separately or in combination.

As a consequence of the unique nature of texture, methods have been developed specifically for texture profiling.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 11036:1994

This page intentionally left blank

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 11036:1994

# Sensory analysis — Methodology — Texture profile

## 1 Scope

This International Standard describes a method of developing a texture profile of food products (solids, semi-solids, liquids) or non-food products (e.g. cosmetics).

NOTE 1 This International Standard is actually more oriented towards the establishment of texture profiles for solid food products. Further work will be carried out to treat in more detail the texture of drinks and non-food products.

This method is just one approach to sensory texture profile analysis; other methods exist. It describes various steps in the process of establishing a complete description of the textural attributes of a product.

This method may be used for:

- screening and training of assessors;
- orientation of assessors through the development of definitions and evaluation techniques of textural characteristics;
- characterization of the textural attributes of a product to establish a standard profile for the product in order to discern any changes later;
- improving old and developing new products;
- studying various factors which may affect the textural attributes of a product; these factors may be, for example, a change in the process, time, temperature, ingredients, packaging or shelf-life and storage conditions;
- comparing a product with another similar product to determine the nature and intensity of textural differences;

- correlation of sensory and instrumental and/or physical measurements

## 2 Normative references

The following standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this International Standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this International Standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standards indicated below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards.

ISO 5492:1992, *Sensory analysis — Vocabulary*.

ISO 6658:1985, *Sensory analysis — Methodology — General guidance*.

ISO 8586-1:1993, *Sensory analysis — General guidance for the selection, training and monitoring of assessors — Part 1: Selected assessors*.

ISO 8586-2:1994, *Sensory analysis — General guidance for the selection, training and monitoring of assessors — Part 2: Experts*.

ISO 8589:1988, *Sensory analysis — General guidance for the design of test rooms*.

ISO 11035:1994<sup>1)</sup>, *Sensory analysis — Identification and selection of descriptors for establishing a sensory profile by a multidimensional approach*.

## 3 Definitions

For the purposes of this International Standard, the definitions given in ISO 5492 apply. For the con-

1) To be published.

venience of users of this International Standard, the following definition is repeated.

**3.1 texture** (noun): All the mechanical, geometrical and surface attributes of a product perceptible by means of mechanical, tactile and, where appropriate, visual and auditory receptors.

**The mechanical attributes** are those related to the reaction of the product to stress. They are divided in five primary characteristics, i.e. hardness, cohesiveness, viscosity, springiness and adhesiveness.

**The geometrical attributes** are those related to the size, shape and arrangement of particles within a product.

**The surface attributes** are those related to the sensations produced by moisture and/or fat content. In the mouth they are also related to the way in which these constituents are released.

## 4 Principle

Development of a texture profile by systematic classification, describing all of the textural attributes (mechanical, geometrical and surface).

## 5 General test requirements

### 5.1 Test room

Evaluations shall be carried out in a test room which is in accordance with ISO 8589.

### 5.2 Materials

Materials shall be selected by the test supervisor, according to the nature of the product, the number of samples, etc., and shall in no way affect the test results.

If standardized apparatus corresponds to the needs of the test, it shall be used.

## 6 Methodology

### 6.1 Components of a texture profile

The concept of texture profiling is based on the same elements as in flavour profiling. Therefore, it may include the following, depending on the type of product (food or non-food):

- a) perceptible textural attributes, i.e. mechanical, geometrical and others;

- b) intensity, i.e. the degree to which the attribute is perceptible;
- c) order of appearance of the attributes, which can be outlined as follows:
  - 1) **prior to/or without mastication**: all geometrical, moisture and fat attributes perceived visually or by touch (skin/hand, lips);
  - 2) **first bite/sip**: mechanical and geometrical attributes as well as fat and moisture attributes perceived in the mouth;
  - 3) **masticatory phase**: attributes perceived by the tactile receptors in the mouth during mastication and/or absorption;
  - 4) **residual phase**: changes occurring during mastication and/or absorption, such as the rate and type of breakdown;
  - 5) **swallowing**: ease of swallowing and description of any residue remaining in the mouth.

