
**Information technology — Multimedia
framework (MPEG-21)**

Part 3:
Digital Item Identification

AMENDMENT 2: Digital item semantic
relationships

Technologies de l'information — Cadre multimédia (MPEG-21)

Partie 3: Identification des éléments numériques

AMENDEMENT 2: Relations sémantiques des éléments numériques

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC 21000-3:2003/AMD2:2013



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO/IEC 2013

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Amendment 2 to ISO/IEC 21000-3:2003 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 29, *Coding of audio, picture, multimedia and hypermedia information*.

This Amendment provides MPEG-21 DII with the ability to explicitly and unambiguously describe existing relationships between different MPEG-21 Digital Items. It also conveys the base inter-DI relationship taxonomy in the form of an RDF/OWL ontology.

IECNORM.COM : Click to view the full PDF of ISO/IEC 21000-3:2003/AMD2:2013

Information technology — Multimedia framework (MPEG-21)

Part 3: Digital Item Identification

AMENDMENT 2: Digital item semantic relationships

In Clause 1 insert a new bullet containing the following text:

- How to express the relationship between two Digital Items

In 3.1, insert the definition for the term “Inter-DI Relationship” as follows:

Inter-DI Relationship

any form of logical connection or association between two Digital Items, pertaining to the semantics of the role that one DI plays towards another DI

Move 4.3 and all following 4.x one point up (for instance, 4.3 becomes 4.4, etc).

After 4.2, insert 4.3:

4.3 Relationships Element

The **Relationships** element is intended to enable the description of one, or more, relationships between properly identified Digital Items. Each such relationship logically connects two DIs (referring them through their DI identifiers), to each other through a specific, directional logical connection of the *subject-predicate-object* type. One of the DIs plays the role of the *subject*, the other DI plays the role of the *object* and the relationship itself plays the role of the logical *predicate* that relates *subject* to *object*.

One example of such a relationship is that which exists between a “Book DI” and its corresponding “Errata DI”. The “Errata DI” is the *subject* in a “correction” relationship (or *predicate*) where the “Book DI” is the *object*.

4.3.1 Syntax

```
<xs:element name="Relationships">
  <xs:complexType mixed="true">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element
        xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
        ref="rdf:RDF" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
    </xs:sequence>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:element>
```

A **Relationship** element has to appear as a child of a **Statement** element (defined in MPEG-21 DID on ISO/IEC 21000-2).

4.3.2 Semantics

The **Relationships** element allows the semantically precise definition of any number of inter-DI relationships. Together, these relationships form the relational context of the Digital Item that this information is associated to.

The content of the **Relationships** element is RDF/OWL metadata, which performs the actual description of inter-DI relationships.

To be in accordance with this clause, this metadata must conform to a universal (MPEG-21 wide) ontology, termed, **MPEG-21 Core Ontology for DI Relationships** (MPEG-21 CODIR), defined in 4.3.3.

4.3.3 MPEG-21 Core Ontology for DI Relationships

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<!DOCTYPE rdf:RDF [
  <!ENTITY owl "http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#" >
  <!ENTITY xsd "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#" >
  <!ENTITY owl2xml "http://www.w3.org/2006/12/owl2-xml#" >
  <!ENTITY rdfs "http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#" >
  <!ENTITY rdf "http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#" >
  <!ENTITY mpeg21coreRelOnt "mpeg21:corerelationalontology" >
]>

<rdf:RDF xmlns="mpeg21:corerelationalontology"
  xml:base="mpeg21:corerelationalontology"
  xmlns:owl2xml="http://www.w3.org/2006/12/owl2-xml#"
  xmlns:mpeg21coreRelOnt="mpeg21:corerelationalontology"
  xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#"
  xmlns:rdfs="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#"
  xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
  xmlns:owl="http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#">
  <owl:Ontology rdf:about="mpeg21:corerelationalontology"/>

  <!-- Classes -->
  <owl:Class rdf:about="mpeg21:corerelationalontology;DigitalItem"/>
  <owl:Class rdf:about="&owl;Thing"/>

