

First edition
2016-08-01

Corrected version
2016-09-15

Cranes — Principles for seismically resistant design

Appareils de levage à charge suspendue — Principes pour une conception résistante à la sismicité

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 11031:2016



Reference number
ISO 11031:2016(E)

© ISO 2016

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 11031:2016



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2016, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Contents

	Page
Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Symbols	1
4 Seismic design methods	2
5 Seismic design by Modified Seismic Coefficient Method	3
5.1 General.....	3
5.2 Calculation of horizontal seismic design coefficient, K_H	3
5.2.1 General.....	3
5.2.2 Determination of normalized basic acceleration, A_{bg}	3
5.2.3 Determination of subsoil amplification factor, β_2	4
5.2.4 Determination of acceleration response factor, β_3	5
5.3 Calculation of vertical seismic design coefficient, K_V	8
5.4 Calculation of seismic design loads.....	8
5.4.1 Calculation of seismic accelerations.....	8
5.4.2 Calculation of seismic forces.....	9
6 Seismic design based on Maximum Response Spectrum Method	9
6.1 General.....	9
6.2 Calculation procedure for total seismic response (TSR).....	10
7 Combinations of seismic and non-seismic effects	11
7.1 General.....	11
7.2 Proof of static strength: load combinations in accordance with ISO 8686-1.....	11
7.3 Proof of static strength: load combination according to SRSS Method.....	12
7.4 Proof of global stability.....	12
7.5 Proof of competence for crane structures.....	13
Annex A (informative) Flow chart of seismic design	14
Annex B (informative) Design accelerations and seismic zones	15
Annex C (informative) Information about Maximum Response Method	32
Annex D (informative) Time History Response Method and a comparison of different seismic methods available	35
Annex E (informative) Relation between basic acceleration, Mercalli and Richter scales	38
Annex F (informative) Vertical seismic intensity	39
Bibliography	40

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/TC 96, *Cranes*, Subcommittee SC 10, *Design principles and requirements*.

This corrected version of ISO 11031:2016 incorporates the following corrections:

- in [Formula \(9\)](#), " a_H " has been changed to " a_v " to signify *vertical*, not horizontal, seismic acceleration;
- in [6.2](#), in the step describing the use of final design spectrum accelerations and participation factors as inputs for calculating the responses for selected modes, the axis directions have been italicized as *X*, *Y* and *Z*, and a missing cross-reference to [Table C.1](#) reinserted.

Introduction

An economically acceptable protection against the effects of earthquake is usually based on two design limit states which specify the required crane response to a moderate and a severe earthquake and which are expressed in terms of serviceability and ultimate limit states.

- Serviceability limit state (SLS) imposes that the crane should withstand moderate earthquake ground motions which may occur at the site during its service life. The resulting stresses would remain within the accepted limits.
- Ultimate limit state (ULS) imposes that the crane structure should not collapse nor experience similar forms of structural failure due to severe earthquake ground motions, the suspended load, or any part of the crane should not fall and the safety of the public, operators and workers should be safe guarded. The crane is not expected to remain operational after the earthquake. However, in the case of a failure in the main load path, it is still possible to lower the load to the ground after the earthquake.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 11031:2016

[STANDARDSISO.COM](https://standardsiso.com) : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 11031:2016

Cranes — Principles for seismically resistant design

1 Scope

This International Standard establishes general methods for calculating seismic loads to be used as defined in the ISO 8686 series and for proof of competence as defined in ISO 20332, for the structure and mechanical components of cranes as defined in ISO 4306.

This International Standard evaluates dynamic response behaviour of a crane subjected to seismic excitation as a function of the dynamic characteristics of the crane and of its supporting structure.

The evaluation takes into account dynamic effects both of regional seismic conditions and of the local conditions on the surface of the ground at the crane location.

The operational conditions of the crane and the risks resulting from seismic damage to the crane are also taken into account.

This International Standard is restricted to the serviceability limit state (SLS), maintaining stresses within the elastic range in accordance with ISO 20332.