### 6.2 Classification of textural attributes

Texture is composed of different properties since the sensory evaluation of texture is a dynamic process. Textural attributes can be grouped into three main classes (see 6.2.1 to 6.2.3) according to the degree to which each is present, and the order in which they appear.

Textural attributes are manifested by the reaction of a food to constraint. They are measured either

- a) by kinaesthesia, which includes the sensations of position, movement, and tension of parts of the body, perceived through nerves and muscles, tendons and joints; or
- b) by somaesthesia, which includes the sensations of pressure (touch) and pain perceived by receptors located in the skin and lips, including oral mucosa, tongue and periodontal membrane.

#### 6.2.1 Mechanical attributes

Mechanical attributes for semi-solid and solid food products can be divided into five primary parameters and four secondary parameters (see table 1).

NOTE 2 Definitions of popular nomenclature are given in ISO 5492.

**Table 1 — Definitions and methods of evaluation for mechanical textural attributes**

Characteristic	Sensory definition	Technique
<b>Primary parameters</b>		
Hardness	Mechanical textural attribute relating to the force required to achieve a given deformation or penetration of a product.  In the mouth, it is perceived by compressing the product between the teeth (solids) or between the tongue and palate (semi-solids).	Place the sample between the molar teeth or between the tongue and palate and chew evenly, evaluating the force required to compress the food.
Cohesiveness	Mechanical textural attribute relating to the degree to which a substance can be deformed before it breaks.	Place the sample between the molar teeth, compress it and evaluate the amount of deformation before rupture.
Viscosity	Mechanical textural attribute relating to resistance to flow. It corresponds to the force required to draw a liquid from a spoon over the tongue, or to spread it over a substrate.	Place a spoon containing the sample directly in front of the mouth and draw the liquid from the spoon over the tongue by slurping, evaluating the force required to draw the liquid over the tongue at a steady rate.
Springiness	Mechanical textural attribute relating to the rapidity of recovery from a deforming force, and the degree to which a deformed material returns to its undeformed condition after the deforming force is removed.	Place the sample either between the tongue and palate (if it is a semi-solid) or molar teeth (if it is a solid) and compress it partially; remove the force and evaluate the degree and rapidity of recovery.
Adhesiveness	Mechanical textural attribute relating to the force required to remove material that adheres to the mouth or to a substrate.	Place the sample on the tongue, press it against the palate, and evaluate the force required to remove it with the tongue.
<b>Secondary parameters</b>		
Fracturability (brittleness)	Mechanical textural attribute related to cohesiveness and to the force necessary to break a product into crumbs or pieces.	Place sample between molar teeth and bite down evenly until the sample crumbles, cracks or shatters, evaluating the force with which the food moves away from the teeth.
Chewiness	Mechanical textural attribute related to cohesiveness and to the length of time or the number of chews required to masticate a solid product into a state ready for swallowing.	Place sample in the mouth and manipulate at one chew per second at a force equal to that required to penetrate a gum drop in 1/2 second, and evaluate the energy or the number of chews required to reduce the sample to a state ready for swallowing.
Gumminess	Mechanical textural attribute related to the cohesiveness of a tender product. In the mouth, it is related to the effort required to disintegrate the product to a state ready for swallowing.	Place sample in the mouth and manipulate with the tongue against the palate, evaluating the amount of manipulation necessary before the food disintegrates.

a) **Primary parameters**

- hardness: main adjectives used include soft, firm and hard;
- cohesiveness: main adjectives used include fracturability (crumbly, crunchy, brittle; crispy, crusty); chewiness (tender, chewy, tough); and gumminess (short, mealy, pasty, gummy);
- viscosity: main adjectives used include fluid, thin, viscous;

— springiness: main adjectives used include plastic, malleable, elastic, springy;

— adhesiveness: main adjectives used include sticky, tacky, goeey, gluey.

NOTE 3 The first four parameters are related to forces of attraction acting between particles of food and opposing disintegration, whereas adhesiveness is related more to surface properties. Three additional secondary parameters have been identified in order to make characterization as meaningful as possible to individuals accustomed to popular

terminology, whilst at the same time keeping it in agreement with basis rheological principles. It sometimes is more useful to rate any sensory characteristic in the simplest form, for example, to rate the firmness, cohesiveness and springiness as separate attributes. Sometimes, with some products, it is helpful for assessors to rate or judge a concept or phase like "overall chewy impression" and then directly under it rate the components.

