INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO/IEC

15938-5

First edition
2003-05-15

AMENDMENT 1
2004-07-01

Information technology — Multimedia content description interface —

Part 5:
Multimedia description schemes

AMENDMENT 1: Multimedia description schemes extensions

Technologies de l'information — Interface de description du contenu multimédia —

Partie 5: Schémas de description multimédia

AMENDEMENT 1: Extensions de schémas de description multimédia

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Amendment 1 to ISO/IEC 15938-5:2003 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 29, *Coding of audio, picture, multimedia and hypermedia information.*

Information technology — Multimedia content description interface —

Part 5:
Multimedia description schemes

AMENDMENT 1: Multimedia description schemes extensions

Except where noted otherwise, add the content of the following subclauses at the end of the corresponding subclauses of ISO/IEC 15938-5:2003.

4.2.1 Introduction

This subclause also specifies extensions of two tools defined in ISO/IEC 15938-5. The `AudioDType` and `AudioDSType` are extended by providing each with an optional attribute that denotes which audio channels are used in computing the values of the `Audio D` and `Audio DS`, respectively. The convention of handling multi-channel signals (e.g. 5.1 surround format) is given in more details in ISO/IEC 15938-4.

In 4.2.2 Base types syntax, replace the syntax definition of Audio D and Audio DS with the following definition, which adds new attributes:

```
<!-- ################################# -->
<!--  Definition of MPEG-7 Base types (4.2)  -->
<!-- ################################# -->

<!-- Definition of Audio D (AMD/1) -->
<complexType name="AudioDType" abstract="true">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="mpeg7:DType">
      <attribute name="channels" type="mpeg7:integerVector" use="optional"/>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>

<!-- Definition of Audio DS (AMD/1) -->
<complexType name="AudioDSType" abstract="true">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="mpeg7:DSType">
      <attribute name="channels" type="mpeg7:integerVector" use="optional"/>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

In 4.2.3 Base types semantics, replace the semantics definition of Audio D and Audio DS with the following definition, which adds new attributes:

Semantics of the `AudioDType`:

Name	Definition
AudioDType	Describes audio features of multimedia content (abstract). AudioDType extends DType and provides a base abstract type for audio Descriptors.
channels	Indicates which audio channels are used for computing the value of the Audio D (optional). The channels descriptor applies for a multi-channel audio signal. If a value for channels is not given, it is assumed by default that all audio channels are used to compute the value of the Audio D. The channels attribute is specified as an integerVector that lists the relevant audio channel numbers used for computing the Audio D.

Semantics of the AudioDSType:

Name	Definition
AudioDSType	Describes audio concepts and audio features of multimedia content (abstract). AudioDSType extends DType and provides a base abstract type for audio Description Schemes.
channels	Indicates which audio channels are used for computing the value of the Audio DS (optional). The channels descriptor applies for a multi-channel audio signal. If a value for channels is not given, it is assumed by default that all audio channels are used to compute the value of the Audio DS. The channels attribute is specified as an integerVector that lists the relevant audio channel numbers used for computing the Audio DS.

Add the following subclause 4.2.4 after 4.2.3.

4.2.4 Base types examples

The following example shows the use of AudioDType and the channels attribute in describing features of a segment of audio using AudioWaveform D (defined in ISO/IEC 15398-4). The example shows that audio channels 1, 2, and 3 are used for computing the first instance of the AudioWaveform D, audio channels 3 and 4 are used for computing the second instance of the AudioWaveform D, and audio channel 6 is used for computing the final instance of the AudioWaveform D.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
     <MultimediaContent xsi:type="AudioType">
        <Audio>
        <!--is of AudioSegmentType-->
        <MediaInformation>
           <MediaProfile>
              <MediaFormat>
                 <Content href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:ContentCS:2001:1">
                    <!-- FileFormatCS Part5 S. 663-->
                    <Name>Audio</Name>
                 </Content>
                 <AudioCoding>
                    <Presentation
                       href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:AudioPresentationCS:2001">
                       <!-- AudioPresentationCS Part5 S. 662-->
                       <Name>surround</Name>
                    </Presentation>
```

```
                                    </AudioCoding>
                                </MediaFormat>
                            </MediaProfile>
                        </MediaInformation>
                        <TextAnnotation>
                            <FreeTextAnnotation>
                            Description for Surround Sound channels:
                            1 = center (C), 2= left (L), 3 = right (R), 4 = left surround (LS),
                            5 = right surround (RS), 6 = low frequency effect (LFE)
                            </FreeTextAnnotation>
                        </TextAnnotation>
                        <AudioDescriptor xsi:type="AudioWaveformType" channels="1 2 3">
                            <SeriesOfScalar totalNumOfSamples="4">
                                <Mean>2.14 0.59 -2.65 0.58</Mean>
                            </SeriesOfScalar>
                        </AudioDescriptor>
                        <AudioDescriptor xsi:type="AudioWaveformType" channels="4 5">
                            <SeriesOfScalar totalNumOfSamples="4">
                                <Mean>1.15 0.63 -2.44 1.93</Mean>
                            </SeriesOfScalar>
                        </AudioDescriptor>
                        <AudioDescriptor xsi:type="AudioWaveformType" channels="6">
                            <SeriesOfScalar totalNumOfSamples="4">
                                <Mean>3.14 1.01 -1.35 1.23</Mean>
                            </SeriesOfScalar>
                        </AudioDescriptor>
                    </Audio>
                </MultimediaContent>
            </Description>
        </Mpeg7>
```

In 4.4.5.1 Introduction, replace the content with the following sentence.

This subclause specifies tools for describing different multimedia content entities. The different multimedia content entity tools correspond to the different types of multimedia content: image, video, audio, AV data, mixed multimedia content, signals, ink content, edited video, linguistic content, and text content.

4.4.5.2 Multimedia content entity description tools syntax

```
<!-- ############################################################### -->
<!--  Definition of Multimedia content entity description tools (4.4.5)  -->
<!-- ############################################################### -->
<!-- Definition of Linguistic Content Entity (AMD/1) -->
<complexType name="LinguisticType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="mpeg7:MultimediaContentType">
      <sequence>
        <element name="Linguistic" type="mpeg7:LinguisticDocumentType"/>
      </sequence>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>

<!-- Definition of Text Content Entity (AMD/1) -->
<complexType name="TextType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="mpeg7:MultimediaContentType">
      <sequence>
        <element name="Text" type="mpeg7:TextSegmentType"/>
```

3

```
        </sequence>
      </extension>
    </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

4.4.5.3 Multimedia content entity description tools semantics

Semantics of the `LinguisticType`:

Name	Definition
LinguisticType	Multimedia content entity for describing linguistic content. Linguistic content is textual, spoken, visually written, and other information that is regarded as natural-language expressions or utterances. LinguisticType extends MultimediaContentType.
Linguistic	Describes the linguistic content. The linguistic content may correspond to a full linguistic document or a segment. Linguistic is of type LinguisticDocumentType, which is defined in 7.11.

Semantics of the `TextType`:

Name	Definition
TextType	Multimedia content entity for describing textual information. The element Text describes 1-D data stream representing characters of textual information. TextType extends MultimediaContentType.
Text	Describes the textual information. Text is of type TextSegmentType.

4.4.5.4 Multimedia content entity description tools examples

The following example shows the use of the multimedia content entity `LinguisticType` for describing linguistic content. The example describes the linguistic annotation of the sentence: "Tom visited his mother."

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
      <Linguistic>
        <Paragraph>
          <Sentence>
            <Phrase id="Tom">Tom </Phrase>
            visited
            <Phrase><Phrase equal="Tom">his </Phrase>mother </Phrase>.
          </Sentence>
        </Paragraph>
      </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

The following example shows the use of the multimedia content entity `TextType` for describing a textual document corresponding to a movie review.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
     <MultimediaContent xsi:type="TextType">
        <Text>
          <MediaLocator xsi:type="StreamLocatorType">
             <MediaUri>file://review.txt</MediaUri>
             <StreamSection unit="byte" start="0" length="100"/>
          </MediaLocator>
          <TextAnnotation>
             <FreeTextAnnotation>Movie review</FreeTextAnnotation>
          </TextAnnotation>
        </Text>
     </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

6.5.1 Introduction

This subclause also defines a stream locator.

Add the following subclause 6.5.7 after subclause 6.5.6.

6.5.7 StreamLocator datatype

6.5.7.1 Introduction

The StreamLocator describes the location of data within a stream.

6.5.7.2 StreamLocator datatype syntax

```
<!-- ################################################## -->
<!--  Definition of StreamLocator datatype (6.5.7) -->
<!-- ################################################## -->
<!-- Definition of StreamLocator datatype (AMD/1) -->
<complexType name="StreamLocatorType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="mpeg7:MediaLocatorType">
      <sequence>
       <element name="StreamSection" type="mpeg7:StreamSectionType"/>
      </sequence>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

6.5.7.3 StreamLocator datatype semantics

Semantics of the StreamLocatorType:

Name	Definition
StreamLocatorType	Specifies the location of a data stream such as data representing textual information. Localization within a data stream can be specified using the StreamSection element.

Name	Definition
StreamSection	Specifies the localization of a section within a given data stream using a number of units to specify the start and length. If only the start is specified, the segment is "open-ended", i.e., the end of the section corresponds to the end of the located media data. Units can be for instance characters or bytes

Replace 7.4.2.1, 7.4.2.2 and 7.4.2.3 with the following.

7.4.2.1 Introduction

The SubjectClassificationScheme DS allows encoding of standard thesauri and classification schemes such as Library of Congress Thesaurus of Graphical Material (TGM)-I and Library of Congress Subject Headings (LCSH). The SubjectClassificationScheme DS generically accommodate more complex thesauri and classification schemes than those supported by the Classification Scheme DS defined in ISO/IEC 15938-5.

The SubjectClassificationScheme DS extends the ClassificationSchemeBaseType DS. The SubjectClassificationScheme DS includes attributes defined in the ClassificationScheme DS, but also further accommodates notes and subdivisions, which cannot be encoded using the ClassificationScheme DS. In order to accommodate subdivisions, the SubjectClassificationScheme DS defines a subdelim tag definition. The subdelim tag is used to allow subdivision of defined terms by providing additional terms that subdivide those concepts. For example, in TGM I subdivisions are preceded by two tag characters and the tag character is often used to join terms in a description that subdivide the main term (e.g., Gambling--United States--1910-1920). In order to accommodate the use of subdivisions, a modification of the resolution procedure for controlled terms and classification schemes is proposed in order to allow the addition of subdivision terms to any controlled term.

7.4.2.2 ClassificationScheme DS syntax

The following defines the syntax of the SubjectClassificationScheme DS:

```
<!-- ################################################### -->
<!--  Definition of SubjectClassificationScheme  DS (7.4.2)  -->
<!-- ################################################### -->
<!-- Definition of SubjectClassificationScheme DS    -->
<complexType name="SubjectClassificationScheme Type">
    <complexContent>
        <extension base="mpeg7:ClassificationSchemeBaseType">
            <sequence>
                <element name="Term" type="mpeg7:SubjectTermDefinitionType"
                    maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
            </sequence>
            <attribute name="subdelim" type="NMTOKEN" use="optional" default="--"/>
        </extension>
    </complexContent>
</complexType>
```

7.4.2.3 ClassificationScheme DS semantics

Semantics of the SubjectClassificationScheme Type:

Name	Definition
SubjectClassificationScheme Type	Describes a set of subject terms along with their meaning, relations, notes and subdivisions. SubjectClassificationScheme Type extends ClassificationSchemeBaseType.

Name	Definition
Term	Describes a term in the subject classification scheme. The termID for each term shall be unique within the classification scheme.
subdelim	Describes a tag to be used in the subject classification scheme for subdividing subjects. The tag must not be a substring of any of the terms within the classification scheme.

Add the following subclause 7.4.2.5.3 after subclause 7.4.2.5.2.