The present edition does not extend to proofs of competence which include plastic deformations. When these are permitted by agreement between crane supplier and customer, other standards or relevant literature taking them into account can be used.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 4306 (all parts), *Lifting appliances — Vocabulary*

ISO 8686 (all parts), *Cranes — Design principles for loads and load combinations*

ISO 20332, *Cranes — Proof of competence of steel structures*

3 Symbols

The main symbols used in this International Standard are given in [Table 1](#).

Table 1 — Main symbols

Symbol	Description
K_H	Horizontal seismic design coefficient
K_V	Vertical seismic design coefficient
A_{bg}	Normalized basic acceleration
A_{sg}	Normalized acceleration at ground surface
f_{con}	Conversion factor
f_{rec}	Recurrence factor
β_2	Subsoil amplification factor
β_3	Acceleration response factor

Table 1 (continued)

Symbol	Description
β_3^*	Basic acceleration response factor; β_3 of the crane whose damping ratio is 0,025 and given by Figure 2
γ_n	Risk factor
η	Damping correction factor
δ	Response amplification factor
ζ	Damping ratio
c	Vertical influence factor
F_H	Horizontal seismic design force
F_V	Vertical seismic design force
F_{RH}, F_{RV}	Seismic forces (horizontal and vertical) on suspended load

4 Seismic design methods

There are three main methods of seismic response analysis used in seismic design:

- Modified Seismic Coefficient Method;
- Maximum Response Spectrum Method;
- Time History Response Method.

In the *Modified Seismic Coefficient Method*, the applied quasi-static seismic forces are calculated as a product of seismic coefficients and crane weights. The evaluation of seismic coefficients takes into account crane location, its seismic characteristics, basic dynamic characteristics of the crane, i.e. natural frequency or period and damping characteristics, in three principal orthogonal directions of the crane (one vertical and two horizontal).

The method is the basis of this International Standard on account of its simplicity (see [Clause 5](#)) and its procedure is executed as part of the design iterative process indicated in the flow chart in [Annex A](#).

The *Maximum Response Spectrum Method* (see [Clause 6](#)) is an alternative method of seismic response analysis used where:

- more accurate seismic response of the crane is required than that produced by the Modified Seismic Coefficient Method;
- demand on significant computational resources is economically acceptable.

Its application is limited only to linear systems and to system where nonlinearities if present can be neglected.

In the Maximum Response Spectrum Method, natural frequencies or periods and associated mode shapes of the crane are calculated first. Seismic forces and the crane response are then calculated for the selected vibration modes of the crane structure, using the maximum response accelerations (selected from the maximum response spectra which again take into account seismic characteristics at crane location and the damping characteristics of crane structure) together with the calculated mode shapes, frequencies and mass distribution of the crane.

The *Time History Response Method* is the third method of seismic response analysis available. It is employed when:

- only an accurate seismic response of crane is acceptable (see [Annex D](#));
- nonlinearities (due to material behaviour, such as plastic deformations and stresses or dynamic behaviour nonlinearities, such as gaps, friction, wheels lifting off the rails, or slack in ropes, etc.), if present, need to be taken into account;

— the associated cost of high computational requirements is acceptable.

In the Time History Method, the seismic response is evaluated by using numerical step-by-step integration in time to solve the formula of motions for crane structure and ground excitation under consideration, selected to represent seismic condition at crane site.

5 Seismic design by Modified Seismic Coefficient Method

5.1 General

In this method, seismic forces and accelerations acting on the crane are calculated using horizontal and vertical seismic coefficients, K_H and K_V . For cranes with an enhanced risk, the risk coefficient, γ_n , with a value greater than unity shall be applied, in accordance with [Clause 7](#).

5.2 Calculation of horizontal seismic design coefficient, K_H

5.2.1 General

The horizontal seismic design coefficient, K_H , shall be calculated as follows:

$$K_H = A_{bg} \times \beta_2 \times \beta_3 \times f_{con} = A_{sg} \times \beta_3 \times f_{con} \quad (1)$$

where

A_{bg} is the normalized basic acceleration (see [5.2.2](#));

A_{sg} is the normalized surface ground acceleration

β_2 is the subsoil amplification factor (see [5.2.3](#));

β_3 is the acceleration response factor (see [5.2.4](#));

f_{con} is the conversion factor $f_{con} = 0,16$ for a return period of 475 years (see [5.2.2](#)) converted to 72 years appropriate for serviceability limit state (SLS) of a seismically resistant crane.