#### b) Secondary parameters

- fracturability (brittleness): related to the primary parameters of hardness and cohesiveness; in brittle products cohesiveness is low and hardness can vary from low to high;
- chewiness: related to the primary parameters of hardness, cohesiveness and springiness;
- chew count;
- gumminess: related to the primary parameters of hardness and cohesiveness in semi-solid foods where hardness is low.

#### NOTES

4 Not all scales are equally appropriate for describing foods. For fluid foods such as beverages, a deeper analysis is required than that which is obtained by the single parameter of viscosity that appears in the standard texture profile analysis.

5 Annex B proposes a classification of terms relative to the sensory analysis of drinks. A study of the texture of liquids will be carried out in the future.

To obtain the maximum benefit from the use of scales in a training programme, each attribute shall be defined, the technique for evaluating the particular parameter shall be carefully explained and standardized among assessors, and three or four samples varying in intensity from each scale shall be presented to each assessor. A sensory technique should always accompany the definition of a textural attribute.

Table 1 summarizes the sensory definitions and techniques for evaluating mechanical textural attributes.

### 6.2.2 Geometrical attributes

Geometrical attributes are perceived by tactile receptors located in the skin (mainly in the tongue), mouth and throat. These attributes are also discernable through the appearance of the products, whether food or not.

#### 6.2.2.1 Granularity

Granularity is a geometrical textural attribute relating to the perception of the size and shape of particles in a product.

Attributes relating to particle size and shape can be demonstrated by reference products in the same manner as the mechanical attributes. For example, terms such as smooth, chalky, grainy, gritty and coarse comprise a scale of increasing particle size.

#### 6.2.2.2 Conformation

Conformation is a geometrical textural attribute relating to the perception of the shape and the orientation of particles in a product. Attributes relating to the orientation of particles represent highly organized structures.

Different terms correspond to a certain number of conformations. For example:

- "fibrous" refers to long particles oriented in the same direction (e.g. celery sticks);
- "cellular" refers to a highly organized structure composed of spherical ovoid particles, or composed of cell walls filled with a gas (e.g. egg white foam);
- "crystalline" refers to angular particles (e.g. granulated sugar);
- "puffy" refers to hard or firm outershells filled with large, often uneven, air pockets (e.g. cream puffs, puffed rice);
- "aerated" refers to relatively small, even cells filled with air and surrounded (usually but not always) by soft cell walls (e.g. marshmallows, meringues, polyurethane foam).

NOTE 6 Geometrical attributes do not lend themselves to clear-cut scaling and, although scales and references have been developed, no intensity reference scales have been published. Evaluation is qualitative and quantitative as to the type and amount present.

Examples of the different geometrical attributes will be presented and the amount of each characteristic present described. When greater discrimination is required, a scale can be established for a specific characteristic. See table 2.

**Table 2 — Examples of reference products for geometrical attributes**

Attributes relating to particle size and shape	Reference product	Attributes relating to orientation	Reference product
Powdery	Confectioner's sugar	Flaky	Boiled haddock
Chalky	Dry meringue, toothpaste	Fibrous	Asparagus, chicken breast, celery sticks
Grainy	Semolina	Pulpy	Peach pulp
Gritty, sandy	Pear core, sand	Cellular	Orange
Coarse	Cooked oatmeal	Aerated	Sandwich loaf, milk shake
Lumpy	Cottage cheese	Puffy	Puffed rice, cream puffs
Beady	Tapioca pudding, caviar	Crystalline	Granulated sugar

### 6.2.3 Other attributes (moisture and fat contents)

These attributes refer to mouthfeel qualities related to the perception of moisture and fat contents of a product by the tactile receptors in the mouth cavity or on the skin, and may also be concerned with the lubricating properties of the product.

The dynamic attribute of melting in the presence of heat should be noted (contact with the skin or in the mouth) where the idea of time/intensity is related to the time necessary for a change in state and to the perception in the mouth of different textures (e.g. a piece of cold butter or an ice-cube put into the mouth and simply allowed to melt without chewing).