7.4.2.5.3 SubjectClassificationScheme DS examples

7.4.2.5.3.1 Example 1

The following example shows the encoding of an excerpt of Library of Congress Thesaurus of Graphical Material (TGM)-I using the proposed SubjectClassificationScheme DS. Notice that the subdivisions and notes of TGM, which could not be adequately expressed using the ClassificationScheme DS defined in ISO/IEC 15938-5 can now be expressed with the SubjectClassificationScheme DS.

```
Committees   --[nationality]--[country or state]--[city]
Public Note Body of persons appointed for a special function.
Broader Term Organizations
Related Term Delegations
             Governmental investigations
             Juries
```

The following describes the TGM-I excerpt using SubjectClassificationScheme DS:

```
<Mpeg7>
  <Description xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeDescriptionType">
     <ClassificationSchemeBase xsi:type="SubjectClassificationScheme Type"
        uri="urn:example:TGMI" subdelim="--">
        <Term termID="Committees">
           <Name>Committees</Name>
           <Subdivision>--[nationality]--[country or state]--[city]</Subdivision>
           <Note type="PN">
             Body of persons appointed for a special function.
           </Note>
           <Term termID="Organizations" relation="BT">
             <Name>Organizations</Name>
           </Term>
           <Term termID="Delegations" relation="RT">
             <Name>Delegations</Name>
           </Term>
           <Term termID="Organizations" relation="RT">
             <Name>Organizations</Name>
           </Term>
           <Term termID="Juries" relation="RT">
             <Name>Juries</Name>
           </Term>
        </Term>
     </ClassificationSchemeBase>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

7.4.2.5.3.2 Example 2

The following example shows the encoding of an excerpt of Library of Congress Thesaurus of Graphical Material (TGM)-I using the proposed `SubjectClassificationScheme` DS. In this example, the types of notes and relationships are described using externally defined classification schemes, i.e., using `urn:example:TGMI:Notes` and `urn:example:TGMI:Relations`, respectively. This demonstrates the capability of the `SubjectClassificationScheme` DS to be generalized to accommodate other schemes for defining notes and relations between subjects and terms.

```
Diners (Restaurants)

--[country or state]--[city]
Public Note  Includes activities and structures.
Broader Term Restaurants
```

The following describes the TGM-I excerpt using `SubjectClassificationScheme` DS:

```
<Mpeg7>
   <Description xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeDescriptionType">
      <ClassificationSchemeBase xsi:type="SubjectClassificationScheme Type"
          uri="urn:example:TGMI" subdelim="--">
         <Term termID="Diners_Restaurants">
            <Name>Diners (Restaurants)</Name>
            <Subdivision>--[country or state]--[city]</Subdivision>
            <Note type="urn:example:TGMI:Notes:PN">
               Includes activities and structures.
            </Note>
            <Term termID="Restaurants" relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:BT">
               <Name>Restaurants</Name>
            </Term>
         </Term>
      </ClassificationSchemeBase>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

7.4.2.5.3.3 Example 3

The following example shows the encoding of an excerpt of Library of Congress Subject Headings using the proposed `SubjectClassificationScheme` DS.

```
Construction Equipment (Indirect) (TH900)
sa    Boring machinery
      Building-Tools and implements
      Scrapers (Earthmoving machinery)
x  Builder's plant
   Construction Industry-Equipment and supplies
xx Building
   Building-Tools and implements
   Machinery
-  Brakes (TH900)
-  Drawing
      See Construction equipment-Drawings
   -  Drawings
      x   Construction equipment-Drawing
      xx Mechanical drawing
   -  Trade and manufacture (Indirect)
   Construction equipment operators
      see Construction machinery operators
```

```
   Construction hinges (Concrete)
        see Concrete construction-Hinges

Construction Industry (Indirect)
   Here are entered works dealing with…
   sa Building
        Building materials industry
   x   Building industry
   xx Building
   Example under reference from Industries
   Note under Building
   -   Accidents
        see    Building-Accidents
   (double)- Legal status, laws, etc. (Indirect)
   -   Energy conservation (TJ163.5C65)
        Note under Energy Conservation
```

The following describes the LCSH excerpt using SubjectClassificationScheme DS:

```
<Mpeg7>
   <Description xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeDescriptionType">
      <ClassificationSchemeBase xsi:type="SubjectClassificationScheme Type"
          uri="urn:example:TGMI">
         <Term termID="Construction Equipment">
            <Name>Construction Equipment</Name>
            <Note type="code">Indirect</Note>
            <Note type="scope">TH900</Note>
            <Term relation="sa">
               <Name>Boring machinery</Name>
            </Term>
            <Term relation="sa">
               <Name>Building-Tools and implements</Name>
            </Term>
            <Term relation="sa">
               <Name>Scrapers (Earthmoving machinery)</Name>
            </Term>
            <Term relation="x">
               <Name>Builder's plant</Name>
            </Term>
            <Term relation="x">
               <Name>Construction Industry-Equipment and supplies</Name>
            </Term>
            <Term relation="xx">
               <Name>Building</Name>
            </Term>
            <Term relation="xx">
               <Name>Building-Tools and implements</Name>
            </Term>
            <Term relation="xx">
               <Name>Machinery</Name>
            </Term>
            <Term relation="-">
               <Name>Brakes</Name>
               <Note type="scope">TH900</Note>
            </Term>
            <Term relation="-">
               <Name>Drawing</Name>
               <Term relation="see">
                  <Name>Construction equipment-Drawings</Name>
```

```
                </Term>
                <Term relation="-">
                    <Name>Drawings</Name>
                    <Term relation="x">
                        <Name>Construction equipment-Drawing</Name>
                    </Term>
                    <Term relation="xx">
                        <Name>Mechanical drawing</Name>
                    </Term>
                </Term>
                <Term relation="see">
                    <Name>Trade and manufacture</Name>
                    <Note type="code">Indirect</Note>
                </Term>
                <Term relation="-">
                    <Name>Construction equipment operators</Name>
                    <Term relation="see">
                        <Name>Construction machinery operators</Name>
                    </Term>
                </Term>
                <Term relation="-">
                    <Name>Construction hinges (Concrete)</Name>
                    <Term relation="see">
                        <Name>Concrete construction-Hinges</Name>
                    </Term>
                </Term>
            </Term>
        </Term>
    </Term>
    <Term termID="Construction_Industry">
        <Name>Construction Industry</Name>
        <Note type="code">Indirect</Note>
        <Note type="note">Here are entered works dealing with ...</Note>
        <Term relation="sa">
            <Name>Building</Name>
        </Term>
        <Term relation="sa">
            <Name>Building materials industry</Name>
        </Term>
        <Term relation="x">
            <Name>Building industry</Name>
        </Term>
        <Term relation="xx">
            <Name>Building</Name>
        </Term>
        <Note type="note">Example under reference from Industries</Note>
        <Note type="note">Note under Building</Note>
        <Term relation="-">
            <Name>Accidents</Name>
            <Term relation="see">
                <Name>Building-Accidents</Name>
            </Term>
        </Term>
        <Term relation="--">
            <Name>Legal status, laws, etc.</Name>
            <Note type="code">Indirect</Note>
        </Term>
        <Term relation="-">
            <Name>Energy conservation</Name>
            <Note type="scope">TJ163.5C65</Note>
            <Note type="note">under Energy Conservation</Note>
        </Term>
```

```
            </Term>
          </ClassificationSchemeBase>
      </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

7.4.2.5.3.4 Example 4

The following encoding corresponds to a section of the TGM I classification scheme. As shown, some of the terms have nationality, country or state, city subdivisions and/or notes. Note that the Health & welfare term contains only a cataloguer's note, and that the History term appears as a main term and also as a subdivision. In TGM I subdivisions are optional and are included in the appendix. The –[nationality]--[country or state]--[city] facet (example 1, example 5) indicates that the term may be subdivided according to the facet. For example, committees (example 1) may be subdivided by all three facets, whereas Children & safety (below) should not be subdivided. All terms may be chronologically subdivided, but the way the subdivision is made is not stipulated by TGM I. Finally, only some terms can have certain subdivisions. These subdivisions are optional and are included in the appendix of TGM I. For example, topical subdivisions are explicitly stated in the appendix- a list of suitable subdivisions is provided for names of ethnic, racial, and regional groups, and for a specific list of classes of persons. It should also be noted that some of the terms (e.g., proper names for these groups) are not explicitly in TGM I, but rather obtained from LOC SH.

```
Children & safety
Related Term Children
           Safety


4-H clubs
--[country or state]--[city]
Public Note      Includes activities and structures.
Catalogers Note Double index under ORGANIZATIONS' FACILITIES (or NTs) for images
that focus on facilities.
Used For         Four-H clubs
Broader Term     Clubs
                 Youth organizations
Related Term     Children
                 Farmers' groups


Health & welfare
Catalogers Note    Used only as a subdivision with names of ethnic, racial, and
regional groups, and with classes of persons (Appendix A).


History
--[country or state]--[city]
Public Note        For the subject of history in general and the activities of
historians. Search also under the subdivision --HISTORY used with names of ethnic,
racial, and regional groups, and with classes of persons (Appendix A).
Catalogers Note    Prefer the subdivision.
Related Term       Centennial celebrations
                   Civilization
                   Firsts
                   Historians
                   Time capsules


--History
Public Note        For the subject of a group's development over a span of time.
Search also under the corresponding thesaurus term HISTORY.
Catalogers Note    Used in a note under the corresponding thesaurus term HISTORY.
```

The following describes the TGM I excerpt using `SubjectClassificationScheme DS`:

```
<Mpeg7>
  <Description xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeDescriptionType">
     <ClassificationSchemeBase xsi:type="SubjectClassificationScheme Type"
        uri="urn:example:TGMI" subdelim="--">
        <Term termID="Children_and_safety">
           <Name>Children &amp; safety</Name>
           <Term termID="Children" relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:RT">
              <Name>Children</Name>
           </Term>
           <Term termID="Safety" relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:RT">
              <Name>Safety</Name>
           </Term>
        </Term>
        <Term termID="4-H_clubs">
           <Name>4-H clubs</Name>
           <Subdivision>--[country or state]--[city]</Subdivision>
           <Note type="urn:example:TGMI:Notes:PN">
              Includes activities and structures.
           </Note>
           <Note type="urn:example:TGMI:Notes:CN">
              Double index under ORGANIZATIONS' FACILITIES (or NTs) for images
              that focus on facilities.
           </Note>
           <Term termID="Four-H_clubs" relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:UF">
              <Name>Four-H clubs</Name>
           </Term>
           <Term termID="Clubs" relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:BT">
              <Name>Clubs</Name>
           </Term>
           <Term termID="Youth_Organizations"
              relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:BT">
              <Name>Youth Organizations</Name>
           </Term>
           <Term termID="Children" relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:RT">
              <Name>Children</Name>
           </Term>
           <Term termID="Farmers_groups"
              relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:RT">
              <Name>Farmers' groups</Name>
           </Term>
        </Term>
        <Term termID="Health_and_welfare">
           <Name>Health &amp; welfare</Name>
           <Note type="urn:example:TGMI:Notes:CN">
              Used only as a subdivision with names of ethnic, racial, and
              regional groups, and with classes of persons (Appendix A). </Note>
        </Term>
        <Term termID="History">
           <Name>History</Name>
           <Subdivision>--[country or state]--[city]</Subdivision>
           <Note type="urn:example:TGMI:Notes:PN">
              For the subject of history in general and the activities of
              historians. Search also under the subdivision --HISTORY used with
              names of ethnic, racial, and regional groups, and with classes of
              persons (Appendix A).
           </Note>
           <Note type="urn:example:TGMI:Notes:CN">
              Prefer the subdivision.
           </Note>
           <Term termID="Centennial_celebrations"
              relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:RT">
```

```
            <Name>Centennial celebrations</Name>
         </Term>
         <Term termID="Civilization" relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:RT">
            <Name>Civilization</Name>
         </Term>
         <Term termID="Firsts" relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:RT">
            <Name>Firsts</Name>
         </Term>
         <Term termID="Historians" relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:RT">
            <Name>Historians</Name>
         </Term>
         <Term termID="Time_capsules" relation="urn:example:TGMI:Relations:RT">
            <Name>Time capsules</Name>
         </Term>
      </Term>
    </ClassificationSchemeBase>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

7.4.2.5.3.5 Example 5

The following example illustrates the use of a term defined using the SubjectClassificationScheme DS. In this example, the term is defined to allow subdivision. The instance of the term in the description includes subdivisions. The resolution of the term requires that only the core term (part prior to subdelim tag) is resolved. The part following the initial subdelim tag describes the subdivision, which is not resolved using the classification scheme.

Consider the following definition of a subject classification scheme, which allows subdivision in the term "Railroad dining cars" by [nationality], [country or state] and [city]. The subdelim tag is defined as "--".

```
<Mpeg7>
  <Description xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeDescriptionType">
    <ClassificationSchemeBase xsi:type="SubjectClassificationScheme Type"
        uri="urn:example:TGMI" subdelim="--">
      <Term termID="Railroad_dining_cars">
         <Name>Railroad dining cars</Name>
         <Subdivision>[nationality]--[country or state]--[city]</Subdivision>
         <Note type="PN">
            For images that focus on the exterior or interior of dining cars
         </Note>
         <Term termID="Diners_Railroad_cars" relation="UF">
            <Name>Diners (Railroad cars)</Name>
         </Term>
         <Term termID="Dining_cars" relation="UF">
            <Name>Dining cars</Name>
         </Term>
         <Term termID="Railroad_passenger_cars" relation="BT">
            <Name>Railroad passenger cars</Name>
         </Term>
         <Term termID="Eating_and_drinking" relation="RT">
            <Name>Eating &amp; drinking</Name>
         </Term>
      </Term>
    </ClassificationSchemeBase>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

The following example shows the use of the term "`Railroad dining cars`" in describing the cataloging of an image. The term is referenced as follows: "`urn:example:TGMI:Railroad dining cars--American--New_York--New_York`". Since the definition of the `SubjectClassificationScheme` DS defines the `subdelim` tag "`--`", the term is referenced in `urn:example:TGMI` as "`urn:example:TGMI:Diners`", whereas the subdivision is described as "`--American--New_York--New_York`".

