
Plastics piping systems — Glass-reinforced thermosetting plastics (GRP) pipes and fittings — Methods for regression analysis and their use

Systèmes de canalisation en matières plastiques — Tubes et raccords plastiques thermodurcissables renforcés de verre (PRV) — Méthodes pour une analyse de régression et leurs utilisations

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 10928:2016



STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 10928:2016



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2016, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Contents

	Page
Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Principle	1
5 Procedures for determining the linear relationships — Methods A and B	2
5.1 Procedures common to methods A and B	2
5.2 Method A — Covariance method	2
5.2.1 General	2
5.2.2 Suitability of data	3
5.2.3 Functional relationships	3
5.2.4 Calculation of variances	4
5.2.5 Check for the suitability of data for extrapolation	5
5.2.6 Validation of statistical procedures by an example calculation	6
5.3 Method B — Regression with time as the independent variable	10
5.3.1 General	10
5.3.2 Suitability of data	10
5.3.3 Functional relationships	11
5.3.4 Check for the suitability of data for extrapolation	11
5.3.5 Validation of statistical procedures by an example calculation	11
6 Application of methods to product design and testing	12
6.1 General	12
6.2 Product design	13
6.3 Comparison to a specified value	13
6.4 Declaration of a long-term value	13
Annex A (informative) Second-order polynomial relationships	14
Annex B (informative) Non-linear relationships	19
Annex C (normative) Calculation of lower confidence and prediction limits for method A	45
Bibliography	47

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/TC 138, *Plastics pipes, fittings and valves for the transport of fluids*, Subcommittee SC 6, *Reinforced plastics pipes and fittings for all applications*.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO 10928:2009), which has been technically revised and includes the following changes. It also incorporates the Amendment ISO 10928:2009/Amd 1:2013:

- [Annex A](#) (GRP pressure pipe design procedure) has been removed from the document;
- several bibliographical references have been removed.

Introduction

This document describes the procedures intended for analysing the regression of test data, usually with respect to time and the use of the results in design and assessment of conformity with performance requirements. Its applicability is limited to use with data obtained from tests carried out on samples. The referring standards require estimates to be made of the long-term properties of the pipe for such parameters as circumferential tensile strength, long-term ring deflection, strain corrosion and creep or relaxation stiffness.

A range of statistical techniques that could be used to analyse the test data produced by destructive tests was investigated. Many of these simple techniques require the logarithms of the data to

- a) be normally distributed,
- b) produce a regression line having a negative slope, and
- c) have a sufficiently high regression correlation (see [Table 1](#)).

While the last two conditions can be satisfied, analysis shows that there is a skew to the distribution and hence this primary condition is not satisfied. Further investigation into techniques that can handle skewed distributions resulted in the adoption of the covariance method of analysis of such data for this document.

However, the results from non-destructive tests, such as long-term creep or relaxation stiffness, often satisfy all three conditions and hence a simpler procedure, using time as the independent variable, can also be used in accordance with this document.

These data analysis procedures are limited to analysis methods specified in ISO product standards or test methods. However, other analysis procedures can be useful for the extrapolation and prediction of long-term behaviour of some properties of glass-reinforced thermosetting plastics (GRP) piping products. For example, a second-order polynomial analysis is sometimes useful in the extrapolation of creep and relaxation data. This is particularly the case for analysing shorter term data, where the shape of the creep or relaxation curve can deviate considerably from linear. A second-order polynomial analysis is included in [Annex A](#). In [Annex B](#), there is an alternative non-linear analysis method. These non-linear methods are provided only for information and the possible use in investigating the behaviour of a particular piping product or material therefore they might not be generally applicable to other piping products.

[STANDARDSISO.COM](https://standardsiso.com) : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 10928:2016

Plastics piping systems — Glass-reinforced thermosetting plastics (GRP) pipes and fittings — Methods for regression analysis and their use

1 Scope

This document specifies procedures suitable for the analysis of data which, when converted into logarithms of the values, have either a normal or a skewed distribution. It is intended for use with the test methods and referring standards for glass-reinforced thermosetting plastics (GRP) pipes or fittings for the analysis of properties as a function of time. However, it can be used for the analysis of other data.

Depending upon the nature of the data, two methods are specified. The extrapolation using these techniques typically extends the trend from data gathered over a period of approximately 10 000 h to a prediction of the property at 50 years, which is the typical maximum extrapolation time.

This document only addresses the analysis of data. The test procedures to collect the data, the number of samples required and the time period over which data are collected are covered by the referring standards and/or test methods. [Clause 6](#) discusses how the data analysis methods are applied to product testing and design.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

No terms and definitions are listed in this document.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <http://www.iso.org/obp>

4 Principle

Data are analysed for regression using methods based on least squares analysis which can accommodate the incidence of a skew and/or a normal distribution. The two methods of analysis used are the following:

- method A: covariance using a first-order relationship;
- method B: least squares, with time as the independent variable using a first-order relationship.

The methods include statistical tests for the correlation of the data and the suitability for extrapolation.

5 Procedures for determining the linear relationships — Methods A and B

5.1 Procedures common to methods A and B

Use method A (see 5.2) or method B (see 5.3) to fit a straight line of the form given in Formula (1):

$$y = a + b \times x \quad (1)$$

where

y is the logarithm, lg, of the property being investigated;

a is the intercept on the y-axis;

b is the slope;

x is the logarithm, lg, of the time, in hours.

5.2 Method A — Covariance method

5.2.1 General

For method A, calculate the following variables in accordance with 5.2.2 to 5.2.5, using Formulae (2), (3) and (4):

$$Q_y = \frac{\sum (y_i - Y)^2}{n} \quad (2)$$

$$Q_x = \frac{\sum (x_i - X)^2}{n} \quad (3)$$

$$Q_{xy} = \frac{\sum [(x_i - X) \times (y_i - Y)]}{n} \quad (4)$$

where

Q_y is the sum of the squared residuals parallel to the y-axis, divided by n ;

Q_x is the sum of the squared residuals parallel to the x-axis, divided by n ;

Q_{xy} is the sum of the squared residuals perpendicular to the line, divided by n ;

Y is the arithmetic mean of the y data, i.e. given as Formula (5):

$$Y = \frac{\sum y_i}{n} \quad (5)$$

X is the arithmetic mean of the x data, i.e. given as Formula (6):

$$X = \frac{\sum x_i}{n} \quad (6)$$

x_i, y_i are individual values;

n is the total number of results (pairs of readings for x_i, y_i).

NOTE If the value of Q_{xy} is greater than zero, the slope of the line is positive and if the value of Q_{xy} is less than zero, then the slope is negative.

5.2.2 Suitability of data

Calculate the linear coefficient of correlation, r , using [Formulae \(7\)](#) and [\(8\)](#):

$$r^2 = \frac{Q_{xy}^2}{Q_x \times Q_y} \tag{7}$$

$$r = \left| (r^2)^{0,5} \right| \tag{8}$$

If the value of r is less than $\frac{\text{Student's } t(f)}{\sqrt{n - 2 + [\text{Student's } t(f)]^2}}$, then the data are unsuitable for analysis.

[Table 1](#) gives the minimum acceptable values of the correlation coefficient, r , as a function of the number of variables, n . The Student's t value is based on a two-sided 0,01 level of significance.

Table 1 — Minimum values of the correlation coefficient, r , for acceptable data from n pairs of data

Number of variables n	Degrees of freedom $n - 2$	Student's $t(0,01)$	Minimum r
13	11	3,106	0,683 5
14	12	3,055	0,661 4
15	13	3,012	0,641 1
16	14	2,977	0,622 6
17	15	2,947	0,605 5
18	16	2,921	0,589 7
19	17	2,898	0,575 1
20	18	2,878	0,561 4
21	19	2,861	0,548 7
22	20	2,845	0,536 8
23	21	2,831	0,525 6
24	22	2,819	0,515 1
25	23	2,807	0,505 2
Number of variables n	Degrees of freedom $n - 2$	Student's $t(0,01)$	Minimum r
26	24	2,797	0,495 8
27	25	2,787	0,486 9
32	30	2,750	0,448 7
37	35	2,724	0,418 2
42	40	2,704	0,393 2
47	45	2,690	0,372 1
52	50	2,678	0,354 2
62	60	2,660	0,324 8
72	70	2,648	0,301 7
82	80	2,639	0,283 0
92	90	2,632	0,267 3
102	100	2,626	0,254 0

5.2.3 Functional relationships

Find a and b for the functional relationship line using [Formula \(1\)](#).

First, set Γ as given in [Formula \(9\)](#):

$$\Gamma = \frac{Q_y}{Q_x} \quad (9)$$

then calculate a and b using [Formulae \(10\)](#) and [\(11\)](#):

$$b = -(\Gamma)^{0,5} \quad (10)$$

$$a = Y - b \times X \quad (11)$$

5.2.4 Calculation of variances

If t_u is the applicable time to failure, then set x_u as given in [Formula \(12\)](#):

$$x_u = \lg t_u \quad (12)$$

Using [Formulae \(13\)](#), [\(14\)](#) and [\(15\)](#) respectively, calculate for $i = 1$ to n , the following sequence of statistics:

- the best fit x_i' for true x_i ;
- the best fit y_i' for true y_i ;
- the error variance, σ_δ^2 for x .

$$x_i' = \frac{\Gamma \times x_i + b \times (y_i - a)}{2 \times \Gamma} \quad (13)$$

$$y_i' = a + b \times x_i' \quad (14)$$

$$\sigma_\delta^2 = \frac{\left[\sum (y_i - y_i')^2 + \Gamma \times \sum (x_i - x_i')^2 \right]}{(n - 2) \times \Gamma} \quad (15)$$

Calculate quantities E and D using [Formulae \(16\)](#) and [\(17\)](#):

$$E = \frac{b \times \sigma_\delta^2}{2 \times Q_{xy}} \quad (16)$$

$$D = \frac{2 \times \Gamma \times b \times \sigma_\delta^2}{n \times Q_{xy}} \quad (17)$$

Calculate the variance, C , of the slope b , using [Formula \(18\)](#):

$$C = D \times (1 + E) \quad (18)$$

5.2.5 Check for the suitability of data for extrapolation

If it is intended to extrapolate the line, calculate T using [Formula \(19\)](#):

$$T = \frac{b}{(\text{var } b)^{0,5}} = \frac{b}{c^{0,5}} \quad (19)$$

If the absolute value, $|T|$ (i.e. ignoring signs), of T is equal to or greater than the applicable value for Student's t , t_v , shown in [Table 2](#) for $(n - 2)$ degrees of freedom, then consider the data suitable for extrapolation.