The direction of the normalized accelerations, A_{bg} and A_{sg} , are considered to be arbitrary unless seismological considerations dictate otherwise. When the direction is arbitrary, it shall be applied to produce the maximum effect.

5.2.2 Determination of normalized basic acceleration, A_{bg}

Normalized basic acceleration, A_{bg} , is calculated from the [Formula \(2\)](#):

$$A_{bg} = a_g / g \times f_{rec} \quad (2)$$

where

a_g is the maximum horizontal basic acceleration, in m/s^2 (see [Annex B](#));

g is the gravity acceleration, in m/s^2 ;

f_{rec} is a factor depending on the recurrence interval R ; for crane design in general a design earthquake, which may recur once in intervals of 100 years to 475 years ($R = 100$ to $R = 475$) may be selected:

$f_{rec} = 1,0$ for $R = 475$; this is the default value,

$f_{rec} = 0,5$ for $R = 100$; used only for cranes intended for temporary use at different sites.


See [Annex B](#) for suggested values of A_{bg} and A_{sg} , for different countries, taking into account regional seismic damage experiences and regional seismicity.

In [B.1](#), the accelerations, A_{bg} and A_{sg} , are based on the return period of 475 years ($f_{rec} = 1,0$).

NOTE 475 years is the most accepted return period used within the seismic data available.

5.2.3 Determination of subsoil amplification factor, β_2

The subsoil amplification factor expresses the influence of the soil surface on the intensity and the frequencies of the seismic excitation. The principle of this influence is illustrated in [Figure 1](#).



Key

- 1 seismic effects on the surface (recorded seismograms), represented by A_{sg} in this International Standard
- 2 rock
- 3 soft to medium stiff ground
- 4 stiff ground
- 5 normalized basic accelerations A_{bg} (related to seismic bedrock)

Figure 1 — Illustration of the subsoil amplification factor (β_2)

In [Table 2](#), subsoil categories are classified as a function of $v_{s,30}$, the average shear-velocity through the upper 30 m of soil. The values of β_2 shall be selected from this table, for subsoil category at crane location.

Table 2 — Determination and values of β_2

Category	Subsoil	Shear-wave velocity $v_{s,30}$ m/s	β_2
Category 0	Rock	$v_{s,30} > 800$	1,0
Category 1	Stiff ground composed of hard sandy soil strata where soil types overlying rock are stable deposits of sands, gravels, or stiff clays.	$360 < v_{s,30} \leq 800$	1,4
Category 2	Medium ground excluding categories 1 and 3.	$180 < v_{s,30} \leq 360$	1,6
Category 3	Soft-to-medium-stiff ground composed of alluvial soil strata or muddy soil strata characterized by about 30 m or more soft-to-medium-stiff clay.	$v_{s,30} \leq 180$	2,0

5.2.4 Determination of acceleration response factor, β_3

5.2.4.1 General

The value of acceleration response factor, β_3 , shall be determined as a function of

- dynamic characteristics of crane support structure where applicable,
- frequency or period of the most significant mode of the crane in the direction under consideration,
- damping ratio of the same mode, and
- subsoil category at the location of the crane.

The most significant modes of the crane are selected from natural periods or frequencies determined by measurement or by calculation, using recognized computational techniques.

β_3 shall be defined as

$$\beta_3 = \beta_3^* \times \eta \times \delta \quad (3)$$

where

β_3^* is the basic acceleration response factor (see 5.2.4.2);


η is the damping correction factor (see 5.2.4.3);

δ is the response amplification factor (see 5.2.4.4).

5.2.4.2 Basic acceleration response factor, β_3^*

β_3^* is the basic acceleration response factor of a crane structure with damping ratio of 0,025.