```
<Mpeg7>
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="ImageType">
         <Image>
            <MediaLocator>
               <MediaUri>image.jpg</MediaUri>
            </MediaLocator>
            <TextAnnotation>
               <StructuredAnnotation>
                  <Where href="urn:example:TGMI:Railroad_dining_cars--American--
New_York--New_York"/>
               </StructuredAnnotation>
            </TextAnnotation>
         </Image>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

7.4.3.1 Introduction

This subclause also defines the `SubjectTermDefinition` DS, which is used in defining terms in the `SubjectClassificationScheme` DS.

7.4.3.2 TermDefinition DS syntax

The following defines the syntax of the `SubjectTermDefinitionType`:

```
<!-- ################################## -->
<!--  Definition of SubjectTermDefinition DS (7.4.3)  -->
<!-- ################################## -->
<!-- Definition of SubjectTermDefinition DS  -->
<complexType name="SubjectTermDefinitionType">
   <complexContent>
      <extension base="mpeg7:TermDefinitionBaseType">
         <choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
            <element name="Classification" type="mpeg7:TextualType"
               minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
            <element name="Subdivision" type="mpeg7:TextualType"
               minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
            <element name="Note" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
               <complexType>
                  <complexContent>
                     <extension base="mpeg7:TextualType">
                        <attribute name="type"
                           type="mpeg7:termNoteQualifierType"
                           use="optional" default="PN"/>
                     </extension>
                  </complexContent>
               </complexType>
            </element>
            <element name="Term" minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
               <complexType>
                  <complexContent>
                     <extension base="mpeg7:SubjectTermDefinitionType">
```

```
                                    <attribute name="relation"
                                        type="mpeg7:termRelationQualifierType"
                                            use="optional" default="NT"/>
                                </extension>
                            </complexContent>
                        </complexType>
                    </element>
                </choice>
            </extension>
        </complexContent>
    </complexType>
```

The following defines the syntax of the `termNoteQualifierType`:

```
<!-- Definition of termNoteQualifier datatype  -->
<simpleType name="termNoteQualifierType">
    <union>
        <simpleType>
            <restriction base="NMTOKEN">
                <enumeration value="PN"/>
                <enumeration value="CN"/>
                <enumeration value="HN"/>
                <enumeration value="scope"/>
                <enumeration value="code"/>
            </restriction>
        </simpleType>
        <simpleType>
            <restriction base="mpeg7:termReferenceType"/>
        </simpleType>
    </union>
</simpleType>
```

7.4.3.3 TermDefinition DS semantics

Semantics of the `SubjectTermDefinitionType`:

Name	Definition
SubjectTermDefinitionType	Describes a term definition in a subject classification scheme. SubjectTermDefinitionType extends TermDefinitionBaseType.
Classification	Describes a classification for the subject or term (optional).
Subdivision	Describes the subdivision of the subject or term (optional).
Note	Describes a note for the subject or term (optional).
type	Indicates the type of the note. The default value is "PN" (public note). Other values that are datatype-valid with respect to mpeg7:termReferenceType are reserved.
Term	Describes a related subject or term (optional).
relation	Indicates the type of the relation between the containing term and the containing term. The default value is "NT" (narrower term). Other values that are datatype-valid with respect to mpeg7:termReferenceType are reserved.

Semantics of the `termNoteQualifierType`:

Name	Definition
termNoteQualifierType	Indicates a type of note.
PN	Public note.
CN	Cataloguer's note.
HN	Historical note.
scope	Scope of term.
code	Code for term.

The following defines the `SubjectsRelationsClassificationScheme`:

```
<Mpeg7>
   <Description xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeDescriptionType">
      <ClassificationScheme uri="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SubjectRelationsCS:2002">
         <Term termID="sa">
            <Name xml:lang="en">sa</Name>
            <Definition xml:lang="en">Term is used, usually narrower or related.
            </Definition>
         </Term>
         <Term termID="x">
            <Name xml:lang="en">x</Name>
            <Definition xml:lang="en">Term is not used.</Definition>
         </Term>
         <Term termID="xx">
            <Name xml:lang="en">xx</Name>
            <Definition xml:lang="en">Term is used, usually broader or related.
            </Definition>
         </Term>
         <Term termID="-">
            <Name xml:lang="en">-</Name>
            <Definition xml:lang="en">Subdivision.</Definition>
         </Term>
         <Term termID="--">
            <Name xml:lang="en">--</Name>
            <Definition xml:lang="en">Subdivision.</Definition>
         </Term>
         <Term termID="see">
            <Name xml:lang="en">see</Name>
            <Definition xml:lang="en">See term.</Definition>
         </Term>
      </ClassificationScheme>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

In 7.7.3.2 Relation DS syntax, replace the syntax definition of Relation DS with the following, which extends the types of three attributes:

```
<!-- ################################################### -->
<!-- Definition of Relation DS (7.7.3)                   -->
<!-- ################################################### -->

<complexType name="RelationType">
  <complexContent>
    <extension base="mpeg7:DSType">
      <attribute name="type" type="mpeg7:termReferenceListType" use="optional"/>
      <attribute name="source" type="mpeg7:termReferenceListType" use="optional"/>
      <attribute name="target" type="mpeg7:termReferenceListType" use="optional"/>
      <attribute name="directed" type="boolean"
        use="optional" default="true"/>
      <attribute name="strength" type="mpeg7:zeroToOneType"
        use="optional" default="1.0"/>
    </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
<simpleType name="termReferenceListType">
  <list itemType="mpeg7:termReferenceType"/>
</simpleType>
```

In 7.7.3.3 Relation DS semantics, replace the semantics definition of Relation DS with the following:

Name	Definition
RelationType	Describes a relation among DS instances and controlled terms. The arguments of a relation are categorized into the source (first) and the target (second) arguments. Both the source and target arguments for a relation are ordered. A relation may have a variable number of arguments in each of the source and the target.
type	Identifies the relation type by a list of controlled terms in some classification schemes. The default interpretation of this list is the sequential composition of the binary relations represented by the controlled terms. Non-default interpretations should be stipulated in the definitions of individual controlled terms.
source	Refers to the DS instances and/or controlled terms that are the source argument(s) of the relation. The items contained in this attribute are ordered so that the first one identifies the first source argument, the second one the second argument, and so on.
target	Refers to the DS instances and/or controlled terms that are the target argument(s) of the relation. The items contained in this attribute are ordered so that the first one identifies the first target argument, the second one the second argument, and so on.
directed	Indicates that the relation is directed (optional). That is, the arguments of the relation are distinguished as source and target arguments. For undirected relations no such distinction is made. The default value is true.
strength	Indicates the strength of the relationship on a scale from [0,1], where one is the strongest value and zero the weakest (optional). This can be used to represent fuzzy graphs. The default value is one.
termReferenceListType	Specifies a sequence of controlled-term references.
termReferenceType	Specifies a term reference. If a term reference is specified using anyURI, then lexical spaces should be encoded by %20.

7.7.3.5 Relation DS examples

The following example illustrates the use of term reference list to describe composition of two relations: "disjoint" and "refines". In this case, the "source" collection is "disjoint" from some entity that refines the "target" collection.

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="MultimediaCollectionType">
         <StructuredCollection>
            <Collection id="collection1" xsi:type="ContentCollectionType">
               <!-- more elements here -->
            </Collection>
            <Collection id="collection2" xsi:type="ContentCollectionType">
               <!-- more elements here -->
            </Collection>
            <Relationships>
               <Node id="source" href="#collection1"/>
               <Node id="target" href="#collection2"/>
               <Relation type="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:BaseRelationCS:2001:disjoint
                     urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:BaseRelationCS:2001:refines"
                  source="#source" target="#target"/>
            </Relationships>
         </StructuredCollection>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

In general, the `type` attribute defaults to represent the composition of the binary relations represented by the controlled terms in its value, unless otherwise specified in the definition of the individual controlled terms. Namely, `type="R_1 R_2 … R_n"` by default means that there are entities $A_0, …, A_n$ such that $A_{i-1} R_i A_i$ (A_{i-1} and A_i as the first and the second arguments, respectively, are in relation R_i) for $0 < i \leqslant n$, A_0 is the source argument, and A_n is the target argument of the current relation. Thus we can skip explicit descriptions of the intermediate entities ($A_2, …, A_{n-1}$) in the composition; in the above example, we avoid explicit description of the entity that is disjoint from the "source" collection and refines the "target" collection.

Similarly, the following example means that something appears from below a table (what appears is not explicitly referred to in this description).

```
<Relation type="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001:source
               urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SpatialRelationCS:2001:below"
         source="#anAppearanceEvent" target="#aTable"/>
```

A non-default example follows, which means that Tom is the first of those who gather.

```
<Relation type="urn:SomeOntologyOfRelations:object
               urn:SomeOntologyOfRelations:initial"
         source="#aGatheringEvent" target="#Tom"/>
```

Here, controlled term `initial` is not simply composed with `object`, but interpreted relative to both the object (the gathering people) and the gathering event. That is, the initiality is based on some ordering in the object of the event and this ordering is induced by the event. This should be stipulated in the definition of `initial`.

Below is another non-default example.

```
<Relation type=":r:r1 :meta:and :r:r2" source="#s" target="#t"/>
```

If controlled term `and` is stipulated to form the logical conjunction (or the intersection in the set-theoretic terminology) of the binary relations on both sides of it, then the above description means the logical conjunction of `s r1 t` (`s` and `t` stand in relation `r1`) and `s r2 t` (`s` and `t` stand in relation `r2`).

The values of the `source` and `target` attributes are also lists of `termReferenceType` items. These attributes can hence reference controlled terms, which may represent not only concepts but also individuals. For instance, the following example means that the event represented by `event1` took place in New York

```
<Relation type="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001:location"
    source="#event1" target="urn:SomeOntologyOfPlaces:NewYork"/>
```

Another example, shown below, involves a deixis (a reference determined by the local context; natural-language examples are *here*, *now*, *me*, *you*, *today*, *last year*, and so on). The target of this relation is the previous sibling element *X* of the element *Y* embedding this `Relation` element, which means that what *X* represents is a result of what *Y* represents.

```
<Relation type="urn:SomeOntologyOfRhetoricalRelations:result"
    target="urn:SomeOntologyOfDeixes:previous"/>
```

The following example illustrates the use of "%20" for encoding lexical spaces in term references specified using anyURI:

```
<Relation target="urn:some%20funny%20registry:entry1
    urn:another%20crazy%20registry:entry2"/>
```

Add the following subclause 7.11 on Linguistic description after subclause 7.10.

7.11 Linguistic description

7.11.1 Introduction

This subclause specifies a tool for describing linguistic content.

The `Linguistic DS` describes the semantic structure (in particular, propositional content and dialogue structure) of linguistic data associated with multimedia content. Linguistic data can take the form of textual, written or spoken content and may represent transcriptions, critiques, scenarios, and so forth. Some multimedia content may consist of textual linguistic data as the main component and additional video and/or audio data. So the `Linguistic DS` is designed to address linguistic data in general.

The `Linguistic DS` hence provides greater descriptive power than Textual Annotation tools defined in ISO/IEC 15938-5. In particular, the `Linguistic DS` is an upward compatible extension of the `DependencyStructure` datatype (specified in ISO/IEC 15938-5) in the sense that every instance of the `DependencyStructure` datatype can be mapped to an equivalent instance of the `Linguistic DS`. In addition, the `Linguistic DS` extends the functionality of the `DependencyStructure` datatype in several respects. The `Linguistic DS` can describe linguistic entities larger than sentences, such as paragraphs and chapters. This is necessary for dealing with the structure of an entire document. Although the `DependencyStructure` datatype addresses dependencies inside sentences and the notion of such dependency is basically shared between `DependencyStructure` datatype and the `Linguistic DS`, the `Linguistic DS` also addresses dependencies outside of sentences: i.e., among linguistic entities such as sentences, groups of sentences, paragraphs, sections, chapters, and so on. When a sentence represents the cause or reason of the event represented by another sentence, for instance, the former sentence may be regarded as depending on the latter.

There are many aspects of linguistic descriptions such as phonetics, phonology, morphology, syntax, semantics, and pragmatics. However, the Linguistic DS focuses on the syntactic and the semantic aspects, in order to interpret propositional content and discourse/dialogue structure, because they are most essential for the sake of practical content services such as retrieval, summarization, presentation, and so on. Nevertheless, the `Linguistic DS` provides a general container of various aspects of linguistic descriptions other than syntax and semantics. The scope of linguistic descriptions can be expanded by introducing new ontologies or classification schemes. For example, consider the following dialog consisting of a question and a reply. The `Linguistic DS` can be used to describe the dialogue relation between the sentences by identifying that the reply sentence is related to question sentence through a "reply" relationship, where this "reply" relation is defined in a new classification scheme for dialog relations.

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
```

```
            <Linguistic>
               <Paragraph>
                  <Quotation>
                     <Sentence id="Q">Are you ready? </Sentence>
                  </Quotation>
                  <Quotation>
                     <Sentence>
                        <Relation type="urn:DialogueRelations:reply" target="#Q"/>
                        Yes.
                     </Sentence>
                  </Quotation>
               </Paragraph>
            </Linguistic>
         </MultimediaContent>
      </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Descriptions based on the `Linguistic DS` do not require any linguistic data at all. The `Linguistic DS` may therefore be used in place of the `Semantic DS`. For instance, the following description means that a person called Hasida Koiti sleeps. (ISO 8601, an international standard on the representation of dates and times, is a vocabulary of infinitely many terms and hence cannot be defined as a ClassificationScheme in ISO/IEC 15938-5, but can be referenced as below if properly registered and thus publicly resolvable.)