#### 6.2.3.1 Moisture content

Moisture is a surface textural attribute which describes the perception of water absorbed by or released from a product.

The popular terms used to describe the moisture content of a product reflect not only the total amount of moisture perceived but also the type, rate and manner of release or absorption. Popular terms include: dry (e.g. dry biscuit); moist (e.g. apple); wet (e.g. water chestnut, mussels); juicy (e.g. orange).

#### 6.2.3.2 Fat content

Fatness is a surface textural attribute relating to the perception of the quantity or the quality of fat in a product. With fat content, the total amount of fat and its melting point, as related to mouth-coating attributes and geometrical attributes, are also important.

Secondary parameters, i.e. oily, greasy and fatty, have been established to distinguish between these attributes:

- oily reflects the perception of soaking and runny fat (e.g. salad with French dressing);
- greasy reflects the perception of exuding fat (e.g. bacon, chips);
- fatty reflects the perception of high fat proportion in a product, without exudation (e.g. lard, tallow).

### 6.3 Development of terminology

See ISO 11035.

Terms must be established to describe the texture of any product. Traditionally, this is done by having the panel evaluate several samples representing the full range of textural variations for the particular product type of interest. It is helpful to give assessors a broad range of terms with clear concise definitions at the beginning of the session to ensure that as many one-dimensional attributes as possible are utilized.

The assessors then list all the terms which are applicable to one or all of the samples.

These are then discussed under the direction of a panel leader and a mutually acceptable list of terms and definitions is compiled. The following points should be considered:

- whether the terms include all the characteristics of the basic method relevant to the product;
- whether any of the terms have the same meaning and can be combined or deleted;

— whether each panel member agrees to the use of each term and its definition.

## 6.4 Reference products

### 6.4.1 Scales of reference products

Based on the classification of textural attributes, standard rating scales have been developed in order to provide a defined quantitative method of evaluation of the mechanical attributes of texture. These scales are only illustrative of the basic concept of using familiar reference products to quantify the intensity of each sensory texture attribute. These scales reflect the range of intensities of the mechanical attributes normally encountered in foodstuffs intended to be profiled. They may be adopted without modification, or other reference products may be selected to allow for local availability, food habits, etc.

These scales, as given, are suitable for training. However, they cannot be used to evaluate profiles of all products without some adaptation. For example, when evaluating products which are all soft (e.g. varying formulations of cream cheese), then the lower end of the hardness scale will have to be expanded and other portions deleted. Therefore, any portion of the scales could be expanded to allow for more precise ratings of similar products.

The described scales offer a basis for quantitative texture evaluation and the resulting values gives a "texture profile". The scales are given in annex A. The scales for cohesiveness and springiness are those suggested by Munoz [9] and are not part of the originally developed scales by Szczesniak and Brands [11] (see annex C). The reason for this is that no suitable set of reference products has been developed to represent varying intensities of cohesiveness.

### 6.4.2 Criteria for selection of reference products

NOTE 7 The intent in establishing reference products scales is to point out that it is feasible to construct intensity scales for sensory textural attributes and that it is possible to select well-known foods as examples of specific intensities of these attributes. It is a method used to train assessors to use the same scale and evaluate the same sensory concept and also to speak the same language.

It should be realized that:

- a) some foods may not be available in certain parts of the world;
- b) even within a country, some of the foods may become unavailable with time;

c) the intensity of the textural attributes of some foods may change due to the use of different raw materials, or to changes in manufacturing practices.

Under these conditions, other products should be selected to fill out the scales.

Each scale shall encompass the full intensity range of the textural attribute encountered in the food products.

The reference products selected ideally should

- include specific examples for each point on the scale;
- possess the desired intensity of the textural attribute and this attribute shall not be overshadowed by other textural attributes;
- be readily available;
- have a constant quality;
- be generally familiar products or well-known brands;
- require minimum handling to prepare the product for evaluation; and
- undergo minimum change in textural attributes on small temperature variations or on short-term storage.

NOTE 8 Speciality items and laboratory preparations should be avoided as much as possible. Attempts should be made to select well-known commercial products of known low variability. Commercial products should be selected solely on the basis of the desired intensity, the particular intensity of the attribute and the reproducibility from batch to batch. Fresh fruits and vegetables should be avoided, where possible, because the texture varies greatly with the variety, degree of maturity and other factors. Items that require cooking should also be avoided.