Calculation of confidence limits is not required by the test methods or referring standards; however, the calculation of lower confidence limit, LCL, and lower prediction limit, LPL, is given in [Annex C](#).

**Table 2 — Percentage points of Student's t distribution
(upper 2,5 % points; two-sided 5 % level of confidence; t_v for 97,5 %)**

Degree of freedom ($n - 2$)	Student's t value t_v	Degree of freedom ($n - 2$)	Student's t value t_v	Degree of freedom ($n - 2$)	Student's t value t_v
1	12,706 2	36	2,028 1	71	1,993 9
2	4,302 7	37	2,026 2	72	1,993 5
3	3,182 4	38	2,024 4	73	1,993 0
4	2,776 4	39	2,022 7	74	1,992 5
5	2,570 6	40	2,021 1	75	1,992 1
6	2,446 9	41	2,019 5	76	1,991 7
7	2,364 6	42	2,018 1	77	1,991 3
8	2,306 0	43	2,016 7	78	1,990 8
9	2,262 2	44	2,015 4	79	1,990 5
10	2,228 1	45	2,014 1	80	1,990 1
11	2,201 0	46	2,012 9	81	1,989 7
12	2,178 8	47	2,011 2	82	1,989 3
13	2,160 4	48	2,010 6	83	1,989 0
14	2,144 8	49	2,009 6	84	1,988 6
15	2,131 5	50	2,008 6	85	1,988 3
16	2,119 9	51	2,007 6	86	1,987 9
17	2,109 8	52	2,006 6	87	1,987 6
18	2,100 9	53	2,005 7	88	1,987 3
19	2,093 0	54	2,004 9	89	1,987 0
20	2,086 0	55	2,004 0	90	1,986 7

Table 2 (continued)

Degree of freedom ($n - 2$)	Student's t value t_v	Degree of freedom ($n - 2$)	Student's t value t_v	Degree of freedom ($n - 2$)	Student's t value t_v
21	2,079 6	56	2,003 2	91	1,986 4
22	2,073 9	57	2,002 5	92	1,986 1
23	2,068 7	58	2,001 7	93	1,985 8
24	2,063 9	59	2,001 0	94	1,985 5
25	2,059 5	60	2,000 3	95	1,985 3
26	2,055 5	61	1,999 6	96	1,985 0
27	2,051 8	62	1,999 0	97	1,984 7
28	2,048 4	63	1,998 3	98	1,984 5
29	2,045 2	64	1,997 7	99	1,984 2
30	2,042 3	65	1,997 1	100	1,984 0
31	2,039 5	66	1,996 6		
32	2,036 9	67	1,996 0		
33	2,034 5	68	1,995 5		
34	2,032 2	69	1,994 9		
35	2,030 1	70	1,994 4		

5.2.6 Validation of statistical procedures by an example calculation

The data given in Table 3 are used in the following example to aid in verifying that statistical procedures, as well as computer programs and spreadsheets adopted by users, will produce results similar to those obtained from the formulae given in this document. For the purposes of the example, the property in question is represented by V , the values for which are of a typical magnitude and in no particular units. Because of rounding errors, it is unlikely that the results will agree exactly, so for a calculation procedure to be acceptable, the results obtained for r , r^2 , b , a , and the mean value of V , and V_m , shall agree to within $\pm 0,1$ % of the values given in this example. The values of other statistics are provided to assist the checking of the procedure.

Sums of squares:

$$Q_x = 0,798\ 12;$$

$$Q_y = 0,000\ 88;$$

$$Q_{xy} = -0,024\ 84.$$

Coefficient of correlation:

$$r^2 = 0,879\ 99;$$

$$r = 0,938\ 08.$$

Functional relationships:

$$\Gamma = 0,001\ 10;$$

$$b = -0,033\ 17;$$

$$a = 1,627\ 31.$$

Table 3 — Basic data for example calculation and statistical analysis validation

<i>n</i>	<i>V</i>	<i>Y</i> lg <i>V</i>	Time <i>h</i>	<i>X</i> lg <i>h</i>
1	30,8	1,488 6	5 184	3,714 7
2	30,8	1,488 6	2 230	3,348 3
3	31,5	1,498 3	2 220	3,346 4
4	31,5	1,498 3	12 340	4,091 3
5	31,5	1,498 3	10 900	4,037 4
6	31,5	1,498 3	12 340	4,091 3
7	31,5	1,498 3	10 920	4,038 2
8	32,2	1,507 9	8 900	3,949 4
9	32,2	1,507 9	4 173	3,620 4
10	32,2	1,507 9	8 900	3,949 4
11	32,2	1,507 9	878	2,943 5
12	32,9	1,517 2	4 110	3,613 8
13	32,9	1,517 2	1 301	3,114 3
14	32,9	1,517 2	3 816	3,581 6
15	32,9	1,517 2	669	2,825 4
16	33,6	1,526 3	1 430	3,155 3
17	33,6	1,526 3	2 103	3,322 8
18	33,6	1,526 3	589	2,770 1
19	33,6	1,526 3	1 710	3,233 0
20	33,6	1,526 3	1 299	3,113 6
21	35,0	1,544 1	272	2,434 6
22	35,0	1,544 1	446	2,649 3
23	35,0	1,544 1	466	2,668 4
24	35,0	1,544 1	684	2,835 1
25	36,4	1,561 1	104	2,017 0
26	36,4	1,561 1	142	2,152 3
27	36,4	1,561 1	204	2,309 6
28	36,4	1,561 1	209	2,320 1
29	38,5	1,585 5	9	0,954 2
30	38,5	1,585 5	13	1,113 9
31	38,5	1,585 5	17	1,230 4
32	38,5	1,585 5	17	1,230 4
Means:		<i>Y</i> = 1,530 1	<i>X</i> = 2, 930 5	

Calculated variances (see 5.2.4):

$$E = 3,520 2 \times 10^{-2};$$

$$D = 4,842 2 \times 10^{-6};$$

$$C = 5,012 7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ (the variance of } b\text{);}$$

$$\sigma_{\delta}^2 = 5,271 1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ (the error variance of } x\text{).}$$

Check for the suitability for extrapolation (see 5.2.5):

$$n = 32;$$

$$t_v = 2,042\ 3;$$

$$T = -0,033\ 17 / (5,012\ 7 \times 10^{-6})^{0,5} = -14,816\ 7;$$


$$|T| = 14,816\ 7 > 2,042\ 3.$$

The estimated mean values for V at various times are given in Table 4 and shown in Figure 1.

Table 4 — Estimated mean values, V_m , for V

Time h	V_m
0,1	45,76
1	42,39
10	39,28
100	36,39
1 000	33,71
10 000	31,23
100 000	28,94
438 000	27,55

STANDARDSISO.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO 10928:2016



Key

1 438 000 h (50 years)

2 regression line from [Table 4](#)

3 data point

X-axis lg scale of time, in hours

Y-axis lg scale of property

Figure 1 — Regression line from the results in [Table 4](#)

5.3 Method B — Regression with time as the independent variable

5.3.1 General

For method B, calculate the sum of the squared residuals parallel to the Y-axis, S_y , using [Formula \(20\)](#):

$$S_y = \sum (y_i - Y)^2 \quad (20)$$

Calculate the sum of the squared residuals parallel to the X-axis, S_x , using [Formula \(21\)](#):

$$S_x = \sum (x_i - X)^2 \quad (21)$$

Calculate the sum of the squared residuals perpendicular to the line, S_{xy} , using [Formula \(22\)](#):

$$S_{xy} = \sum [(x_i - X) \times (y_i - Y)] \quad (22)$$

where

Y is the arithmetic mean of the y data, i.e.

$$Y = \frac{\sum y_i}{n};$$

X is the arithmetic mean of the x data, i.e.

$$X = \frac{\sum x_i}{n};$$

x_i, y_i are individual values;

n is the total number of results (pairs of readings for x_i, y_i).

NOTE If the value of S_{xy} is greater than zero, the slope of the line is positive and if the value of S_{xy} is less than zero, then the slope is negative.

5.3.2 Suitability of data

Calculate the squared, r^2 , and the linear coefficient of correlation, r , using [Formulae \(23\)](#) and [\(24\)](#):

$$r^2 = \frac{S_{xy}^2}{S_x \times S_y} \quad (23)$$

$$r = \left| (r^2)^{0,5} \right| \quad (24)$$

If the value of r^2 or r is less than the applicable minimum value given in [Table 1](#) as a function of n , consider the data unsuitable for analysis.

5.3.3 Functional relationships

Calculate a and b for the functional relationship line [see [Formula \(1\)](#)], using [Formulae \(25\)](#) and [\(26\)](#):

$$b = \frac{S_{xy}}{S_x} \tag{25}$$

$$a = Y - b \times X \tag{26}$$

5.3.4 Check for the suitability of data for extrapolation

If it is intended to extrapolate the line, calculate M using [Formula \(27\)](#):

$$M = \frac{S_x^2}{S_{xy}^2} - \frac{t_v^2 \times (S_x \times S_y - S_{xy}^2)}{(n - 2) \times S_y^2} \tag{27}$$

where

t_v is the applicable value for Student's t determined from [Table 2](#).