```
<Mpeg7>
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
      <Linguistic>
        <Sentence semantics="urn:SomeOntologyOfEvents:sleep">
          <Relation type="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001:time"
                    target="urn:ISO8601:2003-02-13"/>
          <Phrase semantics="urn:SomeOntologyOfObjects:person">
            <Relation type="urn:SomeOntologyOfAttributes:familyName"
                      target="urn:OntologyOfASCIItexts:Hasida"/>
            <Relation type="urn:SomeOntologyOfAttributes:givenName"
                      target="urn:OntologyOfASCIItexts:Koiti"/>
          </Phrase>
        </Sentence>
      </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Furthermore, the `copy` and the `substitute` attributes introduced below straightforwardly address abstraction and instantiation. In this sense, the Linguistic DS is a concise tool for describing any semantic content, whether or not verbally expressed.

7.11.2 Linguistic DS syntax

```
<!-- ################################################### -->
<!-- Definition of Linguistic DS (7.11) (AMD/1)          -->
<!-- ################################################### -->
<complexType name="LinguisticEntityType" abstract="true" mixed="true">
  <complexContent mixed="true">
    <extension base="mpeg7:DSType">
      <sequence>
        <element name="MediaLocator" type="mpeg7:MediaLocatorType"
            minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
```

```
                <element name="Relation" type="mpeg7:RelationType"
                    minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
            </sequence>
            <attribute ref="xml:lang"/>
            <attribute name="start" use="optional">
                <simpleType>
                    <union memberTypes="nonNegativeInteger mpeg7:mediaTimePointType"/>
                </simpleType>
            </attribute>
            <attribute name="length" use="optional">
                <simpleType>
                    <union memberTypes="nonNegativeInteger mpeg7:mediaDurationType"/>
                </simpleType>
            </attribute>
            <attribute name="type" type="mpeg7:termReferenceType" use="optional"/>
            <attribute name="depend" type="mpeg7:termReferenceType" use="optional"/>
            <attribute name="equal" type="mpeg7:termReferenceListType"
                use="optional"/>
            <attribute name="semantics" type="mpeg7:termReferenceListType"
                use="optional"/>
            <attribute name="compoundSemantics" type="mpeg7:termReferenceListType"
                use="optional"/>
            <attribute name="operator" type="mpeg7:termReferenceListType"
                use="optional"/>
            <attribute name="copy" type="mpeg7:termReferenceListType" use="optional"/>
            <attribute name="noCopy" type="mpeg7:termReferenceListType"
                use="optional"/>
            <attribute name="substitute" type="mpeg7:termReferenceType"
                use="optional"/>
            <attribute name="inScope" type="mpeg7:termReferenceType" use="optional"/>
            <attribute name="edit" use="optional">
                <simpleType>
                    <restriction base="string">
                        <whiteSpace value="preserve"/>
                        <pattern value=":.*"/>
                    </restriction>
                </simpleType>
            </attribute>
        </extension>
    </complexContent>
</complexType>
<!-- ###############################################-->
<!-- Definition of LinguisticDocument DS                -->
<!-- ###############################################-->
<complexType name="LinguisticDocumentType">
    <complexContent>
        <extension base="mpeg7:LinguisticEntityType">
            <choice maxOccurs="unbounded">
                <element name="Heading" type="mpeg7:SentencesType"/>
                <element name="Division" type="mpeg7:LinguisticDocumentType"/>
                <element name="Paragraph" type="mpeg7:SentencesType"/>
                <element name="Sentences" type="mpeg7:SentencesType"/>
                <element name="Sentence" type="mpeg7:SyntacticConstituentType"/>
                <element name="Quotation" type="mpeg7:LinguisticDocumentType"/>
            </choice>
            <attribute name="synthesis" type="mpeg7:synthesisType" use="optional"
                default="coordination"/>
        </extension>
    </complexContent>
</complexType>
<!-- ###############################################-->
```

```
<!-- Definition of Sentences DS                              -->
<!-- ##################################################-->
<complexType name="SentencesType">
  <complexContent>
     <extension base="mpeg7:LinguisticEntityType">
        <choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
           <element name="Sentences" type="mpeg7:SentencesType"/>
           <element name="Sentence" type="mpeg7:SyntacticConstituentType"/>
           <element name="Quotation" type="mpeg7:LinguisticDocumentType"/>
        </choice>
        <attribute name="synthesis" type="mpeg7:synthesisType" use="optional"
           default="coordination"/>
     </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
<!-- ##################################################-->
<!-- Definition of SyntacticConsituent DS                    -->
<!-- ##################################################-->
<complexType name="SyntacticConstituentType">
  <complexContent>
     <extension base="mpeg7:LinguisticEntityType">
        <choice minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded">
           <element name="Head" type="mpeg7:SyntacticConstituentType"/>
           <element name="Phrase" type="mpeg7:SyntacticConstituentType"/>
           <element name="Quotation" type="mpeg7:LinguisticDocumentType"/>
        </choice>
        <attribute name="baseForm" type="string" use="optional"/>
        <attribute name="synthesis" type="mpeg7:synthesisType" use="optional"
           default="dependency"/>
        <attribute name="functionWord" type="string" use="optional"/>
     </extension>
  </complexContent>
</complexType>
<!-- ##################################################-->
<!-- Definition of Synthesis DataType                        -->
<!-- ##################################################-->
<simpleType name="synthesisType">
  <restriction base="string">
     <enumeration value="none"/>
     <enumeration value="dependency"/>
     <enumeration value="forward"/>
     <enumeration value="backward"/>
     <enumeration value="coordination"/>
     <enumeration value="apposition"/>
     <enumeration value="repair"/>
     <enumeration value="error"/>
  </restriction>
</simpleType>
```

7.11.3 Linguistic DS semantics

Semantics of the Linguistic DS follows. Instances of `LinguisticEntityType` are called **linguistic elements**.

Name	Definition
LinguisticEntityType	Describes linguistic content (abstract). The specialized linguistic description tools extend the LinguisticEntity DS. In the typical usage, LinguisticEntityType mixes the description and the text representation of the language data being described, "marking up" the text with tags. LinguisticEntityType extends DSType.

Name	Definition
MediaLocator	Describes a locator of the linguistic content (optional). The MediaLocator can be used to locate different forms of linguistic content such as speech, text, or visual text data and indicate the corresponding location in time or stream.
Relation	Describes a relation of the parent element with the target argument specified by the target attribute when the source attribute is absent (optional). The target argument may be an instance (or a set of instances) of the LinguisticEntity DS, the Segment DS, and the Semantic DS. The type attribute partially specifies the relation in question, in the sense that the exact relation is the composition *prq* of a prefix relation *p*, a postfix relation *q*, and the relation *r* specified by the attribute. *A p B* (*B q A*) means *A* is equal to *B*, *B* is an instance, member, part or subset of *A*, or *B* is referred to by referring to *A* (metonymy).
xml:lang	Indicates the language of the text being described (optional). If no value is specified for this attribute, the value of the xml:lang attribute is inherited from the closest ancestor element that specifies a value for xml:lang.
start	Indicates the start point of the current linguistic element in the file specified by the nearest preceding MediaLocator element which is a child of an ancestor to the current element. When a start attribute has a nonNegativeInteger value, its unit is the one used most recently in the above-mentioned MediaLocator element.
length	Specifies the length or duration of the current linguistic element. When a length attribute has a nonNegativeInteger value, its unit is the one used most recently in the nearest preceding MediaLocator element which is a child of an ancestor to the current element.
type	Indicates the type of the linguistic element (optional). Examples of type include document parts such as chapter, section, paragraph, or parts of speech such as noun, verb, and so forth.
depend	Indicates the dependency of the current linguistic element on another linguistic element (optional). Namely, indicates that the governor node (see 7.11.4.1) of the current element is equal to the self node of the referenced linguistic element. The depend attribute is attached to an extraposed linguistic element depending on another linguistic element which is one of its siblings or is embedded in one of its siblings. Sentences and other larger linguistic entities may also require depend attribute since they can be linked through relations such as cause and elaboration.
equal	Indicates a set *E* of elements which is coreferent with the current linguistic element *C* (optional). Namely, the referent of *C* is equal to the referent of *E*, which is the referent of the element of *E* if *E* is a singleton set, and otherwise the set of the referents of the members of *E*. (The referent of a linguistic element is represented by its self node.) *E* is a set of instances of the LinguisticEntity DS, the Segment DS, and the Semantic DS.

Name	Definition
semantics	Indicates the semantic type of the plain-text part of the current Linguistic element (optional). Its value is a list of controlled terms. The default interpretation of this list is the sequential composition of the binary relations represented by the controlled terms, where a unary predicate p is regarded as a binary relation r such that $r(X,Y)$ means that $p(X)$ and $X=Y$. Non-default interpretations should be stipulated in the definitions of individual controlled terms. The self node of the current linguistic element is the source (first) argument of this composed binary relation.
compoundSemantics	Indicates the semantic type of the current Linguistic element as a whole (optional). Its value is a list of controlled terms. The default interpretation of this list is the sequential composition of the binary relations represented by the controlled terms, where a unary predicate p is regarded as a binary relation r such that $r(X,Y)$ means that $p(X)$ and $X=Y$. Non-default interpretations should be stipulated in the definitions of individual controlled terms. The self node of the current linguistic element is the source (first) argument of this composed binary relation.
operator	Indicates the semantic type of the function-word head (such as preposition, conjunction, and so on) of the current Linguistic element (optional). Its value is a list of controlled terms. The default interpretation of this list is the sequential composition of the binary relations represented by the controlled terms, where a unary predicate p is regarded as a binary relation r such that $r(X,Y)$ means that $p(X)$ and $X=Y$. Non-default interpretations should be stipulated in the definitions of individual controlled terms. The governor node and self node of the current Linguistic element are the source (first) and target (second) argument of this composed binary relation, respectively. When the function word is a coordinate conjunction, its meaning is the relationship among the conjuncts.

Just as with the type attribute in the <Relation> element, the operator attribute may partially specify the relation in question, in the sense that the exact relation is the composition prq of a prefix relation p, a postfix relation q, and the relation r specified by the attribute. A p B (B q A) means either A is equal to B, B is an instance, member, part or subset of A, or B is referred to by referring to A (metonymy). |
copy	Specifies elements to copy prior to semantic interpretation (optional). The id values defined within the copied elements are substituted with new id values for the sake of the XML well-formedness.
noCopy	Specifies elements to exclude from copying due to the copy attribute. The noCopy attribute in an element C refers to some descendant elements of the elements referenced by the copy attribute in C, and the copy operation excludes these descendant elements and their dependants. If the noCopy attribute refers to an ancestor element E of C, then C is replaced with a phrase coreferent with the copy of the minimal phrase containing E. (If the noCopy attribute points to multiple ancestors of C, then the smallest of them is E.) Otherwise C is replaced with the result of the copy. These operations are done prior to semantic interpretation (mapping to semantic structure).
substitute	Indicates the element to substitute with the current linguistic element while copying due to the copy attribute of the parent linguistic element (optional). The id values defined by the substituted element are also substituted with the corresponding id values defined by the current element.

Name	*Definition*
inScope	Refers to the element (quantifier, modal operator, negation, abstraction, etc.) which introduces the minimal scope containing the referent of the current element.
edit	Indicates the original text string replaced by the annotator with the text in the element (optional). Its value is a colon (":") followed by this string. It begins with a colon to avoid empty value in the case of insertion.