Its values as a function of the natural period or frequency of the crane and of subsoil category at crane location are shown in [Figure 2](#).



Key

- 1 subsoil categories 0 and 1
- 2 subsoil category 2
- 3 subsoil category 3
- X1 axis for natural period T_c [s] of crane structure
- X2 axis for natural frequency f_c [Hz] of crane structure
- Y axis for the basic acceleration response factor, β_3^*

Figure 2 — Factor β_3^* (as a function of crane natural period or frequency and of subsoil category at crane location)

5.2.4.3 Damping correction factor, η

Damping correction factor, η , in [Formula \(3\)](#) shall be defined according to the value of damping ratio, ζ , of the crane structure as shown in [Table 3](#).

Table 3 — Damping correction factor, η

Damping ratio, ζ	0,01	0,015	0,02	0,025	0,03	0,04	0,05	0,1
η	1,24	1,15	1,06	1,0	0,94	0,87	0,80	0,62

Typical values of damping ratios for structures, with the members generally stressed below 50 % of the elastic limit, are $\zeta = 0,025$ for welded construction, $\zeta = 0,04$ for bolted construction and $\zeta = 0,03$ for welded and bolted construction combined. Higher values of damping ratios may be used for the same types of construction stressed above 50 % of the elastic limit of the material.

Where a buckling failure mode controls the design higher levels of damping shall not be used.

Alternatively, damping ratios can be obtained by accepted methods, such as the following:

- measurement;

- an evaluation of the hysteresis of a force-displacement diagram of nonlinear items such as structural members with nonlinear behaviour or joints with dry friction.

5.2.4.4 Response amplification factor, δ

For cranes operating on rails laid directly on the ground δ shall be defined as unity, $\delta = 1$.

For cranes operating on rails laid on a supporting structure (e.g. building, pier, jetty) the value of δ can be determined from the [Formula \(4\)](#):

$$\delta = 0,71 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1 + \lambda^2}{\lambda^2 + (1 - \lambda^2) \cdot \kappa^2}} \geq 1 \quad (4)$$

where

- λ is a factor related to the degree of coupling between crane structure and supporting structure as given in [Table 4](#);
- κ is a factor related to the equivalent damping of the coupled structure between crane structure and supporting structure as given in [Figure 3](#), where ζ is the damping ratio of the crane structure (see [5.2.4.3](#)).

Table 4 — Factor λ

Natural period ratio	λ
$T_C/T_P \leq 0,9$	$\sqrt{1 - (1 - \theta) \cdot \left(\frac{1,8 \cdot T_C \cdot T_P}{T_C^2 + 0,81 \cdot T_P^2} \right)^2}$
$0,9 < T_C/T_P \leq 1,1$	$\sqrt{\theta}$
$T_C/T_P > 1,1$	$\sqrt{1 - (1 - \theta) \cdot \left(\frac{2,2 \cdot T_C \cdot T_P}{T_C^2 + 1,21 \cdot T_P^2} \right)^2}$
where	
$\theta = \frac{m_c}{m_c + m_s}$	is the mass ratio of the crane structure and the supporting structure;
m_c	is the mass of the crane as a whole;
m_s	is the mass of the supporting structure as a whole;
T_C	is the largest natural period of the crane structure with the supporting structure assumed rigid;
T_P	is the largest natural period of the supporting structure with the crane structure assumed rigid.




Figure 3 — Factor κ

5.3 Calculation of vertical seismic design coefficient, K_V

The vertical seismic design coefficient, K_V , shall be calculated by [Formula \(5\)](#):

$$K_V = c \times K_H \tag{5}$$

where

c is the vertical influence factor which in this International Standard shall be set to 0,5 (see [Annex F](#) for further information);

K_H is the horizontal seismic design coefficient as calculated using [Formula \(1\)](#) in [5.2.1](#).