If M is equal to or less than zero, consider the data unsuitable for extrapolation.

5.3.5 Validation of statistical procedures by an example calculation

The data given in [Table 5](#) are used in the following example to aid in verifying that statistical procedures, as well as computer programs and spreadsheets adopted by users, will produce results similar to those obtained from the formulae given in this document. Use the data given in [Table 5](#) for the calculation procedures described in [5.3.2](#) to [5.3.4](#) to ensure that the statistical procedures to be used in conjunction with this method will give results for r , r^2 , a , b and V_m to within $\pm 0,1$ % of the values given in this example.

Table 5 — Basic data for example calculation and statistical validation

n	Time T in h	X lg T	V	Y lg V
1	0,10	-1,000 0	7 114	3,852 1
2	0,27	-0,568 6	6 935	3,841 0
3	0,50	-0,301 0	6 824	3,834 1
4	1,00	0	6 698	3,825 9
5	3,28	0,515 9	6 533	3,815 1
6	7,28	0,862 1	6 453	3,809 8
7	20,0	1,301 0	6 307	3,799 9
8	45,9	1,661 8	6 199	3,792 3
9	72,0	1,857 3	6 133	3,787 7
10	166	2,220 1	5 692	3,755 2
11	219	2,340 4	5 508	3,741 0
12	384	2,584 3	5 393	3,731 8
13	504	2,702 4	5 364	3,729 5
14	3 000	3,477 1	5 200	3,716 0
15	10 520	4,022 0	4 975	3,696 8
Means:		$X = 1,445 0$		$Y = 3,781 9$

Sums of squares:

$$S_x = 31,681\ 1;$$

$$S_y = 0,034\ 7;$$

$$S_{xy} = -1,024\ 2.$$

Coefficient of correlation:

$$r^2 = 0,955\ 6;$$

$$r = 0,977\ 5.$$

Functional relationships (see 5.3.3):

$$a = 3,828\ 6;$$

$$b = -0,032\ 3.$$

Check for the suitability for extrapolation (see 5.3.4):

$$t_v = 2,160\ 4;$$

$$M = 942,21.$$

The estimated mean values, V_m , for V at various times are given in Table 6.

Table 6 — Estimated mean values, V_m , for V

Time h	V_m
0,1	7 259
1	6 739
10	6 256
100	5 808
1 000	5 391
10 000	5 005
100 000	4 646
438 000	4 428

6 Application of methods to product design and testing

6.1 General

The referring standards specify limiting requirements for the long-term properties and performance of a product. Some of these are based on destructive tests, for example, hoop tensile strength, while others are based on actual or derived physical properties, such as creep stiffness.

These properties require an extrapolated long-term (e.g. 50 years) value for the establishment of a product design or comparison with the requirement. This extrapolated value is determined by inserting, as necessary, the values for a and b , determined in accordance with 5.2 and 5.3 as appropriate, into Formula (28).

$$\lg y = a + b \times t_L \tag{28}$$

where

t_L is the logarithm, lg, of the long-term period, in hours [for 50 years (438 000 h), $t_L = 5,641\ 47$].

Solving [Formula \(28\)](#) for y gives the extrapolated value.

The use of the data and the specification of requirements in the product standards are in three distinct categories.

6.2 Product design

In the first category, the data are used for design or calculation of a product line. This is the case for long-term circumferential strength testing (ISO 7509)^[1]. The long-term destructive test data are analysed using method A.

6.3 Comparison to a specified value

The second category is where the long-term extrapolated value is compared to a minimum requirement given in the product standard. This is the case for long-term ring bending (ISO 10471)^[3] and strain corrosion (ISO 10952)^[4]. The long-term destructive test data are analysed using method A and can be used to establish a value to compare to the product standard requirement. As the analysis of the data does provide a long-term stress or strain value, this value may also be utilized in analysis of product suitability for a range of installation and application conditions.

6.4 Declaration of a long-term value

The third category is when the long-term extrapolated value is used to calculate a long-term property and this value is then declared by the manufacturer. This is the case for long-term creep (ISO 10468)^[2] stiffness. The long-term non-destructive test data are analysed using method B.

Annex A (informative)

Second-order polynomial relationships

A.1 General

This method fits a curved line of the form given in [Formula \(A.1\)](#):

$$y = c + d \times x + e \times x^2 \tag{A.1}$$

where

- y is the logarithm, lg, of the property being investigated;
- c is the intercept on the y-axis;
- d, e are the coefficients to the two orders of x ;
- x is the logarithm, lg, of the time, in hours.

A.2 Variables

Calculate the following variables:

- x_i , the sum of all individual x data;
- x_i^2 , the sum of all squared x data;
- x_i^3 , the sum of all x data to the third power;
- x_i^4 , the sum of all x data to the fourth power;
- y_i , the sum of all individual y data;

$(\sum y_i)^2$, the squared sum of all individual y data;

- y_i^2 , the sum of all squared y data;

$\sum(x_i \times y_i)$, the sum of all products $x_i y_i$;

$\sum(x_i^2 \times y_i)$, the sum of all products $x_i^2 y_i$;

$S_x = \sum(x_i - X)^2$, the sum of the squared residuals parallel to the X-axis for the linear part;

$S_{xx} = \sum (x_i^2 - X^2)^2$, the sum of the squared residuals parallel to the X-axis for the quadratic part;

$S_y = \sum (y_i - Y)^2$, the sum of the squared residuals parallel to the Y-axis;

$S_{xy} = \sum [(x_i - X) \times (y_i - Y)]$, the sum of the squared residuals perpendicular to the line for the linear part;

$S_{xxy} = \sum [(x_i^2 - X^2) \times (y_i - Y)]$, the sum of the squared residuals perpendicular to the line for the quadratic part

where

Y is the arithmetic mean of the y data, i.e. $Y = \frac{\sum y_i}{n}$;

X is the arithmetic mean of the x data, i.e. $X = \frac{\sum x_i}{n}$.

A.3 Solution system

Determine c , d and e using the following matrix:

$$\sum y_i = c \times n + d \times \sum x_i + e \times \sum x_i^2 \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$\sum (x_i \times y_i) = c \times \sum x_i + d \times \sum x_i^2 + e \times \sum x_i^3 \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$\sum (x_i^2 \times y_i) = c \times \sum x_i^2 + d \times \sum x_i^3 + e \times \sum x_i^4 \quad (\text{A.4})$$

A.4 Suitability of data

Calculate the squared, r^2 , and the linear coefficient of correlation, r , using [Formulae \(A.5\)](#) and [\(A.6\)](#):

$$r^2 = \frac{c \times \sum y_i + d \times \sum (x_i \times y_i) + e \times \sum (x_i^2 \times y_i) - \left[\left(\sum y_i \right)^2 / n \right]}{\sum y_i^2 - \left[\left(\sum y_i \right)^2 / n \right]} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$r = \left| (r^2)^{0,5} \right| \quad (\text{A.6})$$

If the value of r^2 or r is less than the applicable minimum value given in [Table 1](#) as a function of n , consider the data unsuitable for analysis.

A.5 Check for the suitability of data for extrapolation

If it is intended to extrapolate the line, calculate M using [Formula \(A.7\)](#):

$$M = \frac{S_x^2}{S_{xy}^2} + \frac{S_{xx}^2}{S_{xxy}^2} - \frac{t_v^2 \times (S_x \times S_y - S_{xy}^2 + S_{xx} \times S_y - S_{xxy}^2)}{(n-2) \times S_y^2} \tag{A.7}$$

If M is equal to or less than zero, consider the data unsuitable for extrapolation.

A.6 Validation of statistical procedures by an example calculation

Use the data given in [Table 5](#) for the calculation procedures described in [A.1](#) to [A.5](#) to ensure that the statistical procedures to be used in conjunction with this method will give results for r , r^2 , a , b and V_m to within $\pm 0,1$ % of the values given in this example ($n = 15$).

- x_i = 21,671;
- x_i^2 = 62,989;
- x_i^3 = 180,623;
- x_i^4 = 584,233;
- y_i = 56,728;
- $(\sum y_i)^2$ = 3 218,09;
- y_i^2 = 214,574;
- $\sum(x_i \times y_i)$ = 80,932;
- $\sum(x_i^2 \times y_i)$ = 235,175;
- $S_x = \sum(x_i - X)^2$ = 31,681;
- $S_{xx} = \sum(x_i^2 - X^2)^2$ = 386,638;
- $S_y = \sum(y_i - Y)^2$ = 0,034 7;
- $S_{xy} = \sum[(x_i - X) \times (y_i - Y)]$ = -1,024 2;
- $S_{xxy} = \sum[(x_i^2 - X^2) \times (y_i - Y)]$ = -3,041 8.

Solution system:

- $c = 3,828 8$;
- $d = -0,026 2$;
- $e = -0,002 2$.

Coefficient of correlation:

$$r^2 = 0,964\ 7;$$

$$r = 0,982\ 2.$$

Check for the suitability for extrapolation:


$$t_v = 2,160\ 4;$$

$$M = 15\ 859,6.$$

The estimated mean values, V_m , for V at various times are given in [Table A.1](#) and shown in [Figure A.1](#).

Table A.1 — Estimated mean values, V_m , for V

Time h	V_m
0,1	7 125
1	6 742
10	6 315
100	5 856
1 000	5 375
10 000	4 884
100 000	4 393
438 000	4 091



Key

- 1 438 000 h (50 years)
 - 2 regression line from [Table A.1](#)
 - 3 data point
- X-axis lg scale of time, in hours
 Y-axis lg scale of property

Figure A.1 — Regression line from the results in [Table A.1](#)

Annex B (informative)

Non-linear relationships

B.1 General

Given a model for a set of data obtained from a long-term stiffness test on GRP test pieces, the objective of this annex is to produce explicit formulae for

- a) estimating all four parameters in the model, i.e. a , b , c and d , and
- b) calculating confidence and prediction intervals about the curve.