  <!-- Object Properties -->
  <owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&mpeg21coreRelOnt;interDIRelationship">
    <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="&mpeg21coreRelOnt;DigitalItem"/>
    <rdfs:range rdf:resource="&mpeg21coreRelOnt;DigitalItem"/>
  </owl:ObjectProperty>
</rdf:RDF>
```

The **MPEG-21 Core Ontology for DI Relationships** (textually presented above and graphically depicted in Figure AMD2.1) only defines the fundamental taxonomy of possible inter-DI relationships. It may and should be extended by other domain-specific ontologies, which will add the taxonomic definition for their domain specific inter-DI relationships.




Figure AMD2.1 — MPEG-21 CODIR’s Graphical Depiction

In 4.4, replace the existing schema definition with the following:

```

<?xml version="1.0"?>
<!--#####-->
<!--                                     -->
<!--           XML Schema for ISO/IEC 21000-3           -->
<!--                                     -->
<!--#####-->

<xsd:schema xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  xmlns="urn:mpeg:mpeg21:2002:01-DII-NS"
  targetNamespace="urn:mpeg:mpeg21:2002:01-DII-NS"
  version="0.02">

  <!--#####
    ISO/IEC 21000-3 Identifier Element
    #####-->

  <xsd:element name="Identifier" type="xsd:anyURI"/>

  <!--#####
    ISO/IEC 21000-3 Related Identifier Element
    #####-->

  <xsd:element name="RelatedIdentifier">
    <xsd:complexType>
      <xsd:simpleContent>
        <xsd:extension base="xsd:anyURI">
          <xsd:attribute name="relationshipType" type="xsd:anyURI"/>
        </xsd:extension>
      </xsd:simpleContent>
    </xsd:complexType>
  </xsd:element>

  <!-- ##### -->
  ISO/IEC 21000-3 Relationships Element
  ##### -->

  <xsd:element name="Relationships">
    <xsd:complexType mixed="true">
      <xsd:sequence>
        <xsd:element
          xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
          ref="rdf:RDF" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="1"/>
      </xsd:sequence>
    </xsd:complexType>
  </xsd:element>