5.4 Calculation of seismic design loads

5.4.1 Calculation of seismic accelerations

Maximum horizontal and vertical seismic accelerations, a_H and a_V , shall be calculated from horizontal and vertical seismic coefficients, K_H and K_V , using [Formulae \(6\)](#) and [\(7\)](#):

$$a_H = K_H \times g \tag{6}$$

$$a_V = K_V \times g \tag{7}$$

5.4.2 Calculation of seismic forces

Horizontal seismic design force, F_H , and vertical design force, F_V , applied to each component or member of crane structure shall be calculated using [Formulae \(8\)](#) and [\(9\)](#):

$$F_H = K_H \times W_c \quad \text{or} \quad F_H = a_H \times m_c \quad (8)$$

$$F_V = K_V \times W_c \quad \text{or} \quad F_V = a_V \times m_c \quad (9)$$

where

W_c is the dead weight of a member or component of the crane under consideration;

m_c is the mass of a member or component of the crane under consideration.

Seismic forces on suspended load(s) are given by, F_{RV} and F_{RH} , for the vertical and horizontal direction respectively. When the horizontal seismic force(s) can be shown to be negligible, only the vertical seismic force needs to be considered.

$$F_{RH} = K_H \times \chi \times W_R \quad \text{or} \quad F_{RH} = a_H \times \chi \times m_R \quad (10)$$

$$F_{RV} = K_V \times \chi \times W_R \quad \text{or} \quad F_{RV} = a_V \times \chi \times m_R \quad (11)$$

where

χ is the coefficient of seismic effect on suspended load;

W_R is the gross load of the crane;

m_R is the mass of the gross load.

NOTE The selection of χ in the range of 0,0 to 1,0 could be chosen according to crane classes of ISO 4301-1, as in [Table 5](#).

Table 5 — Coefficient of seismic effect on suspended load, χ

Crane class (ISO 4301-1)	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6	A7	A8
χ	0,0	0,14	0,28	0,43	0,57	0,71	0,86	1,0

6 Seismic design based on Maximum Response Spectrum Method

6.1 General

This approach calculates the seismic response of a crane in frequency domain and with contributions from multiple modes of vibration taken into account. Response calculation is usually carried out by calculating separately the responses in three orthogonal directions, two horizontal and one vertical. The response in each direction is obtained as a combination of the responses for the selected vibrational modes. The response for each of these modes is calculated using the maximum response acceleration or displacement obtained from the maximum response spectrum, for the frequency/period and damping value of the mode under consideration and the effective mass of the mode.

In this International Standard, the vertical response spectrum is calculated as 50 % of the horizontal spectrum. In the instance that the two horizontal spectra differ, the vertical response shall be calculated using the larger of the two.

An estimate of the total response in each of the three directions is calculated as a combination of the contributions of the individual significant modes using one of the recognized methods, including the following:

- sum of absolute values of all contributions;
- square root of the sum of the squares of all contributions (SRSS);
- complete quadratic combination of all contributions (CQC).

The total responses in the three directions are combined to yield the total seismic response of the crane, the effect of which can then be considered in conjunction with conventional crane service loads.

Crane structure (with an infinite number of degrees of freedom in reality), shall be reduced to a finite number multi-degree-of-freedom dynamic system using a lumped mass-spring modelling approach, by employing e.g. finite element analysis (FEA) or any other recognized tools and while ensuring that all salient vibrational characteristics of the crane have been retained.

The resulting model shall be used to calculate natural periods/frequencies, vibrational mode shapes and modal participation factors.

Salient steps of seismic response analysis based on the maximum response spectrum method are shown in [Table C.1](#) (where the example shown refers only to seismic excitation in the x direction).

The method assumes elastic and linear behaviour of crane structure and its accuracy increases with the increasing number of modes included in the analysis.

6.2 Calculation procedure for total seismic response (TSR)

From the different possibilities mentioned in [6.1](#), the present International Standard employs the SRSS method as the default method for combining modal contributions and directional contributions. Employing the various parameters from the modified seismic coefficient method, the procedure is as follows.

Using a dynamic model of the crane, together with the finite element analysis (FEA), the maximum response spectrum method has the following steps.