These formulae are presented in this annex, along with associated graphical displays for a typical data set.

NOTE While the data and procedures refer to a long-term stiffness test, the method can also be applied to data which fit the mathematical model and require extrapolation to 50 years.

B.2 Model

As described in [B.4](#), the model shown can be re-expressed as two linked straight-line regression models called Line 1 and Line 2. Answers obtained from the procedures described for Line 1 are then applied in the Line 2 procedures to obtain the four parameters in the model, which is then used to obtain the long-term value for the property under investigation.

B.2.1 Procedure for Line 1

The formulae given in the following subclauses are used to develop the models for Lines 1 and 2.

NOTE Many of the formulae make reference to a subscript i , which is the count value shown in the tables. For the data used in the tables, i runs from 0 to 16, where data indexed 1 to 15 are the experimental measured values, and those indexed 0 or 16 are the calculated values. When using the procedures described in this annex, the amount of data that can be analysed is not limited to 15 data sets but can be any number.

B.2.1.1 Determination of derived values for Y_i , x_i and y_i

Calculate Y_i , x_i , y_i , \bar{x} and \bar{y} using [Formulae \(B.1\)](#), [\(B.2\)](#), [\(B.3\)](#), [\(B.4\)](#) and [\(B.5\)](#):

$$Y_i = \lg_{10}(S_i) \quad (\text{B.1})$$

$$x_i = \lg_{10}(\text{minutes} + 1) \quad (\text{B.2})$$

$$y_i = \ln\left[\frac{(a + b - Y_i)}{(Y_i - a)}\right] \quad (\text{B.3})$$

$$\bar{x} = \left(\sum x_i\right) / n \quad (\text{B.4})$$

$$\bar{y} = \left(\sum y_i\right) / n \quad (\text{B.5})$$

[Formulae \(B.1\)](#), [\(B.2\)](#), [\(B.3\)](#), [\(B.4\)](#) and [\(B.5\)](#) relate to values obtained for the property, S_i , after various periods of time under test, x_i .

B.2.1.2 Determination of parameters a and b

Calculate the following using [Formulae \(B.6\)](#), [\(B.7\)](#) and [\(B.8\)](#):

$$a_0 = 0,995 \min.(Y_i) \tag{B.6}$$

$$a_0 + b_0 = 1,005 \max.(Y_i) \tag{B.7}$$

$$b_0 = (a_0 + b_0) - a_0 \tag{B.8}$$

[Formulae \(B.6\)](#), [\(B.7\)](#) and [\(B.8\)](#) produce the initial estimates for two of the parameters in the model, a_0 and b_0 .

B.2.1.3 Determination of the least squares estimates for A and B and unbiased estimate for $\tilde{\sigma}_1^2$

Calculate the following using [Formulae \(B.9\)](#), [\(B.10\)](#), [\(B.11\)](#) and [\(B.12\)](#):

$$\hat{A} = \bar{y} - \hat{B}\bar{x} \tag{B.9}$$

$$\hat{B} = \frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \tag{B.10}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_1^2 = \frac{\sum(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{(n - 2)} = \text{RSS} / (n - 2) \tag{B.11}$$

where

$$\text{RSS} = \sum y_i^2 - \hat{A} \times \sum y_i - \hat{B} \times \sum x_i \times y_i \tag{B.12}$$

NOTE RSS is the residual sum of squares.

B.2.1.4 Determination of estimates for parameters c and d

Calculate the following using [Formulae \(B.13\)](#) and [\(B.14\)](#):

$$\hat{c} = \left(\hat{A}\hat{B}^{-1} + \lg_{10} 60 \right) \tag{B.13}$$

$$\hat{d} = -\hat{B}^{-1} \tag{B.14}$$

B.2.2 Procedure for Line 2

B.2.2.1 Determination of X_i , Y_i , \bar{X} and \bar{Y}

Calculate X_i using [Formula \(B.15\)](#):

$$X_i = 1 / \left\{ 1 + \exp \left[-\lg_{10} (\text{Time}) - \hat{c} \right] / \hat{d} \right\} \tag{B.15}$$

NOTE Values for c and d are given as [Formulae \(B.13\)](#) and [\(B.14\)](#).

$$Y_i = \lg_{10} (\text{stiffness}) \tag{B.16}$$

$$\bar{X} = \left(\sum X_i \right) / n \quad (\text{B.17})$$

$$\bar{Y} = \left(\sum Y_i \right) / n \quad (\text{B.18})$$

B.2.2.2 Determination of the least squares estimates for \hat{a} and \hat{b} and unbiased estimate for $\tilde{\sigma}_1^2$

The estimates are derived using [Formulae \(B.19\)](#), [\(B.20\)](#), [\(B.21\)](#) and [\(B.22\)](#):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{b} &= \sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y}) / \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \\ &= (n \times \sum XY - \sum X \times \sum Y) / (n \times \sum X^2 - \sum X \times \sum X) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.19})$$

$$\hat{a} = \bar{Y} - \hat{b} \times \bar{X} \quad (\text{B.20})$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_1^2 = \sum (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2 / (n - 2) = \text{RSS} / (n - 2) \quad (\text{B.21})$$

where

$$\text{RSS} = \sum Y_i^2 - \hat{a} \times \sum Y_i - \hat{b} \times \sum X_i \times Y_i \quad (\text{B.22})$$

Check that the following constraints are met: $\hat{a} + \hat{b} > Y_i > \hat{a}$.

B.2.2.3 Determination of confidence and prediction intervals

Calculate the variances of \hat{a} and \hat{b} using [Formulae \(B.23\)](#) and [\(B.24\)](#):

$$\text{var}(\hat{a}) = \left(\tilde{\sigma}_2^2 \sum X_i^2 \right) / \left[n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2 \right] = \left(\tilde{\sigma}_2^2 \sum X_i^2 \right) / \left[n \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right] \quad (\text{B.23})$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{b}) = \left(n \tilde{\sigma}_2^2 \right) / \left[n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2 \right] = \left(n \tilde{\sigma}_2^2 \right) / \left[n \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right] \quad (\text{B.24})$$

Calculate the estimated standard error, ε , of \hat{a} and \hat{b} given as [Formulae \(B.25\)](#) and [\(B.26\)](#):

$$\varepsilon(\hat{a}) = \sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{a})} \quad (\text{B.25})$$

$$\varepsilon(\hat{b}) = \sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{b})} \quad (\text{B.26})$$

Formulae for 100 % confidence and prediction intervals about the fitted Line 2 as functions of X are given as [Formulae \(B.27\)](#) and [\(B.28\)](#), respectively:

$$\text{Confidence interval } \mu_X = \hat{\mu}_X \pm t_p \tilde{\sigma}_2 \sqrt{\left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X - \bar{X})^2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \right]} \quad (\text{B.27})$$

$$\text{Prediction interval } Y_X = \hat{\mu}_X \pm t_P \tilde{\sigma}_2 \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X - \bar{X})^2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}} \quad (\text{B.28})$$

where

$$\hat{Y}_X = \hat{\mu}_X = \hat{a} + \hat{b}X \quad (\text{B.29})$$

B.2.2.4 Student’s *t* test for *a* and *b*

To test whether \hat{a} or \hat{b} is equal to 0, perform the following calculations:

$$\Pr(|t| < t_P) = P;$$

t has Student’s *t*-distribution on $(n - 2)$ degrees of freedom.

From Statistical tables *t* boundary for $P = 90 \% = 1,771$.

From Statistical tables *t* boundary for $P = 95 \% = 2,160$.

If [Formulae \(B.30\)](#) and [\(B.31\)](#) give *t* values greater than the applicable one of the above bounds, it is certain that \hat{a} and \hat{b} are not equal to 0.

$$t \text{ for } \hat{a} = \hat{a} / \varepsilon(\hat{a}) \quad (\text{B.30})$$

$$t \text{ for } \hat{b} = \hat{b} / \varepsilon(\hat{b}) \quad (\text{B.31})$$

B.2.2.5 Calculation of long-term (50-year) stiffness

All the formulae in [B.2.1](#) and [B.2.2](#) are standard formulae for straight-line regressions. From the values given in [Tables B.4](#) and [B.5](#), the estimated long-term stiffness and its confidence and prediction limits can be determined using [Formulae \(B.32\)](#), [\(B.33\)](#), [\(B.34\)](#), [\(B.35\)](#) and [\(B.36\)](#):

Using [Formula \(B.29\)](#), the extrapolated long-term stiffness is given as [Formula \(B.32\)](#):

$$\hat{Y}_X = \hat{\mu}_X = \hat{a} + \hat{b}X \quad (\text{B.32})$$

Using [Formula \(B.27\)](#), the confidence limits for $\mu_{50 \text{ years}}$ are given as [Formula \(B.33\)](#):

$$\mu_{50 \text{ years}} \approx \mu_X \pm \mu_{\text{bounds}} \quad (\text{B.33})$$

Using [Formula \(B.28\)](#), the prediction limits are given as [Formula \(B.34\)](#):

$$\hat{Y}_{50 \text{ years}} = Y_X \pm Y_{Y \text{ bounds}} \quad (\text{B.34})$$

Transforming these values back to stiffness gives:

$$\text{Extrapolated long-term stiffness} = 10^{\hat{Y}_{50 \text{ years}}}$$

90 % confidence limits for extrapolated long-term stiffness are given as [Formula \(B.35\)](#):

$$\mu(S)_{50 \text{ years}} = 10^{\hat{\mu}_X \pm \mu_{\text{bounds}}} \quad (\text{B.35})$$

90 % prediction limits for extrapolated long-term stiffness are given as [Formula \(B.36\)](#):

$$S_{50 \text{ years}} = 10^{Y_X \pm Y_{Y \text{ bounds}}} \quad (\text{B.36})$$

B.3 Validation of statistical procedures by an example calculation

Use the data given in [Table B.1](#) for the calculation procedures described in [B.2.1](#) to [B.2.2.5](#) to ensure that the statistical procedures to be used in conjunction with this method will give results to within $\pm 0,1$ % of the values given in this example ($n = 15$).