Reference products shall be standardized as to size, shape, temperature and form (i.e. peeled, sliced, ground).

The textural attributes of many foods are dependent on the humidity of the environment in which they are stored (e.g. biscuits, potato chips). In these cases it may be necessary to control the humidity of the atmosphere under which such foods are tested and to condition the samples prior to testing so that they are in equilibrium with the test atmosphere. The implements or containers used by assessors shall also be standardized.

### 6.4.3 Modifications of reference scales

Once familiar with the basic method and reference scales, the panel shall establish a frame of reference by using several samples of the same product type in order to develop the evaluation technique, terminology and specific order of appearance in which the textural attributes are to be evaluated. As the panel evaluates each set of reference products they shall decide where they fall on the scale being used. This allows the panel to express the perception of variations in the attribute.

NOTE 9 Since the samples are well controlled with respect to size and temperature, panel members usually agree very well on any variations. This gives the panel confidence in their ability to judge.

Several reference materials for those texture scales provided may be substituted by other materials and/or serving conditions in order to:

- facilitate a more accurate illustration of a given texture attribute and/or intensity;
- expand the range of intensities in a reference scale;
- reduce the scale intervals between two reference materials;
- provide more convenient serving conditions (size and temperature) in order to facilitate manipulation of the material and the perception of the particular texture attribute; and
- account for the unavailability of products.

Standard scales for hardness, adhesiveness, springiness, cohesiveness of mass, moisture absorption, adhesiveness to teeth and touch have been proposed (see ref. [9], annex C), but these are only examples which must be adapted as a function of needs.

### 6.5 Order of appearance

Texture attributes follow a definite pattern of perception as described in 6.1 c). The panel shall evaluate the same characteristics in the same order. Usually each attribute shall be evaluated at the time at which it is most obvious and, therefore, most easily discerned.

After the panel has developed a method and a list of appropriate descriptors in the proper sequence, an answer form shall be drawn up. This form is a guide for each panel member for reporting the data. It shall

describe the procedure to be followed at each stage of the evaluation, the descriptors to be evaluated and their proper sequence and the corresponding intensity scales.

### 6.6 Evaluation technique

When establishing a standard technique for evaluation, the manner in which the product is normally consumed is considered, including:

- a) the way the food is introduced into the mouth (e.g. bitten with front teeth, removed from spoon by lips, or placed whole in the mouth);
- b) the way in which the food is broken down (e.g. it is chewed with the teeth only; it is manipulated between the tongue and palate; or it is partially broken down by the teeth and then manipulated by the tongue to complete the breakdown);
- c) the condition of the food prior to swallowing (e.g. is the food usually swallowed as a liquid, semi-solid, or as particles suspended in saliva?).

The adopted technique should duplicate as closely as possible the eating conditions to which the food is normally subjected.

(See for example figure 1.)


### 6.7 Use of intensity scales

Category, line and ratio scales are the types commonly used for texture profiling (see ISO 4121).

## 7 Preparation and presentation of samples for training and for tests

Procedures for sample preparation shall be standardized. Particular attention shall be paid to:

- a) standardizing the preparation of samples so that the resulting textures are representative of the products to be tested and reproducible from day to day and batch to batch;
- b) standardizing the sample size and shape so that the object to be masticated or manipulated is consistent as well as representative of the product as it is usually introduced into the mouth;
- c) defining and controlling, whenever appropriate, sample temperature, moisture content, time elapsed after preparation, etc.



**Figure 1 — Example of a procedure for evaluating texture** (taken from ref. [1])

The appropriate reference samples shall be presented for each attribute, either in a sensory booth at the same time as the experimental samples or at a brief training session beforehand.

## 8 Panel screening and selection

These shall be conducted in accordance with ISO 8586-1 and ISO 8586-2.

### 8.1 Panel screening

A group of not less than 25 shall be screened prior to the selection of the panel.

#### 8.1.1 Mouth environment

Since dental or buccal prostheses or salivation anomalies may restrict or remove the perception of many textural attributes, people with these should be chosen only when it has been proved that they are capable of carrying out the tests.