- Calculation of all natural modes and their frequencies below the rigid body limit, set at 30 Hz.
- Selection of the appropriate basic design acceleration response factor, β_3^* , from the three options shown in [Figure 2](#), depending on the type of subsoil at the crane site, each curve representing the relative spectrum acceleration values [g].
- Selection of the significant modes, so that the total sum of participating modal mass exceeds an agreed limit. A value of 90 % of total mass is the accepted target. However, this value may not be achievable in some instances, such as the following: an overhead crane with stiff end carriages, a crane with base ballast, or a crane with a large suspended load included in the model.
- Inclusion of all non-participating mass responding at the zero period acceleration in the analytical model.
- Calculation of the values of final design spectrum accelerations for the selected significant modes by multiplying the values of the acceleration response factor β_3^* (from the basic design spectrum) by the
 - conversion factor value equal to 0,16 [see [Formula \(1\)](#)],
 - normalized basic acceleration A_{bg} of the crane location (see [5.2.2](#)),
 - subsoil amplification factor β_2 (see [5.2.3](#)), and

- damping correction factor η (see [5.2.4.3](#)).
- Use of the final design spectrum accelerations and participation factors as inputs for calculating the responses for the selected modes (the response being internal forces, stresses, components or combined and/or displacements, at nodes of interest), for each of the three principal directions (X , Y and Z axis directions). Combine the responses from all selected modes using the SRSS method to calculate the total seismic response [$\text{resp}_t(X)$, $\text{resp}_t(Y)$ and $\text{resp}_t(Z)$], for each of the three directions of excitation — see Step 6 in [Table C.1](#)].
- Calculation of the total seismic response (TSR) by combining the total responses for the three principal directions, $\text{resp}_t(X)$, $\text{resp}_t(Y)$ and $\text{resp}_t(Z)$, using SRSS method which can be written as follows:

$$\text{TSR}_{\text{SRSS}} = \sqrt{\text{resp}_t(X)^2 + \text{resp}_t(Y)^2 + \text{resp}_t(Z)^2} \quad (12)$$

An alternative to the SRSS Method is a combination method based on a sum of factored total seismic responses in the three principal directions, such as either of the following:

- The 100-40-40 Method (see Reference [\[5\]](#)) where the combinations can be written as follows:

$$\text{TSR}_{100-40-40} = 1,0 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(X)| + 0,4 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(Y)| + 0,4 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(Z)| \text{ or}$$

$$\text{TSR}_{100-40-40} = 0,4 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(X)| + 1,0 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(Y)| + 0,4 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(Z)| \text{ or} \quad (13)$$

$$\text{TSR}_{100-40-40} = 0,4 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(X)| + 0,4 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(Y)| + 1,0 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(Z)|$$

- The sum of absolute values of all contributions where the combinations can be written as follows:

$$\text{TSR}_{\text{abs}} = 1,0 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(X)| + 1,0 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(Y)| \text{ or} \quad (14)$$

$$\text{TSR}_{\text{abs}} = 1,0 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(Z)| + 1,0 \cdot |\text{resp}_t(Y)|$$

7 Combinations of seismic and non-seismic effects

7.1 General

Regarding the proof of static strength and the proof of elastic stability, two methods of combining the effects of seismic loads and other non-seismic loads are shown in [7.2](#) and [7.3](#), with the method shown in [7.2](#) being the preferred method of this International Standard.

[7.4](#) deals with the proof of global stability of the crane.

7.2 Proof of static strength: load combinations in accordance with ISO 8686-1

The seismic design load actions calculated in [Clause 5](#) shall be combined with other load actions according to the principles of ISO 8686-1 using the following [Table 6](#).

Table 6 — Seismic load combinations

Loads	Load combinations	
	C1	C2
Mass of the crane	1	1
Mass of the gross load	1	1
Excitation of the crane foundation in vertical direction: F_V, F_{RV}	1	0,4
Excitation of the crane foundation in horizontal direction ^a F_H, F_{RH}	0,4	1

^a Horizontal forces F_H and F_{RH} can act in any horizontal direction and the direction for a specific crane component under consideration shall be selected so that the most unfavourable effect for that component is obtained.