B.3.1 Procedure for Line 1

B.3.1.1 Determination of derived values for Y_i , x_i and y_i

$$Y_i = \lg_{10}(S_i) \quad (\text{B.37})$$

NOTE 1 See derived values in [Table B.1](#).

$$x_i = \lg_{10}(\text{minutes} + 1) \quad (\text{B.38})$$

NOTE 2 See derived values in [Table B.1](#).

$$y_i = \ln\left[\frac{(a + b - Y_i)}{(Y_i - a)}\right] \quad (\text{B.39})$$

NOTE 3 See derived values in [Table B.1](#).

$$\bar{x} = \left(\sum x_i\right) / n = 48,465\,540 / 15 = 3,231\,036 \quad (\text{B.40})$$

$$\bar{y} = \left(\sum y_i\right) / n = -2,512\,828 / 15 = -0,167\,522 \quad (\text{B.41})$$

B.3.1.2 Determination of parameters a and b

For values of Y_i , see [Table B.1](#).

$$a_0 = 0,995 \min.(Y_i) = 0,995 \times 3,696\,793 = 3,678\,309 \quad (\text{B.42})$$

$$a_0 + b_0 = 1,005 \max.(Y_i) = 1,005 \times 3,852\,114 = 3,871\,375 \quad (\text{B.43})$$

$$b_0 = (a_0 + b_0) - a_0 = 3,871\,375 - 3,678\,309 = 0,193\,066 \quad (\text{B.44})$$

B.3.1.3 Determination of the least squares estimates for A and B and unbiased estimate for $\tilde{\sigma}_1^2$

Calculate the following:

$$\hat{A} = \bar{y} - \hat{B}\bar{x} = -0,167\ 5 - 0,831\ 9 \times 3,231\ 036 = -2,855\ 5 \tag{B.45}$$

$$\hat{B} = \frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{(n \times \sum xy - \sum x \times \sum y)}{[n \times \sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2]} \tag{B.46}$$

Using values from [Table B.2](#),

$$\hat{B} = \frac{(15 \times 17,8 - 48,47 \times 2,51)}{[15 \times 187,75 - (2\ 349,34)]} = 0,831\ 9$$

Using values for A and B in this subclause and from [Table B.2](#),

$$\tilde{\sigma}_1^2 = \frac{\sum(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{(n - 2)} = \text{RSS} / (n - 2) = 0,665\ 3 / 13 = 0,051\ 2 \tag{B.47}$$

where

$$\text{RSS} = \sum y_i^2 - \hat{A} \times \sum y_i - \hat{B} \times \sum x_i \times y_i \tag{B.48}$$

B.3.1.4 Determination of estimates for parameters c and d

Using the values for \hat{A} and \hat{B} calculated above, the estimated values for \hat{c} and \hat{d} are:

$$\hat{c} = (\hat{A}\hat{B}^{-1} + \lg_{10} 60) = 1,653\ 53 \tag{B.49}$$

$$\hat{d} = -\hat{B}^{-1} = -1,202 \tag{B.50}$$

B.3.2 Procedure for Line 2

B.3.2.1 Determination of X_i , Y_i , \bar{X} and \bar{Y}

Calculate the following:

$$X_i = 1 / \left\{ 1 + \exp[-\lg_{10}(\text{Time}) - \hat{c}] / \hat{d} \right\} \tag{B.51}$$

NOTE 1 See derived values in [Table B.3](#).

NOTE 2 Values for c and d are given in [Formulae \(B.49\)](#) and [\(B.50\)](#).

$$Y_i = \lg_{10}(\text{stiffness}) \tag{B.52}$$

NOTE 3 See derived values in [Table B.3](#).

$$\bar{X} = (\sum X_i) / n = 7,966\ 259 / 15 = 0,531\ 084 \tag{B.53}$$

$$\bar{Y} = (\sum Y_i) / n = 56,728\ 211 / 15 = 3,781\ 881 \tag{B.54}$$

B.3.2.2 Determination of the least squares estimates for \hat{a} and \hat{b} and unbiased estimate for $\tilde{\sigma}_1^2$

The estimates are derived using [Formulae \(B.55\)](#), [\(B.56\)](#), [\(B.57\)](#) and [\(B.58\)](#):

$$\hat{b} = \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} = \frac{(n \times \sum XY - \sum X \times \sum Y)}{(n \times \sum X^2 - \sum X \times \sum X)} \quad (\text{B.55})$$

$$\hat{b} = \frac{(15 \times 30,302\,557 - 7,966\,259 \times 56,728\,211)}{(15 \times 5,146\,065 - 7,966\,259 \times 7,966\,259)} = 2,626\,734 / 13,729\,693 = 0,191\,318$$

$$\hat{a} = \bar{Y} - \hat{b} \times \bar{X} \quad (\text{B.56})$$

$$\hat{a} = 3,781\,881 - 0,191\,318 \times 0,531\,083\,4 = 3,680\,275$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_1^2 = \frac{\sum (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}{(n-2)} = \text{RSS} / (n-2) \quad (\text{B.57})$$

$$\text{RSS} = \sum Y_i^2 - \hat{a} \times \sum Y_i - \hat{b} \times \sum X_i \times Y_i = \sum Y^2 - \hat{a} \times \sum Y - \hat{b} \times \sum XY = 214,573\,977 - 3,680\,275 \times 56,728\,211 - 0,191\,318 \times 30,302\,557 = 0,001\,136$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_2^2 = 0,001\,136 / 13 = 0,000\,087 \quad (\text{B.58})$$

Check that the following constraints are met: $\hat{a} + \hat{b} > Y_i > \hat{a}$

$$\max. Y_i = 3,852\,114, \min. Y_i = 3,696\,793, \hat{a} + \hat{b} = 3,680\,275 + 0,191\,318 = 3,871\,593 \text{ and } \hat{a} = 3,680\,275$$

From inspection of these values, the constraints are satisfied.

B.3.2.3 Determination of confidence and prediction intervals

Using [Formulae \(B.59\)](#) and [\(B.60\)](#), determine the variances of \hat{a} and \hat{b} :

$$\text{var}(\hat{a}) = \left(\tilde{\sigma}_2^2 \sum X_i^2 \right) / \left[n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2 \right] = \left(\tilde{\sigma}_2^2 \sum X_i^2 \right) / \left[n \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right] \quad (\text{B.59})$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{a}) = 0,000\,033$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{b}) = \left(n \tilde{\sigma}_2^2 \right) / \left[n \sum X_i^2 - (\sum X_i)^2 \right] = \left(n \tilde{\sigma}_2^2 \right) / \left[n \sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 \right] \quad (\text{B.60})$$

$$\text{var}(\hat{b}) = 0,000\,097$$

Using [Formulae \(B.61\)](#) and [\(B.62\)](#), compute the estimated standard errors, ε , of \hat{a} and \hat{b} :

$$\varepsilon(\hat{a}) = \sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{a})} \tag{B.61}$$

$$\varepsilon(\hat{a}) = \sqrt{0,000\ 033} = 0,005\ 756$$

$$\varepsilon(\hat{b}) = \sqrt{\text{var}(\hat{b})} \tag{B.62}$$

$$\varepsilon(\hat{b}) = \sqrt{0,000\ 097} = 0,009\ 828$$

Formulae for 100 % confidence and prediction intervals about the fitted Line 2 as functions of X are given as [Formulae \(B.63\)](#) and [\(B.64\)](#), respectively:

$$\text{Confidence interval } \mu_X = \hat{\mu}_X \pm t_p \tilde{\sigma}_2 \sqrt{\left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X - \bar{X})^2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \right]} \tag{B.63}$$

$$\text{Prediction interval } Y_X = \hat{\mu}_X \pm t_p \tilde{\sigma}_2 \sqrt{\left[1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(X - \bar{X})^2}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} \right]} \tag{B.64}$$

where

$$\text{extrapolated long-term stiffness is } \hat{\mu}_X = \hat{a} + \hat{b}X \tag{B.65}$$

$$t_p = 1,771 \text{ for } P = 90 \%$$

In [Table B.4](#), the confidence intervals are shown as μ_L and μ_U and the prediction intervals as Y_L and Y_U .

In [Table B.5](#), the confidence intervals are shown as $S\mu_L$ and $S\mu_U$ and the prediction intervals as S_L and S_U .

B.3.2.4 Student's t test for a and b

To test whether \hat{a} or \hat{b} is equal to 0, the following calculations are performed:

$$\Pr(|t| < t_p) = P;$$

t has Student's t -distribution on $(n - 2)$ degrees of freedom.

From Statistical tables t boundary for $P = 90 \%$ = 1,771.

From Statistical tables t boundary for $P = 95 \%$ = 2,160.

If [Formulae \(B.66\)](#) and [\(B.67\)](#) give t values greater than the applicable one of the above bounds, it is certain that \hat{a} and \hat{b} are not equal to 0.

$$t \text{ for } \hat{a} = \hat{a} / \varepsilon(\hat{a}) \tag{B.66}$$

$$t \text{ for } \hat{a} = 3,680\ 3 / 0,005\ 756 = 639,337\ 53$$

$$t \text{ for } \hat{b} = \hat{b} / \varepsilon(\hat{b}) \quad (\text{B.67})$$

$$t \text{ for } \hat{b} = 0,191\ 3 / 0,009\ 828 = 19,466\ 632\ 3$$

By inspection, \hat{a} and \hat{b} are not equal to 0.