  <!--#####
    ISO/IEC 21000-3 Type Element
    #####-->

  <xsd:element name="Type" type="xsd:anyURI"/>
</xsd:schema>

```

Add a new informative Annex E between current Annexes D and E as follows. Renumber Annex E as Annex F and change all references accordingly:

Annex E (informative)

Relationships Element Employment Example

E.1 Overview

The present Annex provides an example of a typical employment of the Relationships element, and associated provisions.

E.2 presents a sample ontology which extends the CODIR ontology and E.3 depicts the expression of a DI's relational context through the employment of the defined sample ontology and the Relationships element.

E.2 Sample Extending Ontology

The core taxonomy of inter-DI relationships defined in MPEG-21 CODIR may be extended to support any set of relationships. Figure E.1 depicts an ontology defining such a possible set.



Figure E.1 — Relationship Ontology extending MPEG-21 CODIR

Within the ontology presented in Figure E.1, the `interDIRelationship` property, from MPEG-21 CODIR, is extended by three base types of inter-DI relationships, each of which further contains a number of relationship sub-types.

The rationale behind the mentioned ontology, and its base types, is the following:

- DIs have no physical body, and thus, occupy no physical space. Still, they do exist, and as such, must have a body of some type. It is a virtual body composed by all the abstract pieces of information (not to confuse with concrete files), that make up the DI. The DI's space consists of the portion of the space of all-possible-information that is occupied by the DI's constituting information. The DI's time consists of the time span through which the DI's existence lasts. In light of this, the following base inter-DI relationships may be defined:
 - spacialRelationship – a relationship pertaining to the spatial dimension of DIs. For instance, the “body” (set of all the pieces of information that compose a DI) of a Musical Album DI contains the “bodies” of all of its Musical Track DIs. Given that their bodies exist in a space (even if an abstract one), intersection, composition, etc, relationships between DI bodies are actually relationships between those bodies' spaces.
 - temporalRelationship – a relationship pertaining to the temporal dimension of DIs. A DI A which begun its existence prior to a DI B, may be said to maintain a relationship of precedence towards DI B.
 - logicalRelationship – a relationship pertaining to the logical dimension of DIs. These are not relationships between the abstract “physical” bodies of DI, but are conceptual relationships between DIs, that inter-relate them in regards to their meaning.

The textual (RDF/OWL) definition of the above introduced ontology is presented below.

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<!DOCTYPE rdf:RDF [
  <!ENTITY owl "http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#" >
  <!ENTITY xsd "http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#" >
  <!ENTITY rdfs "http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#" >
  <!ENTITY rdf "http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#" >
  <!ENTITY mpeg21coreRelOnt "mpeg21:corerelationalontology#" >
  <!ENTITY samplExtOnt "sample:extension:ontology#" >
]>

<rdf:RDF xmlns="sample:extension:ontology#"
  xml:base="sample:extension:ontology"
  xmlns:samplExtOnt="sample:extension:ontology#"
  xmlns:mpeg21coreRelOnt="mpeg21:corerelationalontology#"
  xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema#"
  xmlns:rdfs="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#"
  xmlns:rdf="http://www.w3.org/1999/02/22-rdf-syntax-ns#"
  xmlns:owl="http://www.w3.org/2002/07/owl#">
  <owl:Ontology rdf:about="sample:extension:ontology">
    <owl:imports rdf:resource="mpeg21:corerelationalontology"/>
  </owl:Ontology>

  <owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&mpeg21coreRelOnt;interDIRelationship"/>

  <owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&samplExtOnt;alteration">
    <rdfs:subPropertyOf
      rdf:resource="&samplExtOnt;logicalRelationship"/>
  </owl:ObjectProperty>

  <owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&samplExtOnt;answering">
    <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&samplExtOnt;responding"/>
  </owl:ObjectProperty>

  <owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&samplExtOnt;association">
    <rdfs:subPropertyOf
      rdf:resource="&samplExtOnt;logicalRelationship"/>
  </owl:ObjectProperty>
```

```

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;commenting">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;association"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;completion">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;extension"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;composition">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf
    rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;spacialRelationship"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;continuation">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;extension"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;correction">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;alteration"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;countering">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;responding"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;enrichment">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;extension"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;evaluation">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;association"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;extension">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;alteration"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;inclusion">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf
    rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;spacialRelationship"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;inintersection">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf
    rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;spacialRelationship"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;intersection">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf
    rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;spacialRelationship"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;logicalRelationship">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf
    rdf:resource="&mpeg21coreRelOnt;interDIRelationship"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;precedence">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;versioning"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

```

```

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;reduction">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;alteration"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;replacement">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;alteration"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;responding">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;association"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;simplification">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;reduction"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;spacialRelationship">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf
    rdf:resource="&mpeg21coreRelOnt;interDIRelationship"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;succession">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;versioning"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;summarization">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;reduction"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;supporting">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;responding"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;temporalRelationship">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf
    rdf:resource="&mpeg21coreRelOnt;interDIRelationship"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

<owl:ObjectProperty rdf:about="&sampleOnt;versioning">
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf
    rdf:resource="&sampleOnt;temporalRelationship"/>
</owl:ObjectProperty>

</rdf:RDF>

```

E.3 Inter-DI Relationship declaration Example

Below, an example is presented, containing a DI declaration where the declared DI is identified as “conv:di:005”, and is declared to maintain a relationship of “**enrichment**”, with another DI identified as “conv:di:006”. That is, DI “conv:di:005” logically enriches DI “conv:di:006”.

The “**enrichment**” relationship is defined (and highlighted), in the sample extending ontology, presented in the previous sub-clause.

```

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<DIDL xmlns="urn:mpeg:mpeg21:2002:02-DIDL-NS"
  xmlns:mpeg7="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:schema:2001"
  xmlns:foo="http://www.bar.org/title-schema"

```