Some individuals with normal dentition may have poor discrimination ability during mastication.

#### 8.1.2 Behaviour criteria

Consideration should be given to the availability, interest, personality, liking of product, ability to function

well in a group, and verbal skills of a candidate. This may be achieved by means of individual interviews.

### 8.2 Panel selection

A rapid method for detection of physiological capabilities is to present each candidate with a minimum of four examples of attributes which will be evaluated during the test. The candidates shall be able to place all the items in the proper order.

Following the initial screening for physiological criteria and motivation interviews, 10 to 15 individuals from the original group shall be selected for training.

## 9 Panel training

### 9.1 First stage: mechanical attributes

Panel training begins with the introduction of the classification of textural attributes. Present the panel with the sensory definitions of the mechanical attributes. The assessors study each of the attributes through repetitive evaluations of selected reference products representing points on the reference scales.

NOTE 10 This not only provides the assessors with an understanding of the scales, but also makes them familiar with them. The use of the scale selected for the eventual evaluation of the products is introduced. For example, this could be an unstructured line scale or a category scale.

The assessors then evaluate a wide selection of products other than those that represent points on the reference scales.

They are asked to classify the given samples according to the scale.

This allows the panel to practise their perception and discrimination. It also builds confidence since the intervals on each scale are wide and "unknown" samples can be rated with relative ease.

The whole of the procedure shall be covered during this stage. This will allow a homogeneous group to be obtained who will use common terminology.

Any disagreements among panel members should be discussed at length. During this time the panel may be trained to developed multiproduct or product-specific evaluations.

The panel leader may help participants establish the relevant attributes and corresponding procedures to characterize the textural attributes of the products to be tested.

### **9.2 Second stage: geometric attributes (fat and moisture contents)**

The geometrical attributes and fat and moisture characteristics are studied. Present the panel with a list of these attributes and examples of products exhibiting these characteristics. The panel evaluates one or more products containing these attributes.

### **9.3 Third stage**

Following this, the panel then develops scales for a specific product including varieties of that product. During this stage the assessors shall complete their training using these specific scales.

## **10 Evaluation by the panel**

This is the evaluation of products under study using the scales devised and the techniques established.

Each assessor evaluates the test samples individually and independently. Sensory booths are used for the individual evaluations. The panel leader sums up the individual results and leads a discussion in order to resolve disagreements or misunderstandings. This leads to either a consensus at the end of the discussion, or to an interpretation of the data obtained by means of scales.

## **11 Data analysis**

For data analysis, it is not possible to define precisely a particular method, since profiling depends on the method and the experimental design chosen.

Independent assessments may be analysed using data analysis appropriate to the type of data collected (e.g. ANOVA, non-parametric methods).

Another approach is for individuals to evaluate the products and then discuss as a group the attributes and come to a consensus of how each product should be scored in relation to the reference products.

## Annex A

### (informative)

## Examples of scales of reference products for the evaluation of mechanical attributes of texture

**Table A.1 — Example of a standard hardness scale**

Popular term	Panel rating	Reference product <sup>1)</sup>	Type	Sample size	Temperature
Soft	1	Cream cheese		1,25 cm cube	7 °C to 13 °C
	2	Egg white	Hard-boiled, 5 min	1,25 cm tip	Room
	3	Frankfurter sausages	Large, uncooked, skinless	1,25 cm thick slice	10 °C to 18 °C
	4	Cheese	American, yellow, processed	1,25 cm cube	10 °C to 18 °C
	5	Olives, green	Giant-size, stoned	1 olive	10 °C to 18 °C
	6	Peanuts	Cocktail type, under vacuum	1 whole nut	Room
	7	Carrots	Uncooked	1,25 cm thick slice	Room
	8	Peanut brittle	Candy part		Room
Hard	9	Rock candy			Room

1) Products are variable whether commercial in nature or agricultural. The scales depend on the product selected.