Semantics of `LinguisticDocumentType`:

Name	Definition
LinguisticDocumentType	Describes an entire linguistic document, which can be a transcript, scenario, etc. The structure of a linguistic document is represented by a recursive hierarchy of linguistic entities: each linguistic entity in the document (section, paragraph, etc) can be broken down further into its component linguistic entities (other sections, sentences, syntactic constituents, etc.). `LinguisticDocumentType` extends `LinguisticEntityType`.
Heading	Describes a heading for a document or a division.
Division	Describes a textual division within a document, such as a chapter, a section, an embedded poem, etc.
Paragraph	Describes a paragraph within the document.
Sentences	Describes sentences occurring within the document.
Sentence	Describes a sentence: i.e., a phrase that is a complete proposition, question, request, etc., and does not participate in any syntactic dependency. Usually contains a period or a question mark at the end.
Quotation	Describes a direct narrative or citation. Namely, an utterance by someone other than the addressor of the surrounding linguistic content.
synthesis	Indicates the type of synthesis among the child elements and texts contained within the current element (optional). Default value for `synthesis` is `coordination`.

Semantics of `SentencesType`:

Name	Definition
SentencesType	Describes a sequence of sentences. `SentencesType` extends `LinguisticEntityType`.
Sentence	Describes a phrase that addresses a proposition, a question, a request, etc., and does not participate in any syntactic dependency (optional). Usually contains a period or a question mark at the end.
Quotation	Describes a direct narrative or citation (optional).
synthesis	Indicates the type of synthesis among the child elements and text contained within this element (optional). Default value for `synthesis` is `coordination`.

Semantics of `SyntacticConstituentType`:

Name	Definition
SyntacticConstituent Type	Describes a syntactic constituent. A syntactic constituent is a linguistic entity in a sentence that represents a semantic entity. For example, in the phrase "a big apple", the part "big apple" is a syntactic constituent but "a big" is not. `SyntacticConstituentType` extends `LinguisticEntityType`.
Head	Describes a syntactic constituent that may be the head or semantic representative of a larger constituent (optional). The `Head` element may or may not actually be a head linguistically, which can be useful for encoding ambiguity.
Phrase	Describes a syntactic constituent which is phrasal (that is, its siblings cannot depend on it unless stipulated by `depend` attribute) (optional).
Quotation	Describes a direct narrative or citation (optional). A `Quotation` element is phrasal.
baseForm	Indicates the base or uninflected form of the syntactic constituent (optional).
synthesis	Indicates the type of synthesis among the child entities and text of the current element (optional). The default value of `synthesis` is `dependency`.
functionWord	Indicates the function word or string of words that represent the operator (optional). For example, the function word of "on the beach" is "on", which gives a locative relation. The function word of "in order to escape" is "in order to", which represents the purpose.

Semantics of `synthesisType`:

Name	Definition
synthesisType	Indicates the type of synthesis that combines a set of linguistic entities.
none	Indicates that there is no direct semantic relation among child linguistic elements.
dependency	Indicates that the type of synthesis is dependency. Each child (element or text) of the element except one depends on a non-phrasal sibling (element or text).
forward	Indicates that the synthesis is a forward dependency chain. Each child of the element except one depends on the closest non-phrasal sibling (element or text). The dependency should be forward (i.e. the governor should be to the right) if possible (that is, if there is a non-phrasal sibling to the right). The dependency relationships among the children are uniquely determined in a linguistic element with an element-only content and `synthesis="forward"`.
backward	Indicates that the synthesis is a backward dependency chain. Each child (element or text) except one depends on the nearest non-phrasal sibling. Contrary to `forward`, the dependency should be backward if possible. As with `forward`, the dependency relationships among the child linguistic entities are uniquely determined in a linguistic element with an element-only content and `synthesis="backward"`.

Name	Definition
coordination	Indicates that the synthesis is of the coordination type. Each child linguistic element must be either a coordinate conjunction (such as "and" and "or") or an argument of it (coordinant).
apposition	Indicates that the synthesis is an apposition. When two linguistic entities form an apposition structure, the latter is a paraphrase, elaboration, etc. of the former. Each child linguistic element of an element with synthesis="apposition" must be an apposition operator (such as "namely") or an argument of it.
repair	Indicates that the synthesis is a sequence of multiple linguistic entities where the last entity repairs the preceding erroneous ones. Each child linguistic element of an element with synthesis="repair" must be a repair operator (such as "excuse me") or an argument of it.
Error	Indicates that the synthesis type is an error, which is the same as repair, except that all the child linguistic entities, including the last one, are erroneous. Each child linguistic element of an element with synthesis="repair" must be a repair operator or an argument of it.

7.11.4 Linguistic DS basic design

7.11.4.1 Introduction

The Linguistic DS describes the semantic structure of linguistic data independent of any particular language. Its essence is in a simple set of rules to map syntax (linguistic descriptions) to semantics, addressing potentially all the linguistic constructions across all the human natural languages. Of course it is not possible to detail how to describe all the specific sorts of linguistic constructions in all natural languages in the specification of a single description tool such as the Linguistic DS. However, it is possible to define how to describe linguistic data of any particular language, if the semantic structures of linguistic expressions in the language are agreed upon.

The Linguistic DS indirectly encodes the semantic structure of linguistic data using textual mark-up. That is, the semantic structure of the linguistic data is described by embedding or linking Linguistic DS tags to the textual linguistic data in such a way that the description can be mapped to the semantic structure. The Linguistic DS is designed so that its syntax and semantics can be related as directly as possible. The notions of dependency, syntactic constituent, and so on, are defined below so as to respect this guideline, though there are other, perhaps equally common, ways to define them. The semantic structures we assume below are compatible with many semantic representation languages such as those based on Semantic DS defined in ISO/IEC 15938-5.

The Linguistic DS specifies elements that describe linguistic entities. The elements form a hierarchy. At the lowest level of this hierarchy are syntactic constituents, such as words and phrases, occurring within sentences. At the higher are increasingly larger units of linguistic structures, such as sentences, paragraphs, other document divisions and entire documents. The Linguistic DS allows partial descriptions owing to the mixed content model, which simplifies the description by omitting tags. Not all linguistic entities need be linguistic elements. Elements may have mixed contents in partial descriptions, whereas they have element-only or text-only contents in fuller descriptions.

There can be many ways to define what linguistic entities are. However, a semantics-oriented definition is followed to respect the above guideline. Namely, a linguistic entity is a part of linguistic data representing a semantic entity. For instance, consider the sentence: "Tom and Mary live in a small house". In this sentence, "Tom", "Tom and Mary", "in a small house", "a small house", "small house", "house", and so on, are linguistic entities or syntactic constituents. Each of them represents a semantic entity as follows: "Tom and Mary" represents a group of two people, "small house" represents the notion of a small house, and so on. On the other hand, "Tom and", "Mary live", "in a", "a small", and so forth are not linguistic entities because they fail to represent a semantic unit in this sentence.

Some linguistic accounts of agglutinative languages such as Japanese and Korean regard maximal morphological clusters (such as so-called *bunsetu* in Japanese) as syntactic constituents. However, the Linguistic DS does not necessarily do so. For instance, *tooi kuni* but not *kuni kara* is considered a syntactic constituent in the following Japanese expression:

```
tooi     kuni    kara
distant country from  ("from distant countries")
```

A linguistic entity corresponds to a semantic structure in a logical form which may be regarded as a network, or so called frame representation, typed feature structure, etc. For instance, a syntactic constituent "for a boy" has the following semantic structure:

```
[G,S]{:r:beneficiary(G,S) & :u:boy(S) & :u:singular(S)}
```

We refer to G and S here as the **governor node** and the **self node** of this semantic structure, respectively. Two semantic structures are combined to make a larger semantic structure by unifying the governor node of one of the two structures with the self node of the other. The above semantic structure is obtained from the following description.

```
<Phrase operator=":r:beneficiary" semantics=":u:boy :u:singular">
   for a boy
</Phrase>
```

In general, the operator attribute specifies the relation between the governor node and the self node of the semantic structure corresponding to the element. If the specified relation is a binary relation, then the governor node and the self node are distinct. The semantics attribute describes the semantic content of the self node. The governor node and the self node are equal if their relation is identity. More precisely speaking, both the operator attribute and the semantics attribute reflect the semantic structure of the plain-text children of the current element. So the following description is wrong because the value of the semantics attribute here is not contributed by "for".

```
<Phrase operator=":r:beneficiary" semantics=":u:boy :u:singular">
   for
   <Phrase>a boy </Phrase>
</Phrase>
```

The following description is fine.

```
<Phrase operator=":r:beneficiary">
   for
   <Phrase semantics=":u:boy :u:singular">a boy </Phrase>
</Phrase>
```

The compoundSemantics attribute encodes the semantic structure of the entire element.

```
<Phrase compoundSemantics=":r:beneficiary :u:boy :u:singular">
   for
   <Phrase>a boy </Phrase>
</Phrase>
```

The following description tells that "pomme de terre" in French means a potato.

```
<Head xml:lang="fr" compoundSemantics=":u:potato">
   pomme
   <Phrase>de
      <Phrase>terre </Phrase>
   </Phrase>
</Head>
```

Prefixes `:r:` and `:u:` indicate that the predicates are binary relations and unary predicates, respectively; They are shortcuts for some URN prefixes defined beforehand in the description as follows:

```
<Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="r"
  href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001"/>

<Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="u"
  href="urn:SomeOntologyOfUnaryPredicates"/>
```

The above logical form may be regarded as a network as below:

Figure AMD1-1 — The semantic structure of "for a boy".

Thus an argument in the logical form is represented by a node in the network, a binary literal (an atomic formula with a binary relation) is represented by a solid arrow from its first argument to its second argument, and a unary literal (an atomic formula with a unary relation) is represented by a broken arrow from its argument to the predicate node.

Precisely speaking, the `operator` attribute may partially specify the type of the relation between the governor node and the self node of the element, in the sense that some binary relation term may be missing at the beginning and/or end of the value of the `operator` attribute. The first argument of the relation specified by the `operator` attribute is either equal to, members of, instances of, parts of, subsets of, or indirectly (metonymically) referenced by the governor node of the current element. Similarly, the second argument of the relation specified by the `operator` attribute is either equal to, members of, instances of, parts of, subsets of, or indirectly (metonymically) referenced by the self node of the current element.

For instance, consider the following example, which can involve metonymy.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
      <Linguistic>
        <Sentence>
          Tom hit
          <Phrase operator="urn:SomeOntologyOfRelations:object">
            the apple pie
          </Phrase>.
        </Sentence>
      </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

As mentioned previously, the `operator` attribute addresses the semantic relation between the governor and the current element. Provided that the above sentence involves a metonymy and means that Tom hit the person who ordered (made, liked, etc.) an apple pie, the `operator` attribute here partially describes the relation between "met" and "the apple pie" because the relation between the person and the apple pie is missing or implicit in this `operator` attribute. The following is a description with the complete value in the `operator` attribute.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
      <Linguistic>
        <Sentence>
          Tom hit
          <Phrase operator="urn:SomeOntologyOfRelations:object
                           urn:SomeOntologyOfRelations:metonymy">
            the apple pie
          </Phrase>.
        </Sentence>
      </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Since it may be rather unrealistic to always require such a complete description concerning the `operator` attribute, however, the `Linguistic DS` allows partial descriptions such as the previous one.

See 7.11.4.5 for further account of this partiality of the `operator` attribute (and the `<Relation>` element), because it often makes sense in descriptions of cross-references.

7.11.4.2 Dependency

Linguistic entities are recursively synthesized to form larger linguistic entities. Dependency is the most common way of this synthesis. The other types of synthesis are coordination, apposition, repair, and error, all of which are formally regarded as special cases of dependency in the `Linguistic DS`. There are many ways to define the notion of dependency, but we use the following definition to establish a direct mapping between syntax and semantics. That is, a syntactic constituent X depends on another syntactic constituent Y when the combination of X and Y represents a semantic entity that is equal to, a specialization of, or an instance of what Y represents. In "a small house", for instance, "a" depends on "small house" because "a small house" represents an instance of the concept of small houses. In "every man loves a woman", "every man" and "a woman" depend on "loves", because the sentence represents a state of affairs that is a specialization of the concept of loving. "Tom" depends on "for" in "for Tom" because "for Tom" represents a relationship with Tom that is a specialization of the binary relation represented by "for".

If a linguistic entity X depends on another linguistic entity Y, then we say Y **governs** X and call Y the **governor** of X. If in addition X and Y are next to each other, then their composition Z is a larger linguistic entity and Y is called the **head** of Z. In the case of "give me money", for instance, "give me" is the governor of "money" and the head of "give me money". "Give" is also a head of "give me money". A phrase is a syntactic constituent governing no neighbouring constituent. For instance, both "a" and "small" in "a small house" are phrases.