B.3.2.5 Calculation of long-term (50-year) stiffness

All of the formulae in [B.3](#) are standard formulae for straight-line regressions. From the values given in [Tables B.4](#) and [B.5](#), the estimated long-term stiffness and its confidence and prediction limits are:

Using [Formula \(B.65\)](#), the extrapolated long-term stiffness is given as [Formula \(B.68\)](#):

$$\hat{Y}_X = \hat{\mu}_X = \hat{a} + \hat{b}X \quad (\text{B.68})$$

$$\hat{Y}_X = \hat{\mu}_X = 3,680\ 3 + 0,191\ 3 \times 0,35 = 3,686\ 968$$

Using [Formula \(B.63\)](#), the confidence limits for $\mu_{50 \text{ years}}$ are given as [Formula \(B.69\)](#):

$$\mu_{50 \text{ years}} = \hat{\mu}_X \pm \mu_{\text{bounds}} \quad (\text{B.69})$$

$$\mu_{50 \text{ years}} = 3,686\ 968 \pm 0,009\ 6 = (3\ 677\ 322 \quad 3\ 696\ 614)$$

Using [Formula \(B.64\)](#), the prediction limits for $Y_{50 \text{ years}}$ are given as [Formula \(B.70\)](#):

$$\hat{Y}_{50 \text{ years}} = Y_X \pm Y_{Y \text{ bounds}} \quad (\text{B.70})$$

$$\hat{Y}_{50 \text{ years}} = 3,686\ 968 \pm 0,019\ 2 = (3\ 667\ 725 \quad 3\ 706\ 21)$$

Transforming these values back to stiffness gives:

Extrapolated long-term stiffness is equal to:

$$S_{50 \text{ years}} = 10^{\hat{Y}_{50 \text{ years}}} \quad (\text{B.71})$$

$$S_{50 \text{ years}} = 10^{3,686\ 968} = 486\ 4 \text{ N/m}^2$$

90 % confidence limits for extrapolated long-term stiffness, $\mu(S)_{50 \text{ years}}$, are given as [Formula \(B.72\)](#):

$$\mu(S)_{50 \text{ years}} = 10^{\hat{\mu}_X \pm \mu_{\text{bounds}}} \quad (\text{B.72})$$

90 % confidence limits for extrapolated long-term stiffness, $\mu(S)_{50 \text{ years}}$, are given as [Formula \(B.73\)](#):

$$\mu(S)_{50 \text{ years}} = 10^{3,686\ 968 \pm 0,009\ 6} = (4\ 757,497\ 3) \quad (\text{B.73})$$

90 % prediction limits for extrapolated long-term stiffness, $Y(S)_{50 \text{ years}}$, are given as [Formula \(B.74\)](#):

$$Y(S)_{50 \text{ years}} = 10^{Y_X \pm Y_{\text{bounds}}} \quad (\text{B.74})$$

90 % prediction limits for extrapolated long-term stiffness, $Y(S)_{50 \text{ years}}$, are given as [Formula \(B.75\)](#):

$$Y(S)_{50 \text{ years}} = 10^{3,686\ 968 \pm 0,019\ 2} = (4\ 653\ 508\ 4) \quad (\text{B.75})$$

B.4 Description and comments on data and model

A sequential linearized procedure is utilized in this annex so as to meet the requirement for easily accessible explicit formulae. This procedure is almost certainly only marginally sub-optimal for the particular purposes of this document. In particular, it can be observed that for predicting the value of S at $S_{50 \text{ years}}$, which is the extrapolated long-term stiffness normally at 50 years, only the parameter a plus the associated estimates of measurement error for S and a are important. The four-parameter model for this procedure is shown as [Formula \(B.76\)](#):

$$Y_i = \lg_{10}(S_i) = a + b / \left\langle 1 + \exp \left\{ - \left[\lg_{10}(T_i) - c \right] / d \right\} \right\rangle \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (\text{B.76})$$

where

S is the stiffness, expressed in newtons per square metre (N/m²);

T is time, expressed in hours (h);

i is the index for observations.

This model is linear in the parameters a and b but non-linear in the parameters c and d . This means that a fully developed statistical analysis designed to produce all the required estimates and intervals would require much algebraic development coupled with a “black-box” use of professional statistical packages. However, an alternative sequential linearized procedure is utilized in this annex to meet the requirement for easily accessible explicit formulae.

B.4.1 Line 1

Line 1 is a rewrite of the model given as [Formula \(B.76\)](#) in order to display time as a function of stiffness, followed by the addition of a simple random error term so as to complete the full specification for a

standard straight-line regression model. It is obtained by transforming the Y-axis using preliminary estimates for a and b [see [Formula \(B.77\)](#)].

$$\text{Line 1 } y_i = \lg_e \left[\frac{(a + b - Y_i)}{(Y_i - a)} \right] = A + Bx_i + e_{1,i} \quad i = 1, n \quad (\text{B.77})$$

where

$$e_{1,i} \approx N(0, \sigma_1^2) \text{ is the added random error term.}$$

NOTE 1 This represents normally distributed measurement and sample piece variability under nominally constant experimental conditions.

$$x_i = \lg_{10} (60T_i + 1) \approx \lg_{10} 60 + \lg_{10} T$$

NOTE 2 The additional "1 min" is a variant on the standard adaptation to ensure that zeroes on the Time and lg (Time) axes roughly correspond.

So that

$$A = (c + \lg_{10} 60)/d;$$

$$B = -1/d.$$

And hence

$$c = -(AB^{-1} + \lg_{10} 60);$$

$$d = -B^{-1}.$$

Given initial estimates for a and b , which are easily obtained via the observed maximum and minimum values for stiffness, this straight-line regression model can then be used to estimate c and d .

However, as it stands, this model for Line 1 requires $a + b > Y_i > a$ and this might not hold for the initial estimates. But assuming the model fits the data well, in which case measurement errors are small, it seems reasonable to replace y_i with [Formula \(B.78\)](#):

$$y_i = \lg_e \left\{ \text{abs} \left[\frac{(a + b - Y_i)}{(Y_i - a)} \right] \right\} \quad (\text{B.78})$$

Suitable initial estimates for a and b can be obtained from the data by setting:

$$a_0 + b_0 = 1,005 \max.(Y_i);$$

$$a_0 = 0,995 \min.(Y_i);$$

$$\text{So } b_0 = (a_0 + b_0) - a_0.$$

Alternatively, values for a , b , c and d can be obtained using suitable statistical software which can compute a set of best-fit values for these variables from the data by iteration on an appropriately specified least-squares criterion. Such software may not, however, supply standard errors or confidence intervals for these best-fit values.

B.4.2 Line 2

Line 2 is obtained by rewriting the basic model [Formula (B.76)] as a simple straight-line dependence of stiffness on a transformed Time axis using the Line 1 estimates for c and d [see Formula (B.79)]:

$$Y_i = a + bX_i + e_{2i} \tag{B.79}$$

where

$$X_i = \left\langle 1 + \exp \left\{ - \left[\lg_{10} (T_i) - c \right] / d \right\} \right\rangle^{-1} ;$$

$$e_{2,i} \approx N(0, \sigma_2^2) \text{ represents random measurement and sample piece variability error.}$$

NOTE This represents normally distributed measurement and sample piece variability under nominally constant experimental conditions.

Given the estimates for c and d obtained using either the Line 1 procedure described above or a suitable statistics package, this straight-line regression model can then be used to re-estimate a and b . Confidence and prediction intervals about this line can then be constructed using standard statistical techniques for linear models and hence, by back transformation, about the curve for S as a function of X or Time.

B.4.3 Analysis and formulae

Using the data set given in Table B.1, the sequential procedure is demonstrated and fully described in this subclause.

WARNING — The calculations described in this annex should only be performed using suitable statistical software packages or appropriate spreadsheet software. This is to avoid errors which are known to occur when attempts are made to perform them using pocket calculators.

B.4.3.1 Line 1

The least-squares estimates for A and B and the unbiased estimate for $\tilde{\sigma}_1^2$ are given as Formulae (B.80) and (B.81).

B.4.3.1.1 Computation of \hat{B}

\hat{B} is computed using Formula (B.80):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B} &= \sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y}) / \sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 = (n \times \sum xy - \sum x \times \sum y) / \left[n \times \sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2 \right] \\ &= (15 \times 17,80 - 48,47 \times 2,51) / \left[15 \times 187,75 - (2\,349,34) \right] = 0,831\,9 \end{aligned} \tag{B.80}$$

B.4.3.1.2 Computation of \hat{A}

\hat{A} is computed using Formula (B.81):

$$\hat{A} = \bar{y} - \hat{B}\bar{x} \tag{B.81}$$

where

$$\bar{y} = \sum y/n = -2,51/15 = -0,167 5;$$

$$\bar{x} = \sum x/n = 48,47/15 = 3,231 036;$$

$$\hat{B} = 0,831 9.$$

NOTE See [Formula \(B.80\)](#).

$$\hat{A} = 0,167 5 - 0,831 9 \times 3,231 036 = -2,855 5 \tag{B.82}$$

B.4.3.1.3 Computation of $\tilde{\sigma}_1^2$

$\tilde{\sigma}_1^2$ is given as [Formula \(B.83\)](#):

$$\tilde{\sigma}_1^2 = \sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 / (n - 2) \tag{B.83}$$

where

$$\sum (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 = \sum y^2 - A \times \sum y - B \times \sum xy / n - 2 = 22,65 - -2,855 5 \times -2,51 - 0,831 9 \times 17,8 = 0,665 3$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_1^2 = 0,665 3 / 13 = 0,051 2 \tag{B.84}$$