NOTE 1 ISO 8686-1 defines a risk factor, γ_n , for special cases, where the human or economic consequences of failure are exceptionally severe, in order to obtain an increased reliability. The risk coefficient value is within the range from 1,0 to 2,0. It may be specified individually for a structural member or a mechanism of the crane, or with different values for the proof of global stability of the crane (see 7.4). If a risk factor γ_n greater than 1,0 is selected, then only seismic design load actions in the Table 6 (F_V, F_{RV}, F_H, F_{RH}) are multiplied by γ_n .

NOTE 2 Other similar factors, such as importance factors could be found in national documents.

Different load magnitudes, as well as varying positions of suspended load and mobile parts (e.g. trolley, jib, moving counterweights) shall be considered to determine the maximum effect.

7.3 Proof of static strength: load combination according to SRSS Method

The load case total response resulting from the calculations in 6.2, using the Square Root of the Sum of the Squares Method (SRSS), shall be combined with other load actions using the following Table 7.

Table 7 — Seismic load combinations in SRSS Method

Loads	Load combinations			
	C3	C4	C5	C6
Mass of the crane	1	1	1	1
Mass of the gross load	1	0	1	0
Total seismic response (SRSS) — Loaded crane	1	0	-1	0
Total seismic response (SRSS) — Un laden crane	0	1	0	-1

Different load magnitudes, as well as varying positions of suspended load and mobile parts (e.g. trolley, jib, moving counterweights) shall be considered to determine the maximum effect.

7.4 Proof of global stability

For each orthogonal horizontal direction, the seismic design load actions shall be combined with other load actions according to the principles of ISO 8686-1 using the following Table 8.

Table 8 — Load combinations for global stability including seismic loads

Loads	Load combination C1
Mass of the crane, unfavourable ^a	1,05
Mass of the crane, favourable ^a	1,0
Mass of the gross load	1,0
Total seismic load	1,0
^a When calculating the loads from gravitation for a given load combination and crane configuration, the masses of the different parts of the crane either increase (“unfavourable”) or decrease the resulting load effect (“favourable”) in the critical point under consideration.	

The risk factor, γ_n , whose value is within the range 1,0 to 2,0 shall be specified only for the total seismic load. It may be specified also for unfavourable masses of the crane.

Horizontal forces, F_H and F_{RH} , can act in any horizontal direction. Therefore, the direction shall be selected in a manner that the most unfavourable effect for the crane stability is obtained.

All suspended load magnitudes and crane configurations shall be considered when checking crane stability under seismic conditions unless governing load combination(s) for the stability case under consideration can be clearly identified.

7.5 Proof of competence for crane structures

The proof of competence for crane structures shall be made according to ISO 20332, where the value of the general resistance factor, γ_m , shall be set to 1,0, and with second order effects taken into consideration when appropriate.

Annex A (informative)

Flow chart of seismic design

A typical flow chart of seismic design procedure of cranes based on the modified seismic coefficient method is shown in [Figure A.1](#).




Figure A.1 — Seismic design flow chart of cranes based on modified seismic coefficient method

Annex B (informative)

Design accelerations and seismic zones

B.1 General

The normalized basic acceleration, A_{bg} , depends on the earthquake hazard assessment of the zone and the country where the crane is located. The normalized surface ground acceleration, A_{sg} , takes into account the characteristics of the subsoil.

The normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} , given in tables for different countries are based on a return period of 475 years. They correspond to a 10 % probability of exceedance in 50 years (0,2 % probability of exceedance in one year).

Data specific for the country and zone under consideration should be used. In the absence of such specific data, examples of national data included in this Annex may be used.

As the latest data becomes available, seismic zones for other regions or countries not included in the present Annex may be included in future editions of this International Standard.

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 11031:2016

B.2 Seismic zones in the USA

Figure B.1 illustrates the seismic zone map for areas in United States of America (USA) by Uniform Building Code (UBC). USA land is divided into five zones, namely, 1, 2A, 2B, 3 and 4.