Let us write self(X) and gov(X) to denote the self node and the governor node, respectively, of the semantic structure corresponding to linguistic entity X. If X depends on Y or Y is the head of X, then gov(X)=self(Y). For instance, "for" and "a boy" correspond to the semantic structures below:

Figure AMD1-2 — The semantic structures of "for" (left) and "a boy" (right).

Here self("for")=B, gov("for")=A, and self("a boy")=gov("a boy")=C hold. So we obtain the semantic structure in Figure AMD1-1 by letting gov("a boy")=self("for"), which is C=B. Of course the semantic structure of "a boy" is obtained by composing those of "a" and "boy", where self("a")=gov("a")=self("boy")=gov("boy")=C and "a" depends on "boy".

The following, more detailed description of "for a boy" encodes these dependencies.

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="r"
               href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001"/>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="u"
               href="urn:SomeOntologyOfUnaryPredicates"/>
            <Sentence>
               <Phrase synthesis="dependency">
                  <Head operator=":r:beneficiary">for </Head>
                  <Phrase synthesis="dependency">
                     <Phrase semantics=":u:singular">a </Phrase>
                     <Head semantics=":u:boy">boy </Head>
                  </Phrase>
               </Phrase>
               <!-- insert more elements -->
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Here synthesis="dependency" means that the element is synthesized by dependency: that is, each child element except the head of the whole element depends on another child element. The Head elements can (but need not) be governors of other elements, whereas the Phrase elements cannot. So the above description means that "a" depends on "boy" and "a boy" depends on "for". We may omit synthesis="dependency" because dependency is the default value for synthesis in a syntactic constituent. So a simplest description equivalent to the above one is:

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="r"
               href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001"/>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="u"
               href="urn:SomeOntologyOfUnaryPredicates"/>
            <Sentence>
               <Phrase operator=":r:beneficiary">
                  for
                  <Phrase semantics=":u:boy">
                     <Phrase semantics=":u:singular">a </Phrase>
                     boy
                  </Phrase>
               </Phrase>
               <!-- insert more elements -->
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

7.11.4.3 Coordination

Coordination is a second major way of synthesizing linguistic entities. "Tom and Mary", "Tom or May", "not only Tom but also Mary", "Tom loves Mary and Bill loves Sue", and so forth are coordinate structures. Coordination may be regarded as a special case of dependency, in which the coordinate conjunction is the head of the whole

coordinate structure. For instance, "and" is the head of "Tom and Mary" and "or" is the head of "dead or alive". Shown below is the semantic structure of "Tom and Mary", where both the self node and the governor node are A, and :v: means that the operator (:v:and) is a variable-arity relation.

Figure AMD1-3 — The semantic structure of "Tom and Mary".

A description of "Tom and Mary" entailing this semantic structure is:

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
     <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
        <Linguistic>
           <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="r"
              href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001"/>
           <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="v"
              href="urn:SomeOntologyOfVariableArityRelations"/>
           <Sentence>
              <Phrase>
                 <Phrase operator=":r:argument">Tom </Phrase>
                 <Head operator=":v:and">and </Head>
                 <Phrase operator=":r:argument">Mary </Phrase>
              </Phrase>
              <!-- insert more elements -->
           </Sentence>
        </Linguistic>
     </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

operator=":r:argument" may be omitted because :v:and presupposes the :r:argument relation for each of its arguments. The absence of the operator or semantics attribute has no default interpretation that its value is null. So a more usual description of "Tom and Mary" will be:

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
     <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
        <Linguistic>
           <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="v"
              href="urn:SomeOntologyOfVariableArityRelations"/>
           <Sentence>
              <Phrase>
                 <Phrase>Tom </Phrase>
                 <Head operator=":v:and">and </Head>
                 <Phrase>Mary </Phrase>
              </Phrase>
              <!-- insert more elements -->
           </Sentence>
        </Linguistic>
     </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

or

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="v"
               href="urn:SomeOntologyOfVariableArityRelations"/>
            <Sentence>
               <Phrase operator=":v:and">
                  <Phrase>Tom </Phrase>
                  and
                  <Phrase>Mary </Phrase>
               </Phrase>
               <!-- insert more elements -->
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

where `:v:and` is contributed by "and" in both cases, because the semantics and operator attributes describe the semantic content of the plain-text parts of the element.

Regarding "not only ... but also" as a compound coordination operator, "not only Tom but also Mary" may be described as follows, which means that `:v:notOnlyButAlso` is contributed by "not only" plus "but also".

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="v"
               href="urn:SomeOntologyOfVariableArityRelations"/>
            <Sentence>
               <Phrase operator=":v:notOnlyButAlso">
                  Not only
                  <Phrase>Tom </Phrase>
                  but also
                  <Phrase>Mary </Phrase>
               </Phrase>
               <!-- insert more elements -->
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

See the subclause on syntax and semantics for apposition, repair, and error.

7.11.4.4 Ellipsis, abstraction and instantiation

Coordinate structures and repair structures are sometimes elliptical. Consider the following sentences:

Tom loves Mary and Bill, Sue.

I gave this to Tom and that to Mary.

Tom wants to date with Mary, and Bill, Sue.

In these examples, the second conjuncts ("Bill, Sue" and "that to Mary") lack their heads ("loves" and "gave", respectively). The `copy` and `substitute` attributes are used to describe these structures. Below is a description of "Tom wants to date with Mary, and Bill, Sue."

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
          <Linguistic>
              <Sentence synthesis="coordination">
                  <Phrase id="TM">
                      <Phrase id="TOM">Tom </Phrase>
                      wants
                      <Phrase>to
                          <Phrase>date
                              <Phrase>with
                                  <Phrase>Mary</Phrase>
                              </Phrase>
                          </Phrase>
                      </Phrase>
                  </Phrase>,
                  <Head>and </Head>
                  <Phrase copy="TM">
                      <Phrase substitute="TOM">Bill </Phrase>,
                      <Phrase substitute="MARY">Sue</Phrase>
                  </Phrase>.
              </Sentence>
          </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

The `copy` attribute means that "Bill, Sue" references "Tom wants to date with Mary" as a sort of lambda abstraction, and the `substitute` attribute specifies beta substitution. That is, the latter part of the coordinate structure is interpreted by copying "Tom wants to date with Mary" while substituting "Tom" with "Bill" and "Mary" with "Sue", which results in "Bill wants to date with Sue". So the above description is semantically equivalent to the following:

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
          <Linguistic>
              <Sentence>
                  <Phrase>
                      <Phrase>Tom </Phrase>
                      wants
                      <Phrase>to
                          <Phrase>date
                              <Phrase>with
                                  <Phrase>Mary </Phrase>
                              </Phrase>
                          </Phrase>
                      </Phrase>
                  </Phrase>
                  <Head>and </Head>
                  <Phrase>
                      <Phrase>Bill </Phrase>
                      wants
                      <Phrase>to
```

```
                                    <Phrase>date
                                    <Phrase>with
                                        <Phrase>Sue</Phrase>
                                    </Phrase>
                                </Phrase>
                            </Phrase>
                        </Phrase>.
                    </Sentence>
                </Linguistic>
            </MultimediaContent>
        </Description>
    </Mpeg7>
```

When a Linguistic element has synthesis="coordination", synthesis="apposition", synthesis="repair", or synthesis="error", a copy attribute of a child element *X* pointing to another child element *Y* may be omitted, though of course this omission is automatically recoverable only if some child element of *X* has a substitute attribute pointing to some child of *Y*. So id="TM" and copy="#TM" are not necessary in the previous description.

Ellipses occur also in constructions other than coordination, apposition, and so on. Comparative constructions very often involve ellipses. For instance, "Tom loves Mary better than Sue" has one, as this sentence is semantically equivalent to "Tom loves Mary better than Tom loves Sue" or "Tom loves Mary better than Sue loves Mary." A description to encode the former interpretation follows.

```
<Mpeg7>
    <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
    <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
        <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
            <Linguistic>
                <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="r"
                    href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001"/>
                <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="u"
                    href="urn:SomeOntologyOfUnaryPredicates"/>
                <Sentence>
                    <Head id="TomMary">
                        <Phrase>Tom </Phrase>
                        <Head>loves </Head>
                        <Phrase id="MARY">Mary </Phrase>
                    </Head>
                    <Phrase>
                        <Head semantics=":u:good" operator=":r:attribute">better </Head>
                        <Phrase>
                            <Head operator=":r:comparison">than </Head>
                            <Phrase copy="TomMary">
                                <Phrase substitute="MARY">Sue</Phrase>
                            </Phrase>
                        </Phrase>
                    </Phrase>.
                </Sentence>
            </Linguistic>
        </MultimediaContent>
    </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Below is a little more intricate example, which describes "Tom lives in a bigger house than Mary does."

```
<Mpeg7>
    <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
    <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
        <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
            <Linguistic>
```

```
                <Sentence id="TomLivesInABigHouse">
                    <Phrase id="TOM">Tom </Phrase>
                    lives in a
                    <Phrase id="BIG">bigger </Phrase>
                    house
                    <Phrase depend="BIG">
                        than
                        <Phrase copy="TomLivesInABigHouse">
                            <Phrase substitute="TOM">Mary </Phrase>
                            does
                        </Phrase>
                    </Phrase>.
                </Sentence>
            </Linguistic>
        </MultimediaContent>
    </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Here the whole sentence is copied onto "Mary does", but this copy must exclude "than Mary does"; "Mary does" itself is excluded in order to avoid infinite recursive copy, and "than" is also excluded in order to avoid a missing argument (if "than" were included, then "Mary does" would also have to be included). Since "Tom" is substituted with "Mary", the copy operation will turn "Mary does" to "Mary lives in a bigger house". The comparison is between the original "bigger" (the governor of "than") and the copied "bigger". A sometimes-simpler way to fill an ellipsis is to use the `edit` attribute, which indicates that the text following the initial colon in its value is the original data replaced with the content text in the element. A colon (":") is used to avoid an empty value for the attribute when describing an insertion.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
          <Linguistic>
              <Sentence>
                  <Phrase>
                      <Phrase>Tom </Phrase>
                      loves
                      <Phrase>Mary </Phrase>
                  </Phrase>
                  <Head>and </Head>
                  <Phrase>
                      <Phrase>Bill</Phrase>
                      <Head edit=":, "> loves </Head>
                      <Phrase>Sue </Phrase>
                  </Phrase>.
              </Sentence>
          </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Another way to address the same interpretation is to use the `semantics` attribute as follows.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
          <Linguistic>
              <Sentence>
                  <Phrase>
                      <Phrase>Tom </Phrase>
                      loves
```

```
                <Phrase>Mary </Phrase>
            </Phrase>
            <Head>and</Head>
            <Phrase semantics=":someOntology:love">
                <Phrase>Bill</Phrase>,
                <Phrase>Sue </Phrase>
            </Phrase>.
        </Sentence>
      </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Since the `semantics` attribute describes the plain-text part of the element, the above description captures the intended meaning, provided that "Bill" and "Sue" can be automatically recognized as the subject and the object of the elliptical verb, respectively.

The `inScope` attribute describes the scopes of abstractions such as quantifiers (*every*, *most*, *three*, etc.), modal operators (*may*, *probably*, *should*, etc.), and negations (*not*, *never*, etc.). Consider the following example of quantification.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
        <Linguistic>
            <Sentence>
              <Phrase id="EVERY">Every </Phrase>man
              loves
              <Phrase inScope="EVERY">a woman</Phrase>.
            </Sentence>
        </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

This means that the referent of "a woman" is in the scope (more precisely, the body scope) of "every", which entails that different men may love different women. The following example, on the other hand, means that all the men love one and the same woman, where `:d:top` means the top level of the discourse.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
        <Linguistic>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="d"
                href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:DeixisCS:2002"/>
            <Sentence>
                <Phrase>Every </Phrase>man
                loves
                <Phrase inScope=":d:top">a woman</Phrase>.
            </Sentence>
        </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

The next example entails that Bill loves Tom's wife (so-called the strict identity), because "his wife" is out of the scope of the abstraction of the first sentence and thus preserves the referent through the copy operation.

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="d"
               href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:DeixisCS:2002"/>
            <Sentence id="TomLovesHisWife">
               <Phrase id="TOM">Tom </Phrase>
               loves
               <Phrase inScope=":d:top">
                  <Phrase equal="#TOM">his </Phrase>
                  wife
               </Phrase>.
            </Sentence>
            <Sentence copy="TomLovesHisWife">
               So does
               <Phrase substitute="TOM">Bill</Phrase>.
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

On the other hand, the following entails that Bill loves Bill's wife (so called the sloppy identity), as "his wife" here is in the scope of the abstraction and hence may have different referents across the copy.

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Sentence id="TomLovesHisWife">
               <Phrase id="TOM">Tom </Phrase>
               loves
               <Phrase inScope="TomLovesHisWife">
                  <Phrase equal="#TOM">his </Phrase>
                  wife
               </Phrase>.
            </Sentence>
            <Sentence copy="TomLovesHisWife">
               So does
               <Phrase substitute="TOM">Bill</Phrase>.
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

7.11.4.5 Cross-reference

Cross-references among linguistic entities other than through local syntheses are most often described by the `equal` attribute.

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
```

```
                    <Sentence>
                        <Phrase id="J">John </Phrase>
                        <Head>loves </Head>
                        <Phrase>
                            <Phrase equal="#J" operator=":u:posessor">his </Phrase>
                            <Head>car </Head>
                        </Phrase>.
                    </Sentence>
            </Linguistic>
        </MultimediaContent>
    </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

The `equal` attribute means that the self node of the current element is equal to the self node of the referenced element. So the above description entails that John possesses the car in question.

A more general sort of cross-references are encoded by `Relation` elements, as usual in descriptions other than linguistic ones, too.