Table B.1 — Raw data and derived values

Count <i>i</i>	Raw data		Derived values				
	Time h	Stiffness <i>S</i>	lg ₁₀ (<i>S</i>) <i>Y_i</i>	lg ₁₀ (mins+1) <i>x_i</i>	Linearized <i>Y</i> <i>y_i</i>	Linearized time <i>X_i</i>	lg ₁₀ (Time) <i>T_i</i>
0	0	*	*	0,000 000	*	*	*
1	0,10	7 114	3,852 114	0,845 098	-2,199 873	0,900 981 089	-1,000 000
2	0,27	6 935	3,841 046	1,235 528	-1,680 067	0,864 045 469	-0,568 636
3	0,50	6 824	3,834 039	1,491 362	-1,428 181	0,835 713 323	-0,301 030
4	1,00	6 698	3,825 945	1,785 330	-1,178 593	0,798 385 481	0,000 000
5	3,28	6 533	3,815 113	2,296 226	-0,888 531	0,720 523 173	0,515 874
6	7,28	6 453	3,809 762	2,641 276	-0,757 777	0,659 034 121	0,862 131
7	20,0	6 307	3,799 823	3,079 543	-0,529 608	0,572 939 842	1,301 030
8	45,9	6 199	3,792 322	3,440 122	-0,366 192	0,498 426 628	1,661 813
9	72,0	6 133	3,787 673	3,635 584	-0,267 424	0,457 861 654	1,857 332
10	166	5 692	3,755 265	3,998 303	0,411 303	0,384 436 030	2,220 108
11	219	5 508	3,740 994	4,118 628	0,732 338	0,361 035 235	2,340 444
12	384	5 393	3,731 830	4,362 501	0,958 300	0,315 663 484	2,584 331

NOTE 1 Linearized $Y = y_i = \ln \left\langle ABS \left\{ \left[a_0 + b_0 - \lg_{10} (S) \right] / \left[\lg_{10} (S) - a_0 \right] \right\} \right\rangle$.

NOTE 2 Linearized time $X_i = 1 / \left\langle 1 + \exp \left\{ - \left[\lg_{10} (Time) \right] - c / d \right\} \right\rangle$.

NOTE 3 The raw data in this table are the same as those in [Table 5](#).

NOTE 4 The values given in rows 0 and 16 are calculated values, while the values in rows 1 to 15 inclusive are measured values or derived from measured values.

Table B.1 (continued)

Count <i>i</i>	Raw data		Derived values				
	Time h	Stiffness <i>S</i>	lg ₁₀ (<i>S</i>) <i>Y_i</i>	lg ₁₀ (mins+1) <i>x_i</i>	Linearized <i>Y</i> <i>y_i</i>	Linearized time <i>X_i</i>	lg ₁₀ (Time) <i>T_i</i>
13	504	5 364	3,729 489	4,480 596	1,019 680	0,294 833 214	2,702 431
14	3 000	5 200	3,716 003	5,255 275	1,416 309	0,179 974 497	3,477 121
15	10 520	4 975	3,696 793	5,800 168	2,245 487	0,122 406 128	4,022 016
16	438 300	*	*	7,419 923	*	0,034 979 805	5,641 771

NOTE 1 Linearized $Y = y_i = \ln \left\langle ABS \left\{ \left[a_0 + b_0 - \lg_{10} (S) \right] / \left[\lg_{10} (S) - a_0 \right] \right\} \right\rangle$.

NOTE 2 Linearized time $X_i = 1 / \left\langle 1 + \exp \left\{ - \left[\lg_{10} (Time) \right] - c / d \right\} \right\rangle$.

NOTE 3 The raw data in this table are the same as those in Table 5.

NOTE 4 The values given in rows 0 and 16 are calculated values, while the values in rows 1 to 15 inclusive are measured values or derived from measured values.

B.4.3.1.4 Computation of *a*, *b*, *c* and *d*

The values for *a*, *b*, *c* and *d* can be found by either using the procedure described in B.4 or by the use of suitable statistical software.

B.4.3.1.4.1 Using estimation procedure

Assuming measurements of stiffness for up to 10 000 h are available, suitable initial estimates for *a* and *b* are obtained from the data by using Formulae (B.85), (B.86), and (B.87) (see also B.2.1):

$$a + b = 1,005 \times \max. (Y_i) = 1,005 \times 3,852 114 = 3,871 375 \tag{B.85}$$

$$a = 0,995 \min. (Y_i) = 0,995 \times 3,696 793 = 3,678 309 \tag{B.86}$$

$$b = 3,871 375 - 3,678 309 = 0,193 066 \tag{B.87}$$

For these data:

a is lg₁₀ [stiffness at 50 years (*S*₅₀)], therefore *S*₅₀ = 10^{3,678 309} = 4 768 N/m²;

b is change in lg₁₀ (stiffness) between initial and 50 years so, *b* = lg₁₀ [of the ratio (*S*₀/*S*₅₀)];

but 10^{*b*} = 10^{0,193 066} = 1,559 79

therefore, initial stiffness, *S*₀ = 1,559 79 × *S*₅₀ = 1,559 79 × 4 768 = 7 437 N/m².

Alternatively, *a* + *b* is lg₁₀(*S*₀) so *S*₀ = 10^{3,871 375} = *S*₀ = 7 437 N/m².

Hence, the implied estimates for *c* and *d* are:

$$\hat{c} = - \left[A / B - \lg_{10} (60) \right] = 3,432 504 - 1,778 151 3 = 1,653 53 \tag{B.88}$$

$$\hat{d} = - B^{-1} = - 0,831 9^{-1} = - 1,202 011 \tag{B.89}$$


where

$$\hat{c} = \lg_{10} (\text{half-period});$$

NOTE The half-period is the time at which $\lg_{10}(\text{stiffness})$ achieves 50 % of its overall loss.

\hat{d} is the negative inverse of the slope of the line in [Figure B.1](#).

The fitted parameters are statistically significant and the fitted line is shown in [Figure B.1](#).



Key

X-axis $\lg_{10}(\text{minutes} + 1)$

Y-axis transformed stiffness

trend-line $(\hat{y} = A + Bx)$

■ data points

NOTE See [Table B.2](#) for details of the data used to produce this graph.

Figure B.1 — Line 1 — Straight-line fit

B.4.3.1.4.2 Using statistical software

By using initial estimates for a , b and c of 1 and -1 for d and the data in [Table B.1](#), the software was run for up to 1 000 iterations and the following values were produced:

$$a = 3,675$$

$$b = 0,193$$

$$a + b = 3,871$$

$$c = 1,654$$

$$d = -1,202$$

These values can be seen to be very similar to the estimated values obtained in [B.3.1.4](#) and [B.3.1.3](#).

Table B.2 — Line 1 data results for Figure B.1

Count <i>i</i>	x_i	y_i	x^2	y^2	xy	\hat{y}_{A+Bx}	Residuals $y - \hat{y}$
0	0,000 000	-2,855 548	0,000 000	8,154 155	0,000 000	-2,855 548	0,000 000
1	0,845 098	-2,199 873	0,714 191	4,839 439	-1,859 108	-2,152 478	-0,047 395
2	1,235 528	-1,680 067	1,526 531	2,822 626	-2,075 771	-1,827 663	0,147 596
3	1,491 362	-1,428 181	2,224 160	2,039 700	-2,129 934	-1,614 826	0,186 645
4	1,785 330	-1,178 593	3,187 403	1,389 081	-2,104 177	-1,370 262	0,191 669
5	2,296 226	-0,888 531	5,272 655	0,789 487	-2,040 267	-0,945 227	0,056 696
6	2,641 276	-0,757 777	6,976 338	0,574 226	-2,001 498	-0,658 167	-0,099 610
7	3,079 543	-0,529 608	9,483 585	0,280 485	-1,630 951	-0,293 555	-0,236 053
8	3,440 122	-0,366 192	11,834 437	0,134 097	-1,259 746	0,006 425	-0,372 617
9	3,635 584	-0,267 424	13,217 473	0,071 516	-0,972 242	0,169 038	-0,436 462
10	3,998 303	0,411 303	15,986 426	0,169 170	1,644 515	0,470 798	-0,059 495
11	4,118 628	0,732 338	16,963 100	0,536 319	3,016 228	0,570 901	0,161 437
12	4,362 501	0,958 300	19,031 418	0,918 339	4,180 586	0,773 789	0,184 512
13	4,480 596	1,019 680	20,075 742	1,039 746	4,568 772	0,872 036	0,147 643
14	5,255 275	1,416 309	27,617 914	2,005 933	7,443 096	1,516 522	-0,100 213
15	5,800 168	2,245 487	33,641 945	5,042 213	13,024 202	1,969 840	0,275 647
16	7,419 923	3,317 378	55,055 253	11,004 998	24,614 690	3,317 378	0,000 000
Totals	48,465 540	-2,512 828	187,753 317	22,652 377	17,803 704	37,464 846	0,000 000

NOTE 1 $x_i = \lg_{10}(\text{minutes} + 1)$.

NOTE 2 $y_i = \text{linearized } Y$.

NOTE 3 The values given in rows 0 and 16 are calculated values, while the values in rows 1 to 15 inclusive are measured values or derived from measured values. The values in rows 0 and 16 are not included in the totals below row 16.

B.4.3.1.5 Other derived variables associated with Line 1

$$S_x = n \times \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2 = 467,39 \tag{B.90}$$

$$S_y = n \times \sum y_i^2 - (\sum y_i)^2 = 333,47 \tag{B.91}$$

$$S_{xy} = n \times \sum x_i y_i - (\sum x_i \times \sum y_i) = 388,84 \tag{B.92}$$

$$\bar{x} = \sum x_i / n = 3,231 036 \tag{B.93}$$

$$\bar{y} = \sum y_i / n = -0,167 522 \tag{B.94}$$

B.4.3.2 Line 2

B.4.3.2.1 Computation of a , b , and $\hat{\sigma}_2^2$

NOTE 1 The data used in these calculations are shown in [Table B.3](#).