**Table A.2 — Example of a standard cohesiveness scale**

Popular term	Scale value	Reference product	Type	Sample size	Temperature
Low-intensity cohesiveness	1,0	American corn muffin <sup>1)</sup>	Old Fashioned	1,25 cm cube	Room
	5,0	American cheese	Yellow, processed	1,25 cm cube	5 °C to 7 °C
	—	White sandwich bread	Sliced, enriched	1,25 cm square	Room
	8,0	Soft pretzel		1,25 cm piece	Room
	10,0	Dried fruit	Raisin, seedless	1 piece	Room
	12,0	Fruit chew		1 piece	Room
	13,0	Caramel	Homemade, light	1,25 cm cube	Room
High-intensity cohesiveness	15,0	Chewing gum	After 40 chews	1 piece	Room

1) Thawed at room temperature.

**Table A.3 — Example of a standard viscosity scale**

Popular term	Panel rating	Reference product	Sample size ml	Temperature °C
Thin	1	Water	2,5	7 to 13
	2	Light cream (18 % fat)	2,5	
	3	Heavy cream (35 % fat)	2,5	7 to 13
	4	Evaporated milk	2,5	7 to 13
	5	Maple syrup	2,5	7 to 13
	6	Chocolate syrup	2,5	7 to 13
	7	Mixture: 125 ml mayonnaise + 60 ml heavy cream	2,5	7 to 13
Viscous	8	Condensed milk, sweetened	2,5	7 to 13

**Table A.4 — Example of a standard springiness scale**

Popular term	Scale value	Reference product	Type	Sample size	Temperature
Low-intensity springiness	0	Cream cheese		1,25 cm cube	5 °C to 7 °C
	5,0	Frankfurter sausages <sup>1)</sup>	Cooked 5 min in boiling water	1,25 cm thick slice	Room
	9,0	Marshmallows	Miniature	1 piece	Room
High-intensity springiness	15,0	Gelatin dessert	<sup>2)</sup>	1,25 cm cube	5 °C to 7 °C

1) Area compressed between tongue and palate is parallel to cut.

2) Dissolve one package of jelly dessert and one package of gelatin in 375 ml of hot water. Cover and refrigerate (5 °C to 7 °C) for 24 h.

**Table A.5 — Example of a standard adhesiveness scale**

Popular term	Panel rating	Reference product	Sample size	Temperature °C
Low adhesiveness	1	Hydrogenated vegetable oil	2,5 ml	7 to 13
	2	Buttermilk biscuit dough	1/4 biscuit	7 to 13
	3	Cream cheese	2,5 ml	7 to 13
	4	Marshmallow topping	2,5 ml	7 to 13
High adhesiveness	5	Peanut butter	2,5 ml	7 to 13

Table A.6 — Example of a standard fracturability scale

Popular term	Panel rating	Reference product	Type	Sample size	Temperature
Crumbly	1	American corn muffins		1,25 cm cube	
	2	Angel puffs	Dietetic, heated 5 min at 82 °C	1 puff	Room
	3	Graham crackers		1/2 cracker	Room
	4	Melba toast	Inside piece	1,25 cm square	Room
	5	Hazel cookies		1,25 cm square	Room
	6	Ginger snaps		1,25 cm square	Room
Brittle	7	Peanut brittle	Candy part	1,25 cm square	Room

Table A.7 — Example of a standard chewiness scale

Popular term	Panel rating	No. of chews <sup>1)</sup>	Reference product	Type	Sample size	Temperature
Low-intensity chewiness	1	10,3	Rye bread	Fresh, centre cut	1,25 cm	Room
	2	17,1	Frankfurter sausages	Large, uncooked, skinless	1,25 cm thick slice	10 °C to 21 °C
	3	25,0	Gum drops		1 piece	Room
	4	31,8	Steak	Round, grilled (broiled) for 10 min each side	1,25 cm cube	60 °C to 85 °C
	5	33,6	Starch-based gum drops		1 piece	Room
	6	37,3	Peanut chews		1 piece	Room
High-intensity chewiness	7	56,7	Short-textured sugar-based toffee		1 piece	Room

1) Mean number of chews before swallowing.

Table A.8 — Example of a standard gumminess scale

Popular term	Panel rating	Reference product	Sample size	Temperature
Low-intensity gumminess	1	40 % flour paste	1 tablespoon	Room
	2	45 % flour paste		
	3	50 % flour paste		
High-intensity gumminess	4	55 % flour paste		
	5	60 % flour paste		