```
<Mpeg7>
    <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
    <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
        <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
            <Linguistic>
                <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="r"
                    href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001"/>
                <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="u"
                    href="urn:SomeOntologyOfUnaryPredicates"/>
                <Sentence>
                    <Phrase id="TOM" operator=":r:agent">Tom </Phrase>
                    <Head semantics=":u:stop :u:past">turned </Head>
                    <Phrase>
                        <Head operator=":r:direction">to </Head>
                        <Phrase>
                            <Phrase>the </Phrase>
                            <Head semantics=":u:right">
                                <Relation type=":r:argument" target="#TOM"/>
                                right
                            </Head>
                        </Phrase>
                    </Phrase>.
                </Sentence>
            </Linguistic>
        </MultimediaContent>
    </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

In general, a `Relation` element corresponds to a link from (the self node of) the source to (the self node of) the target. So for instance the above description has the following semantic structure.

Figure AMD1-4 — The semantic structure of "Tom turned to the right.".

The `Deixis CS` (specified in B.2.36) defines the deictic indices, which represent entities identifiable in the context at hand. The deictic indices can be the values of equal, depend, and target attributes, such as follows, where deictic index `:d:p1` means the first person singular (I), and `:d:p2` the second person singular.

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="r"
               href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001"/>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="d"
               href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:DeixisCS:2002"/>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="d"
               href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:DeixisCS:2002"/>
            <Sentence>
               <Head>
                  <Relation type=":r:experiencer" target=":d:p1"/>
                  Hope
               </Head>
               to see
               <Phrase equal=":d:p2">you </Phrase>.
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Note that all these cross-references pick up the meaning of the linguistic entities rather than the linguistic entity themselves. That is, the excerpt

```
<Relation type=":r:experiencer" target=":d:p1"/>
```

describes that the addressor (`I`) is the experiencer of the hope but not of the linguistic entity `hope`. To mention rather than use either argument of a relation, relation types defined in `SyntacticRelation CS`, `SyntacticSemanticRelation CS`, or `SemanticSyntacticRelation CS` are used. For instance, the following description means that John likes the name "John" but not John likes himself:

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="syn2"
               href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticSyntacticRelationCS:2002"/>
            <Sentence>
               <Phrase id="J">John </Phrase>
               likes
               <Phrase>
                  <Relation type=":syn2:equal" target="#J"/>
                  his name
               </Phrase>.
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

The type attribute in the `<Relation>` element may partially specify the type of the relation between the source and the target arguments, in that some binary relation term may be missing at the beginning and/or end of the value of these attributes. The first argument of the relation specified by these attributes is either equal to, members of, instances of, parts of, subsets of, or indirectly (metonymically) referenced by the self node of the parent element. Similarly, the second argument of the relation specified by the operator attribute is either equal to, members of, instances of, parts of, subsets of, or indirectly (metonymically) referenced by the target argument specified by the target or target attribute. Also the operator attribute may partially specify the type of the relation between the governor node and the self node of the element in the same sense, as discussed in 7.11.4.1.

This partiality is useful typically in describing cross-references. For instance, consider the following example.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
      <Linguistic>
        <Sentence>
          <Phrase>Tom </Phrase>
          bought
          <Phrase id="CAR" operator="urn:SomeOntologyOfRelations:object">
            the car
            <Phrase>
              <Relation type="urn:SomeOntologyOfRelations:object" target="#CAR"/>
              designed by Bill
            </Phrase>
          </Phrase>
        </Sentence>.
      </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

In the normal interpretation of this sentence, what Bill designed is a model of car and what Tom bought is an instance of it. So "the car designed by Bill" refers to this model of car, and the `<Relation>` element therein should refer to this model as the object of the designing event, whereas the object of the buying event is not exactly that model of car but an instance of it. The above description allows this interpretation, provided that the operator attribute may be partial, lacking the membership relation at the end of its value. Of course the same interpretation is possible also when the operator attribute is simply missing.

In the following example, on the other hand, not the operator attribute but the type attribute is partial, because what is sold here is not the content of the book, which Tom wrote, but some copies of the book.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
      <Linguistic>
        <Sentence>
          <Phrase>Tom </Phrase>
          wrote
          <Phrase id="BOOK" operator="urn:SomeOntologyOfRelations:object">the book
            <Phrase>
              <Relation type="urn:SomeOntologyOfRelations:object" target="#BOOK"/>
              sold here
            </Phrase>
          </Phrase>
        </Sentence>.
      </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

In the following example, the subject "Every farmer who owns a donkey" is referred to twice, as the owner of a donkey and as the worker.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
      <Linguistic>
        <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="r"
          href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001"/>
        <Sentence>
          <Phrase operator=":r:agent">
            <Phrase>Every </Phrase>
            <Head id="FARMER">
              farmer
              <Phrase>
                <Phrase equal="#FARMER" operator=":r:agent">who </Phrase>
                owns
                <Phrase id="DONKEY">a donkey </Phrase>
              </Phrase>
            </Head>
          </Phrase>
          beats
          <Phrase equal="#DONKEY">it</Phrase>
        </Sentence>.
      </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Let *F* be the abstract type represented by (the self node of) "farmer who owns a donkey". *F* is referenced (at least) twice in this sentence, and in both cases not the entire *F* but its instances (i.e., the non-specific individual owner and the non-specific individual worker) are used. So the two `operator` attributes (operator=":r:agent") lack a relation term (urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:BaseRelationCS:2001:member or something similar) at the end of their values. Also, a relation term (urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:BaseRelationCS:2001:memberOf or something similar) is missing at the beginning of the value of the first operator=":r:agent", because the referent of "work" (or the whole sentence) is an abstract type of working events whereas the first argument of the relation specified by this attribute should be a (non-specific) individual working event (or habit). The Linguistic DS allows the above partial description, because it is not realistic to always require complete descriptions (and because a complete value for the `operator` attribute can often be automatically inferred from the explicitly given partial value).

In general, if a Linguistic element *E* is contained in or equal to a Linguistic element *A* forming an abstraction and *E* represents a non-specific semantic entity *X* in the scope of that abstraction, then *E* when referenced within *A* represents *X*, whereas *E* when referenced from outside of *A* represents an instance of *X* outside the scope of the abstraction. In the above example, this holds for "farmer who owns a donkey" as *E* and also for "a donkey" as *E* (*A* is "farmer who owns a donkey" for both cases). Namely, "farmer who owns a donkey", when referenced within itself, represents the non-specific farmer *f* in the scope of *F*, but when referenced from outside of itself, it represents a farmer f_1 who is an instance of *f* and outside the scope of *F*. Similarly, "a donkey" referenced within "farmer who owns a donkey" represents a non-specific donkey *d* in the scope of *F*, but when referenced from outside of "farmer who owns a donkey", "a donkey" (hence "it" as well) represents an instance d_1 of *d* outside the scope of *F*. Although we omit further technical details, f_1 owns d_1.

7.11.4.6 Multimedia reference and alignment

Cross-references between linguistic and other types of elements are also encoded by the `equal` attribute and the `Relation` element. The following example says that "this shot" is the video segment represented by the (possibly VideoSegmentType) element referenced by http://i-content.org/movie.xml#scene4, and "the actress" is a part of the world represented by the shot.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
     <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
        <Linguistic>
           <Sentence>
              <Phrase>
               <Relation
                type="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticSyntacticRelationCS:2002:equal"
                target="http://i-content.org/movie.xml#scene4"/>
               the shot
              </Phrase>
            is very long.
           </Sentence>
            <Sentence>
              <Phrase>
               <Relation
                type="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001:partOf"
                target="http://i-content.org/movie.xml#scene4"/>
               The actress
              </Phrase>
            is nice.
           </Sentence>
        </Linguistic>
     </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

SyntacticRelation CS, SyntacticSemanticRelation CS, and SemanticSyntacticRelation CS are again used to mention (as opposed to use) some arguments of relations. Those classification schemes apply not only to Linguistic elements but also to any other DS instances. For instance, the above example uses SemanticSyntacticRelation CS to mention the video shot rather than using its meaning (the world represented by the shot).

Elements of SpokenContentLatticeType, VideoTextType, ImageTextType, and TextSegmentType are interpreted as linguistic entities when they are referenced by the equal attribute and the Relation element. That is, if a Linguistic element refers to such an element, it means that the two elements represent the same semantic entity. Such an element is regarded as linguistic expression/utterance (its meaning is ignored) when it is mentioned by a Relation element (for instance, referenced as a second argument by a Relation element with a relation term in SemanticSyntacticRelation CS).

The Linguistic DS can describe not only inline textual data but also separate data, which may be text, video, or audio. The alignment with such data can be addressed by MediaLocator elements, start and length attributes, and Relation elements. A MediaLocator element specifies a portion of a separate data file, as shown in the following example that describes that the 120th byte to the 133th byte in file "transcript.txt" is a sentence.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
     <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
        <Linguistic>
           <Sentence>
              <MediaLocator xsi:type="StreamLocatorType">
                 <MediaUri>http://i-content.org/GDA/transcript.txt</MediaUri>
                 <StreamSection unit="byte" start="120" length="14"/>
              </MediaLocator>
           </Sentence>
        </Linguistic>
     </MultimediaContent>
```

```
      </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Similar descriptions are possible for video and audio data, as shown in the following example describing that the part from 421/25 second to 453/25 second in the video data `file://conversation.mpg` is a sentence, in the sense that an utterance of a sentence took place during that part of the video.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
          <Linguistic>
              <Sentence>
                  <MediaLocator xsi:type="TemporalSegmentLocatorType">
                      <MediaUri>file://transcript.mpg</MediaUri>
                      <MediaTime>
                          <MediaTimePoint>T00:00:00:421F25</MediaTimePoint>
                          <MediaDuration>PT32N25F</MediaDuration>
                      </MediaTime>
                  </MediaLocator>
                  Hello.
              </Sentence>
          </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Note that such `MediaLocator` elements describe only the spatiotemporal alignment with linguistic data in separate files. More general, possibly semantic, relationships with data other than linguistic elements are addressed by the `equal` attribute and the `Relation` element as discussed above.

It is often cumbersome and redundant to have a `MediaLocator` element in every linguistic element to be aligned with an external data. To avoid this, the starting point and the length of the current linguistic element may be described by the `start` and `length` attributes, respectively. A `start` attribute specifies a point in the file specified by the nearest preceding `MediaUri` element which is a child of an ancestor to the current element. When a `start` or `length` attribute has a `nonNegativeInteger` value, it assumes the same unit used last in the above-mentioned `MediaLocator` element.

The following example describes a sentence that consists of a phrase from the 122nd byte to the 127th byte (which contains a smaller phrase from the 124th byte to the 127th byte) and a head from the 128th byte to the 130th byte in the text file specified by the `MediaUri` element. Note that the `MediaLocator` element in this example is the nearest preceding one which is a child of an ancestor element to the elements containing the start and length attributes here. So the `start` attribute points into the file specified by the `MediaUri` element, and the unit of these `start` and `length` attributes is one byte.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
          <Linguistic>
              <Sentence>
                  <MediaLocator xsi:type="TemporalSegmentLocatorType">
                      <MediaUri>http://i-content.org/GDA/transcript.txt</MediaUri>
                      <BytePosition offset="120" length="14"/>
                  </MediaLocator>
                  <Phrase start="122" length="6">
                      <Phrase start="124" length="4"/>
                  </Phrase>
                  <Head start="128" length="3"/>
              </Sentence>
          </Linguistic>
```

```
            </MultimediaContent>
    </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

The following example describes a sentence that consists of a phrase `John' from 421/25 second to 434/25 second and a head verb 'came' from 436/25 second to 453/25 second in the date located as "file://transcript.mpg".

```
<Mpeg>
    <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
    <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
        <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
            <Linguistic>
                <Sentence>
                    <MediaLocator xsi:type="TemporalSegmentLocatorType">
                        <MediaUri>file://transcript.mpg</MediaUri>
                        <MediaTime>
                            <MediaTimePoint>T00:00:00:421F25</MediaTimePoint>
                        </MediaTime>
                    </MediaLocator>
                    <Phrase start="T00:00:00:421F25" length="PT13N25F">John </Phrase>
                    <Head start="T00:00:00:436F25" length="PT17N25F">came</Head>.
                </Sentence>
            </Linguistic>
        </MultimediaContent>
    </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

The following example describes a sentence that consists of a phrase `John' from 421/25 second to 434/25 second and a head verb 'came' from 436/25 second to 453/25 second in the file located as "file://transcript.mpg".