Figure B.1 — Seismic zones in USA

Table B.1 — Normalized basic accelerations in the USA

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
0	0
1	0,075
2A	0,15
2B	0,20
3	0,30
4	0,40

B.3 Seismic zones in Asia

B.3.1 Japan




Figure B.2 — Seismic zones in Japan

Table B.2 — Normalized basic accelerations in Japan

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg}	
	g	
SA	0,45	
A	0,36	
B	0,27	
C	0,18	

B.3.2 China





Figure B.3 — Seismic zones in China

Table B.3 — Normalized basic accelerations in China

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
1	0,05
2	0,1
3	0,25
4	0,35
5	0,45

B.3.3 India



Key




Figure B.4 — Seismic zones in India

Table B.4 — Normalized basic accelerations in India

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
II	0,05
III	0,1
IV	0,25
V	0,35

B.3.4 Turkey



Key



Figure B.5 — Seismic zones in Turkey

Table B.5 — Normalized basic accelerations in Turkey

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
I	>0,40
II	0,40
III	0,30
IV	0,20
V	0,10

B.3.5 Korea





Figure B.6 — Seismic zones in Korea

Table B.6 — Normalized basic accelerations in Korea

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
I	0,11
II	0,07

B.4 Seismic zones in Europe

B.4.1 France



Key




Figure B.7 — Seismic zones in France

Table B.7 — Normalized basic accelerations in France

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
1	0,04
2	0,07
3	0,11
4	0,16
5	0,30

B.4.2 Germany



Key



Figure B.8 — Seismic zones in Germany

Table B.8 — Normalized basic accelerations in Germany

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
0	0,02
1	0,04
2	0,06
3	0,08

NOTE The proof of competence for seismic loads is not necessary for cranes located in zone 0.

B.4.3 United Kingdom




Figure B.9 — Seismic zones in United Kingdom

Table B.9 — Normalized basic accelerations in United Kingdom

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg}	
	g	
0	0,04	
1	0,06	
2	0,10	
3	0,12	

B.4.4 Italy




Figure B.10 — Seismic zones in Italy

Table B.10 — Normalized basic accelerations in Italy

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
1	0,05
2	0,15
3	0,25
4	0,35

B.4.5 Spain





Figure B.11 — Seismic zones in Spain

Table B.11 — Normalized basic accelerations in Spain

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
1	0,04
2	0,08
3	0,12
4	0,16
5	$\geq 0,16$

B.4.6 Greece





Figure B.12 — Seismic zones in Greece

Table B.12 — Normalized basic accelerations in Greece

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
I	0,16
II	0,24
III	0,36

B.5 Seismic zones in Africa

B.5.1 Morocco



Key





Figure B.13 — Seismic zones in Morocco

Table B.13 — Normalized basic accelerations in Morocco

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
1	0,10
2	0,25
3	0,35

B.5.2 Algeria



Key





Figure B.14 — Seismic zones in Algeria

Table B.14 — Normalized basic accelerations in Algeria

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
0	0,05
1	0,10
2	0,25
3	0,35

B.6 Seismic zones in Russia



Key



Figure B.15 — Seismic zones in Russia

Table B.15 — Normalized basic accelerations in Russia

Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
5	0,025
6	0,05
7	0,1
8	0,2
9	0,4

B.7 Seismic zones in New Zealand




Figure B.16 — Seismic zones in New Zealand

Table B.16 — Normalized basic accelerations in New Zealand



Zone	Normalized basic accelerations, A_{bg} g
A	0,18
B	0,23
C	0,28
D	0,33
E	0,38
F	0,42
G	>0,45

Annex C (informative)

Information about Maximum Response Method

The steps of the Response Spectrum Analysis Method are described in [Table C.1](#).

Table C.1 — Steps for calculating seismic response in one direction of excitation [designated as “resp (dir)”] using Response Spectrum Analysis Method

Step 1 — Calculation of mode shapes and natural periods/frequencies			
			
Lumped-mass idealization of a cantilever beam	Mode shape 1	Mode shape 2	Mode shape 3
Step 2 — Determination of seismic accelerations from response spectra, for the direction under consideration and for the selected modes			