The least-squares estimate for a and b and the unbiased estimate for $\tilde{\sigma}_2^2$ are given as [Formula \(B.95\)](#):

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{b} &= \frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y})}{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} = \frac{(n \times \sum XY - \sum X \times \sum Y)}{(n \times \sum X^2 - \sum X \times \sum X)}; \\ \hat{b} &= \frac{(15 \times 30,302\,557 - 7,966\,259 \times 56,728\,211)}{(15 \times 5,146\,065 - 7,966\,259 \times 7,966\,259)} = \\ &= 2,626\,734 / 13,729\,693 = 0,191\,318\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.95})$$

Using the estimates for c and d , [Formulae \(B.96\)](#), [\(B.97\)](#), [\(B.98\)](#), [\(B.99\)](#), [\(B.100\)](#) and [\(B.101\)](#) are derived using Line 1:

$$\bar{Y} = \sum Y / n = 56,728\,211 / 15 = 3,781\,881 \quad (\text{B.96})$$

$$\bar{X} = \sum X / n = 7,966\,259 / 15 = 0,531\,084 \quad (\text{B.97})$$

$$\hat{a} = \bar{Y} - \hat{b} \times \bar{X} = 3,781\,881 - 0,191\,318 \times 0,531\,084 = 3,680\,275 \quad (\text{B.98})$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_2^2 = \frac{\sum (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2}{(n - 2)} = \text{RSS} / n - 2 \quad (\text{B.99})$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{RSS} &= \sum Y^2 - \hat{a} \times \sum Y - \hat{b} \times \sum XY = \\ &= 214,573\,977 - 3,680\,275 \times 56,728\,211 - 0,191\,318 \times 30,302\,557 = 0,001\,136\end{aligned}\quad (\text{B.100})$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_2^2 = 0,001\,136 / 13 = 0,000\,087 \quad (\text{B.101})$$

NOTE 2 For the data observed, these estimates satisfy the model constraint $a + b > Y_i > a$.

Table B.3 — Line 2 data results

Count <i>i</i>	X_i	Y_i	X^2	Y^2	XY	$Y_{\text{hat}}(A + Bx_i)$	Residuals ($Y - Y_{\text{hat}}$)	Y_{bounds}	Y_L ($Y_{\text{hat}} - Y_{\text{bounds}}$)	Y_U ($Y_{\text{hat}} + Y_{\text{bounds}}$)	μ_{bounds}	μ_L $Y_{\text{hat}} - \mu_{\text{bounds}}$	μ_U $Y_{\text{hat}} + \mu_{\text{bounds}}$	Error bound	$Y_{\text{hat}} - \text{error}$	$Y_{\text{hat}} + \text{error}$
0	1,000 000	3,871 592	1,000 000	14,989 224	3,871 592	3,871 592	0,000 000	0,019 036	3,852 556	3,890 628	0,009 224	3,862 367	3,880 816	0,016 651	3,854 941	3,888 243
1	0,900 981	3,852 114	0,811 767	14,838 781	3,470 682	3,852 648	0,000 534	0,018 363	3,834 285	3,871 011	0,007 742	3,844 906	3,860 389	0,016 651	3,835 997	3,869 299
2	0,864 045	3,841 046	0,746 575	14,753 638	3,318 839	3,845 582	0,004 535	0,018 148	3,827 434	3,863 729	0,007 216	3,838 366	3,852 797	0,016 651	3,828 930	3,862 233
3	0,835 713	3,834 039	0,698 417	14,699 855	3,204 157	3,840 161	0,006 122	0,017 996	3,822 165	3,858 157	0,006 826	3,833 335	3,846 987	0,016 651	3,823 510	3,856 813
4	0,798 385	3,825 945	0,637 419	14,637 856	3,054 579	3,833 020	0,007 075	0,017 816	3,815 204	3,850 835	0,006 335	3,826 685	3,839 354	0,016 651	3,816 369	3,849 671
5	0,720 523	3,815 113	0,519 154	14,555 085	2,748 877	3,818 123	0,003 011	0,017 511	3,800 613	3,835 634	0,005 418	3,812 705	3,823 542	0,016 651	3,801 472	3,834 775
6	0,659 034	3,809 762	0,434 326	14,514 284	2,510 763	3,806 360	0,003 402	0,017 341	3,789 019	3,823 701	0,004 842	3,801 518	3,811 201	0,016 651	3,789 708	3,823 011
7	0,572 940	3,799 823	0,328 260	14,438 654	2,177 070	3,789 888	0,009 934	0,017 213	3,772 676	3,807 101	0,004 361	3,785 528	3,794 249	0,016 651	3,773 237	3,806 540
8	0,498 427	3,792 322	0,248 429	14,381 703	1,890 194	3,775 633	0,016 689	0,017 207	3,758 426	3,792 840	0,004 337	3,771 296	3,779 970	0,016 651	3,758 982	3,792 284
9	0,457 862	3,787 673	0,209 637	14,346 466	1,734 230	3,767 872	0,019 801	0,017 245	3,750 628	3,785 117	0,004 484	3,763 388	3,772 356	0,016 651	3,751 221	3,784 523
10	0,384 436	3,755 265	0,147 791	14,102 014	1,443 659	3,753 825	0,001 440	0,017 386	3,736 439	3,771 210	0,005 000	3,748 825	3,758 824	0,016 651	3,737 173	3,770 476
11	0,361 035	3,740 994	0,130 346	13,995 036	1,350 631	3,749 348	0,008 354	0,017 450	3,731 897	3,766 798	0,005 220	3,744 128	3,754 567	0,016 651	3,732 696	3,765 999
12	0,315 663	3,731 830	0,099 643	13,926 558	1,178 003	3,740 667	0,008 837	0,017 601	3,723 066	3,758 269	0,005 705	3,734 963	3,746 372	0,016 651	3,724 016	3,757 319
13	0,294 833	3,729 489	0,086 927	13,909 086	1,099 577	3,736 682	0,007 193	0,017 682	3,719 000	3,754 364	0,005 949	3,730 733	3,742 631	0,016 651	3,720 031	3,753 333
14	0,179 974	3,716 003	0,032 391	13,808 681	0,668 786	3,714 708	0,001 296	0,018 254	3,696 457	3,732 959	0,007 472	3,707 236	3,722 180	0,016 651	3,698 057	3,731 359

NOTE 1 Linearized time, $X_i = 1 / \{1 + \exp[-\lg_{10}(\text{Time}) - c]\} / d$.

NOTE 2 $Y_i = \lg_{10}(\text{Stiffness})$.

NOTE 3 $Y_{\text{bounds}} = t - dn_{\text{bound}} \times \sqrt{\sigma^2 \left[1 + (1/n) + (X_i - \bar{X}) \times (X_i - \bar{X}) / \left(\sum X_i^2 - \sum X_i \times \sum X_i / n \right) \right]}$.

NOTE 4 $\mu_{\text{bounds}} = t - dn_{\text{bound}} \times \sqrt{\sigma^2 \left\{ \left[(1/n) + (x_i - \bar{x}) \right] / \left[\sum x_i^2 - \sum x_i \times \sum x_i / n \right] \right\}}$.

NOTE 5 Error bounds = $t - dn_{\text{bound}} \times \sqrt{\sigma^2}$.

NOTE 6 The values given in rows 0 and 16 are calculated values, while the values in rows 1 to 15 inclusive are measured values or derived from measured values. The values in rows 0 and 16 are not included in the totals below row 16.

Table B.3 (continued)

Count <i>i</i>	X_i	Y_i	X^2	Y^2	XY	$Y_{\text{hat}}(A + BX_i)$	Residuals ($Y - Y_{\text{hat}}$)	Y_{bounds}	Y_L ($Y_{\text{hat}} - Y_{\text{bounds}}$)	Y_U ($Y_{\text{hat}} + Y_{\text{bounds}}$)	μ_{bounds}	μ_L $Y_{\text{hat}} - \mu_{\text{bounds}}$	μ_U $Y_{\text{hat}} + \mu_{\text{bounds}}$	Error bound	$Y_{\text{hat}} - \text{error}$	$Y_{\text{hat}} + \text{error}$
15	0,122 406	3,696 793	0,014 983	13,666 279	0,452 510	3,703 694	0,006 901	0,018 610	3,685 084	3,722 304	0,008 311	3,695 383	3,712 005	0,016 651	3,687 043	3,720 345
16	0,034 980	3,686 968	0,001 224	13,593 733	0,128 969	3,686 968	0,000 000	0,019 243	3,667 725	3,706 211	0,009 646	3,677 322	3,696 614	0,016 651	3,670 317	3,703 619
Totals	7966 259	56,728 211	5,146 065	214,573 977	30,302 557	5,204 350	0,000 000									

NOTE 1 Linearized time, $X_i = 1 / \{1 + \exp[-\lg_{10}(\text{Time}) - C]\} / dt$.

NOTE 2 $Y_i = \lg_{10}(\text{Stiffness})$.

NOTE 3 $Y_{\text{bounds}} = t - dn_{\text{bound}} \times \sqrt{\sigma^2 \left[1 + \left(\frac{1}{n} \right) + (X_i - \bar{X}) \times (X_i - \bar{X}) / \left(\sum X_i^2 - \sum X_i \times \sum X_i / n \right) \right]}$.

NOTE 4 $\mu_{\text{bounds}} = t - dn_{\text{bound}} \times \sqrt{\sigma^2 \left\{ \left[\left(\frac{1}{n} \right) + (x_i - \bar{x}) \right] / \left[\sum x_i^2 - \sum x_i \times \sum x_i / n \right] \right\}}$.

NOTE 5 Error bounds = $t - dn_{\text{bound}} \times \sqrt{\sigma^2}$.

NOTE 6 The values given in rows 0 and 16 are calculated values, while the values in rows 1 to 15 inclusive are measured values or derived from measured values. The values in rows 0 and 16 are not included in the totals below row 16.