```
<Mpeg7>
    <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
    <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
        <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
            <Linguistic>
                <Sentence>
                    <MediaLocator xsi:type="TemporalSegmentLocatorType">
                        <MediaUri>file://transcript.mpg</MediaUri>
                        <MediaTime>
                            <MediaRelIncrTimePoint
                                mediaTimeUnit="PT1N25F">421</MediaRelIncrTimePoint>
                        </MediaTime>
                    </MediaLocator>
                    <Phrase start="421" length="13">John </Phrase>
                    <Head start="436" length="17">came</Head>.
                </Sentence>
            </Linguistic>
        </MultimediaContent>
    </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

Note that the above start and length attribute share the same unit specified by the mediaTimeUnit attribute.

As discussed above, the elements of SpokenContentLatticeType, VideoTextType, ImageTextType, and TextSegmentType are regarded as linguistic elements when they are mentioned. So the alignments with such elements are addressed by Relation elements. In the example below, the target argument is regarded as a sentence.

```
<Mpeg7>
    <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
    <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
```

```
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
        <Linguistic>
            <Sentence>
                <Relation type="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:SyntacticRelationCS:equal"
                    target="#anExternalSegment"/>
                Stop it.
            </Sentence>
        </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

7.11.4.7 Guideline for simple description

Corresponding to various linguistic theories, there may be several different descriptions based on the Linguistic DS to address the same semantic structure. For instance, consider the description of "a man who came" below.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
        <Linguistic>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="r"
                href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001"/>
            <Sentence>
                <Phrase id="MAN">
                    A man
                    <Phrase>
                        <Head equal="#MAN" id="WHO">who </Head>
                        <Phrase>
                            <Relation type=":r:agent" target="#WHO"/>
                            came
                        </Phrase>
                    </Phrase>
                </Phrase>
                <!-- insert more elements -->
            </Sentence>
        </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

This is a rather exact encoding of the most common linguistic analysis of a relative clause. The following simpler description captures the same semantic structure. More precisely speaking, both of these descriptions fail to uniquely determine a semantic structure, but they specify the same range of semantic structures.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
        <Linguistic>
            <Sentence>
                <Phrase id="MAN">
                    A man
                    <Phrase>
                        <Phrase equal="#MAN">who </Phrase>
                        came
                    </Phrase>
                </Phrase>
                <!-- insert more elements -->
```

```
            </Sentence>
          </Linguistic>
       </MultimediaContent>
    </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

This latter description is of course perfectly valid, though different from the most common practice of linguistic theorization. For practical purposes, simpler descriptions such as this are more recommendable as far as they address the right semantic structures.

7.11.5 Linguistic DS example

7.11.5.1 Basic attributes

The following example describes a use of the type attribute to indicate that the word "salt" is used as a verb, provided that :pos: specifies some ontology of parts of speech.

```
<Head type=":pos:verb">salt </Head>
```

The depend attribute is attached to an extraposed linguistic element depending on another element embedded in one of its siblings. For example, in the sentence: "Tom, I think that Mary hates", "Tom" depends on "hates", which is the head of embedded clause "Mary hates".

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="r"
               href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001"/>
            <Sentence synthesis="backward">
               <Phrase depend="#H" operator=":r:patient">Tom </Phrase>,
               <Phrase>I </Phrase>
               think that
               <Phrase>
                  <Phrase>Mary </Phrase>
                  <Head id="H">hates</Head>
               </Phrase>.
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

The following example indicates several operator types of phrases

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="r"
               href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001"/>
            <Sentence>
               <Phrase operator=":r:experiencer">Tom </Phrase>
               <Head>loves </Head>
               <Phrase operator=":r:object">Sue</Phrase>.
            </Sentence>
            <Sentences synthesis="forward">
               <Sentence operator=":r:cause">Tom hit Mary.</Sentence>
               <Sentence>She cried. </Sentence>
```

```
        </Sentences>
      </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

When the function word whose semantics is addressed by an `operator` attribute is a coordinate conjunction, its meaning is the relationship among the conjuncts, as in the following example. Note that the coordinate conjunction is the head of the coordinate structure.

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
      <Linguistic>
        <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="v"
            href="urn:SomeOntologyOfVariableArityRelations"/>
        <Sentence>
          <Phrase>
            <Phrase>Tom </Phrase>
            <Head operator=":v:and">and </Head>
            <Phrase>Mary </Phrase>
          </Phrase>
          got married.
        </Sentence>
      </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

The following examples describe the base forms of the syntactic constituents in terms of the `baseForm` attribute.

```
<Head baseForm="grow">grew </Head>
<Head baseForm="dogs">dog </Head>
```

The following example involves an `edit` attribute indicating that the annotator replaced the comma in the original text with a white space followed by "loves."

```
<Mpeg7>
  <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
  <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
    <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
      <Linguistic>
        <Sentence>
          <Phrase>Tom loves Mary </Phrase>
          and
          <Phrase>
            Bill
            <Head edit=":,">loves </Head>
            Sue
          </Phrase>.
        </Sentence>
      </Linguistic>
    </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

7.11.5.2 Copy and substitution

The id values defined within the Linguistic element copied by a copy attribute are each substituted with new id values. For instance,

```
<a id="A"><b id="B"/><Relation target="#B"/></a>
<c id="C" copy="#A"/>
```

is regarded as equivalent with:

```
<a id="A"><b id="B"/><Relation target="#B"/></a>
<a id="C"><b id="B1"/><Relation target="#B1"/></a>
```

The substitute attribute indicates the element to substitute with the current Linguistic element while copying due to the copy attribute of the parent element. The id attributes and values defined by the substituted element are also substituted with the corresponding id attributes and values defined by the current element. For instance,

```
<a id="A"><b id="B"/><Relation target="#B"/></a>
<c id="C" copy="#A"><d substitute="#B"><e/></d></c>
```

is regarded as equivalent with:

```
<a id="A"><b id="B"/><Relation target="#B"/></a>
<a id="C"><d id="B1"><e/></d><Relation target="#B1"/></a>
```

The following example, with multiple ID values in the copy attribute, entails that Bill is both tall and heavy, because the copy attribute and the substitute attribute specify to copy "Tom is tall" and "He is heavy, too" while substituting <Phrase id="TOM">Tom </Phrase> with <Phrase id="BILL">Bill</Phrase> and TOM with BILL (in equal="#TOM").

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Sentence id="TALL"><Phrase id="TOM">Tom </Phrase>is tall. </Sentence>
            <Sentence id="HEAVY">
               <Phrase equal="#TOM">He </Phrase>is heavy, too.
            </Sentence>
            <Sentence copy="#TALL #HEAVY">
               So is <Phrase substitute="#TOM">Bill</Phrase>.
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

The following example entails that Tom lives in a house expected to be bigger than the house Mary lives in.

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Sentence id="TomLivesInAHouse">
               <Phrase id="TOM">Tom </Phrase>
               lives in a house
               <Phrase id="EXPECT">
                  expected to be bigger than
                  <Phrase copy="#TomLivesInAHouse" noCopy="#EXPECT">
```

```
                  <Phrase substitute="#TOM">Mary </Phrase>
                  does
               </Phrase>
            </Phrase>.
         </Sentence>
      </Linguistic>
   </MultimediaContent>
  </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

In general, the `noCopy` attribute refers to some descendant elements of the element referred to by the `copy` attribute and excludes these descendant elements from the copy operation. So the above description means the following.

- The whole sentence (referred to as `TomLivesInAHouse`) minus "expected to be bigger than Mary does" is copied while substituting "Tom" with "Mary", which results in "Mary lives in a house".

- "Mary does" in the original sentence is replaced with a phrase coreferent with the copy of the governor ("house") of what the `noCopy` attribute points to ("expected to be bigger than Mary does"), which results in "Tom lives in a house bigger than *X*" where *X* is coreferential with "a house" in "Mary lives in a house." (The fact that "house" is the governor of "expected to be bigger than Mary does" is not made explicit by the tags but can be automatically recognized.)

The two sentences do not stand in any left-to-right ordering; they belong to two separate text streams and none of them is left or right to the other.

7.11.5.3 Dependency and other syntheses

The `Head` element may or may not actually be a head, which can be useful for encoding ambiguity. The following example accommodates two interpretations: "planes which are quickly flying" and "to fly planes quickly":

```
<Phrase>
  <Head>
     <Phrase>quickly</Phrase>
     flying
  </Head>
  <Head>planes </Head>
</Phrase>
```

The following two examples illustrate the use of `dependency` value for the `synthesis` attribute. The interpretation of the example below may be to fly planes (where "planes" depends on "flying") or planes which are flying (where "flying" depends on "planes"), because the default value for `synthesis` for `Phrase` elements is `dependency`.

```
<Phrase>flying planes </Phrase>
```

When the head is specified uniquely and explicitly, the dependency relationships among the children are uniquely determined as below, where both "the" and "good" depends on "idea":

```
<Phrase>
  <Phrase>the </Phrase>
  <Phrase>good </Phrase>
  <Head>idea </Head>
</Phrase>
```

In the following example, "very" depends on "quickly", "quickly" depends on "flying" and "flying" depends on "planes" due to `synthesis="forward"`.

```
<Phrase synthesis="forward">
  <Phrase>very </Phrase>
  <Head>quickly </Head>
```

```
    <Head>flying </Head>
    <Head>planes </Head>
</Phrase>
```

Note that using `forward` simplifies the description. In fact, the above description is much simpler than the following, equivalent one.

```
<Phrase>
   <Phrase>
      <Phrase>
         <Phrase>very </Phrase>
         <Head>quickly </Head>
      </Phrase>
      <Head>flying </Head>
   </Phrase>
   <Head>planes </Head>
</Phrase>
```

In the following example, "eat" depends on "to" and "to" depends on "want", because "to" is the nearest potential head before "eat", and "want" is the nearest potential head before "to".

```
<Head synthesis="backward">
    <Head>want </Head>
    <Head>to </Head>
    <Phrase>eat </Phrase>
</Head>
```

As with the use of `forward`, `backward` simplifies the description:

```
<Head>
    <Head>want </Head>
    <Phrase>
        <Head>to </Head>
        <Phrase>
            <Head>eat </Head>
        </Phrase>
    </Phrase>
</Head>
```

Below is an example of apposition structure.

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Sentence>
               <Phrase>I </Phrase>
               <Head synthesis="apposition">
                  <Head>introduced Mary to Sue, </Head>
                  that is,
                  <Head>
                     <Head edit=":">introduced </Head>
                     my girlfriend to my wife
                  </Head>
               </Head>.
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

The `synthesis="repair"` in the following example means that "gave Mary to the dog" is repaired by "(gave) the dog to Mary".

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Sentence>I
               <Head synthesis="repair">
                  <Head>gave Mary to the dog, </Head>
                  oh, I'm sorry,
                     <Head>
                     <Head edit=":">gave </Head>
                        the dog to Mary
                     </Head>
               </Head>.
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

7.11.5.4 Quotation

The following example shows how to describe a direct narrative by a `Quotation` element. Note that this description almost determines the syntactic structure of the whole sentence, because a `Quotation` element is phrasal and hence cannot govern other syntactic constituents.

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
         <Linguistic>
            <Header xsi:type="ClassificationSchemeAliasType" alias="r"
               href="urn:mpeg:mpeg7:cs:SemanticRelationCS:2001"/>
            <Sentence>
               <Quotation>I quit </Quotation>,
               <Head>said </Head>
               <Phrase operator=":r:agent">Sue</Phrase>.
            </Sentence>
         </Linguistic>
      </MultimediaContent>
   </Description>
</Mpeg7>
```

7.11.5.5 Partial description

The `Linguistic DS` allows partial description of linguistic structure. The following shows a description of the sentence: "You might want to suppose that flying planes may be dangerous." The example specifies that "flying" depends on "planes" by specifying that the "flying" is a phrase and thus cannot be a governor. The relations among the child elements and child texts are left undescribed; here it is just assumed that some dependencies hold among them, without committing to any further details.

```
<Mpeg7>
   <!-- MDS AMD/1 Example -->
   <Description xsi:type="ContentEntityType">
      <MultimediaContent xsi:type="LinguisticType">
```